

Chapter 1

λ -Calculus

1.1 Untyped λ -Calculus

In order to get acquainted with the λ -calculus, let us develop a simple example to familiarize ourselves before we begin with a more formal approach to this discipline. Consider the function $f(x) = x + 1$, the most straightforward way to express this using λ -calculus would be $(\lambda x.x + 1)$, where the lambda denotes that x is being captured and used as a parameter to perform some computation¹. Evaluating $f(2)$ using this newly created lambda term would look like this: $(\lambda x.x + 1)(2) \rightsquigarrow (2 + 1) \rightsquigarrow 3$. This process of reducing a λ -expression is referred to as β -reduction, it will be covered formally later in the chapter.

Definition 1.1.1. The set of lambda terms Λ is defined inductively as follows:

- (Variable) If $x \in V$, then $x \in \Lambda$.
- (Abstraction) If $x \in V$ and $M \in \Lambda$, then $(\lambda x.M) \in \Lambda$.
- (Application) If $M, N \in \Lambda$, then $(MN) \in \Lambda$.

Where $V = \{x, y, z, \dots\}$ represents a countably infinite set of variable names.

The key takeaway of this definition is that abstraction and application together, encapsulate the meaning of function in a way that when combined with β -reduction allows us to perform computation.

Since we are dealing with a formal language, it is in our benefit to introduce a few other objects with the aim of defining a grammar to generate the set Λ :

¹this is an e.g., $(\lambda x.x + 1)$ is not a valid lambda term, see Definition 1.1.2.

- An alphabet $\Sigma = \{\lambda, ., (,), \dots\}$, is a finite set of symbols
- A string is a finite sequence of elements from Σ , the empty string is denoted by ε
- Σ^* denotes the set of all finite strings over Σ , $\varepsilon \in \Sigma^*$
- A language L over an alphabet Σ is a subset of Σ^*

Our aim now, to generate the set Λ , to do this, we will make use of a grammar. When dealing with grammars that define programming languages i.e. context-free grammars, Backus-Naur Form is the way to go:

- Nonterminals are enclosed in angle brackets (e.g. $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$)
- Terminals are written literally (e.g. λ , $.$, x)
- Productions define how nonterminals expand, written as $::=$
- The vertical bar $|$ denotes available expansions

Thus, to define the language for natural numbers in decimal notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{digit} \rangle &::= "0" \mid "1" \mid "2" \mid "3" \mid "4" \mid "5" \mid "6" \mid "7" \mid "8" \mid "9" \\ \langle \text{number} \rangle &::= \langle \text{digit} \rangle \mid \langle \text{digit} \rangle \langle \text{number} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.1.2. Taking advantage of BNF notation, an alternative definition for Λ (1.1.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{term} \rangle &::= \langle \text{variable} \rangle \\ &\mid \lambda \langle \text{variable} \rangle . \langle \text{term} \rangle \\ &\mid (\langle \text{term} \rangle \langle \text{term} \rangle) \\ \langle \text{variable} \rangle &:: \in V \end{aligned}$$

where $\Sigma = \{\lambda, ., (,)\} \cup V$ and $\Lambda \subset \Sigma^*$.

Remark. From the definition above we extract that $\lambda x.x + 1 \notin \Lambda$, and thus, we need to find an accurate representation for numbers within this definition. See Definition ??

Example 1.1.1. Some examples of valid λ terms generated using the grammar in 1.1.2: y , $(\lambda x.(xx))$, $(\lambda x.(\lambda y.x))$, $((\lambda x.(xy))(\lambda y.y))z$.

As a convention to avoid notational cluttering, the outermost parenthesis can be omitted (e.g. $(\lambda x.x)$ can be read as $\lambda x.x$). Also, application is left-associative and binds tighter than abstraction, so MNP is parsed as $(MN)P$ and $\lambda x.MN$ means $\lambda x.(MN)$, not $(\lambda x.M)N$. Likewise, abstraction is right-associative (e.g. $\lambda x.\lambda y.M$ is $\lambda x.(\lambda y.M)$) and binds more weakly than application. All these are just to keep notation straight-forward, the formal definition does not leave precedence unspecified thanks to parenthesis.

1.1.1 Equivalence of terms

Having defined the language of the λ -calculus, we move on to the mechanics of computation. To this end, several equivalence relations among terms will be defined. Let us begin by introducing the set that contains all variables that are not bound to the term via an abstraction.

Definition 1.1.3. Given $T \in \Lambda$, $\mathbf{FV} : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(V)$ outputs the set of free variables for T :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{FV}(x) &= x \\ \mathbf{FV}(MN) &= \mathbf{FV}(M) \cup \mathbf{FV}(N) \\ \mathbf{FV}(\lambda x.M) &= \mathbf{FV}(M) \setminus \{x\}\end{aligned}$$

Where $x \in V$ and $M, N \in \Lambda$, and \mathcal{P} denotes the power set.

Example 1.1.2. Compute the free variables of $\lambda x.\lambda y.yxz$:

The term is indeed well-formed and thus belongs to Λ , allowing us to proceed:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{FV}(\lambda x.\lambda y.yxz) &= \mathbf{FV}(\lambda y.yxz) \setminus \{x\} \\ &= \mathbf{FV}(yxz) \setminus \{x, y\} \\ &= \{x, y, z\} \setminus \{x, y\} \\ &= \{z\}\end{aligned}$$

Of course, z is the only free variable in the expression as it is the only variable that is not captured by some λ -abstraction.

Definition 1.1.4. A term $M \in \Lambda$ is closed if and only if $\mathbf{FV}(M) = \emptyset$, closed lambda terms are often referred to as combinators. Λ^0 is the set of all closed lambda terms.

Since every lambda term is made up of other smaller lambda terms, it is only natural to define the set that contains all subterms of a given term:

Definition 1.1.5. Given $T \in \Lambda$, $\mathbf{Sub} : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(V)$ maps terms to the set of it's subterms.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Sub}(x) &= \{x\} \\ \mathbf{Sub}(MN) &= \mathbf{Sub}(M) \cup \mathbf{Sub}(N) \cup \{MN\} \\ \mathbf{Sub}(\lambda x.M) &= \mathbf{Sub}(M) \cup \{\lambda x.M\}\end{aligned}$$

Where $x \in V$ and $M, N \in \Lambda$.

Remark. Let \preceq be the subterm relation on λ -terms, defined by

$$M \preceq N \iff M \in \mathbf{Sub}(N)$$

\preceq is a partial order relation. This statement follows intuitively from the definition, it can be proven using induction on the derivation tree.

Definition 1.1.6. A term $M \in \mathbf{Sub}(M)$, $M \in \Lambda$ is said to be proper if $S \neq M$. Thus, $\mathbf{Sub}(M) \setminus \{M\}$ would be the set of proper subterms.

Definition 1.1.7. Let $M = \lambda x.N$ be a λ -term:

- The variable x is the binding variable of the abstraction $\lambda x.N$.
- An occurrence of a variable x in M is bound if $x \in \mathbf{Sub}(\lambda x.N)$.

Definition 1.1.8. Capture-Avoiding Substitution Rules Let $M, N \in \Lambda$ and $x, y, z \in V$. The substitution $M[x := N]$ is defined inductively as:

$$\begin{aligned} x[x := N] &= N \\ y[x := N] &= y & y \neq x \\ (M_1 M_2)[x := N] &= (M_1[x := N]) (M_2[x := N]) \\ (\lambda x.M)[x := N] &= \lambda x.M \\ (\lambda y.M)[x := N] &= \lambda y.(M[x := N]) & y \neq x, y \notin \mathbf{FV}(N) \\ (\lambda y.M)[x := N] &= \lambda z.(M[y := z][x := N]) & y \neq x, y \in \mathbf{FV}(N) \end{aligned}$$

where $z \notin \mathbf{FV}(M) \cup \mathbf{FV}(N)$.

a

Having covered β -reduction, we now explore a key aspect of the λ -calculus, in the jargon referred to as first-class citizenship, or more formally high-order. When we use high-order to refer to a λ -term, we refer to the fact that both functions and arguments are treated indistinguishably, the example below sheds some light on the matter:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overbrace{(\lambda f. \lambda y. f f y)}^A \overbrace{(\lambda x. x + 1)}^B \overbrace{(2)}^C &\rightsquigarrow (\lambda y. (\lambda x. x + 1)(\lambda x. x + 1)y)(2) \\
 &\rightsquigarrow (\lambda x. x + 1)(\lambda x. x + 1)(2) \\
 &\rightsquigarrow (\lambda x. x + 1)(3) \\
 &\rightsquigarrow (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking a closer look, A , applies f twice to x , as a consequence, B is being applied twice to C . And so, very easily, we have implemented the $x + 2$ function via a sequential application of $x + 1$ to C . One can intuitively appreciate the computational expressiveness this brings with it, and how the syntactic-semantic homogeneity sets the λ -calculus apart from the classical set-theoretic approach to functions.

1.1.2 Lambda Terms

1.1.3 Some Important Constructs

Church numerals:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv \lambda f.\lambda x.x \\ 1 &\equiv \lambda f.\lambda x.f\ x \\ 2 &\equiv \lambda f.\lambda x.f\ (f\ x) \\ n &\equiv \lambda f.\lambda x.f^n\ x \end{aligned}$$

Booleans:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TRUE} &\equiv \lambda t.\lambda f.t \\ \text{FALSE} &\equiv \lambda t.\lambda f.f \end{aligned}$$

Conditional:

$$\text{IF} \equiv \lambda b.\lambda t.\lambda e.b\ t\ e$$

Pairs:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PAIR} &\equiv \lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda f.f\ x\ y \\ \text{FIRST} &\equiv \lambda p.p\ (\lambda x.\lambda y.x) \\ \text{SECOND} &\equiv \lambda p.p\ (\lambda x.\lambda y.y) \end{aligned}$$

Lists:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NIL} &\equiv \lambda f.\lambda z.z \\ \text{CONS} &\equiv \lambda h.\lambda t.\lambda f.\lambda z.f\ h\ (t\ f\ z) \\ \text{IS_NIL} &\equiv \lambda l.l\ (\lambda h.\lambda t.\text{FALSE})\ \text{TRUE} \\ \text{HEAD} &\equiv \lambda l.l\ (\lambda h.\lambda t.h)\ \text{undef} \\ \text{TAIL} &\equiv \lambda l.\text{FIRST}\ (l\ (\lambda p.\lambda h.\text{PAIR}\ (\text{SECOND}\ p)\ (\text{CONS}\ h\ (\text{SECOND}\ p))))\ (\text{PAIR}\ \text{NIL}\ \text{NIL}) \end{aligned}$$

Arithmetic:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SUCC} &\equiv \lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.f\ (n\ f\ x) \\ \text{ADD} &\equiv \lambda m.\lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.m\ f\ (n\ f\ x) \\ \text{MUL} &\equiv \lambda m.\lambda n.\lambda f.m\ (n\ f) \\ \text{ISZERO} &\equiv \lambda n.n\ (\lambda x.\text{FALSE})\ \text{TRUE} \\ \text{PRED} &\equiv \lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.n\ (\lambda g.\lambda h.h\ (g\ f))\ (\lambda u.x)\ (\lambda u.u) \\ \text{SUB} &\equiv \lambda m.\lambda n.n\ \text{PRED}\ m \end{aligned}$$

Recursion:

$$\text{Y} \equiv \lambda f.(\lambda x.f\ (x\ x))\ (\lambda x.f\ (x\ x))$$

1.1.4 Fixed Point Combinators and Recursion

Y combinator.

$$Y \triangleq \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$$

Turing combinator

$$\Theta \triangleq (\lambda x f. f (x x f)) (\lambda x f. f (x x f))$$

Z combinator

$$Z \triangleq \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (\lambda v. x x v)) (\lambda x. f (\lambda v. x x v))$$

1.2 Simply Typed λ -Calculus

The Untyped Lambda Calculus is computationally equivalent to a Turing machine. However, with great computational power comes limited decidability of properties, leading to non-termination, or expressions such as $x(\lambda y. y)$, whose meaning is unclear.

A classic example of non-termination is the Y combinator, however, the Simply Typed Lambda Calculus does not allow such expressions, as its type system is unable to assign a valid type to them.

To understand why, consider the role of function types: in the world of functions, a function maps values from a domain to a range. The Simply Typed Lambda Calculus enforces this structure explicitly, ensuring that every function application is well-typed and preventing self-application patterns that would lead to paradoxes or infinite loops.

Having grasped the untyped lambda calculus's Turing completeness and ability to compute all computable functions, we now seek properties related to decidability. To this end, we introduce the simply typed lambda calculus. Although it possesses less computational power than its untyped counterpart, it offers attractive features regarding decidability that will be useful later on.

Chapter 2

The Curry-Howard Correspondence

A Primer on Logic