# Session Notes 1 - ECSE 429 (Todos) Laurent

# Charter

- Identify capabilities and areas of potential instability of the "REST API todo list manager."
- Identify documented and undocumented capabilities for Todos.
- Create and test scripts for each capability.
- Exercise each capability with data typical to intended use.

### Build

runTodoManagerRestAPI-1.5.5.jar

## Area

TODOS core flows + relationships (categories, projects) per provided Swagger.

## **Test Environment**

- Windows 10
- Postman v11 (desktop client)
- API running locally via java -jar runTodoManagerRestAPI-1.5.5.jar

# **Date & Time**

- October 1st, 2025 Start time: 7:00 PM
- Session Duration: 45 minutes

#### **Tester**

• Laurent Chiricota – 261113415 – laurent.chiricota@mail.mcgill.ca

Images of the Postman results for todos will be attached at the end of this document.

# **Session Log**

# 7:02 PM

Performed initial GET /todos. Response: 200 OK with list of todos. All doneStatus values set to "false". Noted that todos are not returned in sorted order by ID.

### 7:04 PM

Attempted PUT /todos and DELETE /todos directly. Both returned 405 Method Not Allowed, as expected per Swagger.

## 7:05 PM

POST /todos with valid JSON body including title, doneStatus (Boolean), and description. Todo created successfully with ID 4. Returned object matched expected schema.

## 7:07 PM

Performed GET /todos again. New todo with ID 4 present. The todos are displayed in random order based on their id.

#### 7:09 PM

Attempted POST /todos with only a title field. Request succeeded, and API defaulted doneStatus to "false". This behavior is undocumented but useful.

#### 7:12 PM

POST /todos with invalid value "maybe" for doneStatus. Response: 400 Bad Request. Observation: API correctly enforces boolean validation for doneStatus.

# 7:15 PM

GET /todos/2 (existing). Response: 200 OK, correct details returned.

#### 7:16 PM

HEAD /todos/2 (existing). Response: 200 OK, headers only. HEAD /todos/99 (non-existent). Response: 404 Not Found.

## 7:17 PM

GET /todos/99 (non-existent). Response: 404 Not Found with error message: "Could not find an instance with todos/99". Status code is correct, though phrasing is awkward.

#### 7:20 PM

PUT /todos/2 – updated title and description. Worked as expected, fields updated. Matches Swagger "amend with fields to amend."

### 7:23 PM

POST /todos/2 (partial amend: change description only). Response: 200 OK. Behavior same as PUT (Swagger says POST and PUT on /todos/:id both amend). Works.

#### 7:25 PM

HEAD /todos - Response: 200 OK, empty body (headers only).

### 7:27 PM

DELETE /todos/4 – Response: 200 OK. Body empty {}. Swagger says "Deleted a specific todo" (no explicit schema). #ISSUE: confirmation message/body inconsistent with wording.

## 7:30 PM

DELETE /todos/99 (non-existent). Response: 404 Not Found. Proper error handling.

# 7:33 PM – Relationship (categories, smoke check)

- GET /todos/2/categories → 200 OK, empty list.
- HEAD /todos/2/categories → 200 OK, headers only.
- POST /todos/2/categories with body { "id": "1" } → 201 Created, relationship established.
- DELETE /todos/2/categories/1 → 404 Not Found if relationship does not exist (tested case). Aligns with Swagger "relationship not found."
  Note: Only smoke-checking relationships here; full interoperability testing will be done in a separate session.

# 7:36 PM – Relationship (tasksof, smoke check)

- GET /todos/2/tasksof → 200 OK, empty list.
- HEAD /todos/2/tasksof → 200 OK, headers only.
- POST /todos/2/tasksof with body { "id": "1" } (after project creation) → 201 Created, relationship established.
- DELETE /todos/2/tasksof/1 → 404 Not Found if no relationship exists. Correct per Swagger.

## 7:40 PM

Explored HEAD /todos again. Response: 200 OK, consistent behavior.

## 7:44 PM

Final test: OPTIONS /todos. Response: 200 OK, headers indicate supported verbs and CORS.

# **Additional Observations**

- IDs are not reused after deletions, creating permanent gaps between todos.
- Swagger does not explicitly describe behavior for HEAD/OPTIONS, but they behave correctly.

- Error messages functional but phrasing is awkward e.g. "Could not find any instances with todos/66"
- Data not persisted across server restarts (IDs reset).

# **Session Summary Findings**

# **Key Issues**

- Inconsistent ID management (gaps not reused).
- DELETE response body inconsistent with Swagger wording.
- Error messages awkwardly phrased ("Could not find an instance with todos/ID").

# **Capabilities Identified**

- CRUD operations (GET, POST, PUT, DELETE) work reliably.
- Boolean validation enforced on doneStatus.
- Default values applied when doneStatus missing.
- HEAD and OPTIONS supported on todos and individual todos.
- Relationship endpoints (categories and tasksof) reachable and working in smoke tests; full interop to be tested separately in another session.

# **New Test Ideas**

- Test extremely long strings for title and description.
- Stress test with hundreds of todos created/deleted in sequence.
- Check interoperability in depth: multiple categories/projects, bidirectional updates.
- Test XML payloads for todos.

# **Areas of Potential Risk**

- Clients may misinterpret ID gaps after deletions.
- Minimal or missing confirmation bodies on DELETE may confuse integrators.
- Error message phrasing unclear for developers relying on parsing.

# **Decisions Made**

Log issue for DELETE response body inconsistency.

- Note awkward error messages for potential bug report.
- Leave interoperability deep dive to dedicated session.

# **Files Referenced**

• Screenshots from Postman showing valid and invalid responses.

# **TODOS**















