

# Theoretical Background

## Problem Statement

Consider an arbitrary system with nonlinear discrete-time dynamics  $x(t + \Delta t) = f(x(t), u(t))$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times (N+1)}$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u \times N}$  be the state and input trajectory of the system over a finite time-horizon  $N$  and denote the cost of this trajectory as  $J(x, u)$ . Then compose the following finite-horizon nonlinear optimal control problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x, u} \quad & J(x, u) \\ \text{subj. to} \quad & x_0 = x(t) \\ & x_{i+1} = f(x_i, u_i) \quad \forall i \in [0, N-1] \end{aligned}$$

## Probabilistic Inference-Based Predictive Control

Introduce an *optimality* parameter  $\mathcal{O}$  depending on the system trajectory  $(x, u)$ , which we define as

$$\mathcal{O} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x, u) \text{ are optimal} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Of course,  $\mathcal{O}(x, u)$  cannot easily be computed in advance. Instead, we take a probabilistic approach and assume  $p(\mathcal{O} = 1|x, u)$  follows a Boltzmann distribution with respect to the trajectory cost  $J(x, u)$ . By defining the expected cost for a sequence of control inputs as  $\bar{J}(u) = \mathbb{E}_{p(x|u)}[J(x, u)]$ , we obtain the probability distribution that a given sequence of inputs is optimal as

$$p(\mathcal{O} = 1|u) = \eta^{-1} \exp(-\lambda^{-1} \bar{J}(u))$$

where  $\lambda$  denotes the *temperature* and  $\eta$  is the normalization constant.

Then, using Bayes' theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} p(u|\mathcal{O} = 1) &\propto p(\mathcal{O} = 1|u)p(u) \\ &\propto Z^{-1} \exp(-\lambda^{-1} \bar{J}(u))p(u) \end{aligned}$$

where  $Z$  is the new normalization constant and  $p(u)$  is the prior distribution of  $u$ .

Since  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(u|\mathcal{O} = 1)du = 1$ , we can compute

$$Z = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda^{-1} \bar{J}(u))p(u)du = \mathbb{E}_{p(u)}[\exp(-\lambda^{-1} \bar{J}(u))]$$

Directly sampling  $u$  from  $p(u|\mathcal{O})$  is generally challenging as it can be a highly complex distribution. Therefore, we approximate it with a variational distribution  $q(u; \theta)$  whose parameters  $\theta$  are found by minimizing the Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$\theta = \arg \min_{\theta} D_{\text{KL}}(p(u|\mathcal{O} = 1) \| q(u|\theta))$$

# Model Predictive Path Integral Control (MPPI)

Choose a Gaussian distribution with fixed covariance as the variational distribution  $q(u|\mu) = \mathcal{N}(u; \mu, \Sigma)$  with mean  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu &= \arg \min_{\mu} D_{\mathcal{KL}}(p(u|\mathcal{O}=1) \| q(u; \mu)) \\
 &= \arg \min_{\mu} \mathbb{E}_{p(u|\mathcal{O}=1)} [\log p(u|\mathcal{O}=1) - \log \mathcal{N}(u; \mu, \Sigma)] \\
 &= \arg \max_{\mu} \mathbb{E}_{p(u|\mathcal{O}=1)} [\log \mathcal{N}(u; \mu, \Sigma)] \\
 \mathcal{L}(\mu, \Sigma) &:= \mathbb{E}_{p(u|\mathcal{O}=1)} [\log \mathcal{N}(u; \mu, \Sigma)] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{p(u|\mathcal{O}=1)} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \log((2\pi)^{n_u N} |\Sigma|^{n_u}) - \frac{1}{2} (u - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (u - \mu) \right] \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} (n_u N \log 2\pi - n_u \log |\Sigma^{-1}| + \mathbb{E}_{p(u|\mathcal{O}=1)} [(u - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (u - \mu)]) \\
 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mu, \Sigma)}{\partial \mu} &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{p(u|\mathcal{O}=1)} [-2 \Sigma^{-1} (u - \mu)] \\
 &= \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbb{E}_{p(u|\mathcal{O}=1)} [u] - \mu) = 0 \\
 \Rightarrow \mu &= \mathbb{E}_{p(u|\mathcal{O}=1)} [u] \\
 &= Z^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda^{-1} \bar{J}(u)) p(u) u du \\
 &= \frac{\mathbb{E}_{p(u)} [\exp(-\lambda^{-1} \bar{J}(u)) u]}{\mathbb{E}_{p(u)} [\exp(-\lambda^{-1} \bar{J}(u))]}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{E}_{p(u)}[\cdot]$  can be numerically approximated using Monte-Carlo methods and the prior  $p(u)$  is typically taken as the previous optimal solution.

## MPPI Algorithm

1. Sample  $K$  control input sequences  $u^k \sim p(u) = \mathcal{N}(u; \mu, \Sigma)$
2. Simulate the system to obtain trajectories  $(x^k, u^k)$  and compute the associated trajectory costs  $\bar{J}^k = J(x^k, u^k) - \min_k J(x^k, u^k)$
3. Find optimal  $\mu$  through Monte-Carlo:  $\mu \leftarrow \sum_{k=1}^K \text{softmax}(-\lambda^{-1} \bar{J}^k) u^k$

## Updating the Variational Covariance

Updating not only the mean  $\mu$  but also the covariance  $\Sigma$  seems desirable as we would like to tighten the variance in the sampled control input sequences once we are close to the optimum. Similarly to above with  $\mu$ , we can compute a derivative with respect to  $\Sigma$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mu, \Sigma)}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}} (-\log |\Sigma^{-1}| + \mathbb{E}_{p(u|\mathcal{O}=1)} [(u - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (u - \mu)]) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (\Sigma - \mathbb{E}_{p(u|\mathcal{O}=1)} [(u - \mu)(u - \mu)^\top]) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \Sigma &= \text{Var}_{p(u|\mathcal{O}=1)}[u] \\
&= Z^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda^{-1} \bar{J}(u)) p(u) (u - \mu)(u - \mu)^{\top} du \\
&= \frac{\mathbb{E}_{p(u)}[\exp(-\lambda^{-1} \bar{J}(u)) (u - \mu)(u - \mu)^{\top}]}{\mathbb{E}_{p(u)}[\exp(-\lambda^{-1} \bar{J}(u))]}
\end{aligned}$$

Heuristically however, contrary to updating  $\mu$ , the Monte-Carlo method does not work great for updating  $\Sigma$  in the same manner in practice. For the reason of limiting computational expense, we aim to keep  $K$  fairly small, which often leads to an underestimation of the optimal  $\Sigma$ . This can be solved to some extent by increasing the temperature  $\lambda$ , but finding a good balance between fast convergence of the mean and sufficiently large covariance for exploration seems difficult.