continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Langley; Langley]	search	past perfor- mance	algorithm	hand-crafted and learned rules	offline and	dynamic
[Carbonell et al.]	planning	problem domain features, search	control rules	explanation-based rule construction	online	dynamic
[Gratch and DeJong]	planning	problem fea- tures, search	control rules	probabilistic rule construction	online	dynamic
[Smith and Setliff]	software design	features of abstract representation	algorithms and data structures	simulated annealing	offline	static
[Aha]	machine learn-	instance fea-	algorithm	learned rules	offline	static
$[{ m Brodley}]$	machine learn- ing	instance and algorithm features	algorithm	hand-crafted rules	offline	static
[Kamel et al.]	differential equations	past performance, instance features	algorithm	hand-crafted rules	offline	static
[Minton; Minton; Minton]	CSP	runtime per- formance	algorithm	hand-crafted and learned rules	offline	dynamic
[Cahill]	software de-	instance fea-	algorithms and data structures	frame-based knowledge	offline	static
[Tsang et al.]	CSP	instance features			1	static
[Brewer]	software design	runtime per- formance	algorithms, data structures and their parameters	statistical model	offline	static

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Weerawarana et al.; Joshi	differential equations	instance fea- tures	runtime perfor- mance	- Bayesian belief propagation, neural nets	offline	static
Borrett et al.]	CSP	search statis- tics	switch algorithm?	hand-crafted rules	online	static, static
[Allen and Minton]	SAT, CSP	probing	runtime perfor-	- hand-crafted rules	online	static
[Sakkout et al.]	CSP	search statis- tics	switch algorithm?	hand-crafted rules	online	static
$[\mathrm{Huberman}]$	graph colour-	past perfor- mance	resource alloca-	- statistical model	offline	static
[Gomes and Selman; Gomes and Selman]	CSP	problem size and past per- formance	algorithm	statistical model	offline	static
[Cook and Varnell]	parallel search	probing	set of search strategies	decision trees, Bayesian classifier, nearest neighbour,	online	static
$[\mathrm{Fink};\mathrm{Fink}]$	planning	past perfor-	resource alloca-		offline	static
[Lobjois and Lemaître]	branch and	probing	runtime perfor-		online	static
[Caseau et al.]	vehicle routing problem	runtime per- formance	algorithm	genetic algorithms	offline	static
[Howe et al.]	planning	instance fea-	resource alloca-	- linear regression	offline	static
[Terashima- Marín et al.]	scheduling	instance and search features	algorithm	genetic algorithms	offline	dynamic

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Wilson et al.]	software de- sign	instance fea- tures	data structures	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Beck and Fox]	job shop scheduling	instance feature changes during search	algorithm scheduling policy	hand-crafted rules	online	static
[Brazdil and Soares]	classification	past perfor- mance	ranking	distribution model	offline	static
[Lagoudakis and Littman]	order selection, sorting	instance fea- tures	remaining cost for each sub-problem	MDP	online	static
[Sillito]	CSP	probing	cost of solving problem	statistical model	offline	static
[Pfahringer et al.]	classification	instance features, probing	algorithm	9 different classifiers	offline	static
[Fukunaga]	TSP	past perfor- mance	resource allocation	performance simulation for different allocations	offline	static
[Soares and Brazdil]	machine learn- ing	instance fea- tures	ranking	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Gomes and Selman]	CSP, mixed integer programming	past perfor- mance	algorithm	statistical model	offline	dynamic
[Epstein and Freuder; Epstein et al.; Epstein et al.; Epstein and Petrovic]	CSP	variable characteristics	algorithm	weights, hand-crafted rules	offline and online	dynamic
[Lagoudakis and Littman]	DPLL branch- ing rules	instance fea- tures	remaining cost for each sub-problem	MDP	online	static
[Nareyek]	optimization	search statis- tics	expected utility of algorithm	reinforcement learning	offline and online	static

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Horvitz et al.]	CSP	instance and instance generator fea- tures, search statistics	runtime performance, restart parameters	Bayesian model	offline and online	static
[Borrett and Tsang]	CSP	instance features, search statistics	redundant CSP to add	hand-crafted rules	offline	1
[Cowling et al.; Cowling et al.]	scheduling	past perfor- mance	algorithm	reinforcement learning	online	static
[Little et al.]	logic puzzles	instance graph fea- tures	instance model transformations for runtime per- formance	nearest neighbour	offline	ı
[Petrovic and Qu]	scheduling	instance fea- tures	algorithm	case-based reasoning	offline	static
[Leyton- Brown et al.]	winner determination problem	instance fea- tures	instance hardness	several forms of regression	offline	static
[Fukunaga; Fukunaga]	SAT	variable characteristics	algorithm	genetic algorithms	offline	dynamic
[Yu et al.; Yu et al.; Yu et al.; Yu and Rauchwerger]	parallel reduction algorithms	instance fea- tures	algorithm	decision trees, general linear regression	offline and online	static
[Ruan et al.]	SAT	instance fea-	restart policy	dynamic programming	offline	static
[Burke et al.]	scheduling	past perfor-	algorithm	reinforcement learning	online	static
[Vrakas et al.]	planning	instance fea- tures	parameters	classification association rules	offline	dynamic

continued on next page

CIOCOLOLI	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Gno]	sorting, prob- abilistic infer- ence	instance fea- tures	algorithm	decision tree, naïve Bayes, Bayesian net- work, meta-learning	offline	static
[Watson]	job shop scheduling	instance features, search	local search algorithm	statistical model	offline and online	static
[Brazdil et al.]	machine learn- ing	instance fea- tures	ranking	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Gebruers et al.]	bid evaluation problem	instance and instance graph fea-	solution method	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Guerri and Milano]	bid evaluation problem	instance and instance graph fea-	solution method, algorithm	decision trees	offline	static
[Beck and Freuder]	scheduling	probing	algorithm	hand-crafted rules	offline	static
$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Nudelman} \\ \text{et al.}; & \text{Xu} \\ \text{et al.}; & \text{Xu} \\ \text{et al.}; & \text{Xu} \\ \text{et al.} \end{bmatrix}$	$_{ m SAT}$	instance features, probing	runtime perfor- mance	ridge regression, lasso regression, SVMs, Gaussian processes	offline	static
ae Beck; ıe and	job shop scheduling	probing, search statis- tics	length of exploration phase, switch algorithm?	Bayesian classifier, reinforcement learning	offline and online	static
	machine learn-	instance fea-	ranking of SVM kernel widths	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Guo and Hsu]	most probable explanation problem	instance fea- tures	algorithm	decision trees, naïve Bayes rules, Bayes net- works, meta-learning techniques	offline	static

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Gagliolo et al.]	search prob-	past perfor-	resource alloca-	linear model	online	static
[Prudêncio and Ludermir]	machine learn- ing	instance fea- tures	ranking	decision trees and neural networks	offline	static
[Demmel et al.]	linear algebra	instance fea-	algorithm	multivariate Bayesian decision rule	offline	static
[Gebruers et al.]	CSP	instance fea- tures	problem model, solution strategy	nearest neighbour, decision trees, statistical	offline	static
[Petrik]	SAT	past perfor- mance	resource alloca-	analytic model, MDP	offline and	static
[Cicirello and Smith]	scheduling	past perfor- mance	algorithm	reinforcement learning	online	static
[Gagliolo and Schmidhuber]	1	past perfor-	resource alloca-	neural nets	online	static
[Gendreau and Potvin]	vehicle rout- ing scheduling	past perfor-	algorithm	various	online	static
[Armstrong et al.]	procedure calls	runtime per-	$switch\ algorithm?$	reinforcement learning	online	static
[Gagliolo and Schmidhuber]	SAT, auction winner determination prob-	past perfor- mance	resource allocation	reinforcement learning	online	static
[Roberts and Howel	planning	instance fea-	resource alloca-	decision trees	offline	static
[Hough and Williams]	optimization	instance, algorithm and environment	algorithm	ensembles of decision trees, SVMs	offline	static
[Bhowmick et al.]	linear systems	instance features	algorithm	boosting, alternating decision trees	offline	static

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Hutter et al.]	stochastic local search	instance fea- tures	runtime perfor- mance	ridge regression	offline	dynamic
[Sayag et al.]	SAT	past perfor- mance	resource alloca- tion	static model, probabilistic model	offline	static
[Ali and Smith]	classification	instance fea- tures	algorithm	decision rules	offline	static
[Cavazos and O'Boyle]	software de-	instance fea- tures	algorithm	logistic regression	offline	static
[Burke et al.]	scheduling	instance fea- tures	algorithm	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Xu et al.]	$_{ m SAT}$	instance fea- tures	satisfiability and runtime performance	sparse multinomial logistic regression, ridge	offline	static
[Pulina and Tacchella; Pulina and Tacchella; Pulina and Tacchella; Pulina and Tacchella]	QBF	instance features	resource allocation	decision trees, decision rules, logistic regres- sion, nearest neighbour	offline and online	static
[Samulowitz and Memise-	QBF	instance fea- tures	algorithm, confidence values	multinomial logistic regression	offline and online	static
$[W_{u}]$ and van $[W_{u}]$	scheduling	1	portfolio	case-based reasoning	offline	dynamic
[Streeter et al.]	planning	past perfor- mance	resource allocation	statistical model	offline and online	static
[Wang] and $Tropper]$	simulation algorithms	past perfor- mance	control parameter	reinforcement learning	online	static
[Roberts] and Howe; Roberts et al.]	planning	instance fea- tures	runtime, probability of success	32 different algorithms	offline	static

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[de la Rosa et al.; de la Rosa et al.; de la e al.; de la Rosa et al.;	planning	instance fea- tures	algorithm	case-based reasoning	online	static
[Steer et al.]	ı	fitness land- scape fea- tures	algorithm		offline	static
[Streeter and Smith]	SAT, integer programming, planning	instance fea- tures	resource allocation	statistical model	offline and online	static
[O'Mahony et al.; Bridge et al.]	CSP	instance features, probing	resource allocation	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Kuefler and Chen]	linear systems	instance features, search statistics	algorithm	reinforcement learning	online	static
[Wei et al.]	SAT	search statis- tics	algorithm	hand-crafted rules	online	static
[Gagliolo and Schmidhuber]	SAT	past perfor- mance	resource allocation	reinforcement learning	online	static
$[{ m Smith-Miles}]$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Quadratic} \\ \text{Assignment} \\ \text{Problem} \end{array}$	instance features, probing	algorithm, runtime performance	neural networks and self-organising maps	offline	static
[Stergiou; Stergiou; Pa- parrizou and Stergiou]	CSP	search statis- tics	propagation method	clustering	online	static
[de la Rosa et al.; de la Rosa et al.]	planning	instance fea- tures	algorithm	decision tree	online	static

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Bai et al.]	resource allo- cation	past perfor- mance	combination of low-level heuristics	various	online	static
[Nikolić et al.]	SAT	instance fea- tures	search strategy	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Stamatatos and Stergiou]	CSP	probing	propagation method	clustering	offline	static
[Arbelaez] et al.; Arbelaez et al.]	CSP	instance features, search statistics	search strategy	$_{ m NAS}$	online	static
[Haim and Walsh]	SAT	instance fea- tures	restart strategy and satisfiability	ridge regression, logistic regression	offline	static
[Bhowmick et al.]	linear systems	instance fea- tures	algorithm	nearest-neighbour, alternating decision trees, naïve Bayes, SVM	offline	static
[Gerevini et al.]	planning	past perfor- mance	macro actions, resource allocation	performance simulations for different allocations	offline	static
[Xu et al.]	CSP	instance fea- tures	algorithm	reinforcement learning	online	static
[Bougeret et al.]	SAT	past perfor- mance	resource allocation	static model	offline	static
[Smith-Miles et al.]	scheduling	instance fea- tures	algorithm	decision tree, neural networks, self-organizing maps	offline	static
[Leite et al.]	machine learn- ing	past performance,	ranking of classifi- cation algorithms	statistical model	offline and online	static
[Silverthorn and Miikku- lainen]	SAT	past perfor- mance	runtime perfor- mance	latent class models	offline	static

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Stern et al.]	QBF, combinatorial	instance and algorithm features	algorithm	Bayesian model	offline and online	static
[Garrido and Riff]	dynamic vehicle routing	runtime per- formance	combination of low-level heuris-	genetic algorithms	online	dynamic
[Domshlak et al.]	planning	state vari- ables	algorithm	naïve Bayes classifier	online	static
[Kadioglu et al.]	SAT, mixed integer programming, set covering	instance fea- tures	algorithm	clustering	offline	dynamic
[Gent et al.]	CSP	instance features,	algorithm	decision trees	offline	static
[Gent et al.]	software de-	instance features	implementation	19 different classifiers	offline	static
[Kotthoff et al.]	CSP	instance features,	algorithm	ensembles of classifiers	offline	static
[Ewald et al.]	simulation al-	past performance	portfolio	genetic algorithms	offline	dynamic
[Elsayed and Michel; El- sayed and Michel]	CSP	instance features	search strategy	hand-crafted rules	online	dynamic
[Valenzano et al.]	search prob-	1	algorithm	round-robin	online	static
[Leite and Brazdil]	classification	past perfor-	ranking	statistical model	offline	static
[Aiguzhinov et al.]	classification	past perfor- mance	ranking	naïve Bayes	offline	static

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Kanda et al.; Kanda et al.]	TSP	instance fea- tures	algorithms	nearest neighbour, decision tree, SVM, naïve Bayes	offline	static
[Peng et al.]	numerical op- timization	past perfor- mance	resource allocation	optimization	offline	static
[Graff and Poli]	program in-	fitness func-	runtime perfor-	regression	offline	static
[Fialho et al.]	genetic algorithms	past perfor- mance	algorithm	aggregation	online	static
[Burke et al.]	bin packing	past perfor- mance	combinations of low-level heuris-	genetic programming	online	static
[Tolpin and Shimony]	CSP	search statis-	algorithm	hand-crafted rules	online	static
[Malitsky	SAT	instance fea-	algorithm	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Kadioglu	SAT	instance fea-	resource alloca-	nearest neighbour	offline	static
(Kroer and Malitsky)	SAT, CSP	instance fea-	algorithm	clustering	offline	dynamic
[Kotthoff et al.; Kot-	SAT, QBF, CSP	instance features,	algorithm, runtime performance,	31 different machine learning algorithms	offline	static
[Gagliolo and Schmidhuber; Gagliolo and Schmidhuber]	SAT, QBF, CSP	past performance	resource allocation	reinforcement learning	online	static
[Gebser et al.]	Answer Set Programming	instance features, probing	runtime performance	$_{ m NNM}$	offline	static

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Xu et al.]	MIP	instance features, probing	algorithm	random forests	offline	dynamic
[Maturana et al.]	evolutionary algorithms	past perfor- mance	algorithm	statistical models	online	static
$[{ m Helm}_{ m et}]$	planning	past perfor- mance	resource allocation	statistical model	offline	static
[Kiziltan et al.]	CSP	instance fea- tures	resource allocation	8 classification algorithms, ridge regression	offline	static
[Smith-Miles and Hemert]	TSP	instance fea- tures	algorithm	self-organizing map, decision tree, neural network	offline	static
	machine learn- ing	instance fea- tures	ranking	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Hoffman et al.]	Bayesian Opti- mization	past perfor- mance	algorithm	multi-armed bandits	online	static
	SAT, QBF, CSP	instance features, probing	algorithm	5 regression algorithms, 2 classification algorithms	offline	static
[Yun and Ep-stein]	CSP	instance fea- tures	portfolio	case-based reasoning, hand-crafted rules	offline	dynamic
[Hurley and O'Sullivan]	SAT	instance fea- tures	ranking	case-based reasoning with voting	offline	static
[Shukla et al.]		past perfor- mance	portfolio	statistical model	offline	static
[Malitsky et al.]	SAT	past perfor- mance	resource alloca- tion	nearest neighbour	offline and online	lstatic
[Bischl et al.]	optimization	instance fea- tures	algorithm	$_{ m SVM}$	offline	static

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Veerapen et al.]	Quadratic Assignment Problem and TSP	past perfor- mance	algorithm	statistical model	online	static
[Valenzano et al.]	planning	past perfor- mance	resource allocation	statistical model	offline and	static
[Hutter et al.; Hutter et al.]	SAT, MIP, TSP	instance fea- tures	algorithm performance	11 regression algorithms	offline	static
[Kanda et al.; Kanda et al.]	TSP	instance fea- tures	ranking	neural networks, nearest neighbour, clustering trees	offline	static
$[{ m Kadioglu}]$ et al.]	MIP	instance fea- tures	algorithm	clustering	online	static
[Seipp et al.]	planning	past perfor-	resource allocation	clustering and heuris- tic approaches	offline	static
[Maratea et al.; Maratea et al.]	ASP	instance fea- tures	algorithm	classification	offline	static
[Muñoz et al.]	optimization	instance features, algorithm	runtime perfor- mance	neural network regression	offline	static
[Park et al.]	software de-	instance fea-	runtime perfor-	$_{ m NAM}$	offline	static
[Morak et al.]	ASP	instance fea-	algorithm	classification and regression	offline	static
[Burke et al.]	scheduling	past perfor- mance	algorithm	reinforcement learning	offline	static
[Pillay]	bin packing	past perfor- mance	combination of low-level heuris- tics	genetic algorithm	offline	static

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Hu et al.]	evolutionary algorithms	past perfor- mance	algorithm	hand-crafted rule	online	static
[Sabharwal et al.]	$\operatorname{SAT}$	instance fea- tures	resource allocation and switch	nearest neighbour and decision tree classifica-	offline and online	static
[Abell et al.]	black-box opti- mization	instance fea-	algorithm <i>:</i> algorithm	m ton $ m clustering$	offline	static
[Hutter et al.]	SAT, MIP, TSP	instance features and algorithm	algorithm performance	random forests, linear regression, neural net- works. Gaussian pro-	offline	static
[Musliu and	graph colour-	parameters instance fea-	algorithm	cesses, regression trees six classifiers	offline	static
Amadini	CSP	instance fea-	algorithm	range of different ap-	offline	static
et al.] [Alhossaini and Book]	planning	tures instance fea-	model	proaches SVM	offline	static
and Deck] [Seijen et al.]	reinforcement	past perfor-	abstraction	MDP	online	static
[Malitsky	SAT	instance fea-	algorithm	clustering	online	static
et al.] [Mehta et al.]	CSP	instance fea-	algorithm	classification, regres-	offline	static
[Malitsky	SAT	instance fea-	algorithm	classification	offline	static
et al.] [Rayner et al.]	combinatorial search	probing	subset of algo- rithms	optimization	offline	static
[Sun and Pfahringer]	machine learn-	past perfor- mance	ranking	pairwise rules and trees	offline	static
[Collautti et al.]	SAT	instance features, past	${ m algorithm}$	nearest neighbour, random forests	offline	static

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Maratea	ASP	instance fea-	algorithm	PART decision rules	offline	static
[Wang et al.]	feature selection	instance fea- tures	algorithm	nearest neighbour and optimization	offline	static
[King et al.; King et al.]	power systems	instance fea- tures	algorithm	neural net, decision tree, random forest	offline	static
[Yuen et al.]	evolutionary algorithms	past perfor- mance	algorithm	linear regression	online	static
[Loth et al.]	CSP	past perfor-	algorithm	reinforcement learning	online	static
[Simon et al.]	software de-	instance fea- tures	algorithm	neural networks, decision trees	offline	dynamic
Geschwender	CSP	instance fea-	algorithm	decision tree, neural	offline	static
Geschwender et al.				nework, name bayes		
[Nikolić et al.]	SAT	instance fea- tures	algorithm	nearest neighbour	offline	static
	competitive TSP	instance fea-	algorithm	Bayesian approach	online	static
[Amadini et al.]	CSP	instance features	algorithm, resource allocation	5 different classifiers	offline and	static
[Cauwet et al.]	optimization	past perfor- mance	resource allocation	statistical model	online	static
[Hoos et al.]	ASP, SAT, OBF, CSP	past perfor- mance	resource allocation	answer set program- ming	offline	static
[Hurley et al.]	ČSP	instance fea-	instance representation, algorithm	classification, regression clustering	offline	static
$[{ m Kotthoff}]$	CSP, SAT,	instance fea-	ranking	classification, regression meta-learning	offline	static
[Tang et al.]	numerical op- timization	past perfor- mance	algorithm portfolio	optimization	offline	dynamic

continued on next page

citation	domain	features		predict what	ıt	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Fawcett et al.]	planning	instance	fea-	runtime		regression	offline	static
[Amadini and Stuckey; Amadini et al.; Amadini et al.; Amadini et al.; Amadini et al.;	CSP	1ce	fea-	resource	alloca-	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Blet et al.]	CSP	instance fea- tures	fea-	algorithm		M5P regression	offline	static
[Malitsky et al.]	Minimal Correction Subset	nce ,	fea- past	algorithm		nearest neighbour, random forests	offline	static
[Malitsky et. al.]	Minimal Correction Subset	instance	fea-	resource	alloca-	nearest neighbour, regression	offline	static
	MaxSAT	ıce	fea-	algorithm		clustering	offline	static
sky and livan]	CSP, MaxSAT, SAT	ıce	fea- past	algorithm		random forest and linear regression	offline	static
[Smith et al.]	classification	past per mance	perfor-	algorithm		collaborative filtering	offline	static
[Garbajosa et al.]	planning	e	fea-	$\operatorname{algorithm}$		classifier ensemble	online	static
[Pihera and	TSP	эсе	fea-	algorithm		5 classifiers	offline	static
[St-Pierre and Tevtaud]	Go	О	perfor-	policy		static rule and reinforcement learning	offline and online	static
[van ' Rijn et al.]	machine learn- ing	instance fea- tures	fea-	algorithm		decision stumps, random forests	offline	static

citation	domain	features		predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Lieder et al.]	sorting	instance f	fea-	performance	Bayesian regression	offline	static
[Lindauer]	ASP, CSP, SAT, QBF, OB.	псе	fea-	resource allocation	lots	offline	static
[Hoos et al.]	ASP	instance f tures	fea-	resource alloca-	pairwise classification, regression, clustering	offline	static
[Sukhija et al.]	loop schedul- ing	nce	fea-	algorithm	classification	offline	static
[Stojadinović and Marić]	$\overrightarrow{\text{CSP}}$	instance f tures	fea-	algorithm	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Shahriari et al.]	Bayesian Opti- mization	entropy		algorithm	multi-armed bandits	online	static
[López- Camacho et al.]	bin packing	instance f tures	fea-	algorithm	nearest neighbour	online	static
[Salcedo-Sanz et al.]	games	past perfor- mance	for-	combination of low-level heuristics	genetic algorithm	offline	static
[Sagarna et al.]	software test-ing	instance f tures	fea-	algorithm	Bayesian network	offline	static
[Tierney and Malitsky]	container pre- marshalling	instance fea tures, pas performance	fea- past nce	algorithm	hierarchical cost- sensitive clustering	offline	static
[Lindauer et al.]	SAT, QBF, ASP, container premarshalling	instance f tures	fea-	resource allocation	random forest pairwise classification, ridge re- gression, k-means clus- tering	offline	static
[Lindauer et al.; Lin- dauer et al.]	ASlib	instance fea- tures	ea-	resource allocation	pairwise classification, regression, clustering	offline	static

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Kotthoff et al.]	TSP	instance fea- tures	algorithm	classification, regression, pairwise	offline	static
[Sabar and Kendall]	combinatorial search	past perfor- mance	algorithm	reinforcement learning	online	static
[Oentaryo et al.]	SAT	instance fea- tures and past perfor-	ranking	stochastic optimiza- tion	offline	static
[Chu and Stuckey]	CSP	instance fea- tures	algorithm	partial least squares regression	offline	static
[Balafrej et al.]	CSP	past perfor- mance	propagation method	multi-armed bandits	online	static
[Luo et al.]	stencil computation	instance fea- tures	solution space	multiple linear regression	offline	static
[Ilany and Gal]	multi-agent systems	instance features	runtime performance	linear regression, regression trees, neural network, multi-armed bandits	offline and online	static
[Everitt and Hutter; Everitt and Hutter]	search	instance fea- tures	runtime performance	analytical model	offline	static
[Amadini et al.]	ASlib	instance fea- tures	resource alloca- tion	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Phillips et al.]	search	past perfor- mance	resource allocation	multi-armed bandits	online	static
[Abseher et al.]	tree decomposition	instance fea- tures	ranking	linear regression, nearest neighbour, regression trees, neural network, SVM	offline	static

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Yuen et al.; Lou and Yuen; Yuen et al.]	black-box opti- mization	instance fea- tures	algorithm	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Palmieri	constraint programming	past perfor-	algorithm	statistical test	online	static
[Inala et al.]	SMT	past perfor-	encoding	pattern matching	offline	dynamic
[Mendes et al.]	games	instance fea-	algorithm	various classifiers	offline	static
[Bontrager et al.]	games	instance fea- tures	algorithm	hierarchical clustering and decision trees	offline	static
[Koitz and Wotawa;	abductive diagnosis	instance fea- tures	algorithm	various classifiers	offline	static
Wotawa; Koitz-Hristov						
[Minot et al.]	sum coloring problem	instance fea- tures	algorithm	hand-crafted rule	offline	static
[Kotthoff et al.]	subgraph iso- morphism	instance fea- tures	algorithm	classification, regression, pairwise classification and	offline	static
[Degroote et al.; Degroote roote et al.	ASlib	instance fea- tures	algorithm	random forest regression	offline	static
[Gonard et al.]	ASlib	instance fea- tures	resource allocation	random forest and nearest neighbour regression	offline	static

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Sidnev]	matrix multiplication, sorting, linear equations, FFT	instance features	runtime performance, algorithm	r- linear regression	offline	static
[Benatia et al.; Benatia et al.]	sparse matrix-vector multi-	instance features	runtime perfor- mance	r- SVM, neural network	offline	static
[Dutt and Haritsa]	database query process- ing	instance features	resource allocation	a- optimization	offline	static
[Liberto et al.]	MÏP	instance features, search statistics	algorithm	clustering	online	static
[Lindauer et al.]	ASlib	instance features	resource allocation	a- nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Khalil et al.]	MIP	instance features, search statistics		$_{ m NNM}$	online	static
[Cenamor et al.]	planning	instance fea- tures	resource allocation	a- classification, regression	offline	static
[Cunha et al.; Cunha et al.; Cunha et al.]	recommender systems	instance features,	algorithm	classification	offline	static
[Cui et al.; Chu et al.]	evolutionary algorithms	instance features	ranking	nearest neighbour, neural network	online	static
[Cui et al.]	building energy opti- mization	instance features	ranking	neural network	offline	static
[Mısır and Sebag]	ASlib	instance and algorithm features	ranking	matrix completion	offline	static

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Ansótegui et al.]	MaxSAT	instance features, past	algorithm	search	offline and online	dynamic
[Minot et al.]	sum coloring	performance instance fea-	algorithm	pairwise random re-	offline	static
[Zaharija $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}}$ 1	problem	instance fea-	${ m algorithm}$	gression forests hand-crafted rules	offline	static
et al.] [Wagner et al.]	minimum ver-	instance fea-	${ m algorithm}$	pairwise classification,	offline	static
[Chen et al.]	SAT, MaxSAT	instance fea-	${ m algorithm}$	regression, clustering multi-output learning	offline	static
[Khali et al.]	MIP	instance fea-	${ m algorithm}$	logistic regression	online	static
[Gnad et al.]	ng	statistics probing	ranking	static rule	offline	static
[Fitzgerald and O'Sullivan]	CSF, SA1, combinatorial auctions	past perior- mance	algoritnm	reinforcement learning	online	static
[Beham et al.; Beham et al.]	Quadratic Assignment Problem	instance features, probing	ranking	nearest neighbour	offline	static
[Selvaraj and Nagarajan]	optical net- work design	instance fea- tures	algorithm	ı	offline	static
[Cunha et al.]	recommender	instance fea-	ranking	nearest neighbour,	offline	static
[Stephenson and Renz]	Angry Birds	instance fea- tures	ranking	classification	offline	static
[Li and Kendall]	games	past perfor- mance	algorithm	reinforcement learning	online	static
[He et al.]	black-box opti- mization	past perfor- mance	algorithm	Bayesian approach	offline	static

continued on next page

citation	domain	features	predict what	predict how	predict when	portfolio
[Fuentetaja	planning	past perfor-	instance represen-	optimization	offline	dynamic
Jana et al.]	protein struc-	instance fea-	algorithm	hand-crafted rule	offline	static
[Jankee et al.]	black-box opti-	past perfor-	algorithm	bandit algorithms	offline	static
[Georges et al.]	MIP	inatice instance features,	portfolio	classification, regression, boosting	offline	static and dynamic
[Silva et al.]	games	probing instance fea-	algorithm	logistic regression	online	dynamic
[Degroote et al.]	Generalized Assignment Problem	instance fea- tures	algorithm	random forest	offline	static
[Gudu et al.]	combinatorial	instance fea-	algorithm	auto-sklearn	offline	static
[Elmandouh	formal verifica-	instance fea-	resource alloca-	classification	offline	static
$[Ansotegui]_{\alpha \leftarrow \alpha^{-1}}$	CSP	tures instance fea-	resource alloca-	classification	offline	static
et al.] [Hoos et al.]	QBF	instance fea-	olon algorithm	autofolio	offline	static
[Nikolić et al.]	theorem prov-	instance fea-	algorithm, run-	classification, regres-	offline	static
[Deng et al.]	classification	instance fea-	algorithm	clustering	offline	static
[Wang et al.]	CSP	instance fea-	algorithm	decision tree	offline	static
[Tripoul et al.] pattern ing	pattern match- ing	simulation	constraint	hand-crafted model	online	static

citation	domain	features		predict what	predict how	predict when portfolio	portfolio
[Pavelski et al.; Pavelski	flowshop	instance tures	fea-	instance fea- algorithm tures	decision trees, gradient offline boosting	offline	static
et al.] [Alcobaça et al l	machine learn-	instance fea-	fea-	${ m algorithm}$	classification	offline	static
$[\text{Kerschke}]_{ot=o1}$	TSP	instance	fea-	algorithm	classification, regres-	offline	static
Et al.] [Loera et al.] [Mantovani	optimization	instance fea-	fea-	algorithm	neural networks	offline	static
et al.] [Abdulrahman		tures instance	fea-	algorithm	ranking	offline	static
et al.]	ing	tures					

Table I: Summary of the Algorithm Selection literature.

## REFERENCES

- ABDULRAHMAN, S. M., BRAZDIL, P., ZAINON, W. M. N. W., AND ADAMU, A. 2019. Simplifying the Algorithm Selection Using Reduction of Rankings of Classification Algorithms. In 8th International Conference on Software and Computer Applications. 140–148.
- ABELL, T., MALITSKY, Y., AND TIERNEY, K. 2013. Features for exploiting black-box optimization problem structure. In LION 7.
- Abseher, M., Dusberger, F., Musliu, N., and Woltran, S. 2015. Improving the Efficiency of Dynamic Programming on Tree Decompositions via Machine Learning. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 275–282.
- Aha, D. W. 1992. Generalizing from case studies: A case study. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Machine Learning*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 1–10.
- AIGUZHINOV, A., SOARES, C., AND SERRA, A. P. 2010. A similarity-based adaptation of naive bayes for label ranking: Application to the metalearning problem of algorithm recommendation. In 13th International conference on Discovery Science. Springer-Verlag, 16–26.
- Alcobaça, E., Mantovani, R. G., Rossi, A. L. D., and Carvalho, A. C. P. L. F. d. 2018. Dimensionality Reduction for the Algorithm Recommendation Problem. In 7th Brazilian Conference on Intelligent Systems (BRACIS). 318–323.
- Alhossaini, M. and Beck, J. C. 2013. Instance-specific remodelling of planning domains by adding macros and removing operators. In *Symposium on Abstraction, Reformulation, and Approximation*.
- ALI, S. AND SMITH, K. A. 2006. On learning algorithm selection for classification. Applied Soft Computing 6, 2, 119–138.
- Allen, J. A. and Minton, S. 1996. Selecting the right heuristic algorithm: Runtime performance predictors. In *The 11th Biennial Conference of the Canadian Society for Computational Studies of Intelligence*. Springer-Verlag, 41–53.
- AMADINI, R., BISELLI, F., GABBRIELLI, M., LIU, T., AND MAURO, J. 2015a. SUNNY for algorithm selection: a preliminary study. In 30th Italian Conference on Computational Logic. 202–206.
- AMADINI, R., GABBRIELLI, M., AND MAURO, J. 2013. An empirical evaluation of portfolios approaches for solving CSPs. In *Integration of AI and OR Techniques in Constraint Programming for Combinatorial Optimization Problems*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 7874. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 316–324.
- Amadini, R., Gabbrielli, M., and Mauro, J. 2014a. Portfolio approaches for constraint optimization problems. In  $LION\ 8$ .
- Amadini, R., Gabbrielli, M., and Mauro, J. 2014b. SUNNY: a lazy portfolio approach for constraint solving. TPLP 14, 4-5, 509–524.
- Amadini, R., Gabbrielli, M., and Mauro, J. 2015b. A Multicore Tool for Constraint Solving. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 232–238.
- AMADINI, R., GABBRIELLI, M., AND MAURO, J. 2015c. SUNNY-CP: A Sequential CP Portfolio Solver. In Proceedings of the 30th Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing. 1861–1867.
- AMADINI, R. AND STUCKEY, P. J. 2014. Sequential time splitting and bounds communication for a portfolio of optimization solvers. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*. 108–124.
- Ansótegui, C., Malitsky, Y., and Sellmann, M. 2014. MaxSAT by improved instance-specific algorithm configuration. In AAAI. 2594–2600.
- Ansotegui, C., Sellmann, M., and Tierney, K. 2018. Self-configuring Cost-Sensitive Hierarchical Clustering with Recourse. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*. 524–534.
- Ansótegui, C., Pon, J., Sellmann, M., and Tierney, K. 2017. Reactive Dialectic Search Portfolios for MaxSAT. In AAAI. 765–772.
- Arbelaez, A., Hamadi, Y., and Sebag, M. 2009. Online heuristic selection in constraint programming. In Symposium on Combinatorial Search.
- Arbelaez, A., Hamadi, Y., and Sebag, M. 2010. Continuous search in constraint programming. In 22nd IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence. 53–60.
- ARMSTRONG, W., CHRISTEN, P., McCreath, E., and Rendell, A. P. 2006. Dynamic algorithm selection using reinforcement learning. In *International Workshop on Integrating AI and Data Mining*. 18–25.
- BAI, R., BURKE, E. K., AND KENDALL, G. 2008. Heuristic, meta-heuristic and hyper-heuristic approaches for fresh produce inventory control and shelf space allocation. *Journal of the Operational Research* Society 59, 10, 1387–1397.
- Balafrej, A., Bessière, C., and Paparrizou, A. 2015. Multi-Armed Bandits for Adaptive Constraint Propagation. In IJCAI.

- Beck, J. C. and Fox, M. S. 2000. Dynamic problem structure analysis as a basis for constraint-directed scheduling heuristics. *Artificial Intelligence* 117, 1, 31–81.
- Beck, J. C. and Freuder, E. C. 2004. Simple rules for low-knowledge algorithm selection. In CPAIOR. Springer, 50-64.
- Beham, A., Affenzeller, M., and Wagner, S. 2017. Instance-based Algorithm Selection on Quadratic Assignment Problem Landscapes. In *GECCO*. 1471–1478.
- Beham, A., Wagner, S., and Affenzeller, M. 2018. Algorithm Selection on Generalized Quadratic Assignment Problem Landscapes. In *Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference*. 253–260.
- Benatia, A., Ji, W., Wang, Y., and Shi, F. 2016a. Machine Learning Approach for the Predicting Performance of SpMV on GPU. In *IEEE International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Systems (ICPADS)*. 894–901.
- Benatia, A., Ji, W., Wang, Y., and Shi, F. 2016b. Sparse Matrix Format Selection with Multiclass SVM for SpMV on GPU. In 45th International Conference on Parallel Processing (ICPP). 496–505.
- BHOWMICK, S., EIJKHOUT, V., FREUND, Y., FUENTES, E., AND KEYES, D. 2006. Application of machine learning in selecting sparse linear solvers. Tech. rep., Columbia University.
- Bhowmick, S., Toth, B., and Raghavan, P. 2009. Towards Low-Cost, High-Accuracy classifiers for linear solver selection. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Computational Science*. ICCS '09. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 463–472.
- BISCHL, B., MERSMANN, O., TRAUTMANN, H., AND PREUSS, M. 2012. Algorithm selection based on exploratory landscape analysis and Cost-Sensitive learning. In 14th International Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation. GECCO '12. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 313–320.
- BLET, L., NDIAYE, S., AND SOLNON, C. 2014. Experimental comparison of BTD and intelligent backtracking: Towards an automatic per-instance algorithm selector. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*. 190–206.
- Bontrager, P., Khalifa, A., Mendes, A., and Togelius, J. 2016. Matching Games and Algorithms for General Video Game Playing. In *Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Digital Entertainment (AIIDE)*.
- BORRETT, J. E. AND TSANG, E. P. K. 2001. A context for constraint satisfaction problem formulation selection. *Constraints* 6, 4, 299–327.
- BORRETT, J. E., TSANG, E. P. K., AND WALSH, N. R. 1996. Adaptive constraint satisfaction: The quickest first principle. In *ECAI*. 160–164.
- BOUGERET, M., DUTOT, P., GOLDMAN, A., NGOKO, Y., AND TRYSTRAM, D. 2009. Combining multiple heuristics on discrete resources. In *IEEE International Symposium on Parallel & Distributed Processing*. IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, 1–8.
- Brazdil, P. and Soares, C. 2000. A comparison of ranking methods for classification algorithm selection. In *Proceedings of the 11th European Conference on Machine Learning*. ECML '00. Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 63–74.
- Brazdil, P. B., Soares, C., and Da Costa, J. P. 2003. Ranking learning algorithms: Using IBL and meta-learning on accuracy and time results. *Mach. Learn.* 50, 3, 251–277.
- Brewer, E. A. 1995. High-level optimization via automated statistical modeling. In *Proceedings of the 5th ACM SIGPLAN Symposium on Principles and Practice of Parallel Programming*. PPOPP '95. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 80–91.
- BRIDGE, D., O'MAHONY, E., AND O'SULLIVAN, B. 2011. Case-Based reasoning for autonomous constraint solving. In *Autonomous Search*, Y. Hamadi, E. Monfroy, and F. Saubion, Eds. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 73–95.
- Brodley, C. E. 1993. Addressing the selective superiority problem: Automatic Algorithm/Model class selection. In ICML. 17–24.
- Burke, E. K., Hyde, M., Kendall, G., and Woodward, J. 2010. A Genetic Programming Hyper-Heuristic Approach for Evolving 2-D Strip Packing Heuristics. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation* 14, 6, 942–958.
- Burke, E. K., Kendall, G., Misir, M., and Özcan, E. 2012. Monte Carlo hyper-heuristics for examination timetabling. *Annals of Operations Research* 196, 1, 73–90.
- Burke, E. K., Kendall, G., and Soubeiga, E. 2003. A Tabu-Search Hyperheuristic for Timetabling and Rostering. *Journal of Heuristics 9*, 6, 451–470.
- Burke, E. K., Petrovic, S., and Qu, R. 2006. Case-based heuristic selection for timetabling problems.  $Journal\ of\ Scheduling\ 9,\ 2,\ 115-132.$
- CAHILL, E. 1994. Knowledge-based algorithm construction for real-world engineering PDEs. Mathematics and Computers in Simulation 36, 4-6, 389–400.

- Carbonell, J., Etzioni, O., Gil, Y., Joseph, R., Knoblock, C., Minton, S., and Veloso, M. 1991. PRODIGY: an integrated architecture for planning and learning. SIGART Bull. 2, 51–55.
- Carchrae, T. and Beck, J. C. 2004. Low-Knowledge algorithm control. In AAAI. 49–54.
- CARCHRAE, T. AND BECK, J. C. 2005. Applying machine learning to Low-Knowledge control of optimization algorithms. *Computational Intelligence 21*, 4, 372–387.
- CASEAU, Y., LABURTHE, F., AND SILVERSTEIN, G. 1999. A Meta-Heuristic factory for vehicle routing problems. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*. Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 144–158.
- Cauwet, M.-L., Liu, J., and Teytaud, O. 2014. Algorithm portfolios for noisy optimization: Compare solvers early. In  $LION\ 8$ .
- CAVAZOS, J. AND O'BOYLE, M. F. P. 2006. Method-specific Dynamic Compilation Using Logistic Regression. SIGPLAN Not. 41, 10, 229–240.
- Cenamor, I., De La Rosa, T., and Fernández, F. 2016. The IBaCoP Planning System: Instance-based Configured Portfolios. J. Artif. Int. Res. 56, 1, 657–691.
- Chen, K., Dou, Y., Lv, Q., and Liang, Z. 2017. Instance-specific algorithm selection via multi-output learning. Tsinghua Science and Technology 22, 2, 210–217.
- Chu, G. and Stuckey, P. J. 2015. Learning Value Heuristics for Constraint Programming. In *Integration of AI and OR Techniques in Constraint Programming*. 108–123.
- Chu, X., Cai, F., Cui, C., Hu, M., Li, L., and Qin, Q. 2019. Adaptive recommendation model using meta-learning for population-based algorithms. *Information Sciences* 476, 192–210.
- CICIRELLO, V. A. AND SMITH, S. F. 2005. The max k-armed bandit: A new model of exploration applied to search heuristic selection. In *Proceedings of the 20th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press, 1355–1361.
- Collautti, M., Malitsky, Y., Mehta, D., and O'Sullivan, B. 2013. SNNAP: solver-based nearest neighbor for algorithm portfolios. In *ECML/PKDD*. 435–450.
- COOK, D. J. AND VARNELL, R. C. 1997. Maximizing the benefits of parallel search using machine learning. In *Proceedings of the 14th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press, 559–564.
- COWLING, P., KENDALL, G., AND SOUBEIGA, E. 2001a. A Hyperheuristic Approach to Scheduling a Sales Summit. In *Practice and Theory of Automated Timetabling III*. Berlin, Heidelberg, 176–190.
- COWLING, P., KENDALL, G., AND SOUBEIGA, E. 2001b. A Parameter-Free hyperheuristic for scheduling a sales summit. In *Proceedings of the 4th Metaheuristic International Conference*. 127–131.
- Cui, C., Hu, M., Weir, J. D., and Wu, T. 2016a. A recommendation system for meta-modeling: A meta-learning based approach. *Expert Systems with Applications* 46, 33–44.
- Cui, C., Wu, T., Hu, M., Weir, J. D., and Li, X. 2016b. Short-term building energy model recommendation system: A meta-learning approach. *Applied Energy 172*, 251–263.
- Cunha, T., Soares, C., and de Carvalho, A. C. 2017a. Metalearning for Context-aware Filtering: Selection of Tensor Factorization Algorithms. In 11th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems. 14–22.
- Cunha, T., Soares, C., and de Carvalho, A. C. P. L. F. 2016. Selecting Collaborative Filtering Algorithms Using Metalearning. In ECML/PKDD. 393–409.
- Cunha, T., Soares, C., and de Carvalho, A. C. P. L. F. 2017b. Recommending Collaborative Filtering Algorithms Using Subsampling Landmarkers. In 20th International Conference on Discovery Science. Cham, 189–203.
- Cunha, T., Soares, C., and de Carvalho, A. C. P. L. F. 2018. Metalearning and Recommender Systems: A literature review and empirical study on the algorithm selection problem for Collaborative Filtering. *Information Sciences* 423, 128–144.
- DE LA ROSA, T., CELORRIO, S. J., AND BORRAJO, D. 2008. Learning relational decision trees for guiding heuristic planning. In *ICAPS*. 60–67.
- DE LA ROSA, T., GARCÍA OLAYA, A., AND BORRAJO, D. 2007a. Using cases utility for heuristic planning improvement. In Case-Based Reasoning Research and Development. 137–148.
- DE LA ROSA, T., GARCÍA OLAYA, A., AND BORRAJO, D. 2013. A case-based approach to heuristic planning. *Applied Intelligence 39*, 1, 184–201.
- DE LA ROSA, T., JIMÉNEZ, S., FUENTETAJA, R., AND BORRAJO, D. 2011. Scaling up heuristic planning with relational decision trees. J. Artif. Int. Res. 40, 1, 767–813.
- DE LA ROSA, T., OLAYA, A. G., AND BORRAJO, D. 2007b. Case-based recommendation of node ordering in planning. In *International Florida Artificial Intelligence Research Society Conference*. 393–398.

- DEGROOTE, H., BISCHL, B., KOTTHOFF, L., AND DE CAUSMACKER, P. 2016. Reinforcement Learning for Automatic Online Algorithm Selection an Empirical Study. In *ITAT*. Vol. 1649. CEUR Workshop Proceedings, 93–101.
- DEGROOTE, H., DE CAUSMAECKER, P., BISCHL, B., AND KOTTHOFF, L. 2018a. A Regression-Based Methodology for Online Algorithm Selection. In 11th International Symposium on Combinatorial Search (SoCS). 37–45.
- Degroote, H., González-Velarde, J. L., and Causmaecker, P. D. 2018b. Applying Algorithm Selection a Case Study for the Generalised Assignment Problem. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics 69*, 205–212.
- Demmel, J., Dongarra, J., Eijkhout, V., Fuentes, E., Petitet, A., Vuduc, R., Whaley, R. C., and Yelick, K. 2005. Self-Adapting linear algebra algorithms and software. *Proceedings of the IEEE 93*, 2, 293–312.
- Deng, L., Chen, W.-S., and Pan, B. 2018. Automatic Classifier Selection Based on Classification Complexity. In *Pattern Recognition and Computer Vision*. 292–303.
- Domshlak, C., Karpas, E., and Markovitch, S. 2010. To max or not to max: Online learning for speeding up optimal planning. In AAAI.
- Dutt, A. and Haritsa, J. R. 2016. Plan Bouquets: A Fragrant Approach to Robust Query Processing. *ACM Trans. Database Syst.* 41, 2, 11:1–11:37.
- Elmandouh, E. M. and Wassal, A. G. 2018. Guiding Formal Verification Orchestration Using Machine Learning Methods. ACM Trans. Des. Autom. Electron. Syst. 23, 5, 62:1–62:33.
- ELSAYED, S. A. M. AND MICHEL, L. 2010. Synthesis of search algorithms from high-level CP models. In Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Constraint Modelling and Reformulation.
- ELSAYED, S. A. M. AND MICHEL, L. 2011. Synthesis of search algorithms from high-level CP models. In 17th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 256–270.
- Epstein, S. and Petrovic, S. 2011. Learning a mixture of search heuristics. In *Autonomous Search*, Y. Hamadi, E. Monfroy, and F. Saubion, Eds. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 97–127.
- Epstein, S. L. and Freuder, E. C. 2001. Collaborative learning for constraint solving. In *Proceedings of the* 7th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming. Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 46–60.
- Epstein, S. L., Freuder, E. C., Wallace, R., Morozov, A., and Samuels, B. 2002. The adaptive constraint engine. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*. Springer, 525–540.
- Epstein, S. L., Wallace, R. J., Freuder, E. C., and Xingjian, L. 2005. Learning propagation policies. In Second International Workshop on Constraint Propagation and Implementation.
- EVERITT, T. AND HUTTER, M. 2015a. Analytical Results on the BFS vs. DFS Algorithm Selection Problem. Part I: Tree Search. In AI 2015: Advances in Artificial Intelligence. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 9457. Springer International Publishing, 157–165.
- EVERITT, T. AND HUTTER, M. 2015b. Analytical Results on the BFS vs. DFS Algorithm Selection Problem: Part II: Graph Search. In AI 2015: Advances in Artificial Intelligence. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 9457. Springer International Publishing, 166–178.
- EWALD, R., SCHULZ, R., AND UHRMACHER, A. M. 2010. Selecting simulation algorithm portfolios by genetic algorithms. In *IEEE Workshop on Principles of Advanced and Distributed Simulation*. PADS '10. IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, 1–9.
- FAWCETT, C., VALLATI, M., HUTTER, F., HOFFMANN, J., HOOS, H., AND LEYTON-BROWN, K. 2014. Improved features for runtime prediction of domain-independent planners. In *ICAPS*.
- Fialho, A., Schoenauer, M., and Sebag, M. 2010. Toward comparison-based adaptive operator selection. In 12th Annual Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation. 767–774.
- FINK, E. 1997. Statistical selection among Problem-Solving methods. Tech. Rep. CMU-CS-97-101, Carnegie Mellon University.
- FINK, E. 1998. How to solve it automatically: Selection among Problem-Solving methods. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Planning Systems*. AAAI Press, 128–136.
- FITZGERALD, T. AND O'SULLIVAN, B. 2017. Analysing the effect of candidate selection and instance ordering in a realtime algorithm configuration system. In *Symposium on Applied Computing*. 1003–1008.
- Fuentetaja, R., Barley, M., Borrajo, D., Douglas, J., Franco, S., and Riddle, P. 2018. Meta-Search Through the Space of Representations and Heuristics on a Problem by Problem Basis. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press, 6169–6176.
- Fukunaga, A. S. 2000. Genetic algorithm portfolios. In *IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*. Vol. 2. 1304–1311.

- FUKUNAGA, A. S. 2002. Automated discovery of composite SAT variable-selection heuristics. In 18th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence. American Association for Artificial Intelligence, Menlo Park, CA, USA, 641–648.
- Fukunaga, A. S. 2008. Automated discovery of local search heuristics for satisfiability testing. *Evol. Comput.* 16, 31–61.
- Gagliolo, M. and Schmidhuber, J. 2005. A neural network model for Inter-Problem adaptive online time allocation. In 15th International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks: Formal Models and Their Applications. Springer, 7–12.
- Gagliolo, M. and Schmidhuber, J. 2006. Learning dynamic algorithm portfolios. *Ann. Math. Artif. Intell.* 47, 3-4, 295–328.
- Gagliolo, M. and Schmidhuber, J. 2008. Towards distributed algorithm portfolios. In *International Symposium on Distributed Computing and Artificial Intelligence*, Advances in Soft Computing. Springer.
- Gagliolo, M. and Schmidhuber, J. 2010. Algorithm selection as a bandit problem with unbounded losses. In *Learning and Intelligent Optimization*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 6073. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 82–96.
- Gagliolo, M. and Schmidhuber, J. 2011. Algorithm portfolio selection as a bandit problem with unbounded losses. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence* 61, 2, 49–86.
- GAGLIOLO, M., ZHUMATIY, V., AND SCHMIDHUBER, J. 2004. Adaptive online time allocation to search algorithms. In ECML. Springer, 134–143.
- Garbajosa, A., de la Rosa, T., and Fuentetaja, R. 2014. Planning with ensembles of classifiers. In *ECAI*. 1007–1008.
- Garrido, P. and Riff, M. 2010. DVRP: a hard dynamic combinatorial optimisation problem tackled by an evolutionary hyper-heuristic. *Journal of Heuristics* 16, 795–834.
- Gebruers, C., Guerri, A., Hnich, B., and Milano, M. 2004. Making choices using structure at the instance level within a case based reasoning framework. In *CPAIOR*. 380–386.
- Gebruers, C., Hnich, B., Bridge, D., and Freuder, E. 2005. Using CBR to select solution strategies in constraint programming. In *Proc. of ICCBR-05*. 222–236.
- Gebser, M., Kaminski, R., Kaufmann, B., Schaub, T., Schneider, M. T., and Ziller, S. 2011. A portfolio solver for answer set programming: preliminary report. In 11th International Conference on Logic Programming and Nonmonotonic Reasoning. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 352–357.
- Gendreau, M. and Potvin, J.-Y. 2005. Metaheuristics in Combinatorial Optimization. Annals of Operations Research 140, 1, 189–213.
- Gent, I., Jefferson, C., Kotthoff, L., Miguel, I., Moore, N., Nightingale, P., and Petrie, K. 2010a. Learning when to use lazy learning in constraint solving. In 19th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence. 873–878.
- Gent, I., Kotthoff, L., Miguel, I., and Nightingale, P. 2010b. Machine learning for constraint solver design a case study for the all different constraint. In 3rd Workshop on Techniques for implementing Constraint Programming Systems (TRICS). 13–25.
- Georges, A., Gleixner, A., Gojic, G., Gottwald, R. L., Haley, D., Hendel, G., and Matejczyk, B. 2018. Feature-Based Algorithm Selection for Mixed Integer Programming. Tech. Rep. 18-17, ZIB.
- Gerevini, A. E., Saetti, A., and Vallati, M. 2009. An automatically configurable portfolio-based planner with macro-actions: PbP. In *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling.* 350–353.
- Geschwender, D. J., Karakashian, S., Woodward, R. J., Choueiry, B. Y., and Scott, S. D. 2013. Selecting the Appropriate Consistency Algorithm for CSPs Using Machine Learning Classifiers. In AAAI 1611–1612.
- GESCHWENDER, D. J., WOODWARD, R. J., CHOUEIRY, B. Y., AND SCOTT, S. D. 2016. A Portfolio Approach for Enforcing Minimality in a Tree Decomposition. In *Doctoral program of CP 2016*.
- GNAD, D., POSER, V., AND HOFFMANN, J. 2017. Beyond Forks: Finding and Ranking Star Factorings for Decoupled Search. In 26th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence. 4310–4316.
- Gomes, C. P. and Selman, B. 1997a. Algorithm portfolio design: Theory vs. practice. In UAI. 190–197.
- Gomes, C. P. and Selman, B. 1997b. Practical aspects of algorithm portfolio design. In *Proc. of 3rd ILOG International Users Meeting*.
- Gomes, C. P. and Selman, B. 2001. Algorithm portfolios. Artificial Intelligence 126, 1-2, 43-62.
- Gonard, F., Schoenauer, M., and Sebag, M. 2016. Algorithm Selector and Prescheduler in the ICON challenge. In *International Conference on Metaheuristics and Nature Inspired Computing (META'2016)*. Marrakech, Morocco.

- Graff, M. and Poli, R. 2010. Practical performance models of algorithms in evolutionary program induction and other domains. *Artificial Intelligence* 174, 15, 1254–1276.
- Gratch, J. and DeJong, G. 1992. COMPOSER: a probabilistic solution to the utility problem in Speed-Up learning. In AAAI. 235–240.
- Gudu, D., Hardt, M., and Streit, A. 2018. Combinatorial Auction Algorithm Selection for Cloud Resource Allocation Using Machine Learning. In *Euro-Par 2018: Parallel Processing*. 378–391.
- Guerri, A. and Milano, M. 2004. Learning techniques for automatic algorithm portfolio selection. In ECAI.~475-479.
- Guo, H. 2003. Algorithm selection for sorting and probabilistic inference: A machine Learning-Based approach. Ph.D. thesis, Kansas State University.
- Guo, H. and Hsu, W. H. 2004. A Learning-Based algorithm selection meta-reasoner for the Real-Time MPE problem. In Australian Conference on Artificial Intelligence. 307–318.
- HAIM, S. AND WALSH, T. 2009. Restart strategy selection using machine learning techniques. In Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 312–325.
- HE, Y., YUEN, S. Y., AND LOU, Y. 2017. A Bayesian Restarting Approach to Algorithm Selection. In Simulated Evolution and Learning. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 397–408.
- HELMERT, M., RÖGER, G., AND KARPAS, E. 2011. Fast downward stone soup: A baseline for building planner portfolios. In *ICAPS-2011 Workshop on Planning and Learning (PAL)*. 28–35.
- Hoffman, M., Brochu, E., and Freitas, N. d. 2011. Portfolio allocation for Bayesian optimization. In  $UAI.\ 327-336.$
- HOOS, H., LINDAUER, M., AND SCHAUB, T. 2014a. claspfolio 2: Advances in algorithm selection for answer set programming. TPLP 14, 4-5, 569–585.
- Hoos, H. H., Kaminski, R., Lindauer, M., and Schaub, T. 2014b. aspeed: Solver scheduling via answer set programming. *Theory and Practice of Logic Programming FirstView*, 1–26.
- Hoos, H. H., Peitl, T., Slivovsky, F., and Szeider, S. 2018. Portfolio-Based Algorithm Selection for Circuit QBFs. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*, 195–209.
- Horvitz, E., Ruan, Y., Gomes, C. P., Kautz, H. A., Selman, B., and Chickering, D. M. 2001. A bayesian approach to tackling hard computational problems. In *Proceedings of the 17th Conference in Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 235–244.
- HOUGH, P. D. AND WILLIAMS, P. J. 2006. Modern machine learning for automatic optimization algorithm selection. In *Proceedings of the INFORMS Artificial Intelligence and Data Mining Workshop*.
- Howe, A. E., Dahlman, E., Hansen, C., Scheetz, M., and von Mayrhauser, A. 1999. Exploiting competitive planner performance. In *Proceedings of the 5th European Conference on Planning*. Springer, 62–72.
- Hu, M., Wu, T., and Weir, J. D. 2012. An intelligent augmentation of particle swarm optimization with multiple adaptive methods. *Information Sciences* 213, 68–83.
- Huberman, B. A., Lukose, R. M., and Hogg, T. 1997. An economics approach to hard computational problems. *Science* 275, 5296, 51–54.
- Hurley, B., Kotthoff, L., Malitsky, Y., and O'Sullivan, B. 2014. Proteus: A hierarchical portfolio of solvers and transformations. In *CPAIOR*.
- Hurley, B. and O'Sullivan, B. 2012. Adaptation in a CBR-Based solver portfolio for the satisfiability problem. In *Case-Based Reasoning Research and Development*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 7466. 152–166.
- HUTTER, F., HAMADI, Y., HOOS, H. H., AND LEYTON-BROWN, K. 2006. Performance prediction and automated tuning of randomized and parametric algorithms. In *CP*. 213–228.
- HUTTER, F., HOOS, H. H., AND LEYTON-BROWN, K. 2013. Identifying key algorithm parameters and instance features using forward selection. In LION 7.
- HUTTER, F., Xu, L., Hoos, H. H., AND LEYTON-BROWN, K. 2012. Algorithm runtime prediction: The state of the art. CoRR abs/1211.0906.
- HUTTER, F., Xu, L., Hoos, H. H., and Leyton-Brown, K. 2014. Algorithm runtime prediction: Methods & evaluation. Artificial Intelligence 206, 0, 79–111.
- ILANY, L. AND GAL, Y. 2015. Algorithm selection in bilateral negotiation. Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems, 1–27.

- INALA, J. P., SINGH, R., AND SOLAR-LEZAMA, A. 2016. Synthesis of Domain Specific CNF Encoders for Bit-Vector Solvers. In 19th International Conference on Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing. 302–320.
- JANA, N. D., DAS, S., AND SIL, J. 2018. Landscape Characterization and Algorithms Selection for the PSP Problem. In A Metaheuristic Approach to Protein Structure Prediction: Algorithms and Insights from Fitness Landscape Analysis. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 87–150.
- Jankee, C., Verel, S., Derbel, B., and Fonlupt, C. 2018. On the Design of a Master-Worker Adaptive Algorithm Selection Framework. In *Artificial Evolution*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 1–15.
- Joshi, A., Weerawarana, S., Ramakrishnan, N., Houstis, E. N., and Rice, J. R. 1996. Neuro-Fuzzy support for Problem-Solving environments: A step toward automated solution of PDEs. *IEEE Comput. Sci. Eng.* 3, 1, 44–56.
- KADIOGLU, S., MALITSKY, Y., SABHARWAL, A., SAMULOWITZ, H., AND SELLMANN, M. 2011. Algorithm selection and scheduling. In 17th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming. 454–469.
- Kadioglu, S., Malitsky, Y., and Sellmann, M. 2012. Non-model-based search guidance for set partitioning problems. In AAAI.
- Kadioglu, S., Malitsky, Y., Sellmann, M., and Tierney, K. 2010. ISAC Instance-Specific algorithm configuration. In 19th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence. IOS Press, 751–756.
- KAMEL, M. S., ENRIGHT, W. H., AND MA, K. S. 1993. ODEXPERT: an expert system to select numerical solvers for initial value ODE systems. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.* 19, 1, 44–62.
- Kanda, J., Carvalho, A. d., Hruschka, E., Soares, C., and Brazdil, P. 2016. Meta-learning to select the best meta-heuristic for the Traveling Salesman Problem: A comparison of meta-features. *Neuro-computing* 205, 393–406.
- KANDA, J., DE CARVALHO, A., HRUSCHKA, E., AND SOARES, C. 2010. Using meta-learning to classify traveling salesman problems. In *Eleventh Brazilian Symposium on Neural Networks*. 73–78.
- Kanda, J., de Carvalho, A., Hruschka, E., and Soares, C. 2011. Selection of algorithms to solve traveling salesman problems using meta-learning. Int. J. Hybrid Intell. Syst. 8, 3, 117–128.
- Kanda, J., Soares, C., Hruschka, E., and de Carvalho, A. 2012. A meta-learning approach to select meta-heuristics for the traveling salesman problem using MLP-Based label ranking. In 19th International Conference on Neural Information Processing. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 488–495.
- KENDALL, G. AND LI, J. 2013. Competitive travelling salesmen problem: A hyper-heuristic approach. Journal of the Operational Research Society 64, 2, 208–216.
- KERSCHKE, P., KOTTHOFF, L., BOSSEK, J., HOOS, H. H., AND TRAUTMANN, H. 2018. Leveraging TSP Solver Complementarity through Machine Learning. *Evolutionary Computation* 26, 4, 597–620.
- Khali, E. B., Dilkina, B., Nemhauser, G. L., Ahmed, S., and Shao, Y. 2017. Learning to Run Heuristics in Tree Search. In 26th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence. 659–666.
- Khalil, E. B., Bodic, P. L., Song, L., Nemhauser, G. L., and Dilkina, B. 2016. Learning to Branch in Mixed Integer Programming. In 30th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence. 724–731.
- KING, J. E., JUPE, S. C. E., AND TAYLOR, P. C. 2014. Network state-based algorithm selection for power flow management using machine learning. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems PP*, 99, 1–8.
- King, J. E., Taylor, P. C., and Jupe, S. C. E. 2013. Autonomic control algorithm selection in decentralised power systems: A voltage control case study. In *International Conference and Exhibition on Electricity Distribution (CIRED 2013)*. 1–4.
- KIZILTAN, Z., MANDRIOLI, L., MAURO, J., AND O'SULLIVAN, B. 2011. A classification-based approach to managing a solver portfolio for CSPs. In 22nd Irish Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science
- Koitz, R. and Wotawa, F. 2016a. Exploiting Structural Metrics in FMEA-Based Abductive Diagnosis. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Workshop on Principles of Diagnosis (DX)*. 1–7.
- Koitz, R. and Wotawa, F. 2016b. Improving Abductive Diagnosis Through Structural Features: A Meta-Approach. In *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Defeasible and Ampliative Reasoning (DARe-16)*. Vol. Vol-1626. CEUR WS Proceedings.
- Koitz-Hristov, R. and Wotawa, F. 2018. Applying algorithm selection to abductive diagnostic reasoning. Applied Intelligence 48, 11, 3976–3994.
- KOTTHOFF, L. 2012. Hybrid regression-classification models for algorithm selection. In 20th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence. 480–485.
- Kotthoff, L. 2014. Ranking algorithms by performance. In LION~8.
- KOTTHOFF, L., GENT, I. P., AND MIGUEL, I. 2011. A preliminary evaluation of machine learning in algorithm selection for search problems. In 4th Annual Symposium on Combinatorial Search. 84–91.

- KOTTHOFF, L., GENT, I. P., AND MIGUEL, I. 2012. An evaluation of machine learning in algorithm selection for search problems. *AI Communications* 25, 3, 257–270.
- KOTTHOFF, L., KERSCHKE, P., HOOS, H., AND TRAUTMANN, H. 2015. Improving the state of the art in inexact TSP solving using per-instance algorithm selection. In LION 9.
- KOTTHOFF, L., McCreesh, C., and Solnon, C. 2016. Portfolios of Subgraph Isomorphism Algorithms. In LION 10.
- Kotthoff, L., Miguel, I., and Nightingale, P. 2010. Ensemble classification for constraint solver configuration. In 16th International Conference on Principles and Practices of Constraint Programming. 321–329.
- Kroer, C. and Malitsky, Y. 2011. Feature filtering for Instance-Specific algorithm configuration. In Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence.
- Kuefler, E. and Chen, T. 2008. On using reinforcement learning to solve sparse linear systems. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Computational Science*. ICCS '08. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 955–964.
- LAGOUDAKIS, M. G. AND LITTMAN, M. L. 2000. Algorithm selection using reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Machine Learning*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 511–518.
- LAGOUDAKIS, M. G. AND LITTMAN, M. L. 2001. Learning to select branching rules in the DPLL procedure for satisfiability. In *LICS/SAT*. 344–359.
- Langley, P. 1983a. Learning effective search heuristics. In IJCAI. 419–421.
- Langley, P. 1983b. Learning search strategies through discrimination. *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, 513–541.
- Leite, R. and Brazdil, P. 2010. Active testing strategy to predict the best classification algorithm via sampling and metalearning. In ECAI. 309–314.
- Leite, R., Brazdil, P., Vanschoren, J., and Queiros, F. 2010. Using active testing and Meta-Level information for selection of classification algorithms. In 3rd PlanLearn Workshop.
- LEYTON-BROWN, K., NUDELMAN, E., AND SHOHAM, Y. 2002. Learning the empirical hardness of optimization problems: The case of combinatorial auctions. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*. Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 556–572.
- LI, J. AND KENDALL, G. 2017. A Hyperheuristic Methodology to Generate Adaptive Strategies for Games. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Intelligence and AI in Games 9*, 1, 1–10.
- LIBERTO, G. D., KADIOGLU, S., LEO, K., AND MALITSKY, Y. 2016. DASH: Dynamic Approach for Switching Heuristics. European Journal of Operational Research 248, 3, 943–953.
- Lieder, F., Plunkett, D., Hamrick, J. B., Russell, S. J., Hay, N. J., and Griffiths, T. L. 2014. Algorithm selection by rational metareasoning as a model of human strategy selection. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. Vol. 27.
- LINDAUER, M. 2014. Algorithm selection, scheduling and configuration of boolean constraint solvers. Ph.D. thesis, University of Potsdam.
- LINDAUER, M., BERGDOLL, R.-D., AND HUTTER, F. 2016. An Empirical Study of Per-instance Algorithm Scheduling. In *LION 10*. 253–259.
- LINDAUER, M., HOOS, H. H., AND HUTTER, F. 2015a. From sequential algorithm selection to parallel portfolio selection. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning and Intelligent Optimization* (LION'15).
- LINDAUER, M., HOOS, H. H., HUTTER, F., AND SCHAUB, T. 2015b. AutoFolio: Algorithm configuration for algorithm selection. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth AAAI Workshops on Artificial Intelligence*.
- LINDAUER, M., HOOS, H. H., HUTTER, F., AND SCHAUB, T. 2015c. AutoFolio: An Automatically Configured Algorithm Selector. J. Artif. Int. Res. 53, 1, 745–778.
- LITTLE, J., GEBRUERS, C., BRIDGE, D., AND FREUDER, E. 2002. Capturing constraint programming experience: A Case-Based approach. In *Modref*.
- LOBJOIS, L. AND LEMAÎTRE, M. 1998. Branch and bound algorithm selection by performance prediction. In *Proceedings of the 15th National/10th Conference on Artificial Intelligence/Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence*. American Association for Artificial Intelligence, Menlo Park, CA, USA, 353–358.
- LOERA, J. A. D., HADDOCK, J., MA, A., AND NEEDELL, D. 2019. Data-driven Algorithm Selection and Parameter Tuning: Two Case studies in Optimization and Signal Processing. In *Data Science Meets Optimisation Workshop at IJCAI 2019*.
- LOTH, M., SEBAG, M., HAMADI, Y., AND SCHOENAUER, M. 2013. Bandit-based search for constraint programming. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*. 464–480.

- LOU, Y. AND YUEN, S. Y. 2017. A Sequential Learnable Evolutionary Algorithm with a Novel Knowledge Base Generation Method. In Simulated Evolution and Learning. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 51–61.
- LUO, Y., TAN, G., MO, Z., AND SUN, N. 2015. FAST: A Fast Stencil Autotuning Framework Based On An Optimal-solution Space Model. In 29th ACM on International Conference on Supercomputing. ACM, 187–196.
- LÓPEZ-CAMACHO, E., TERASHIMA-MARIN, H., ROSS, P., AND OCHOA, G. 2014. A Unified Hyper-heuristic Framework for Solving Bin Packing Problems. Expert Syst. Appl. 41, 15, 6876–6889.
- Malitsky, Y., Ashish, S., Samulowitz, H., and Sellmann, M. 2012. Parallel SAT solver selection and scheduling. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*.
- MALITSKY, Y., MEHTA, D., AND O'SULLIVAN, B. 2013a. Evolving instance specific algorithm configuration. In Symposium on Combinatorial Search.
- Malitsky, Y. and O'Sullivan, B. 2014. Latent features for algorithm selection. In SoCS.
- Malitsky, Y., O'Sullivan, B., Previti, A., and Marques-Silva, J. a. 2014a. Timeout-sensitive portfolio approach to enumerating minimal correction subsets for satisfiability problems. In *ECAI*. 1065–1066.
- Malitsky, Y., O'Sullivan, B., Previti, A., and Marques-Silva, J. a. 2014b. A portfolio approach to enumerating minimal correction subsets for satisfiability problems. In *CPAIOR*.
- Malitsky, Y., Sabharwal, A., Samulowitz, H., and Sellmann, M. 2011. Non-model-based algorithm portfolios for SAT. In *Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing (SAT)*. 369–370.
- MALITSKY, Y., SABHARWAL, A., SAMULOWITZ, H., AND SELLMANN, M. 2013b. Algorithm portfolios based on cost-sensitive hierarchical clustering. In *IJCAI*.
- Mantovani, R. G., Rossi, A. L. D., Alcobaça, E., Vanschoren, J., and Carvalho, A. C. P. L. F. d. 2019. A meta-learning recommender system for hyperparameter tuning: Predicting when tuning improves SVM classifiers. *Information Sciences* 501, 193–221.
- MARATEA, M., PULINA, L., AND RICCA, F. 2012. Applying machine learning techniques to ASP solving. In *ICLP*. 37–48.
- MARATEA, M., PULINA, L., AND RICCA, F. 2013a. Automated selection of grounding algorithm in answer set programming. In AI\*IA. 73–84.
- MARATEA, M., PULINA, L., AND RICCA, F. 2013b. A multi-engine approach to answer-set programming. Theory and Practice of Logic Programming, 1–28.
- Maturana, J., Fialho, A., Saubion, F., Schoenauer, M., Lardeux, F., and Sebag, M. 2011. Adaptive operator selection and management in evolutionary algorithms. In *Autonomous Search*, Y. Hamadi, E. Monfroy, and F. Saubion, Eds. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 161–189.
- Mehta, D., O'Sullivan, B., Kotthoff, L., and Malitsky, Y. 2013. Lazy branching for constraint satisfaction. In *ICTAI*.
- Mendes, A., Togelius, J., and Nealen, A. 2016. Hyper-heuristic General Video Game Playing. In *IEEE Computational Intelligence and Games*.
- MINOT, M., NDIAYE, S. N., AND SOLNON, C. 2016. Using CP and ILP with tree decomposition to solve the sum colouring problem. In *Doctoral program of CP 2016*.
- MINOT, M., NDIAYE, S. N., AND SOLNON, C. 2017. Combining CP and ILP in a tree decomposition of bounded height for the sum colouring problem. In *CPAIOR*.
- MINTON, S. 1993a. An analytic learning system for specializing heuristics. In *IJCAI'93: Proceedings of the* 13th International Joint Conference on Artifical Intelligence. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 922–928.
- MINTON, S. 1993b. Integrating heuristics for constraint satisfaction problems: A case study. In AAAI: Proceedings of the 11th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence. 120–126.
- MINTON, S. 1996. Automatically configuring constraint satisfaction programs: A case study. Constraints 1,
- MORAK, M., MUSLIU, N., PICHLER, R., RÜMMELE, S., AND WOLTRAN, S. 2012. Evaluating Tree-Decomposition Based Algorithms for Answer Set Programming. In 6th International Conference on Learning and Intelligent Optimization. Berlin, Heidelberg, 130–144.
- Muñoz, M. A., Kirley, M., and Halgamuge, S. K. 2012. A meta-learning prediction model of algorithm performance for continuous optimization problems. In *Parallel Problem Solving from Nature PPSN XII*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 7491. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 226–235.
- Musliu, N. and Schwengerer, M. 2013. Algorithm selection for the graph coloring problem. In *LION 7*. Misir, M. and Sebag, M. 2017. Alors: An algorithm recommender system. *Artificial Intelligence 244*, 291–314.

- NAREYEK, A. 2001. Choosing search heuristics by Non-Stationary reinforcement learning. In *Metaheuristics:* Computer Decision-Making. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 523–544.
- NIKOLIĆ, M., MARIĆ, F., AND JANIČIĆ, P. 2009. Instance-Based selection of policies for SAT solvers. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing*. SAT '09. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 326–340.
- NIKOLIĆ, M., MARINKOVIĆ, V., KOVÁCS, Z., AND JANIČIĆ, P. 2018. Portfolio theorem proving and prover runtime prediction for geometry. Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence.
- NIKOLIĆ, M., MARIĆ, F., AND JANIČIĆ, P. 2013. Simple algorithm portfolio for SAT. Artificial Intelligence Review 40, 4, 457–465.
- NUDELMAN, E., LEYTON-BROWN, K., HOOS, H. H., DEVKAR, A., AND SHOHAM, Y. 2004. Understanding random SAT: beyond the Clauses-to-Variables ratio. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Pro*gramming – CP 2004, M. Wallace, Ed. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 3258. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 438–452.
- OENTARYO, R. J., HANDOKO, D., AND LAU, H. C. 2015. Algorithm selection via ranking. In AAAI.
- O'Mahony, E., Hebrard, E., Holland, A., Nugent, C., and O'Sullivan, B. 2008. Using case-based reasoning in an algorithm portfolio for constraint solving. In *Proceedings of the 19th Irish Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science*.
- Palmieri, A., Régin, J.-C., and Schaus, P. 2016. Parallel Strategies Selection. In 22nd International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming. 388–404.
- Paparrizou, A. and Stergiou, K. 2012. Evaluating simple fully automated heuristics for adaptive constraint propagation. In *ICTAI*.
- Park, E., Cavazos, J., and Alvarez, M. A. 2012. Using Graph-based Program Characterization for Predictive Modeling. In 10th International Symposium on Code Generation and Optimization. 196–206.
- Pavelski, L. M., Delgado, M. R., and Kessaci, M.- . 2018a. Meta-Learning for Optimization: A Case Study on the Flowshop Problem Using Decision Trees. In *IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*. 1–8.
- Pavelski, L. M., Kessaci, M.-., and Delgado, M. R. 2018b. Recommending Meta-Heuristics and Configurations for the Flowshop Problem via Meta-Learning: Analysis and Design. In 7th Brazilian Conference on Intelligent Systems (BRACIS). 163–168.
- Peng, F., Tang, K., Chen, G., and Yao, X. 2010. Population-based algorithm portfolios for numerical optimization. *Evolutionary Computation, IEEE Transactions on 14*, 5, 782–800.
- Petrik, M. 2005. Statistically optimal combination of algorithms. In *Local Proceedings of SOFSEM 2005*. Petrovic, S. and Qu, R. 2002. Case-Based reasoning as a heuristic selector in Hyper-Heuristic for course timetabling problems. In *KES*. 336–340.
- PFAHRINGER, B., BENSUSAN, H., AND GIRAUD-CARRIER, C. G. 2000. Meta-Learning by landmarking various learning algorithms. In 17th International Conference on Machine Learning. ICML '00. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 743–750.
- PHILLIPS, M., NARAYANAN, V., AINE, S., AND LIKHACHEV, M. 2015. Efficient Search with an Ensemble of Heuristics. In Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence. 784–791.
- Pihera, J. and Musliu, N. 2014. Application of machine learning to algorithm selection for TSP. In *ICTAI*. Pillay, N. 2012. A Study of Evolutionary Algorithm Selection Hyper-Heuristics for the One-Dimensional Bin Packing Problem. *SACJ* 48, 31–40.
- Prudêncio, R. B., de Souto, M. C., and Ludermir, T. B. 2011. Selecting machine learning algorithms using the ranking meta-learning approach. In *Meta-Learning in Computational Intelligence*. Vol. 358. 225–243.
- PRUDÊNCIO, R. B. C. AND LUDERMIR, T. B. 2004. Meta-learning approaches to selecting time series models. Neurocomputing 61, 0, 121–137.
- Pulina, L. and Tacchella, A. 2007. A multi-engine solver for quantified boolean formulas. In *Proceedings* of the 13th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming. CP'07. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 574–589.
- Pulina, L. and Tacchella, A. 2009. A self-adaptive multi-engine solver for quantified boolean formulas. Constraints 14, 1, 80–116.
- Pulina, L. and Tacchella, A. 2010. AQME'10. JSAT 7, 2-3, 65-70.
- RAYNER, C., STURTEVANT, N., AND BOWLING, M. 2013. Subset selection of search heuristics. In *Proceedings* of the Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI). 637–643.
- ROBERTS, M. AND HOWE, A. E. 2006. Directing a portfolio with learning. In  $AAAI\ 2006\ Workshop\ on\ Learning\ for\ Search.$

- ROBERTS, M. AND HOWE, A. E. 2007. Learned models of performance for many planners. In *ICAPS 2007 Workshop AI Planning and Learning*.
- Roberts, M., Howe, A. E., Wilson, B., and desjardins, M. 2008. What makes planners predictable? In ICAPS. 288–295.
- Ruan, Y., Horvitz, E., and Kautz, H. A. 2002. Restart policies with dependence among runs: A dynamic programming approach. In *CP*, P. V. Hentenryck, Ed. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 2470. Springer, 573–586.
- Sabar, N. R. and Kendall, G. 2015. Population based monte carlo tree search hyper-heuristic for combinatorial optimization problems. *Information Sciences* 314, 0, 225–239.
- Sabharwal, A., Samulowitz, H., Sellmann, M., and Malitsky, Y. 2013. Boosting sequential solver portfolios: Knowledge sharing and accuracy prediction. In *LION 7*.
- SAGARNA, R., MENDIBURU, A., INZA, I., AND LOZANO, J. A. 2014. Assisting in search heuristics selection through multidimensional supervised classification: A case study on software testing. *Information Sciences* 258, 122–139.
- SAKKOUT, H. E., WALLACE, M. G., AND RICHARDS, E. B. 1996. An instance of adaptive constraint propagation. In *Proc. of CP96*. Springer Verlag, 164–178.
- SALCEDO-SANZ, S., MATÍAS-ROMÁN, J. M., JIMÉNEZ-FERNÁNDEZ, S., PORTILLA-FIGUERAS, A., AND CUADRA, L. 2014. An evolutionary-based hyper-heuristic approach for the Jawbreaker puzzle. Applied Intelligence 40, 3, 404–414.
- Samulowitz, H. and Memisevic, R. 2007. Learning to solve QBF. In *Proceedings of the 22nd National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press, 255–260.
- SAYAG, T., FINE, S., AND MANSOUR, Y. 2006. Combining multiple heuristics. In STACS. Vol. 3884. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 242–253.
- Seijen, H. v., Whiteson, S., and Kester, L. 2013. Efficient abstraction selection in reinforcement learning. Computational Intelligence.
- Seipp, J., Braun, M., Garimort, J., and Helmert, M. 2012. Learning portfolios of automatically tuned planners. In *ICAPS*. AAAI.
- Selvaraj, P. and Nagarajan, V. 2017. PCE-Based Path Computation Algorithm Selection Framework for the next Generation SDON. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology 95*, 11, 2370–2382.
- Shahriari, B., Wang, Z., Hoffman, M. W., Bouchard-Cote, A., and Freitas, N. d. 2014. An Entropy Search Portfolio for Bayesian Optimization. Tech. Rep. arXiv:1406.4625, University of Oxford.
- Shukla, N., Tiwari, M., and Ceglarek, D. 2012. Genetic-algorithms-based algorithm portfolio for inventory routing problem with stochastic demand. *International Journal of Production Research*, 1–20.
- SIDNEV, A. 2016. Hardware-Specific Selection the Most Fast-Running Software Components. In *Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing: ICA3PP 2016 Collocated Workshops.* Springer International Publishing, 354–364.
- SILLITO, J. 2000. Improvements to and estimating the cost of solving constraint satisfaction problems. M.S. thesis, University of Alberta.
- Silva, C. R., Moraes, R. O., Lelis, L. H. S., and Gal, K. 2018. Strategy Generation for Multi-Unit Real-Time Games via Voting. *IEEE Transactions on Games*, 1–10.
- SILVERTHORN, B. AND MIIKKULAINEN, R. 2010. Latent class models for algorithm portfolio methods. In *Proceedings of the 24th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence.*
- Simon, D., Cavazos, J., Wimmer, C., and Kulkarni, S. 2013. Automatic Construction of Inlining Heuristics Using Machine Learning. In *IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Code Generation and Optimization (CGO)*. 1–12.
- SMITH, M. R., MITCHELL, L., GIRAUD-CARRIER, C. G., AND MARTINEZ, T. R. 2014. Recommending learning algorithms and their associated hyperparameters. In *MetaSel*.
- SMITH, T. E. AND SETLIFF, D. E. 1992. Knowledge-based constraint-driven software synthesis. In *Knowledge-Based Software Engineering Conference*. 18–27.
- SMITH-MILES, K. AND HEMERT, J. 2011. Discovering the suitability of optimisation algorithms by learning from evolved instances. Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence 61, 2, 87–104.
- SMITH-MILES, K. A. 2008. Towards insightful algorithm selection for optimisation using Meta-Learning concepts. In *IEEE International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*. 4118–4124.
- SMITH-MILES, K. A., JAMES, R. J., GIFFIN, J. W., AND TU, Y. 2009. A knowledge discovery approach to understanding relationships between scheduling problem structure and heuristic performance. In *Learning and Intelligent Optimization*. Vol. 5851. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 89–103.

- Soares, C. and Brazdil, P. 2000. Zoomed ranking: Selection of classification algorithms based on relevant performance information. In *Principles of Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. 126–135.
- SOARES, C., BRAZDIL, P. B., AND KUBA, P. 2004. A Meta-Learning method to select the kernel width in support vector regression. *Mach. Learn.* 54, 3, 195–209.
- St-Pierre, D. L. and Teytaud, O. 2014. The nash and the bandit approaches for adversarial portfolios. In CIG.
- STAMATATOS, E. AND STERGIOU, K. 2009. Learning how to propagate using random probing. In *Proceedings* of the 6th International Conference on Integration of AI and OR Techniques in Constraint Programming for Combinatorial Optimization Problems. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 263–278.
- STEER, K. C., WIRTH, A., AND HALGAMUGE, S. K. 2008. Information theoretic classification of problems for metaheuristics. In *Simulated Evolution and Learning*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 5361. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 319–328.
- Stephenson, M. and Renz, J. 2017. Creating a Hyper-Agent for Solving Angry Birds Levels. In AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Digital Entertainment. 234–240.
- STERGIOU, K. 2008. Heuristics for dynamically adapting propagation. In ECAI. 485-489.
- Stergiou, K. 2009. Heuristics for dynamically adapting propagation in constraint satisfaction problems.  $AI\ Commun.\ 22,\ 3,\ 125-141.$
- Stern, D. H., Samulowitz, H., Herbrich, R., Graepel, T., Pulina, L., and Tacchella, A. 2010. Collaborative expert portfolio management. In AAAI. 179–184.
- Stojadinović, M. and Marić, F. 2014. Instance-based Selection of CSP Solvers using Short Training. In Fifth Pragmatics of SAT workshop.
- STREETER, M. J., GOLOVIN, D., AND SMITH, S. F. 2007. Combining multiple heuristics online. In *Proceedings of the 22nd National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press, 1197–1203.
- STREETER, M. J. AND SMITH, S. F. 2008. New techniques for algorithm portfolio design. In UAI. 519–527.
- Sukhija, N., Malone, B., Srivastava, S., Banicescu, I., and Ciorba, F. M. 2014. Portfolio-Based Selection of Robust Dynamic Loop Scheduling Algorithms Using Machine Learning. In *Parallel Distributed Processing Symposium Workshops (IPDPSW)*, 2014 IEEE International. 1638–1647.
- Sun, Q. and Pfahringer, B. 2013. Pairwise meta-rules for better meta-learning-based algorithm ranking. *Machine Learning* 93, 1, 141–161.
- Tang, K., Peng, F., Chen, G., and Yao, X. 2014. Population-based algorithm portfolios with automated constituent algorithms selection. *Information Sciences* 279, 0, 94–104.
- Terashima-Marín, H., Ross, P., and Valenzuela-Rendón, M. 1999. Evolution of constraint satisfaction strategies in examination timetabling. In *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference*. Morgan Kaufmann, 635–642.
- Tierney, K. and Malitsky, Y. 2015. An algorithm selection benchmark of the container pre-marshalling problem. In *Learning and Intelligent Optimization (LION) 2015*.
- Tolpin, D. and Shimony, S. E. 2011. Rational deployment of CSP heuristics. In IJCAI. 680–686.
- Tripoul, N., Halawa, H., Reza, T., Sanders, G., Pearce, R., and Ripeanu, M. 2018. There are Trillions of Little Forks in the Road. Choose Wisely! Estimating the Cost and Likelihood of Success of Constrained Walks to Optimize a Graph Pruning Pipeline. In *IEEE/ACM 8th Workshop on Irregular Applications: Architectures and Algorithms (IA3)*. 20–27.
- TSANG, E. P. K., BORRETT, J. E., AND KWAN, A. C. M. 1995. An attempt to map the performance of a range of algorithm and heuristic combinations. In *Proc. of AISB'95*. IOS Press, 203–216.
- Valenzano, R., Sturtevant, N., Schaeffer, J., and Buro, K. 2010. Simultaneously searching with multiple settings: An alternative to parameter tuning for suboptimal single-agent search algorithms. In *ICAPS*. 177–184.
- Valenzano, R. A., Nakhost, H., Müller, M., Schaeffer, J., and Sturtevant, N. R. 2012. ArvandHerd: parallel planning with a portfolio. *European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI)*, 786–791.
- VAN RIJN, J. N., HOLMES, G., PFAHRINGER, B., AND VANSCHOREN, J. 2014. Algorithm selection on data streams. In *Discovery Science*. 325–336.
- VEERAPEN, N., MATURANA, J., AND SAUBION, F. 2012. An Exploration-Exploitation Compromise-Based adaptive operator selection for local search. In 14th International Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation. GECCO '12. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1277–1284.
- VRAKAS, D., TSOUMAKAS, G., BASSILIADES, N., AND VLAHAVAS, I. 2003. Learning rules for adaptive planning. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling*. 82–91.

- WAGNER, M., FRIEDRICH, T., AND LINDAUER, M. 2017. Improving local search in a minimum vertex cover solver for classes of networks. In *IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*.
- Wang, G., Song, Q., Sun, H., Zhang, X., Xu, B., and Zhou, Y. 2013. A feature subset selection algorithm automatic recommendation method. J. Artif. Int. Res. 47, 1, 1–34.
- Wang, J. and Tropper, C. 2007. Optimizing time warp simulation with reinforcement learning techniques. In *Proceedings of the 39th conference on Winter simulation*. WSC '07. IEEE Press, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 577–584.
- Wang, W., Søndergaard, H., and Stuckey, P. J. 2018. Wombit: A Portfolio Bit-Vector Solver Using Word-Level Propagation. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*.
- Watson, J. 2003. Empirical modeling and analysis of local search algorithms for the job-shop scheduling problem. Ph.D. thesis, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA.
- WEERAWARANA, S., HOUSTIS, E. N., RICE, J. R., JOSHI, A., AND HOUSTIS, C. E. 1996. PYTHIA: a knowledge-based system to select scientific algorithms. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw. 22*, 4, 447–468.
- Wei, W., Li, C. M., and Zhang, H. 2008. Switching among Non-Weighting, clause weighting, and variable weighting in local search for SAT. In *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 313–326.
- WILSON, D., LEAKE, D., AND BRAMLEY, R. 2000. Case-Based recommender components for scientific Problem-Solving environments. In *Proc. of the 16th International Association for Mathematics and Computers in Simulation World Congress*.
- Wu, H. and van Beek, P. 2007. On portfolios for backtracking search in the presence of deadlines. In *Proceedings of the 19th IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence.* IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, 231–238.
- Xu, L., Hoos, H. H., and Leyton-Brown, K. 2007a. Hierarchical hardness models for SAT. In CP. 696–711.
- Xu, L., Hutter, F., Hoos, H. H., and Leyton-Brown, K. 2007b. SATzilla-07: the design and analysis of an algorithm portfolio for SAT. In *CP*. 712–727.
- Xu, L., Hutter, F., Hoos, H. H., and Leyton-Brown, K. 2008. SATzilla: portfolio-based algorithm selection for SAT. J. Artif. Intell. Res. (JAIR) 32, 565–606.
- Xu, L., Hutter, F., Hoos, H. H., and Leyton-Brown, K. 2011. Hydra-MIP: automated algorithm configuration and selection for mixed integer programming. In RCRA Workshop on Experimental Evaluation of Algorithms for Solving Problems with Combinatorial Explosion at the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI).
- Xu, Y., Stern, D., and Samulowitz, H. 2009. Learning adaptation to solve constraint satisfaction problems. In *Learning and Intelligent Optimization*.
- Yu, H., Dang, F., and Rauchwerger, L. 2002. Parallel reductions: An application of adaptive algorithm selection. In Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Languages and Compilers for Parallel Computing. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 188–202.
- Yu, H. AND RAUCHWERGER, L. 2006. An adaptive algorithm selection framework for reduction parallelization. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems 17, 10, 1084–1096.
- Yu, H., Zhang, D., and Rauchwerger, L. 2004. An adaptive algorithm selection framework. In Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Parallel Architectures and Compilation Techniques. IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, 278–289.
- Yuen, S. Y., Chow, C. K., and Zhang, X. 2013. Which algorithm should i choose at any point of the search: An evolutionary portfolio approach. In *Proceedings of the 15th Annual Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation*. 567–574.
- Yuen, S. Y., Lou, Y., and Zhang, X. 2018. Selecting evolutionary algorithms for black box design optimization problems. *Soft Computing*.
- Yuen, S. Y., Zhang, X., and Lou, Y. 2015. Sequential Learnable Evolutionary Algorithm: A Research Program. In 2015 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics. 2841–2848.
- Yun, X. and Epstein, S. L. 2012. Learning algorithm portfolios for parallel execution. In *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference Learning and Intelligent Optimisation LION*. Springer, 323–338.
- Zaharija, G., Mladenović, S., and Dunić, S. 2017. Cognitive Agents and Learning Problems. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications* 9, 3, 1–7.