




1.

Cpsc 221 Assignment 2  
e248 g2n8

Legend:  $\bigcirc \rightarrow$  number to swap down  
 $\triangle \rightarrow$  Proper heaps

①

for( $i = n/2 - 1$ ;  $i \geq 0$ ;  $i--$ ) swapDown( $i$ );



2.

```
int findPar(string s, i=0){
    if (s[i] == NULL){
        return;
    }
    if (s[i] == ')'){
        return 1 + findPar(s, i++);
    }
    if (s[i] == '('){
        return -1 + findPar(s, i++);
    }
    else{
        return findPar(s, i++);
    }
}
```

3.

(a)

```
// Sort an array A of 0's and 1's so the 0's come before the 1's
// using swapping. The size of the array is n.
int bitsort(int *A, int n) {
    int i=0;
    int j=n-1;
    while( i != j) {
        if( A[i] == 0 ) {
            i++;
        } else if( A[j] == 1) {
            j--;
        } else {
            std::swap( A[i], A[j] );
        }
    }
    return j;
}
```

(b)

Invariant:  $0 \leq i+j \leq (n-1)+(n-1)$

Proof: The iteration of the loop will always fall between the value of the size of

the (array - 1) all the way to the size of the ((array - 1) \* 2). Given that i starts at 0 and j starts at n-1, and that i increments when it finds a 0 and that j decrements when it finds a 1.

The largest case will be where the array is full of zeros. The iteration of i and j

will start with  $i = 0$  and  $j = n-1$  (loop invariant held since  $i + j \geq 0$ ) both  $i$  and  $j$  will get to the point where  $i = n-1$  and  $j = n-1$  (loop invariant held since  $i + j \leq 2(n-1)$ ).

The smallest case is when you have a full array of 1s. The values for  $i$  and  $j$  will start at 0 and  $n-1$  respectively (where the loop invariant is held since  $n-1$  is greater than 0 and smaller than  $2(n-1)$ ), and iterate down until  $i$  is still at 0 and  $j$  will be equal to 0. Thus the loop invariant is upheld since  $i+j = 0$  as required.

4.

If  $n \bmod 3 = 0$  //div by 3

If  $n \bmod 3 = 1$

If  $n \bmod 3 = 2$

3 cases, if  $n \bmod 3 = 0, 1, 2$

if  $n \bmod 3 \neq 0$  then alice can pick 1 or 2 respectively and be left with 0 remaining pieces for bob to pick up

if  $n \bmod 3 = 0$  however, then alice cannot pickup all 3 pieces, and is required to leave 1 or 2 pieces, meaning bob can take the remaining

base case  $n = 1$ , alice takes 1 and wins the game.

base case  $n = 2$ , alice takes 2 and wins the game.

base case  $n = 3$ , If alice takes 1, bob takes 2; If alice takes 2, bob takes 1

alice must always make the number of remaining links not divisible by 3

for a case where we have  $(3n)$  pieces, alice must keep the number of pieces at the end of each round as anything but  $3(n-1)$  otherwise she concedes the chance to win to bob.

If there are  $(3n + 1)$  or  $3(n + 2)$  pieces then alice must follow the same strategy of trying to reduce the number of pieces to either be  $3(n - k + 1)$  or  $+2$  for  $k$  rounds,

or have it reduce to only having only 1 or 2 pieces left on the last round.

Either way all three cases will resolve to one of the different base cases from above and she must play accordingly.

5.

a)

$m = 2^{16} - 1 = 0b1111\ 1111\ 1111\ 1111$

$h(s) = (s_0 + s_1 \cdot 256 + s_2 \cdot 256^2 + \dots) \bmod m$

Given 3 characters, and 1 byte per character (8 bits), we get  $256 \cdot 256 \cdot 256$  different possibilities, or 16 777 216.

Because we're using static hashing with  $m = 2^{16}-1$  we have a set number of possible hashes, or 65 535.

By the pigeon hole principle of there being over 256 times as many input strings as there are hashes, there must exist at least 257 hash collisions.

**b)**

Similar to the previous answer, anagrams of strings occasionally will return different numbers, which are different multiples of 256 but have the same remainder, that is,  $(a + (b*256) + (c*256^2) + (d*256^3))$  is different numbers when  $a = 65, b = 66, c = 67$ , and  $d = 68$  and  $a = 67, b = 68, c = 65, d = 66$  but when you take the mod 255 of them they return the same number, 11. The basic idea is to find different orderings of the numbers where the lowest denomination is the most important when changing the result of the mod. For example, if we take out the A term, and only have b,c,d and we use the numbers 2,3,4 we'll get the same result no matter the positioning of the numbers.

We'll therefore want to be careful of what we choose our least significant bit to be when we're trying to calculate permutations of strings which has to be the same value.

IE) ABCD, ACDB, ACBD, ADBC, ADCD, ABDC all are collisions as are BCDA, BCAD, BDAC, BDCA, BADC, BACD, BCAD, etc.

Used wolfram alpha to help compute different hashes according to the formula, also consulted the notes/wiki page on hashing