References

[1] Micah Altman, Alexandra Wood, David O'Brien, Salil Vadhan, and Urs Gasser. Towards a Modern Approach to Privacy-Aware Government Data Releases. *Berkeley Technology and Law Journal*, 1967, 2015. tex.ids: altman2015towards. URL: `https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=2779266`, `https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2779266`.

[2] Margo J. Anderson. *The American census: a social history*. Yale University Press, New Haven, second edition edition, 2015. OCLC: ocn904081530.

[3] Andrés F. Barrientos, Alexander Bolton, Tom Balmat, Jerome P. Reiter, John M. de Figueiredo, Ashwin Machanavajjhala, Yan Chen, Charley Kneifel, and Mark DeLong. Providing Access to Confidential Research Data Through Synthesis and Verification: An Application to Data on Employees of the U.S. Federal Government. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, June 2018. arXiv: 1705.07872. URL: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1705.07872`.

[4] BC Ministry of Citizens Services. Privacy, Security and the Five Safes Model. Last Modified: 2019-07-30 Publisher: Province of British Columbia. URL: `https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/data/about-data-management/data-innovation-program/privacy-security`.

[5] R.F. Currie and S. Fortin. *Social Statistics Matter: A History of the Canadian RDC Network*. Canadian Research Data Centre Network = Réseau canadien des Centres de données de recherche, 2015. tex.ids: Currie2015. URL: `http://rdc-cdr.ca/sites/default/files/social-statistics-matter-crdcn-history.pdf`.

[6] Tanvi Desai, Felix Ritchie, and Richard Welpton. Five Safes: designing data access for research. Working Paper, University of the West of England, 2016. URL: `http://eprints.uwe.ac.uk/28124`.

[7] Cynthia Dwork and Jonathan Ullman. The Fienberg Problem: How to Allow Human Interactive Data Analysis in the Age of Differential Privacy. *Journal of Privacy and Confidentiality*, 8(1), December 2018. URL: `https://journalprivacyconfidentiality.org/index.php/jpc/article/view/687`, `https://doi.org/10.29012/jpc.687`.

[8] E-Government Act, 2002.

[9] FCSM. Report on Statistical Disclosure Limitation Methodology. Technical Report 22 (Second version, 2005), {Federal Committee on Statistical Methodology}, 2005. URL: `https://s3.amazonaws.com/sitesusa/wp-content/uploads/sites/242/2014/04/spwp22.pdf`.

[10] General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), 2016. URL: `https://gdpr-info.eu/art-17-gdpr/`.

[11] Robert M. Groves, Floyd J. Fowler Jr., Mick P. Couper, James M. Lepkowski, Eleanor Singer, and Roger Tourangeau. *Survey methodology*. Wiley series in survey methodology. Wiley, Hoboken, N.J, 2nd ed edition, 2009. OCLC: ocn302189175.

[12] Harvard University. Data Security Levels - Research Data Examples. URL: `https://security.harvard.edu/data-security-levels-research-data-examples`.

[13] IDAN Network. IDAN Network - Accreditation. URL: `https://perma.cc/75HV-SZVG`.

[14] Xiao-Li Meng. Multiple-imputation inferences with uncongenial sources of input. *Statistical Science*, 9(4):538–558, 1994. URL: `http://www.jstor.org/stable/2246252`.

[15] National Center for Education Statistics. Appendix J: Restricted-use Data Security Plan Form. Publisher: National Center for Education Statistics. URL: `https://nces.ed.gov/statprog/rudman/j.asp`.

[16] Steven Ruggles, Sarah Flood, Sophia Foster, Ronald Goeken, Jose Pacas, Megan Schouweiler, and Matthew Sobek. IPUMS USA: Version 11.0, 2021. Version Number: 11.0 Type: dataset. URL: `https://usa.ipums.org`, `https://doi.org/10.18128/D010.V11.0`.

[17] Jim Shen and Lars Vilhuber. Physically Protecting Sensitive Data. In Shawn Cole, Iqbal Dhaliwal, Anja Sautmann, and Lars Vilhuber, editors, *Handbook on Using Administrative Data for Research and Evidence-based Policy*, pages 37–84. Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab, January 2021. URL: `https://admindatahandbook.mit.edu/print/v1.0/handbook_ch2_Physical-protection.pdf`, `https://doi.org/10.31485/admindatahandbook.1.0`.

[18] Roxane SILBERMAN. Developing access to confidential data in france: results and new challenges. *Journal of Privacy and Confidentiality*, 11(2), Sep. 2021. URL: `https://journalprivacyconfidentiality.org/index.php/jpc/article/view/788`, `https://doi.org/10.29012/jpc.788`.

[19] S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. S&P 500 [SP500]. Technical report, FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis [distributor], June 2020. URL: `https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/SP500`.

[20] Statistics Canada. Statistics Canada Open Licence, February 2012. Last Modified: 2021-10-29. URL: `https://www.statcan.gc.ca/en/reference/licence`.

[21] Statistics Canada. Information on Statistics Canada Privacy Framework. Technical report, November 2018. URL: `https://sencanada.ca/content/sen/committee/421/BANC/Briefs/BANC_SS-1_REF_StatisticsCanada_e.pdf`.

[22] Statistics Canada. Real Time Remote Access, June 2021. URL: `https://www.statcan.gc.ca/en/microdata/rtra`.

[23] UK Government. Open Government Licence for public sector information V3, 2014. URL: `https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/`.

[24] UK Government. Digital Economy Act 2017: Research Code of Practice and Accreditation Criteria, February 2020. URL: `https://perma.cc/Q8J8-KUPX`.

[25] US Census Bureau. Federal Law, October 2021. Section: Government. URL: `https://www.census.gov/about/policies/privacy/data_stewardship/federal_law.html`.

[26] U.s. code, title 13. URL: `https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/13/214`.

[27] Lars Vilhuber. Methods for Protecting the Confidentiality of Firm-Level Data: Issues and Solutions. Technical Report 19, Labor Dynamics Institute, March 2013. URL: `https://hdl.handle.net/1813/89089`.

[28] Lars Vilhuber and John Abowd. Usage and outcomes of the Synthetic Data Server. Presentation, Labor Dynamics Institute, 2016. URL: `http://hdl.handle.net/1813/43883`.

[29] Daniel H Weinberg, John M Abowd, Philip M Steel, Laura Zayatz, and Sandra K Rowland. Access Methods for United States Microdata. Technical Report 07-25, Center for Economic Studies, U.S. Census Bureau, September 2007. URL: `https://ideas.repec.org/p/cen/wpaper/07-25.html`, `https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1015374`.