

# Exit\_Questionnaire\_Draft

Please fill out the form to the best of your abilities.

\* Indicates required question

---

1. NetID or email \*

Please enter your NetID (if Cornell) or email (if not Cornell)

---

2. DOI \*

What is the DOI (not the URL!) of the article you reviewed?

---

3. Replication\_Success \*

Did you successfully replicate the article?

*Mark only one oval.*

☐ Yes      *Skip to question 7*

☐ No      *Skip to question 4*

☐ Partial      *Skip to question 7*

Main Issue

## 4. Main\_Issue \*

What was the main issue preventing the replication of the article?

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ Confidential Data      *Skip to question 5*
- ☐ Missing Code
- ☐ Code Error
- ☐ Corrupted Data
- ☐ Do not have access to software
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### Confidential Data Classification

These categories can be somewhat subjective. If you are not sure how to categorize, leave it blank and we will discuss at the next meeting.

## 5. Data\_Type

Please select the type of confidential data used by the authors.

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ administrative, national
- ☐ administrative, regional
- ☐ administrative, local
- ☐ private, commercial entity
- ☐ private, other

## 6. Data\_Access\_Type

Please select the type of confidential data used by the authors.

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ formal access
- ☐ informal access, commitment
- ☐ informal access, no commitment
- ☐ no information

*Skip to question 13*

## Original Program

## 7. Code\_Success \*

Did you manage to eventually get all the programs to run successfully?

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

## 8. Program\_Run\_Clean \*

Did the programs run "as is" without needing to make ANY changes?

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ Yes, no changes were necessary. *Skip to question 11*
- ☐ No, I needed to make changes in the code. *Skip to question 9*

## Changes to Program Code

## 9. Directory\_Change \*

Were the changes restricted to simply redirecting file/folder paths?

*Mark only one oval.*

☐ Yes

☐ No, the changes to the code were more involved.

## 10. Code\_Changes

If the changes were more involved, briefly describe what changes you had to make.

---

---

---

---

---

### Program vs Paper Discrepancies

## 11. Output\_Accuracy

Do the numbers produced by your program exactly match their corresponding values in the paper?

*Mark only one oval.*

☐ Yes

☐ No, some of the numbers are different.

## 12. Discrepancy\_Location

If there are values that do not match, please list their location (ie. table number, column, page).

---

---

---

---

---

## Software and Data Classification

## 13. Software\_Type \*

Please select the relevant software used by the authors.

*Check all that apply.*

☐ Stata

☐ Matlab

☐ Python

☐ R

☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## 14. Data\_Type \*

Please select the format of the provided data

*Check all that apply.*

☐ csv

☐ Excel

☐ txt

☐ Stata

☐ Matlab

☐ R

☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## Software Issues

### 15. Software\_Extensions

Did you have to load any software extensions? (Eg. In matlab, the optimization toolkit is required to run the fmincon command. In Stata, outreg2 needs to be installed before running the command.)

*Mark only one oval.*

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ DK

### 16. Software\_Version

Did the authors use a different version of software (ie. Stata11 instead of Stata13)?

*Mark only one oval.*

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ DK

### 17. What Software did you use for the replication (including the version)? \*

---

---

---

---

---

## 18. First\_Replicator

Are you the first replicator?

*Mark only one oval.*

☐ Yes      *Skip to question 22*

☐ No

### Previous Replicator Questions

## 19. Common\_Issues

Did you encounter the same issues as the previous replicator?

*Mark only one oval.*

☐ Yes

☐ No

## 20. Overcome\_Issues

Were you able to overcome any problems faced by the previous replicator?

*Mark only one oval.*

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ N/A. The previous replicator had no issues.

21. Replication\_Helpfulness

Describe the usefulness of the previous replicator's notes. Did you add to them?

---

---

---

---

---

Original Author

22. How complete was the original author's readme/generic instruction file?

*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ Complete. Provided all information required to run the programs.
- ☐ Incomplete. Was ambiguous or left out crucial steps.
- ☐ No readme file was provided.

23. What actions could the authors have made to make the replication exercise easier? (Eg. correctly point to folder names)

---

---

---

---

---

Costs (optional)

If costs were indicated or found for (a) access to data (b) purchase of data (c) software you would have needed to buy, or any other monetary costs, please fill in the following two questions:



24. Cost of data access (in approximate \$)

---

25. Cost of software purchase (in approximate \$)

---

### Overall Rating

26. How difficult do you think the replication exercise was? \*

*Mark only one oval.*

1   2   3   4   5

---

easi ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ hardest

---

27. Comments on difficulty

If this differs from the initial assessment, why?

---

---

---

---

---

## 28. GeneralNotes

General notes on this article/replication, that wasn't captured by the questions

---

---

---

---

---

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms