

# Snapshot S2014 availability

*Lars Vilhuber*

- Missing Completely at Random
- Comparing sets of states
- Parameters
- Some Technical Stuff
- Results
- Appendix: Full list of state MOUs and option chosen as of 12-10-2015

Users of the LEHD data in the Federal Statistical Research Data Centers (FSRDC) can only access data from states that have granted non-Census researchers access to the data. There are, in short, two options that a state can choose: the state can delegate the approval process to the Census Bureau, which will grant access to qualified researchers on qualified research projects (option A); or it can itself review and approve projects that are submitted through the FSRDC project system (option B). Thus, researchers will automatically get access to states with option A if their project is approved by the Census Bureau, and may later obtain access to states' data that chose option B.

We obtained a list of the state of the MOUs as of

**12-10-2015.**

There are still a few states at this time that have an older form of the MOU, and for which we cannot easily deduce the option chosen. Here, we will use the later versions (version 2) that have selected "Option A".

Of the 53 states with a MOU on file as of 12-10-2015 with the Census Bureau, 14 have chosen Option A:

Option	Freq
A	14
B	29
NA	10

## Missing Completely at Random

While the number of states that have not agreed to Option A may seem small, from a statistical point of view, they have one important feature: the decision to participate ( $M$ ) is (most likely) not correlated with any observable characteristic ( $Y$ ) of the non-participating state (Statistical Analysis with Missing Data, 2nd edition, Roderick J. A. Little and Donald B. Rubin, New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002):

$$p(M|Y, \theta, \psi) = p(M|\psi)$$

where  $\theta$  are parameters associated with the data generating process, and  $\psi$  are parameters associated with the decision to participate in Option A.

## Comparing sets of states

To assess the claim, we are going to use public-use QWI data, drawn from <http://lehd.ces.census.gov/pub/> (<http://lehd.ces.census.gov/pub/>), to assess how comparable the set of states having chosen Option A are, compared to the remaining states, based on a variety of variables available.

Users who wish to consider a different variable should feel free to clone this git repository, and change parameters of interest.

## Parameters

```
# common quarter to look at
# this could be deduced from metadata, here we hard-code it
qwivintage <- "latest_release"
qwiyear    <- 2014
qwiquarter <- 1
# Read the version file
version.url <- url(paste(urlbase,"ak",qwivintage,"DVD-sa_f","version_sa_f.txt",sep="/"))
version <- read.csv(version.url,header = FALSE,sep = ",",as.is = TRUE)
names(version) <- c("type","state","fips","range","schema","release","vintage")
write.csv(x = version[,c("type","schema","release")],file="metadata.csv")
```

## Some Technical Stuff

We first define (source) a function `download_qwi.R` (`download_qwi.R`) to download and subset QWI CSV files.

```
source("download_qwi.R",echo = TRUE)
```

```
##
## > download_qwi <- function(state) {
## +   qwifile <- paste("qwi", tolower(state), "sa_f_gs_ns_oslp_u",
## +   sep = "_")
## +   con <- gzcon(url(pa .... [TRUNCATED]
```

We then cycle through all the states and download the relevant file.

```
download.date <- Sys.Date()
time.qwi <- system.time(for (x in qwistates) {
  eval(parse(text=paste("qwi_",tolower(x)," <- download_qwi(\"",x,"\")",sep =
  "")))
})
```

The above code can take a while, in this example and on my computer, it ran for 22 minutes.

Now that we have the files, we collate them all into a single file:

```
for (x in qwistates) { eval(parse(text=paste("qwi_",tolower(x),"$state = \"",
x,"\"",sep = "")))}
for (x in qwistates[1]) { eval(parse(text=paste("all <- qwi_",tolower(x),sep =
"")))}
for (x in qwistates[-1]) { eval(parse(text=paste("all <- rbind(all,qwi_",tolower
(x),"",sep = "")))}
```

and merge on the indicators for MOU status:

```
allmous <- merge(all,mous,by.x="geography",by.y = "fips",all.x = TRUE)
size <- allmous[allmous$ind_level=="A",]
industry <- allmous[allmous$industry != "00",]
save(industry,file="industry.Rdata")
```

Users who want to consider different variables might change the following option:


```
qwi_names=names(industry)[17:48]
usevar <- qwi_names[1]
usevar
```

```
## [1] "Emp"
```


## Results

The following results were based on data downloaded on 2016-04-15, at which point at least one of the downloaded states was from release R2016Q1 (we downloaded from latest\_release).


The industry distribution of **Emp** by chosen option thus looks like this:



For the industry distribution of **SepBeg**, the distribution looks like this:



For the industry distribution of **Payroll**, the distribution looks like this:



Additional variables (see the [http://lehd.ces.census.gov/data/schema/V4.0.4/lehd\\_public\\_use\\_schema.html](http://lehd.ces.census.gov/data/schema/V4.0.4/lehd_public_use_schema.html) (LEHD%20Schema) for names) can be easily added to the Rmd source file.

## Appendix: Full list of state MOUs and option chosen as of 12-10-2015

	fips	Abbr	Name	Option
1	1	AL	Alabama	B
2	2	AK	Alaska	NA
3	4	AZ	Arizona	A
4	5	AR	Arkansas	A
5	6	CA	California	B
6	8	CO	Colorado	B
7	9	CT	Connecticut	B

8	10	DE	Delaware	A
9	11	DC	District of Columbia	A
10	12	FL	Florida	B
11	13	GA	Georgia	B
12	15	Hi	Hawaii	B
13	16	ID	Idaho	B
14	17	IL	Illinois	A
15	18	IN	Indiana	A
16	19	IA	Iowa	A
17	20	KS	Kansas	A
18	21	KY	Kentucky	B
19	22	LA	Louisiana	A
20	23	ME	Maine	A
21	24	MD	Maryland	A
22	25	MA	Massachusetts	B
23	26	MI	Michigan	NA
24	27	MN	Minnesota	B
25	28	MS	Mississippi	NA
26	29	MO	Missouri	B
27	30	MT	Montana	B
28	31	NE	Nebraska	NA
29	32	NV	Nevada	A
30	33	NH	New Hampshire	B
31	34	NJ	New Jersey	B
32	35	NM	New Mexico	B
33	36	NY	New York	NA
34	37	NC	North Carolina	B
35	38	ND	North Dakota	B
36	39	OH	Ohio	NA

37	40 OK	Oklahoma	A
38	41 OR	Oregon	B
39	42 PA	Pennsylvania	B
40	44 RI	Rhode Island	B
41	45 SC	South Carolina	B
42	46 SD	South Dakota	NA
43	47 TN	Tennessee	A
44	48 TX	Texas	B
45	49 UT	Utah	B
46	50 VT	Vermont	B
47	51 VA	Virginia	B
48	53 WA	Washington	B
49	54 WV	West Virginia	B
50	55 WI	Wisconsin	B
51	56 WY	Wyoming	NA
53	72 PR	Puerto Rico	NA
54	78 VI	Virgin Islands	NA