

# Evaluate the performance of the heuristic

---

For each of your three custom heuristic functions, evaluate the performance of the heuristic using the included tournament.py script. Then write up a brief summary of your results, describing the performance of the agent using the different heuristic functions verbally and using appropriate visualizations.

## rubric

---

Have at least three (3) evaluation heuristics besides `null_score()`, `open_move_score()`, and `improved_score()` been implemented and analyzed?

At least three evaluation functions are implemented and analyzed.

Has the performance of agents against the testing agents been adequately described?

A brief report lists (using a table and any appropriate visualizations) and verbally describes the performance of agents using the implemented evaluation functions. Performance data includes results from tournament.py comparing (at a minimum) the best performing student heuristic against the ID\_Improved agent.

Does the report make a recommendation about the best evaluation function, and is this recommendation adequately justified?

The report makes a recommendation about which evaluation function should be used and justifies the recommendation with at least three reasons supported by the data.

## Visualizations

---

python tournament.py

This script evaluates the performance of the custom\_score evaluation function against a baseline agent using alpha-beta search and iterative deepening (ID) called `AB_Improved`. The three `AB_Custom` agents use ID and alpha-beta search with the custom\_score functions defined in game\_agent.py.

\*\*\*\*\*  
Playing Matches  
\*\*\*\*\*

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	6	4	8	2	10	0	9	1
2	MM_Open	7	3	6	4	5	5	7	3
3	MM_Center	7	3	7	3	9	1	9	1
4	MM_Improved	5	5	8	2	5	5	7	3
5	AB_Open	6	4	5	5	6	4	5	5
6	AB_Center	6	4	7	3	6	4	5	5
7	AB_Improved	5	5	7	3	3	7	4	6
Win Rate:		60.0%		68.6%		62.9%		65.7%	

Your agents forfeited 249.0 games while there were still legal moves available to play.

## custom\_score

The custom\_score function is a function inspired by AB\_Improved.  
This function is a function weighted from the point of view that IsolationGame weights more importantly to restrict the movement of the opponent player rather than simply adding the number that can move to the players.

## custom\_score\_2

This function guides you to a location that you can select to AB\_Improved, where the other party is not selectable. It aims to obtain new indices by adding self or the range where the other party can select.

## custom\_score\_3

For custom\_3, it is a function similar to 2, except that moves\_openent\_unique is used instead of moves\_player\_unique

## Which evaluation function should be use

I came to the conclusion that costum\_function should be used from the result of tournament.py. The main reasons are as follows.

①AB\_Custom is the best score.

②AB\_Custom is more than a draw in all aspects. The number that won the game is 6, the draw is 1. This result is the best of all tried functions(AB\_open only draw )

③AB\_Custom\_2 AB\_Custom\_3 is strong in a certain field, but it is not guiding overall good performance.

④AB\_Custom demonstrates its strength in many fields compared to AB\_Improved

⑤AB\_Custom is as simple as AB\_Improved