2NP for HT.

I) lhstro.

1905: D. Hillmit «

 $f(fd\sigma) m = \int \frac{f(n)}{n-n} d\sigma(n)$.

1920:5. M. Riesz

11 H(+ b) 11 2(0) & C 11 + 11 2(0). 1 < p < 00

σε { H'| , H'| R, Σ Su}.

3Mb

11 H(fdn) 11 P(n) < < 11 + 11 P(n).

wlog. Maxa.

(=> 11 HER dm) || LE(m) < C || LE(m) = C || E|| LE(velda).

4 dp = 4 ds. 4 = ds dp.

Je, zwr 2wr

2009: 11 H(fdo)11 (cr) & colf11,000

1970,2 IMB 11 H(fdm) 11 (2(w) = 11 + 11 (2(w). $\sigma = \omega^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ $= \psi \quad \rho^{-2}.$ (+) (+dr) 1/2(w) = 1/41/2(6) E) (X) for Maximul M in place of H. Classical 2WP: 11 HIRdon 1 12(a) < C 11-E11 12(a). But weall trub. 180 du << 1. But in moder DWP, bit nue gend net can allow for mayner measures p=1 well melnotood, had ongthin else is quite orpor. (w, 5 cc dn, 80, really) 1 H(far) 112(w) & clif1/2(0)

→ [w,] A= Puf <u>(I)</u> (<u>II)</u> (<u>W</u>)

2

tyrriv <u>J[] 151.</u> & C. J, J rdj, egral leigh. ONW(INT) & C (INT) 2 way & interpret

[w,o] ~ Helber hold.

[w,] = mp P(o, I). P(w, I) < 0.

P(v,I) = SR 111+(m-c,7 d5(x).

A. Ser Sonson's Conjective, disproven by.

Sut if we tale

hy (III II ordn) (III. S. w. dn) = Sofiwar.

~>1. Neugbaum

Testing paradigm Work inequality of all fretiens, but enough to. lunider it fin a subcollectur. all fer >. If for all feice). Roots: 1980 E Sunger School 2W1. for several positive T David-James TA TECZO 1 tom. T: 2 > 2 > 1 TIII2 < C|112. 11 TII 1/2 5 C 1212 F= {1: I interval. Naponer-Treil-Volley~ 2000 7. Notred & Lacey-Sanger- Unarte-Tuero: ~ 2010 => Pirobul auditii! Mm (L-S-Sher-U-7) σ, ω Radon. w/o comon atons: σ({a's) ω({a's) = 0. The TFAE $\langle = \rangle$ | || $H(1_{I}\sigma)||_{L^{2}(\sigma)} \leq C \sigma |I|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. (a)

Actually. (bold Wilhut Trush. 2WP. (another way of stating the this). T.H. ration 5, w Radon Supplementing.

Supplementing. H-7. hdd . <=> [e, w] * < 00

(5)