Stability of quadratic estimates and manifolds with non-smooth metrics

Lashi Bandara

Centre for Mathematics and its Applications Australian National University

19 November 2013

Pure Mathematics Seminar Monash University

Let $\mathcal M$ be a smooth, complete Riemannian manifold with metric g, Levi-Civita connection ∇ , and volume measure $d\mu$.

Let $\mathcal M$ be a smooth, complete Riemannian manifold with metric g, Levi-Civita connection ∇ , and volume measure $d\mu$.

Write $\operatorname{div} = -\nabla^*$ in L^2 and let $S = (I, \nabla)$.

Let $\mathcal M$ be a smooth, complete Riemannian manifold with metric g, Levi-Civita connection ∇ , and volume measure $d\mu$.

Write $\operatorname{div} = -\nabla^*$ in L^2 and let $S = (I, \nabla)$.

Consider the following *uniformly elliptic* second order differential operator $L_A: \mathcal{D}(L_A) \subset L^2(\mathcal{M}) \to L^2(\mathcal{M})$ defined by

$$L_A u = aS^* A S u = -a \operatorname{div}(A_{11} \nabla u) - a \operatorname{div}(A_{10} u) + a A_{01} \nabla u + a A_{00} u.$$

where a and $A = (A_{ij})$ are L^{∞} multiplication operators.

Let $\mathcal M$ be a smooth, complete Riemannian manifold with metric g, Levi-Civita connection ∇ , and volume measure $d\mu$.

Write $\operatorname{div} = -\nabla^*$ in L^2 and let $S = (I, \nabla)$.

Consider the following *uniformly elliptic* second order differential operator $L_A: \mathcal{D}(L_A) \subset L^2(\mathcal{M}) \to L^2(\mathcal{M})$ defined by

$$L_A u = aS^* A S u = -a \operatorname{div}(A_{11} \nabla u) - a \operatorname{div}(A_{10} u) + a A_{01} \nabla u + a A_{00} u.$$

where a and $A = (A_{ij})$ are L^{∞} multiplication operators.

That is, that there exist $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$ such that

Re
$$\langle av, v \rangle \ge \kappa_1 ||v||^2$$
, $v \in L^2$
Re $\langle ASu, Su \rangle \ge \kappa_2 (||u||^2 + ||\nabla u||^2)$, $u \in W^{1,2}$

The Kato square root problem

The *Kato square root problem on manifolds* is to determine when the following holds:

The Kato square root problem

The Kato square root problem on manifolds is to determine when the following holds:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(\sqrt{L_A}) = W^{1,2}(\mathcal{M}) \\ \|\sqrt{L_A}u\| \simeq \|\nabla u\| + \|u\| = \|u\|_{W^{1,2}}, \ u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{M}) \end{cases}$$

Theorem (B.-Mc [3])

Let (\mathcal{M}, g) be a smooth, complete Riemannian manifold $|Ric| \leq C$ and $inj(M) \geq \kappa > 0$. Suppose there exist $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle av, v \rangle \ge \kappa_1 \|v\|^2$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle ASu, Su \rangle \ge \kappa_2 \|u\|_{W^{1,2}}^2$$

for $v \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ and $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{M})$. Then, $\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{L_A}) = \mathcal{D}(\nabla) = W^{1,2}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\|\sqrt{L_A}u\| \simeq \|\nabla u\| + \|u\| = \|u\|_{W^{1,2}}$ for all $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{M})$.

Stability

Theorem (B.-Mc [3])

Let (\mathcal{M}, g) be a smooth, complete Riemannian manifold with $|Ric| \leq C$ and $inj(M) \geq \kappa > 0$. Suppose that there exist $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle av, v \rangle \ge \kappa_1 \|v\|^2$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle ASu, Su \rangle \ge \kappa_2 \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{1,2}}^2$$

for $v \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ and $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{M})$. Then for every $\eta_i < \kappa_i$, whenever $\|\tilde{a}\|_{\infty} \leq \eta_1$, $\|\tilde{A}\|_{\infty} \leq \eta_2$, the estimate

$$\left\| \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_A} \, u - \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{A+\tilde{A}}} \, u \right\| \lesssim \left(\|\tilde{a}\|_{\infty} + \|\tilde{A}\|_{\infty} \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,2}}$$

holds for all $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{M})$. The implicit constant depends in particular on A, a and η_i .

In [2], the authors created a *first-order* framework using the language of Dirac type operators to study such problems.

In [2], the authors created a *first-order* framework using the language of Dirac type operators to study such problems.

(H1) Let Γ be a densely-defined, closed, nilpotent operator on a Hilbert space \mathscr{H} ,

In [2], the authors created a *first-order* framework using the language of Dirac type operators to study such problems.

- (H1) Let Γ be a densely-defined, closed, nilpotent operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .
- (H2) Suppose that $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that here exist $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$ satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\langle B_{1}u,u\right\rangle \geq \kappa_{1}\left\Vert u\right\Vert ^{2}\quad\text{and}\quad\operatorname{Re} \left\langle B_{2}v,v\right\rangle \geq \kappa_{2}\left\Vert v\right\Vert ^{2}$$
 for $u\in\mathcal{R}(\Gamma^{*})$ and $v\in\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$,

In [2], the authors created a *first-order* framework using the language of Dirac type operators to study such problems.

- (H1) Let Γ be a densely-defined, closed, nilpotent operator on a Hilbert space \mathscr{H} ,
- (H2) Suppose that $B_1,B_2\in\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{H})$ such that here exist $\kappa_1,\kappa_2>0$ satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle B_1 u, u \rangle \ge \kappa_1 \|u\|^2$$
 and $\operatorname{Re} \langle B_2 v, v \rangle \ge \kappa_2 \|v\|^2$

for
$$u \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma^*)$$
 and $v \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$,

(H3) The operators B_1, B_2 satisfy $B_1B_2\mathcal{R}(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ and $B_2B_1\mathcal{R}(\Gamma^*) \subset \mathcal{N}(\Gamma^*)$.

In [2], the authors created a *first-order* framework using the language of Dirac type operators to study such problems.

- (H1) Let Γ be a densely-defined, closed, nilpotent operator on a Hilbert space \mathscr{H} ,
- (H2) Suppose that $B_1,B_2\in\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{H})$ such that here exist $\kappa_1,\kappa_2>0$ satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle B_1 u, u \rangle \ge \kappa_1 \|u\|^2$$
 and $\operatorname{Re} \langle B_2 v, v \rangle \ge \kappa_2 \|v\|^2$

for
$$u \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma^*)$$
 and $v \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$,

(H3) The operators B_1, B_2 satisfy $B_1B_2\mathcal{R}(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ and $B_2B_1\mathcal{R}(\Gamma^*) \subset \mathcal{N}(\Gamma^*)$.

Let
$$\Gamma_B^* = B_1 \Gamma^* B_2$$
, $\Pi_B = \Gamma + \Gamma_B^*$ and $\Pi = \Gamma + \Gamma^*$.



Quadratic estimates and Kato type problems

Proposition

If (H1)-(H3) are satisfied and

$$\int_0^\infty ||t\Pi_B(I + t^2\Pi_B^2)^{-1}u||^2 \frac{dt}{t} \simeq ||u||$$

for $u \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(\Pi_B)}$, then

(i)
$$\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)\cap\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_B^*)=\mathcal{D}(\Pi_B)=\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{\Pi_B^2})$$
, and

(ii)
$$\|\Gamma u\| + \|\Gamma_B u\| \simeq \|\Pi_B u\| \simeq \|\sqrt{\Pi_B^2 u}\|$$
, for all $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Pi_B)$.

Quadratic estimates and Kato type problems

Proposition

If (H1)-(H3) are satisfied and

$$\int_0^\infty ||t\Pi_B(I + t^2\Pi_B^2)^{-1}u||^2 \frac{dt}{t} \simeq ||u||$$

for $u \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(\Pi_B)}$, then

(i)
$$\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)\cap\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_B^*)=\mathcal{D}(\Pi_B)=\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{\Pi_B^2})$$
, and

(ii)
$$\|\Gamma u\| + \|\Gamma_B u\| \simeq \|\Pi_B u\| \simeq \|\sqrt{\Pi_B^2} u\|$$
, for all $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Pi_B)$.

This result has been at the heart of the work of Axelsson (Rosén), Keith, McIntosh in [2] and [1], as well as the work of Morris in [5] and B. in [4].

Set $\mathscr{H} = L^2(\mathcal{M}) \oplus (L^2(\mathcal{M}) \oplus L^2(T^*\mathcal{M})).$

Set
$$\mathscr{H} = L^2(\mathcal{M}) \oplus (L^2(\mathcal{M}) \oplus L^2(T^*\mathcal{M})).$$

Define

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{g}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathrm{and} \ B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set
$$\mathscr{H} = L^2(\mathcal{M}) \oplus (L^2(\mathcal{M}) \oplus L^2(T^*\mathcal{M})).$$

Define

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{g}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathrm{and} \ B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\Gamma_{\rm g}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{\rm g}^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \Pi_B^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_A & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Set
$$\mathscr{H} = L^2(\mathcal{M}) \oplus (L^2(\mathcal{M}) \oplus L^2(T^*\mathcal{M})).$$

Define

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{g}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathrm{and} \ B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{g}}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{\mathrm{g}}^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \Pi_B^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_A & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\Pi_{B,\mathrm{g}}(u,0)=(0,u,\nabla u)$$
 and $\sqrt{\Pi_{B,\mathrm{g}}^2}(u,0)=(\sqrt{\mathrm{L}_A}u,0).$

Definition (Notions of measure)

We say that:

(i) a set $A\subset\mathcal{M}$ is measurable if whenever (U,ψ) is a chart satisfying $U\cap A\neq\varnothing$, then $\varphi(U\cap A)\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ is \mathscr{L} -measurable,

Definition (Notions of measure)

- (i) a set $A \subset \mathcal{M}$ is measurable if whenever (U, ψ) is a chart satisfying $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$, then $\varphi(U \cap A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is \mathscr{L} -measurable,
- (ii) a function $f: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{C}$ is measurable if $f \circ \psi^{-1}: \psi(U) \to \mathbb{C}$ is \mathscr{L} -measurable for each chart (U, ψ) ,

Definition (Notions of measure)

- (i) a set $A \subset \mathcal{M}$ is measurable if whenever (U, ψ) is a chart satisfying $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$, then $\varphi(U \cap A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is \mathscr{L} -measurable,
- (ii) a function $f:\mathcal{M}\to\mathbb{C}$ is measurable if $f\circ\psi^{-1}:\psi(U)\to\mathbb{C}$ is \mathscr{L} -measurable for each chart (U,ψ) ,
- (iii) a tensor field $T:\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\mathcal{M}$ is measurable if the coefficients $T^{j_1,\ldots,j_s}_{i_1,\ldots,i_r}$ in each (U,ψ) is measurable,

Definition (Notions of measure)

- (i) a set $A\subset\mathcal{M}$ is measurable if whenever (U,ψ) is a chart satisfying $U\cap A\neq\varnothing$, then $\varphi(U\cap A)\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ is \mathscr{L} -measurable,
- (ii) a function $f:\mathcal{M}\to\mathbb{C}$ is measurable if $f\circ\psi^{-1}:\psi(U)\to\mathbb{C}$ is \mathscr{L} -measurable for each chart (U,ψ) ,
- (iii) a tensor field $T:\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\mathcal{M}$ is measurable if the coefficients $T^{j_1,\ldots,j_s}_{i_1,\ldots,i_r}$ in each (U,ψ) is measurable,
- (iv) a set Z is a *null set* or set of *null measure* if requiring $\mathscr{L}(\varphi(U\cap Z))=0$ for each chart (U,ψ) ,

Definition (Notions of measure)

- (i) a set $A \subset \mathcal{M}$ is measurable if whenever (U, ψ) is a chart satisfying $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$, then $\varphi(U \cap A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is \mathscr{L} -measurable,
- (ii) a function $f:\mathcal{M}\to\mathbb{C}$ is measurable if $f\circ\psi^{-1}:\psi(U)\to\mathbb{C}$ is \mathscr{L} -measurable for each chart (U,ψ) ,
- (iii) a tensor field $T:\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\mathcal{M}$ is measurable if the coefficients $T^{j_1,\ldots,j_s}_{i_1,\ldots,i_r}$ in each (U,ψ) is measurable,
- (iv) a set Z is a *null set* or set of *null measure* if requiring $\mathscr{L}(\varphi(U\cap Z))=0$ for each chart (U,ψ) ,
- (v) a property P is valid almost-everywhere if it is valid \mathscr{L} -a.e. in each coordinate chart (U,ψ) .

Rough metrics

Definition (Rough metric)

Suppose that $g \in \Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{(2,0)}\mathcal{M})$ is symmetric and satisfies the following local comparability condition: for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a chart (U,ψ) near x and constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$C^{-1} |u|_{\psi^* \delta(y)} \le |u|_{g(y)} \le C |u|_{\psi^* \delta(y)}$$

for $u \in T_y \mathcal{M}$ and for almost-every $y \in U$.

(i)
$$0 < |u|_{g(x)} < \infty$$
 for $0 \neq u \in T_x \mathcal{M}$ for almost-every $x \in \mathcal{M}$

- (i) $0 < |u|_{g(x)} < \infty$ for $0 \neq u \in T_x \mathcal{M}$ for almost-every $x \in \mathcal{M}$,
- (ii) a set $A\subset \mathcal{M}$ is measurable if and only if it is μ_{g} measurable

- (i) $0 < |u|_{g(x)} < \infty$ for $0 \neq u \in T_x \mathcal{M}$ for almost-every $x \in \mathcal{M}$,
- (ii) a set $A\subset \mathcal{M}$ is measurable if and only if it is μ_{g} measurable,
- (iii) a function $f:\mathcal{M}\to\mathbb{C}$ is measurable if and only if it is μ_{g} measurable

- (i) $0 < |u|_{g(x)} < \infty$ for $0 \neq u \in T_x \mathcal{M}$ for almost-every $x \in \mathcal{M}$,
- (ii) a set $A\subset \mathcal{M}$ is measurable if and only if it is μ_{g} measurable,
- (iii) a function $f:\mathcal{M}\to\mathbb{C}$ is measurable if and only if it is μ_{g} measurable,
- (iv) Z is a set of null measure if and only if $\mu_{\mathrm{g}}(Z)=0$

- (i) $0 < |u|_{g(x)} < \infty$ for $0 \neq u \in T_x \mathcal{M}$ for almost-every $x \in \mathcal{M}$,
- (ii) a set $A\subset \mathcal{M}$ is measurable if and only if it is μ_{g} measurable,
- (iii) a function $f:\mathcal{M}\to\mathbb{C}$ is measurable if and only if it is μ_{g} measurable,
- (iv) Z is a set of null measure if and only if $\mu_{\mathrm{g}}(Z)=0$,
- (v) a property P holds a.e. in ${\mathcal M}$ if and only if it holds $\mu_{\rm g}$ -a.e

- (i) $0 < |u|_{g(x)} < \infty$ for $0 \neq u \in T_x \mathcal{M}$ for almost-every $x \in \mathcal{M}$,
- (ii) a set $A\subset \mathcal{M}$ is measurable if and only if it is μ_{g} measurable,
- (iii) a function $f:\mathcal{M}\to\mathbb{C}$ is measurable if and only if it is μ_{g} measurable,
- (iv) Z is a set of null measure if and only if $\mu_{\rm g}(Z)=0$,
- (v) a property P holds a.e. in $\mathcal M$ if and only if it holds μ_{g} -a.e,
- (vi) g is Borel and finite on compact sets.

If $\gamma:I\to\mathcal{M}$ is an absolutely continuous curve then $|\dot{\gamma}(t)|_{\mathrm{g}(\gamma(t))}$ is measurable and summable.

If $\gamma:I\to\mathcal{M}$ is an absolutely continuous curve then $|\dot{\gamma}(t)|_{\mathrm{g}(\gamma(t))}$ is measurable and summable.

As a consequence, we define

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{g}}(x,y) = \inf \left\{ \ell_{\mathrm{g}}(\gamma) : \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y, \ \gamma \text{ abs. cts.} \right\}$$

If $\gamma: I \to \mathcal{M}$ is an absolutely continuous curve then $|\dot{\gamma}(t)|_{\sigma(\gamma(t))}$ is measurable and summable.

As a consequence, we define

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{g}}(x,y) = \inf \left\{ \ell_{\mathrm{g}}(\gamma) : \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y, \ \gamma \text{ abs. cts.} \right\}$$

The map $d_g: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a pseudo-metric and the induced topology is coarser than the topology of \mathcal{M} .

L^p spaces, Sobolev spaces

 $L^p(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\mathcal{M},g)$ space defined as $f\in \Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$||f||_p^p = \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f(x)|_{g(x)}^p d\mu_g(x) < \infty.$$

L^p spaces, Sobolev spaces

 $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\mathcal{M},\mathrm{g})$ space defined as $f\in \Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$||f||_p^p = \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f(x)|_{g(x)}^p d\mu_g(x) < \infty.$$

The Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M},g)$ is the defined as the set $u\in C^\infty\cap L^2(\mathcal{M})$ with $\nabla u\in C^\infty\cap L^2(\mathcal{M})$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}}=\|\cdot\|_p+\|\nabla\cdot\|_p$.

L^p spaces, Sobolev spaces

 $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\mathcal{M},\mathrm{g})$ space defined as $f\in \Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$||f||_p^p = \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f(x)|_{g(x)}^p d\mu_g(x) < \infty.$$

The Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M},g)$ is the defined as the set $u\in C^\infty\cap L^2(\mathcal{M})$ with $\nabla u\in C^\infty\cap L^2(\mathcal{M})$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}}=\|\cdot\|_p+\|\nabla\cdot\|_p$. The space $W^{1,p}_c(\mathcal{M},g)$ is the the closure of $C^\infty_c(\mathcal{M})$ under $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}}$.

Divergence

Proposition

The space $C_c^\infty(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\mathcal{M})$ is dense in $L^p(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\mathcal{M},g)$. The operators $\nabla_p: C^\infty \cap L^p(\mathcal{M}) \to C^\infty \cap L^p(T^*\mathcal{M})$ and $\nabla_c: C_c^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \to C_c^\infty(T^*\mathcal{M})$ are closable, densely-defined operators. Furthermore, $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{D}(\overline{\nabla_p})$ and $W_0^{1,p} = \mathcal{D}(\overline{\nabla_c})$.

Divergence

Proposition

The space $C_c^\infty(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\mathcal{M})$ is dense in $L^p(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\mathcal{M},g)$. The operators $\nabla_p: C^\infty \cap L^p(\mathcal{M}) \to C^\infty \cap L^p(T^*\mathcal{M})$ and $\nabla_c: C_c^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \to C_c^\infty(T^*\mathcal{M})$ are closable, densely-defined operators. Furthermore, $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{D}(\overline{\nabla_p})$ and $W_0^{1,p} = \mathcal{D}(\overline{\nabla_c})$.

For the case p = 2, we define

$$\mathrm{div}_g = -\nabla_2^*, \text{ and } \mathrm{div}_{0,g} = -\nabla_0^*,$$

which operator theory guarantees are closed, densely-defined.

Uniformly close geometries

Definition (Uniformly close metrics)

Let g and \tilde{g} be two rough metrics and support there exists $C \geq 1$ such that

$$C^{-1} |u|_{\tilde{g}(x)} \le |u|_{g(x)} \le C |u|_{\tilde{g}(x)},$$

for $u \in T_x \mathcal{M}$ and almost-every x in \mathcal{M} . Then, we say that g and \tilde{g} are uniformly close or C-close. If the inequality holds everywhere, then we say that the two metrics are C-close everywhere.

Uniformly close geometries

Definition (Uniformly close metrics)

Let g and \tilde{g} be two rough metrics and support there exists $C \geq 1$ such that

$$C^{-1} |u|_{\tilde{g}(x)} \le |u|_{g(x)} \le C |u|_{\tilde{g}(x)},$$

for $u \in T_x \mathcal{M}$ and almost-every x in \mathcal{M} . Then, we say that g and \tilde{g} are uniformly close or C-close. If the inequality holds everywhere, then we say that the two metrics are C-close everywhere.

If g and \tilde{g} are both at least continuous, then C-close and C-close everywhere are equivalent.

For any two metrics, there exists a.e. symmetric positive $B\in \mathbf{\Gamma}(T^*\mathcal{M}\otimes T\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$g_x(u, v) = \tilde{g}_x(B(x)u, v)$$

for almost-every x.

For any two metrics, there exists a.e. symmetric positive $B\in \mathbf{\Gamma}(T^*\mathcal{M}\otimes T\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$g_x(u, v) = \tilde{g}_x(B(x)u, v)$$

for almost-every x.

The volume measure is then $d\mu_{\mathrm{g}}=\theta~d\mu_{\mathrm{\tilde{g}}}$ where

$$\theta = \sqrt{\det B}$$
.

For any two metrics, there exists a.e. symmetric positive $B\in \mathbf{\Gamma}(T^*\mathcal{M}\otimes T\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$g_x(u, v) = \tilde{g}_x(B(x)u, v)$$

for almost-every x.

The volume measure is then $d\mu_{\mathrm{g}}=\theta~d\mu_{\mathrm{\tilde{g}}}$ where

$$\theta = \sqrt{\det B}$$
.

Furthermore,

$$C^{-\frac{n}{2}}\mu_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}} \le \mu_{\mathbf{g}} \le C^{\frac{n}{2}}\mu_{\mathbf{g}}.$$

Preservation of L^p spaces

Let g and \tilde{g} be two C-close rough metrics. Then, the following hold for the L^p spaces:

Preservation of L^p spaces

Let g and \tilde{g} be two C-close rough metrics. Then, the following hold for the L^p spaces:

(i) whenever
$$p \in [1, \infty)$$
, $L^p(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}, g) = L^p(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}, \tilde{g})$ with

$$C^{-\left(r+s+\frac{n}{2p}\right)} \|u\|_{p,\tilde{g}} \le \|u\|_{p,g} \le C^{r+s+\frac{n}{2p}} \|u\|_{p,\tilde{g}},$$

Preservation of L^p spaces

Let g and \tilde{g} be two C-close rough metrics. Then, the following hold for the L^p spaces:

(i) whenever
$$p \in [1, \infty)$$
, $L^p(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}, \mathbf{g}) = L^p(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}, \tilde{\mathbf{g}})$ with
$$C^{-\left(r+s+\frac{n}{2p}\right)} \left\|u\right\|_{p,\tilde{\mathbf{g}}} \leq \left\|u\right\|_{p,\mathbf{g}} \leq C^{r+s+\frac{n}{2p}} \left\|u\right\|_{p,\tilde{\mathbf{g}}},$$

(ii) for
$$p=\infty$$
, $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)},\mathbf{g})=\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)},\tilde{\mathbf{g}})$ with
$$C^{-(r+s)}\,\|u\|_{\infty,\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}\leq \|u\|_{\infty,\mathbf{g}}\leq C^{r+s}\,\|u\|_{\infty,\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}\,,$$

Preservation of Sobolev spaces

(i) the Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M},g)=W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M},\tilde{g})$ and $W^{1,p}_0(\mathcal{M},g)=W^{1,p}_0(\mathcal{M},\tilde{g})$ with

$$C^{-\left(1+\frac{n}{2p}\right)} \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{1,p},\tilde{\mathbf{g}}} \le \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{1,p},\mathbf{g}} \le C^{1+\frac{n}{2p}} \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{1,p},\tilde{\mathbf{g}}},$$

Preservation of Sobolev spaces

(i) the Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M},g)=W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M},\tilde{g})$ and $W^{1,p}_0(\mathcal{M},g)=W^{1,p}_0(\mathcal{M},\tilde{g})$ with

$$C^{-\left(1+\frac{n}{2p}\right)} \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{1,p},\tilde{\mathbf{g}}} \le \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{1,p},\mathbf{g}} \le C^{1+\frac{n}{2p}} \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{1,p},\tilde{\mathbf{g}}},$$

(ii) the Sobolev spaces $W^{d,p}(\mathcal{M},g)=W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M},\tilde{g})$ and $W^{d,p}_0(\mathcal{M},g)=W^{d,p}_0(\mathcal{M},g)$ with

$$C^{-\left(n+\frac{n}{2p}\right)} \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{d},p},\tilde{\mathbf{g}}} \le \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{d},p},\mathbf{g}} \le C^{n+\frac{n}{2p}} \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{d},p},\tilde{\mathbf{g}}},$$

Preservation of Sobolev spaces

(i) the Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M},g)=W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M},\tilde{g})$ and $W^{1,p}_0(\mathcal{M},g)=W^{1,p}_0(\mathcal{M},\tilde{g})$ with

$$C^{-\left(1+\frac{n}{2p}\right)} \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{1,p},\tilde{\mathbf{g}}} \le \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{1,p},\mathbf{g}} \le C^{1+\frac{n}{2p}} \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{1,p},\tilde{\mathbf{g}}},$$

(ii) the Sobolev spaces $W^{d,p}(\mathcal{M},g)=W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M},\tilde{g})$ and $W^{d,p}_0(\mathcal{M},g)=W^{d,p}_0(\mathcal{M},g)$ with

$$C^{-\left(n+\frac{n}{2p}\right)} \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{d},p},\tilde{\mathbf{g}}} \le \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{d},p},\mathbf{g}} \le C^{n+\frac{n}{2p}} \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{d},p},\tilde{\mathbf{g}}},$$

(iii) the divergence operators satisfy $\operatorname{div}_g = \theta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_{\tilde{g}} \theta B$ and $\operatorname{div}_{0,g} = \theta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_{0,\tilde{g}} \theta B$.

As before, let $\mathscr{H}=L^2(\mathcal{M})\oplus L^2(\mathcal{M})\oplus L^2(T^*\mathcal{M})$ with two inner products $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_g$ and $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{\tilde{g}}$ induced by by g and \tilde{g} respectively.

As before, let $\mathscr{H}=L^2(\mathcal{M})\oplus L^2(\mathcal{M})\oplus L^2(T^*\mathcal{M})$ with two inner products $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_g$ and $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{\tilde{g}}$ induced by by g and \tilde{g} respectively.

Let $S=(I,\overline{\nabla_2})$ and

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \Gamma_{\mathbf{g}}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{\mathbf{g}}^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \Gamma_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As before, let $\mathscr{H}=L^2(\mathcal{M})\oplus L^2(\mathcal{M})\oplus L^2(T^*\mathcal{M})$ with two inner products $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_g$ and $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{\tilde{g}}$ induced by by g and \tilde{g} respectively.

Let $S=(I,\overline{\nabla_2})$ and

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \Gamma_{\mathbf{g}}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{\mathbf{g}}^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \Gamma_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathrm{E}(u,v,w)=(\theta u,\theta v,\theta \mathrm{B}w)$ so that $\langle u,v\rangle_{\mathrm{g}}=\langle Eu,v\rangle_{\tilde{\mathrm{g}}}$ for all $u,v\in\mathscr{H}.$

As before, let $\mathscr{H}=L^2(\mathcal{M})\oplus L^2(\mathcal{M})\oplus L^2(T^*\mathcal{M})$ with two inner products $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_g$ and $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{\tilde{g}}$ induced by by g and \tilde{g} respectively.

Let $S=(I,\overline{\nabla_2})$ and

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \Gamma_{\mathbf{g}}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{\mathbf{g}}^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \Gamma_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathrm{E}(u,v,w)=(\theta u,\theta v,\theta \mathrm{B}w)$ so that $\langle u,v\rangle_{\mathrm{g}}=\langle Eu,v\rangle_{\tilde{\mathrm{g}}}$ for all $u,v\in\mathscr{H}.$

Then, $\Gamma_g^* = E^{-1} \Gamma_{\tilde{g}}^* E.$

As before, let $\mathscr{H}=L^2(\mathcal{M})\oplus L^2(\mathcal{M})\oplus L^2(T^*\mathcal{M})$ with two inner products $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_g$ and $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle_{\tilde{g}}$ induced by by g and \tilde{g} respectively.

Let $S=(I,\overline{\nabla_2})$ and

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \Gamma_{\mathbf{g}}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{\mathbf{g}}^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \Gamma_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathrm{E}(u,v,w)=(\theta u,\theta v,\theta \mathrm{B}w)$ so that $\langle u,v\rangle_{\mathrm{g}}=\langle Eu,v\rangle_{\tilde{\mathrm{g}}}$ for all $u,v\in\mathscr{H}.$

Then, $\Gamma_g^* = E^{-1} \Gamma_{\tilde{g}}^* E.$

Reduction of the problem (cont.)

As before, let $a \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ and $A \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{L}(L^{2}(\mathcal{M}) \oplus L^{2}(T^{*}\mathcal{M}))$ with constants $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2} > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle au, u \rangle_{g} \geq \kappa_{1} \|u\|_{g}^{2}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Av, v \rangle_{g} \geq \kappa_{2} \|v\|_{W^{1,2},g}^{2}$$

for $u \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ and $v \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{M})$.

Reduction of the problem (cont.)

As before, let $a \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ and $A \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathcal{M}) \oplus L^2(T^*\mathcal{M}))$ with constants $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle au, u \rangle_{g} \geq \kappa_{1} \|u\|_{g}^{2}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Av, v \rangle_{g} \geq \kappa_{2} \|v\|_{W^{1,2}, g}^{2}$$

for $u \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ and $v \in W^{1,2}(\mathcal{M})$.

Then, writing

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix},$$

let

$$\Pi_{B,\mathrm{g}} = \Gamma + B_1 \Gamma_{\mathrm{g}}^* B_2 = \Gamma + B_1 \mathrm{E}^{-1} \Gamma_{\tilde{\mathrm{g}}}^* \mathrm{E} B_2 = \Gamma + \tilde{B_1} \Gamma_{\tilde{\mathrm{g}}}^* \tilde{B_2} = \Pi_{\tilde{B},\tilde{\mathrm{g}}}.$$

Change of Ellipticity

We have that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle B_1 u, u \rangle_{g} \ge \kappa_1 \|u\|_{g}^{2}$$

 $\operatorname{Re} \langle B_2 u, u \rangle_{g} \ge \kappa_2 \|v\|_{g}^{2}$

$$\text{for } u \in \mathrm{L}^2(\mathcal{M}) \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \supset \mathcal{R}(\Gamma^*_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}) \text{ and } v \in 0 \oplus \mathrm{L}^2(\mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathrm{L}^2(\mathrm{T}^*\mathcal{M}) \supset \mathcal{R}(\Gamma).$$

Change of Ellipticity

We have that

Re
$$\langle B_1 u, u \rangle_g \ge \kappa_1 \|u\|_g^2$$

Re $\langle B_2 u, u \rangle_g \ge \kappa_2 \|v\|_g^2$

for
$$u \in L^2(\mathcal{M}) \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \supset \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_{\tilde{g}}^*)$$
 and $v \in 0 \oplus L^2(\mathcal{M}) \oplus L^2(T^*\mathcal{M}) \supset \mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$.

The ellipticity for \tilde{B}_i in terms of $\tilde{\mathrm{g}}$ then becomes

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\langle \tilde{B}_{1}u, u \right\rangle_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}} \geq \frac{\kappa_{1}}{C^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left\| u \right\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}^{2}$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\langle \tilde{B}_{2}u, u \right\rangle_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}} \geq \frac{\kappa_{2}}{C^{1 + \frac{n}{2}}} \left\| v \right\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}^{2}$$

for similar u and v.



The reduction of quadratic estimates

Proposition

Let g and \tilde{g} be two C-close metrics. Then, the quadratic estimates

$$\int_0^\infty ||t\Pi_{B,g}(1+t^2\Pi_{B,g}^2)^{-1}u||_g^2 \frac{dt}{t} \simeq ||u||_g^2$$

is satisfies for all $u \in \mathcal{R}(\Pi_{B,g})$ if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty \left\| t \Pi_{\tilde{B}, \tilde{g}} (1 + t^2 \Pi_{B, \tilde{g}}^2)^{-1} u \right\|_{\tilde{g}}^2 \frac{dt}{t} \simeq \|u\|_{\tilde{g}}^2$$

is satisfied for all $u \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(\Pi_{\tilde{B},\tilde{g}})}$.

The Kato square root problem for rough metrics

Theorem

Let g be a rough metric and suppose there exists a C-close metric \tilde{g} that is smooth, complete and satisfying:

- (i) there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $|\mathrm{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq \eta$,
- (ii) there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that $\operatorname{inj}(\mathcal{M}, \tilde{g}) \geq \kappa$,
- (iii) $\|g \tilde{g}\|_{op,g} < 1$.

Then, $\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{aS_{\mathrm{g}}^*AS})=\mathrm{W}^{1,2}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\left\|\sqrt{aS_{\mathrm{g}}^*AS}u\right\|_{\mathrm{g}}\simeq \|u\|_{\mathrm{W}^{1,2},\mathrm{g}}$ for all $u\in\mathrm{W}^{1,2}(\mathcal{M}).$

Application to compact manifolds with continuous metrics

Given a C^0 metric g, we can always find a C^∞ metric \tilde{g} that is C-close for any C>1 norm by pasting together Euclidean metrics via a partition of unity.

Application to compact manifolds with continuous metrics

Given a C^0 metric g, we can always find a C^∞ metric \tilde{g} that is C-close for any C>1 norm by pasting together Euclidean metrics via a partition of unity.

Further if we assume that \mathcal{M} is compact, then automatically $|\mathrm{Ric}_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}| \leq C_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}$ and $\mathrm{inj}(\mathcal{M}, \tilde{\mathbf{g}}) \geq \kappa_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}} > 0$.

Application to compact manifolds with continuous metrics

Given a C^0 metric g, we can always find a C^∞ metric \tilde{g} that is C-close for any C>1 norm by pasting together Euclidean metrics via a partition of unity.

Further if we assume that \mathcal{M} is compact, then automatically $|\mathrm{Ric}_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}| \leq C_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}$ and $\mathrm{inj}(\mathcal{M}, \tilde{\mathbf{g}}) \geq \kappa_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}} > 0$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal M$ be a smooth, compact Riemannian manifold and let g be a C^0 metric on $\mathcal M$. Then, the quadratic estimate

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} ||t\Pi_{B,g}(I + t\Pi_{B,g}^{2})^{-1}u||^{2} \frac{dt}{t} \simeq ||u||^{2}$$

is satisfied for all $u \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(\Pi_{B,g})}$.

References I

- [1] Andreas Axelsson, Stephen Keith, and Alan McIntosh, *The Kato square root problem for mixed boundary value problems*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **74** (2006), no. 1, 113–130.
- [2] ______, Quadratic estimates and functional calculi of perturbed Dirac operators, Invent. Math. **163** (2006), no. 3, 455–497.
- [3] L. Bandara and A. McIntosh, *The Kato square root problem on vector bundles with generalised bounded geometry*, ArXiv e-prints (2012).
- [4] Lashi Bandara, Quadratic estimates for perturbed Dirac type operators on doubling measure metric spaces, Proceedings of the AMSI International Conference on Harmonic Analysis and Applications, Feb. 2011, Proc. Centre Math. Appl. Austral. Nat. Univ. (2011).
- [5] Andrew J. Morris, The Kato square root problem on submanifolds, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 86 (2012), no. 3, 879–910. MR 3000834