


Chapter 2

Evolution of the Major Programming Languages



Chapter 2 Topics

- Zuse's Plankalkül
- Minimal Hardware Programming: Pseudocodes
- **The IBM 704 and Fortran** — 옛날언어의 경우 매신디펜던트가 높음
- Functional Programming: LISP
- **The First Step Toward Sophistication: ALGOL 60**
의사코드, 사람의 생각처럼 표현하는것의 시작
- Computerizing Business Records: COBOL
- The Beginnings of Timesharing: BASIC

Chapter 2 Topics (continued)

- Everything for Everybody: PL/I
- Two Early Dynamic Languages: APL and SNOBOL
- The Beginnings of **Data Abstraction**: SIMULA 67
- **Orthogonal Design**: ALGOL 68
- Some Early Descendants of the ALGOLs
- Programming Based on Logic: Prolog
- History's Largest Design Effort: Ada

Chapter 2 Topics (continued)

- Object–Oriented Programming: Smalltalk
- Combining Imperative and Object–Oriented Features: C++
- An Imperative–Based Object–Oriented Language: Java
- Scripting Languages
- The Flagship .NET Language: C#
- Markup/Programming Hybrid Languages

Genealogy

ages

Towards sophistication

Pioneered functional language

함수언어는 할당하지 않는다.
definition 으로 사용
> 찾아볼 것

Glue 언어

The first widely used high-level language





Figure 2.1

Genealogy of common high-level programming languages

Genealogy of Common Languages



Zuse's Plankalkül

- Means “*program calculus*”
- Designed in 1945, but not published until 1972
- Never implemented
- Advanced data structures
 - floating point, arrays, records
- Invariants
 - A condition that can be relied upon true during execution of a program (ref. wikipedia)
(표현되는 해당코드의 실행 시점에서 참이될 것인지를 기술)

Plankalkül Syntax

- An assignment statement to assign the expression $A[4] + 1$ to $A[5]$

		$A + 1 \Rightarrow A$		
V		4	5	(subscripts)
S		1..n	1..n	(data types)

Minimal Hardware Programming: Pseudocodes

- What was wrong with using machine code?
 - Poor readability
 - Poor modifiability
 - Expression coding was tedious
 - Machine deficiencies--no indexing or floating point
 - Neither of these capacities, however, was included in the architecture of the computers of the late 1940s and early 1950s
 - Led to the development of somewhat higher-level language

Pseudocodes: Short Code

- Short Code developed by Mauchly in 1949 for **BINAC**(**BIN**ary **A**utomatic **C**omputer) computers
 - Not translated into machine code, Implemented by the pure interpretation method (*50 times slower than machine code*)
 - words of UNIVAC I's memory (12 six-bit bytes = 72 bites)
(1 byte=6 bits, a word=12 bytes)
 - Expressions were coded, left to right
 - (The statement)

X0 = SQRT(ABS(Y0))

(1 word) : 00 X0 03 20 06 Y0 (combination of bytes)

- initial 00 : used as padding to fill the word
- 03 : =
- 20 : SQRT
- 06 : ABS
- X0, Y0 : variable

Pseudocodes: Speedcoding

- Speedcoding developed by Backus in 1954 for IBM 701
 - **Interpretive system** that extended machine language to include floating-point operations
 - Pseudo ops for arithmetic and math functions (**by the interpretation methods**)
 - Conditional and unconditional branching
 - Auto-increment registers for array access
 - Only 700 words left for user program
 - Slow (Machine language programming – heavy cost)
 - **Improved productivity of the programmer (by speedcoding programming)**

Pseudocodes: Related Systems

- The UNIVAC Compiling System (1951~53)
 - Developed by a team led by Grace Hopper
 - Pseudocode expanded into machine code
 - Still quite primitive
 - Although even this was a great improvement
 - It made source programs **much shorter**

IBM 704 and Fortran

- **FORTRAN (1954)**
 - The IBM Mathematical **FOR**mula **TRAN**slating system
 - Not implemented
- Fortran I (1957)
 - Designed for the new IBM 704, which had index registers and floating point hardware
 - This led to the idea of compiled programming languages, because there was no place to hide **the cost of interpretation**

Design process

- Environment of development
 - Computers were small and unreliable
 - Applications were scientific
 - No programming methodology or tools
 - Machine efficiency was the most important concern
 - Because of the high cost of computers compared to the cost of programmers, **speed of the generated object code** was the primary goal of the first Fortran compilers

컴퓨터 비용이 프로그래머의 비용보다 컸으므로
효율적인 목적코드가 중요시됨

Fortran I Overview

- First implemented version of Fortran
 - Formatted I/O (형식화된 입출력)
 - Variable names could have up to six characters
 - No data typing statements 알골부터 명확해지기 시작함
 - User-defined subprograms
 - Three-way selection statement (arithmetic IF)
 - Post-test counting loop (DO)


Fortran I Overview (continued)

- First implemented version of FORTRAN
 - **No separate compilation (subprograms)**
 - Compiler released in April 1957, after 18 worker-years of effort (Fortran 0의 수정 구현)
 - Programs larger than 400 lines rarely compiled correctly, mainly due to poor reliability of 704
 - Code was very fast
 - Quickly became widely used

Early success: IBM 704를 위한 코드의 절반이상이 Fortran I으로 대체됨

Fortran II & III

- Fortran II
 - Distributed in 1958
 - Fixed the bugs that was included in Fortran I
 - **Independent compilation of subprograms**



Including precompiled machine language versions of subprograms shortened the compilation process

실질적인 대규모 프로그래밍이 가능하게 됨


- Fortran III
 - It was developed, but it was never widely distributed

Fortran IV & 66

- Evolved during 1960–62
 - Standard of Fortran (1962~1978)
 - Improvement over Fortran II
 - Explicit type declarations
 - Logical selection statement
 - Subprogram names could be parameters
- Fortran 66
 - ANSI standard in 1966
(Fortran 66, 이름은 거의 사용되지 않음)

- When there's a need for explicit type system:

```
let x:int = 0
let piConstant:float = 3.141
let Name:String = 'Eriawan'
```



Fortran 77

- Became the new standard in 1978
- Features added to the Fortran IV
 - Character string handling
 - Logical loop control statement
 - **IF-THEN-ELSE** statement

4. Character string functions:

The functions below perform operations from and to character strings. Please note that `ACHAR` works with the standard ASCII character set while `CHAR` works with the representation in the computer you are using.

<code>ACHAR(I)</code>	Returns the ASCII character which has number <code>I</code>
<code>ADJUSTL(STRING)</code>	Adjusts to the left
<code>ADJUSTR(STRING)</code>	Adjusts to the right
<code>CHAR(I, kind)</code>	Returns the character that has the number <code>I</code>
<code>IACHAR(C)</code>	Returns the ASCII number of the character <code>C</code>
<code>ICHAR(C)</code>	Returns the number of character <code>C</code>

`INDEX(STRING, SUBSTRING, back)` Returns the starting position for a substring within a string. If `BACK` is true then you get the last starting position, in the other case, the first one.

`LEN_TRIM(STRING)` Returns the length of the string without the possibly trailing blanks.

<code>LGE(STRING_A, STRING_B)</code>
<code>LGT(STRING_A, STRING_B)</code>
<code>LLE(STRING_A, STRING_B)</code>
<code>LLT(STRING_A, STRING_B)</code>

Looping Control Structures

Control structures alter the normal sequential flow of a statement execution. Loops allow the a block of statements to be executed repeatedly without actually writing them down numerous times.

DO Loop

A `DO` loop allows a block of statements to be executed repeatedly.

```
DO label, loop-control-variable = initial-value, final-value, step-size
    statement1
    statement2
...
    statementn
label CONTINUE
```

Fortran 90

- Most significant changes from Fortran 77
 - **Modules**, Dynamic arrays, Pointers, Recursion
 - **CASE** statement, **Parameter type checking**

구조적 프로그래밍 가능
> 하나의 메인 프로그램이라 생각

1.1 The structure of a module

like a program unit a module has a 'typical' structure. This structure is similar to the main program unit.

```
MODULE <module name>

  <USE [other modules]>

  IMPLICIT NONE

  <Specification Section>

CONTAINS

  <module procedure one>
  <module procedure two>
  :
  <module procedure n >

END MODULE <module name>
```

Latest versions of Fortran

- Fortran 95 – relatively minor additions, plus some deletions
 - New iteration construct (**Forall**)
(the task of parallelizing Fortran programs)
- Fortran 2003 – support for OOP, procedure pointers, interoperability with C
- Fortran 2008 – blocks for local scopes, co-arrays, Do Concurrent (to specify loops without interdependencies)

Features in Versions

Version

FORTAN 77 : Character-based data , Array Programming ,
Modular programming

FORTAN 90 : Generic Programming – Specific Type

FORTAN 95 : High performance Fortran – Parallel Computing

FORTAN 2003 : Object-Oriented Programming

FORTAN 2008 : Concurrent Programming – Thread Base

나중에 쓸때 타입을 정의해서 사용한다는 것

프로젝트에서 사용 할 수 있음
예) c에서 사용했는가?
자바나 존재하는가?
각 언어들을 비교

(참고자료)

In the simplest definition, **generic programming** in which algorithms are specified-later that are then instantiated provided as parameters

Ref.) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Generic_programming

제네릭(Generic)은 클래스 내부에서 사용할 데이터 타입을 외부에서 지정하는 기법을 의미한다. 말이 어렵다. 아래 그림을 보자.

- *Generic Types in Java* -

```
class Person<T> {  
    public T info;  
}  
  
Person<String> p1 = new Person<String>();  
  
Person<StringBuilder> p2 = new Person<StringBuilder>();
```


Fortran Evaluation

- Highly optimizing compilers (all versions before 90)
 - Simplicity & efficiency
 - Types and storage of all variables are fixed before run time (in compile time)
 - Recursive programs (X)
 - No new variables or space could be allocated during execution time (can not use the dynamic allocation such as – alloc(), calloc(), free(), realloc() in C)
- Dramatically changed the way computers are used
 - **Interpretation → compilation**
 - The first widely used high-level language
 - Early versions of Fortran (various problems)
 - Huge investment in Fortran software

Functional Programming: LISP


- LIST Processing language (Designed at MIT by McCarthy)
- **AI** research needed a language to
 - Process data in lists (rather than arrays)
 - Symbolic computation (rather than numeric)

1950년대 중반 인공지능에 관한 관심 태동

- 언어학자: 자연언어처리
- 심리학자: 인간의 정보처리능력의 형상화
- 수학자: 정리의 증명
- ➔ 기호적인 데이터를 연결 리스트로 처리할 수 있는
능력을 포함하는 언어의 필요성 대두

- Only two data types: atoms and lists
- Syntax is based on *lambda calculus*

Representation of Two LISP Lists



Representing the lists (A B C D)
and (A (B C) D (E (F G)))

Internally, lists are stored as single-linked list structures

LISP Evaluation

- Pioneered functional programming
 - No need for variables or assignment
 - Control via recursion and conditional expressions (examples)
- Still the dominant language for AI
- COMMON LISP and Scheme are contemporary dialects of LISP
- ML, Haskell, and F# are also functional programming languages, but use very different syntax

Scheme & COMMON LISP

(Descendants of LISP, Dialect of the LISP)

- Scheme

- Developed at MIT in mid 1970s
- **Small**
- Extensive use of static scoping
- Functions as first-class entities
- Simple syntax (and small size) make it ideal for educational applications

- COMMON LISP

- An effort to combine features of several dialects of LISP into a single language
- **Large, complex**, used in industry for some large applications

Scheme & COMMON LISP

(Descendants of LISP, Dialect of the LISP)

Scheme

- 1970년 중반에 MIT에서 개발
- 비교적 적은 규모의 lisp 구현
- 교육분야에 적합

COMMON LISP

- 1990년대 중반에 개발(Graham, 1996)
- 여러 변형의 lisp을 통합
- 대규모의 복잡한 응용 프로그램을 사용하는 산업용으로 적합

The First Step Toward Sophistication: ALGOL 60

기득권 + 사용자 층이 이미 포트란이었음
>>

- Environment of development

- FORTRAN had (barely) arrived for IBM 70x
- Many other languages were being developed for different machines
- No portable language; all were machine-specific
- No universal language for communicating algorithms

ALGOL 60 > FORTRAN

- ALGOL 60 was the result of efforts to design a universal and machine-independent algorithmic language for scientific applications
 - Fortran was solely owned by IBM



Early Design Process

- ACM(Americans) and GAMM(Europeans) met for four days for design (May 27 to June 1, 1958)
- Goals of the language
 - Close to **mathematical notation (easy readability)**
 - Good for **describing algorithms**
 - Must be **translatable to machine code**

ALGOL 58

- Concept of type was formalized
- Names could be any length
- Arrays could have any number of subscripts
- Parameters were separated by mode (in & out)
- Subscripts were placed in brackets
- Compound statements (**begin** ... **end**)
- Semicolon as a statement separator
- Assignment operator was **:=**
- **if** had an **else-if** clause
- No I/O – “would make it machine dependent”

ALGOL 58 Implementation

- Not meant to be implemented, but variations of it were (MAD, JOVIAL)
- Although IBM was initially enthusiastic, all support was dropped by mid 1959
 - IBM 70X 계열의 machine에 대한 개발 언어로써 사용자의 ALGOL 교육 및 구현에 드는 개발비용이 많이 듦 (ALGOL 58을 포기하고 Fortran을 그대로 유지하게 된 배경)

ALGOL 60 Overview

- Modified ALGOL 58 at 6-day meeting in Paris
- New features
 - Block structure (local & global scope)
 - Two parameter passing methods
(pass by value, pass by name) – (details in Ch.9)
 - Subprogram recursion
 - Stack-dynamic arrays
 - The subscript range or ranges are specified variables
 - 첨자범위 동적 바인딩 및 동적 메모리 할당 (details in ch.6)
 - Still no I/O and no string handling

ALGOL 60 Evaluation


- Successes

- It was *the standard way to publish algorithms* for over 20 years
- All subsequent imperative languages are based on it
보호하다
>한줄을 보고 2개 이상의 의미로 해석될때
- *First machine-independent language*
- First language whose syntax was formally defined (BNF: Backus–Naur Form)
 - Context–Free–Grammar (CFG)의 유사형태
(details in ch. 3)

ALGOL 60 Evaluation (continued)

- Failure
 - Never widely used, especially in U.S.
 - Reasons
 - Lack of I/O and the character set made programs non-portable
 - Too flexible--hard to implement
(difficult to understand → implementation inefficient)
 - Formal syntax description
(BNF-당시에는 난해하게 보임)
 - Entrenchment of Fortran
 - Lack of support from IBM

Genealogy of Common Languages



Computerizing Business Records: COBOL

- Environment of development
 - UNIVAC was beginning to use FLOW-MATIC
 - USAF was beginning to use AIMACO
 - IBM was developing COMTRAN

여러 언어들의 설계 프로젝트가 계획되고 있었음

COBOL Historical Background

- Based on FLOW–MATIC
- FLOW–MATIC features
 - Names up to 12 characters, with embedded hyphens
 - English names for arithmetic operators (no arithmetic expressions)
 - **Data and code were completely separate**
 - The first word in every statement was a verb

COBOL Design Process

- First Design Meeting (Pentagon) – May 1959
- **Design goals**
 - Must look like **simple English**
 - Must be **easy to use**, even if that means it will be less powerful
 - Must **broaden** the base of computer users
 - Must not be biased by current compiler problems
- Design committee members were all from computer manufacturers and DoD branches
- **Design Problems:** **arithmetic expressions?** (불편?) **subscripts?** (복잡?) Fights among manufacturers

COBOL Evaluation

- Contributions
 - **First macro facility** in a high-level language
 - **Hierarchical data structures (records)**
 - Nested selection statements
 - Long names (up to 30 characters), with hyphens(–)
 - **Separate data division**

COBOL: DoD Influence

- First language required by DoD
 - would have failed without DoD
- Still the most widely used business applications language

The Beginning of Timesharing: BASIC

- Designed by Kemeny & Kurtz at Dartmouth
- Design Goals:
 - Easy to learn and use for non-science students
 - Must be “pleasant and friendly”
 - Fast turnaround for homework
 - Free and private access
 - User time is more important than computer time
- Current popular dialect: Visual BASIC
- First widely used language with time sharing

} *time shared concept*

*With individual access through
terminals by numerous simultaneous users*

2.8 Everything for Everybody: PL/I

- Designed by IBM and SHARE
- Computing situation in 1964 (IBM's point of view)
 - **Scientific computing**
 - IBM 1620 and 7090 computers
 - **FORTRAN**
 - SHARE user group
 - **Business computing**
 - IBM 1401, 7080 computers
 - **COBOL**
 - GUIDE user group

PL/I: Background

- By 1963
 - Scientific users began to need **more elaborate** I/O, like COBOL had; business users began to need **floating point and arrays** for MIS (Management Information Systems)
 - It looked like many shops would begin to **need two kinds of computers, languages**, and support staff—too costly
- The obvious solution
 - **Build a new computer** to do both kinds of applications
 - **Design a new language** to do both kinds of applications

PL/I: Design Process

- Designed in five months by the 3 X 3 Committee
 - Three members from IBM, three members from SHARE
- Initial concept
 - An extension of Fortran IV
- Initially called NPL (New Programming Language)
- Name changed to PL/I in 1965

PL/I: Evaluation

- PL/I contributions
(large number of fixed structures)
 - First unit-level concurrency
 - First exception handling
 - Switch-selectable recursion
 - First pointer data type
 - First array cross sections
- Concerns
 - Many new features were poorly designed

많은 구조들의 성공적인 결합에 실패
70년대 사무 및 과학 분야에 부분적으로 많이 사용됨

Two Early Dynamic Languages: APL and SNOBOL

- Characterized by **dynamic typing** and **dynamic storage allocation** (공통점)
 - Variables are untyped : A variable acquires a type when it is assigned a value
 - Storage is allocated to a variable when it is assigned a value

APL: A Programming Language

어렵고 제대로 사용되지 못하고 사장됨

- Designed as **a hardware description language** at IBM by Ken Iverson around 1960
 - Highly expressive (many operators, for both scalars and arrays of various dimensions)
 - Many powerful operators, but poor readability
- Still in use; minimal changes
 - “Throw-away” programming (일회성)
 - Discarded after use because programs are difficult to maintain

SNOBOL

- Designed as **a string manipulation language** at Bell Labs by Farber, Griswold, and Polensky in 1964
- Powerful operators for string pattern matching
- Slower than alternative languages (and thus no longer used for writing editors)
- A supported language that still used **for certain text processing tasks** — 요즘은 파이썬이 좋음

The Beginning of Data Abstraction: SIMULA 67

- Designed primarily for system simulation in Norway by Nygaard and Dahl
- Extension of ALGOL 60
 - Taking both block structure and the control statements from ALGOL60
- Primary Contributions
 - **Coroutines** – a kind of subprogram
 - **Classes, objects, and inheritance** for supporting coroutines
 - **Data abstraction** provides the foundation for **objected-oriented programming** (OOP)

Orthogonal Design: ALGOL 68

- From the continued development of ALGOL 60 but not a superset of that language
- Source of several new ideas (even though the language itself never achieved widespread use)
- Design is based on the concept of orthogonality
 - A few basic concepts, plus a few combining mechanisms
 - A few primitive types and structures and allow the user to combine those primitives into a large number of different structures (**user-defined data structures**)

ALGOL 68 Evaluation (**Orthogonal design**)

- Contributions

- **User-defined data structures**

- By using a few primitives which compose of basic data types and structures
 - Allow the user to design data abstractions that fit particular problems very closely

- **Dynamic arrays** (called flex arrays)

- Not specify subscript bounds
 - Assignments to a dynamic array cause allocation of required storage (기억장소 할당: 값이 assign 될 때)

- Comments

- Less usage than ALGOL 60 (**more complicated**)
 - Had **strong influence on subsequent languages**, especially Pascal, C, and Ada

Simplicity of Design: Pascal

- Developed by Wirth (a former member of the ALGOL 68 committee) – 1971
- Designed for **teaching structured programming**
 - Pascal lacks several features that are essential for many kinds of applications
 - The **impossibility of writing a subprogram** that takes as a **parameter an array of variable length** (가변길이 배열 매개변수)
 - No independent compilation of subprograms (터보 Pascal 파생 원인)
- Largest impact was on teaching programming
 - From mid-1970s until the late 1990s, it was the most widely used language for teaching programming

A Portable Systems Language: C

- Designed for systems programming (at Bell Labs by Dennis Richie) – 1972
- Evolved primarily from BCLP and B, but also ALGOL 68
 - BCLP & B : No type, only machine word
 - Complicated and instable
- Powerful set of operators, but poor type checking (C99 이전 parameter type checking 없이 함수 작성)
- Initially spread through UNIX
- Although originally designed as a systems programming, it has been used in many application areas (portability)
- The first standard for C was published by ANSI (1989)
 - often referred to as “ANSI C” or “C89
 - Current standard: C89 → C99 → C11(ISO/IEC 9899:2011)

Bell Labs (AT &T 산하 연구소)

- 1925년: 최초의 팩스 기능 시연
- 1927년: 장거리 TV 데이터 전송 기능
- 1947년: 트랜지스터 개발
- 1969년: 유닉스 운영체제 개발
- 1978년: 이동 전화 기술 개발

Programming Based on Logic: Prolog

- Developed, by Comerauer and Roussel (University of Aix–Marseille), with help from Kowalski (University of Edinburgh)
- Based on formal logic
- Non–procedural
- Can be summarized as being an intelligent database system that uses an inferencing process to infer the truth of given queries
(An *example* of fact statements and a rule statement – *p.100*)
- Comparatively inefficient
- Few application areas

History's Largest Design Effort: Ada

- Developed for the DoD (Department of Defense)
 - Over half of the applications of computers in DoD were embedded systems
 - Increasing complexity of systems → **no standardization**
- Huge design effort, involving hundreds of people, much money, and about eight years
- Sequence of requirements (1975–1978)
 - (Strawman, Woodman, Tinman, Ironman, Steelman)
- Named Ada after Augusta Ada Byron, the first programmer (1980)

Ada Evaluation

- Contributions

- Packages – support for data abstraction
- Exception handling – elaborate 하지만 컴파일러개발이 너무 오래걸려서 빛을 못봄
- Generic program units (알고리즘의 일반화)
 - 같은 알고리즘 기반의 유사한 구현에서 공통점을 찾음
- Concurrency – through the tasking model

- Comments

- Competitive design (**No limits on participation**)
- Included all that was then known about software engineering and language design
- **First compilers were very difficult**; the first really usable compiler came nearly five years after the language design was completed

Ada 95

- Ada 95 (began in 1988)
 - Support for OOP through type derivation (**inheritance, polymorphism**)
 - Better control mechanisms for shared data
 - New concurrency features
 - More flexible libraries
- Ada 2005
 - Interfaces and synchronizing interfaces
- Popularity suffered because **the DoD no longer requires its use** but also because of **popularity of C++**

Object–Oriented Programming: Smalltalk

- Developed at Xerox PARC, initially by Alan Kay, later by Adele Goldberg
- First full implementation of an object–oriented language (data abstraction, inheritance, and dynamic binding)
- Pioneered the graphical user interface design (Window systems)
- Promoted OOP

Combining Imperative and Object-Oriented Programming: C++

- Developed at Bell Labs by Stroustrup in 1980
- Evolved from C and SIMULA 67 (**Goals of C++**)
 - **Classes & inheritance**
(facilities of OOP taken partially from SIMULA 67)
 - **No performance penalty relative to C**
 - **Could be used for every application for which C could be used**
- A large and complex language, in part because it supports both procedural and OO programming
- Rapidly grew in popularity, along with OOP
- ANSI standard approved in November 1997
- Microsoft's version: MC++
 - An **extension of the C++** programming language designed for **concurrent programming**

Related OOP Languages

- Objective-C (by Brad Cox-early 1980s), Hybrid Language
 - Based on Smalltalk & C++
 - Initially, C plus the classes and message passing of Smalltalk
 - Uses Smalltalk syntax to support hybrid features
 - Used by Apple for systems programs (MAC OS X & iPhone SW)
- Delphi (Borland), Hybrid Language (fast implementation!)
 - Pascal plus features to support OOP
 - More elegant and safer than C++
 - No array subscript range checking (in C) (Not allowed)
 - user-defined operator overloading (in C++)
- Go (designed at Google – 2009)
 - Loosely based on C, but also quite different
 - Does not support traditional OOP

An Imperative–Based Object–Oriented Language: Java

- Developed at Sun in the early 1990s
 - **Reliability** is an important characteristic of the software in consumer electronic products (many products recall → significant cost)
 - C and C++ were not satisfactory for embedded electronic devices
 - C – relatively small, but not supporting OOP
 - C++ – supporting OOP, but too large & complex
 - Merged into Oracle Corporation (Jan 27, 2010)
 - Free download (**OTN**–Oracle Technology Network)

An Imperative–Based Object–Oriented Language: Java (continued)

간단하고
정확하다
> 포인터 삭제
> 타입체킹 명확

- Based on C++
 - more simple and reliable than C++
 - Significantly simplified
 - does not include `struct`, `union`, `enum`, `pointer arithmetic`, and half of the assignment coercions of C++
 - Supports **only OOP**
 - Has **references**, but **not pointers**
 - Includes support for **applets** and a form of **concurrency**
 - **Java applets** are relatively small Java programs
 - **Synchronized** modifier (**thread locking** for shared resources)

Java Evaluation

- Eliminated many unsafe features of C++
 - $\text{int} \rightarrow \text{float}$ (o), $\text{float} \rightarrow \text{int}$ (x) : assignment coercions
 - Index range checking of array accesses
- Supports concurrency (**synchronized**)
- Libraries for applets, GUIs, database access
- **Portable**: Java Virtual Machine concept, **JIT compilers**
 - At least 10 times slower than equivalent compiled C programs (initial java interpreter – JVM)
 - By using JIT compilers, Java programs are translated to **machine code before being executed**
- Widely used for Web programming (applet)
- Use increased faster than any previous language
- Most recent version, 8, released in March 18, 2014 (OTN)

Scripting Languages for the Web

- **Perl**

- Designed by Larry Wall—first released in 1987
- Variables are statically typed but implicitly declared
- Three distinctive namespaces, denoted by the first character of a variable's name
- Powerful, but somewhat dangerous (타입의 강제 변환에 따른 오류)
- **Gained widespread use for CGI programming on the Web**
- Also used for a replacement for UNIX system administration language

- **JavaScript**

- Began at Netscape, but later became a joint venture of Netscape and Sun Microsystems
- **A client-side HTML-embedded scripting language**, often used to create dynamic HTML documents
- Purely interpreted
- Related to Java only through similar syntax

- **PHP**

- PHP: Hypertext Preprocessor, designed by Rasmus Lerdorf
- **A server-side HTML-embedded scripting language**, often used for form processing and database access through the Web
- Purely interpreted

Scripting Languages for the Web

- Python

- An OO interpreted scripting language
- Type checked but dynamically typed
- Used for CGI programming and form processing
- Dynamically typed, but type checked
- Supports lists, tuples, and hashes

자이. 순수 객체지향을 했나 안했나
파이썬은 순수객체 X
루비는 O

- Ruby

- Designed in Japan by Yukihiro Matsumoto (a.k.a, “Matz”)
- Began as a replacement for Perl and Python
- A pure object-oriented scripting language (All data are objects)
- Most operators are implemented as methods, which can be redefined by user code
- Purely interpreted
- 공식 구현
 - MRI 루비 인터프리터 → (YARV: Yet Another Ruby VM) Bytecode Interpreter (속도 개선)
- 그외
 - Jruby (JVM), IronRuby (.NET), MacRuby(Mac OS X)
 - Rubinius : bytecode interpreter based on JIT compiling method

Scripting Languages for the Web

- **Lua**

- An OO interpreted scripting language
- Type checked but dynamically typed
- Used for CGI programming and form processing
- Dynamically typed, but type checked
- Supports lists, tuples, and hashes, all with its single data structure, the table
- Easily extendable
- Use in the gaming industry (2006~2007)
(the small size of its interpreter, 150Kbytes)

확장언어, 스크립트언어
>게임개발용
>파이썬보다 성능우수
>c/cpp와 연동
>게임엔진, 인공지능cpp개발
>성능위주lua개발
>강력한 자료구조

The Flagship .NET Language: C#

- Part of the **.NET development platform** (2000)
 - Component-based software development
 - **CTS**(Common Type System) provides **common class library**
 - **Creating objects from CTS**
 - **CLR**(Common Language Runtime) **virtual machine**
- Based on C++ , Java, and Delphi
- Includes pointers, delegates, properties, enumeration types, a limited kind of dynamic typing, and anonymous types
- Is evolving rapidly
- **JIT compiler**
(Intermediate Language(IL) → machine code)

Markup/Programming Hybrid Languages

- XSLT 별도안중요
 - eXtensible Markup Language (XML): a metamarkup language
 - eXtensible Stylesheet Language Transformation (XSTL) transforms XML documents for display
 - Programming constructs (e.g., looping)
- JSP
 - Java Server Pages: a collection of technologies to support dynamic Web documents
 - JSTL, a JSP library, includes programming constructs in the form of HTML elements

Summary

- Development, development environment, and evaluation of a number of important programming languages
- Perspective into current issues in language design