

# Monitor and Respond to Network Security Events

## 1. Executive Summary

This report outlines the monitoring of network security events in a simulated environment, focusing on identifying and responding to a brute force attack. Using mock data from a SIEM (Security Information and Event Management) tool, the report provides details about the incident, response actions, and recommendations for improving security posture. Screenshots and logs are included to substantiate findings.

## 2. Environment Overview

- **Network Setup:**
  - Firewall: Configured to log and alert on suspicious activities.
  - SIEM Tool: Mocked instance of Splunk for log collection and analysis.
  - Systems Monitored: Web server (192.168.1.10) and authentication server (192.168.1.20).
- **Monitoring Methodology:**
  - Real-time log ingestion from network devices and servers.
  - Automated alerts for failed authentication attempts exceeding predefined thresholds.

## 3. Incident Details

**Incident Type:** Brute Force Attack on the Authentication Server.

**Date and Time:** January 26, 2025, 03:45 AM (UTC).

**Source IP Address:** 203.0.113.45 (mocked).

**Target System:** Authentication Server (192.168.1.20).

**Attack Vector:** Repeated login attempts using a dictionary of common passwords.

**Impact:**

- Temporary lockout of multiple user accounts due to failed login attempts.
- Increased CPU usage on the authentication server during the attack period.

## 4. Detection and Analysis

**Logs Analysis:**

- Sample log entries (mocked):

**Jan 26 03:45:12 auth-server sshd[12345]: Failed password for invalid user admin from 203.0.113.45 port 45789 ssh2**

**Jan 26 03:45:13 auth-server sshd[12345]: Failed password for invalid user root from 203.0.113.45 port 45790 ssh2**

**Jan 26 03:45:14 auth-server sshd[12345]: Failed password for user test from 203.0.113.45 port 45791 ssh2**

**Jan 26 03:45:15 auth-server sshd[12345]: Failed password for**

## **invalid user guest from 203.0.113.45 port 45792 ssh2**

### **Key Findings:**

- Over 100 failed login attempts detected within a 2-minute window.
- The source IP (203.0.113.45) exhibited anomalous behavior compared to normal traffic patterns.
- No successful login was observed during the attack.

**Screenshot:** (Splunk screenshot displaying a spike in failed login attempts and the attacker's IP address.)

## **5. Incident Response Steps**

### **Step 1: Detection**

- An alert triggered by the SIEM tool flagged excessive failed login attempts.

### **Step 2: Containment**

- Blocked the source IP (203.0.113.45) at the firewall level.
- Enabled rate limiting for SSH connections to mitigate future attacks.

### **Step 3: Eradication**

- Conducted a system scan to ensure no successful compromise occurred.
- Implemented stricter account lockout policies.

### **Step 4: Recovery**

- Restored temporarily locked accounts after verification.
- Monitored for any residual malicious activity.

### **Step 5: Post-Incident Analysis**

- Reviewed logs and updated the SIEM rule set to include:
  - Alerts for failed logins from a single IP exceeding 10 attempts in 1 minute.
  - Geo-blocking for non-essential regions.

## **6. Recommendations**

### **1. Harden Authentication Mechanisms:**

- Enforce multi-factor authentication (MFA) for all users.
- Disable root login via SSH.

### **2. Improve Monitoring:**

- Implement additional threat intelligence feeds for identifying known malicious IPs.

### **3. Conduct Regular Security Audits:**

- Schedule quarterly vulnerability assessments to identify weaknesses.

### **4. User Awareness:**

- Educate users about strong passwords and recognizing phishing attempts.

## **7. Supporting Evidence**

### **1. Logs:**

- Raw log data from the authentication server during the attack period.

### **2. Screenshots:**

- Graph from Splunk showing the failed login spike.
- Firewall configuration screenshot showing the blocked IP address.

## **8. Conclusion**

The mock brute force attack was successfully identified and mitigated through real-time monitoring and prompt response actions. Implementing the recommended measures will strengthen the network's resilience against similar threats in the future.