

Figure 5: Classwise performance of metric-learning and meta-learning based techniques in detecting rare objects in IDD dataset. The last 4 classes represent the rare categories. FsDet, a metric learning based approach, performs better than meta-learning approaches in both base and novel classes.

### 4.3 Discussion

Our experiments uncovered several findings on the nature of road objects and the behaviour of few-shot object detection networks in the context of driving. Results from Tables ?? and ?? demonstrate that cosine similarity based TFA architectures (FsDet) outperforms meta-learning based architectures (Meta-RCNN, Meta-Reweight and Add-Info) on novel-class performance by 11.2  $mAP$  points on IDD-10 (split 1) and 1.0  $mAP$  point on IDD-OS split. We attribute lower inter-class distance between new object categories as the probable reason for the lower performance of meta-learning over similarity-based methods. This aspect of IDD makes it a unique dataset well suited for evaluation of few-shot object detection in a real-world, driving scenarios.

Comparing the base class performance in Table ?? against the roofline performance metrics in Table ??, we demonstrate a lower degradation in base-class performance when adopting TFA architecture (FsDet) over its meta-learning counterparts, after the introduction of novel classes. Meta-learning techniques like Meta-RCNN and Add-Info suffer a significant reduction in base-class performance except when additional features were provided to the final prediction head of the object detector.

Figure ?? shows class-level confusion among all classes in IDD-OS split trained on 10-shot data samples using TFA architecture. In particular, the confusion between *truck* vs. *car*, *bicycle* vs. *motorcycle* and *water-tanker* vs. *car* classes are high, with the maximum being 40%. This observation can possibly be explained by the fact that road objects in context, share a large number of low-level features with other object classes, thus posing a challenge for few-shot algorithms to differentiate. This observation here is in line with that by the authors of MetaDet (?) that confusion between classes is the primary challenge in few-shot learning scenarios. This is further echoed by the authors of Meta-Reweight (?) that there exists a high confusion of 50% among classes in PASCAL VOC dataset.

## 5 Conclusion

We analyzed the performance of state-of-the-art methods for few-shot object detection, using a real-world dataset (IDD) which inherently contains class-imbalanced data from driving scenarios. Our evaluation of methods was for two




Figure 6: Confusion matrix plotted for class prediction results from IDD validation dataset showing confusion between classes when trained on IDD-OS 10-shot split on FsDet network.

tasks: same-domain and open-set representations. To evaluate these settings, we expanded a publicly available dataset with additional class labels in the open-set representation. By creating an extension of IDD, we hope to pave a way for many future works in few-shot learning with real-world datasets. Based on our experiments, we conclude that cosine similarity based TFA network (FsDet) outperforms meta-learning based networks in both the tasks by 11.2 and 1.0  $mAP$  points in novel class performance respectively. We conclude that meta-learning networks while achieving great strides, tend to under perform even simpler baselines from metric-learning based methods. We also observe that class-confusions remains an open challenge in any few-shot learning paradigm and can be the focus of further improvements.

Modi aperiam quam deleniti unde, a eveniet iusto laborum eligendi minima ut et qui soluta, ducimus laboriosam iusto, deserunt quis ratione, impedit veniam blanditiis ape-

riam architecto est officia sed qui?Repellendus assumenda  
accusantium ullam quis culpa qui, expedita impedit mollitia  
tempore accusamus est?