based inductive logic programming system that requires manual annotation of data, such as mode declaration, finding group size, etc. Conversely, the SQuARE system relies fully on automatic reasoning with only manual encoding of reusable commonsense knowledge. An action language based QA methodology using VerbNet has been developed by Lierler et al (?). The project aims to extend frame semantics with ALM, an action language (?), to provide interpretable semantic annotations. Unlike SQuARE, it is not an end-to-end automated QA system.

Table 3 shows the accuracy of our proposal, Sta-CACK, against the best models on the bAbI dataset in terms of per-response and in parenthesis in terms of per-dialog: Mem2Seq (?), and BoSsNET (?). Other results can be found elsewhere (?). Unsurprisingly, similar to the rule-based system, StaCACK surpasses all the ML based models by showing 100% accuracy. Nevertheless, due to the commonsense reasoning, StaCACK can hold better natural conversation (shown in the Example section of StaCACK) that is not possible with a standard rule-based system based on monotonic logic.

Discussion

Our goal is to create NLU applications that mimics the way human understand natural language. Humans understand a passage's meaning and use commonsense knowledge to logically reason to find a response to a question. We believe that this is the most effective process to create an NLU application. Learning and reasoning both are integral parts of human intelligence. Today, ML research dominates AI. Most state-of-the-art CA or QA systems have been developed using ML techniques (e.g., LSTM, GRU, Attention Network, Transformers, etc.). The systems that are built with these techniques learn the patterns of the training text remarkably well and shows promising results on test data. With the recent advancements in the language model research. the pre-trained models such as BERT (?) and GPT-3 (?) have outstanding capability of generating natural

| Model Tasks | $egin{array}{c} \operatorname{Mem}\operatorname{NN} \\ (\operatorname{AM}+\operatorname{NG}+\operatorname{NL}) \end{array}$ | Mitra et al. | SQuARE |
|------------------------|---|-----------------|--------|
| Single Supporting Fact | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Two Supporting Facts | 98 | 100 | 100 |
| Three Supporting Facts | 95 | 100 | 100 |
| Two Arg. Relation | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Three Arg. Relation | 99 | 100 | 99.8 |
| Yes/No Questions | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Counting | 97 | 100 | 100 |
| Lists/Sets | 97 | 100 | 100 |
| Simple Negation | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Indefinite Knowledge | 98 | 100 | 98.2 |
| Basic Coreference | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Conjunction | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Compound Coreference | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Time Reasoning | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Basic Deduction | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Basic Induction | 99 | 93.6 | 100 |
| Positional Reasoning | 60 | 100 | 100 |
| Size Reasoning | 95 | 100 | 100 |
| Path Finding | 35 | 100 | 100 |
| Agents Motivations | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| MEAN ACCURACY | 94 | 100 | 100 |

Table 2: SQuARE accuracy (%) comparison

| | Mem2Seq | BossNet | StaCACK |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Task 1 | 100 (100) | 100 (100) | 100 (100) |
| Task 2 | 100 (100) | 100 (100) | 100 (100) |
| Task 3 | 94.7 (62.1) | 95.2 (63.8) | 100 (100) |
| Task 4 | 100 (100) | 100 (100) | 100 (100) |
| Task 5 | 97.9 (69.6) | 97.3 (65.6) | 100 (100) |
| Task 1 (OOV) | 94.0 (62.2) | 100 (100) | 100 (100) |
| Task 2 (OOV) | 86.5 (12.4) | 100 (100) | 100 (100) |
| Task 3 (OOV) | 90.3 (38.7) | 95.7 (66.6) | 100 (100) |
| Task 4 (OOV) | 100 (100) | 100 (100) | 100 (100) |
| Task 5 (OOV) | 84.5 (2.3) | 91.7 (18.5) | 100 (100) |

Table 3: Accuracy per response (per dialog) in %.

languages. These rapid evolutions in the NLU world showcase some extremely sophisticated text predictor. These are used to build a chatbot or a QA system that can generate correct responses by exploiting the correlation among words and without properly understanding the content. These ML techniques are extremely powerful in tasks where learning of hidden data patterns is needed, such as machine translation, sentiment analysis, syntactic parsing, etc. However, they fail to generate proper responses where reasoning is required and they mostly do not employ commonsense knowledge. Also, the black-box nature of theses models makes their response non-explainable. In other words, these models do not possess any internal meaning representation of a sentence or a word and have no semantically-grounded model of the world. So, it will be an injustice to say that they understand their inputs and outputs in any meaningful way. Our semantic knowledge generation approach and its two applications are a step toward mimicking a human assistant. We believe that, to obtain truly intelligent behavior, ML and commonsense reasoning should work in tandem.

Compared to ML-based QA systems and CAs, our approach has many advantages. It produces correct responses by truly understanding the text and reasoning about it, rather than by using patterns learned from training examples. Also, ML-based systems are more likely to produce incorrect response, if not trained appropriately, resulting in vulnerability under adversarial attacks (?). We believe that our commonsense reasoning based systems are more resilient. Scalability is also an issue due to the dependence on training data. Explainability is a necessary feature that a truly intelligent system must possess. Both

Future Work and Conclusion

We presented our novel semantics-driven English text to answer set program generator. Also, we showed how commonsense reasoning coded in ASP can be leveraged to develop advanced NLU applications, such as SQuARE and StaCACK. We make use of the s(CASP) engine, a query-driven implementation of ASP, to perform reasoning while generating an natural language explanation for any computed answer. As part of fu-

ture work, we plan to extend the SQuARE system to handle more complex sentences and eventually handle complex stories. Our goal is also to develop an open-

domain conversational AI chatbot based on automated commonsense reasoning that can "converse"