## Lecture 5

## niceguy

## September 18, 2023

**Definition 0.1.** Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $\bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{U}(x; \varepsilon)$  is called the  $\varepsilon$  neighbourhood of X.

**Theorem 0.1** (The  $\varepsilon$  neighbourhood theorem). Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be compact. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing X. Then there is an varepsilon > 0 such that the  $\varepsilon$  neighbourhood of X is contained in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

*Proof.* The  $\varepsilon$  neighbourhood of X in the euclidean metric is contained in that of the sup metrix, so it suffices to show that this holds for the sup metric. First, fix a set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Define

$$d(x,C) = \inf\{d(x,c)|c \in C\}$$

We claim that d(x, C) is continuous in x. Using the sequence definition, it is enough to show that

$$d(x,C) - d(y,C) \le d(x,y)$$

Letting  $c \in C$ ,

$$d(x,C) - d(x,y) \le d(x,c) - d(x,y) \le d(y,c)$$

Taking the infimum of both sides with free choice of c, we get

$$d(x,C) - d(x,y) \le d(y,C) \Rightarrow d(x,C) - d(y,C) \le d(x,y)$$

We can reverse the argument to show that this holds if we switch x and y. This is enough to prove our claim.

Given  $\mathcal{U}$ , define  $f: X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(x) = d(x, \mathcal{U}^C)$$

We know f is continuous and  $f(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in X$  since the  $\delta$  ball of x is contained in  $\mathcal{U}$  (because it is open). Because X is compact, f(x) has a minimum value which gives the  $\varepsilon$ . (Finitely many open balls centred at a point in X cover X).

**Lemma 0.1.** The rectangle  $Q = [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n] \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is compact.

Proof. We prove this by induction. For the induction step, let  $Q = X \times [a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}]$  where we assume X is compact. Let A be an open cover. For any  $t \in [a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}]$ , it is obvious that  $X \times \{t\}$  is compact, since it is isomorphic with X. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a finite subcover, and by 0.1, the set  $X \times [t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon]$  is contained in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then for any t, we can find an open  $V_t$  defined similarly such that  $X \times V_t$  is compact. Since n = 1 holds, we only need finitely many  $V_t$  to cover  $[a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}]$ , and hence it holds for n + 1.