

# Quantitative Methods 2

Tutorial 10

Nhan La

# Last week

- Multiple linear regression

$$E(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \cdots + \beta_k X_k$$

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \cdots + \beta_k X_k + \varepsilon$$

- General F test

- Compare unrestricted against restricted model

- Test linear restriction of parameters

- General expression:  $F = \frac{n-k-1}{m} \frac{SSE_r - SSE}{SSE}$

- Same dependent variable:  $F = \frac{n-k-1}{m} \frac{R^2 - R_r^2}{1 - R^2} = \frac{(R^2 - R_r^2)/m}{(1 - R^2)/(n-k-1)}$

- Conduct the linear restriction test

- Use EViews' coefficient restriction test, or

- Estimate separately restricted and unrestricted models

# Tutorial 10

## MLR assumptions and violations

	Assumption	Violation
MLR1	$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ki} + \varepsilon_i$	Nonlinearity
MLR2	$E(\varepsilon_i   x_i) = 0$	Omitted variable
MLR3	$Var(\varepsilon_i   x_i) = \sigma^2$	Heteroskedasticity
MLR4	$E(\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j   x_i, x_j) = 0$	Autocorrelation
MLR5	No exact linear relationship among $x_i$	(Perfect) multicollinearity
MLR6	$\varepsilon_i   x_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$	Unreliable hypothesis testing

# Tutorial 10

$$E(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \cdots + \beta_k X_k$$
$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \cdots + \beta_k X_k + \varepsilon$$

- Multicollinearity
  - Effect of  $X_i$  on  $Y$ , holding all other  $X$ 's constant?
- Heteroskedasticity :  $\text{Var}(\varepsilon_i | x_i) = \sigma_i^2$
- Consequence:
  - $\hat{\beta}$  are still linear and unbiased
  - $\text{SE}(\hat{\beta})$  are incorrect, thus hypothesis tests are incorrect

# Tutorial 10

## Violation of MLR5: Multicollinearity

- High  $R^2$  but only a few significant t-ratios with the **logical signs**
  - $t_k = \frac{\hat{\beta}_k - 0}{SE(\hat{\beta}_k)}$
  - $R^2 \geq 0.5$
- Strong correlation between  $X$ 's. Stronger correlation between some  $X$ 's than between these variables and  $Y$ 
  - $|r| > 0.8$
- $VIF_j = \frac{1}{1 - R_j^2} \geq 5$

# Tutorial 10

Violation of MLR3: Heteroskedascity

$$\text{Var}(\varepsilon_i | x_i) = \sigma_i^2$$

- OLS estimators ( $\hat{\beta}$ ) are still linear, unbiased, consistent and normally distributed
- $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$  is often underestimated
  - Inflate significance of the hypothesis testing

# Tutorial 10

Violation of MLR3: **Heteroskedasticity**

- Plot  $e_i$  against independent variables and observe patterns
- White test

i.  $y_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_{1i} + \hat{\beta}_2 X_{2i} + e_i$

ii. Auxiliary model

$$e_i^2 = \hat{\alpha}_0 + \hat{\alpha}_1 X_{1i} + \hat{\alpha}_2 X_{2i} + \hat{\alpha}_3 X_{1i}^2 + \hat{\alpha}_4 X_{2i}^2 + \hat{\alpha}_5 X_{1i} X_{2i} + v_i$$

Why?

$$\text{Var}(e_i|X_i) = E(e_i^2|X_i) - \underbrace{[E(e_i|X_i)]^2}_0$$

$$\text{Var}(e_i|X_i) = E(e_i^2|X_i)$$

iii. Test statistic

$$W = nR_{aux}^2 \sim \chi_{df}^2$$

$H_0$ : model is **homoskedastic**;  $H_A$ : model is **heteroskedastic**

$df$  = number of regressors (independent variables) in the auxiliary model

$n$  = sample size