Project demonstration (Oral examination)

As you have designed and implemented your submission, we will assume you know everything about your submissions. If you failed to show such understanding, then you will lose marks.

We do not accept excuse of "I did this a few days ago, so I forgot". Treat your project demonstrate like an oral exam.

Project demonstration will be recorded so we can refer to and review it if required.

Demonstration & Understanding Multiplier (Factor of 0 to 1)

This multiplier is determined during the live demonstration and Q&A session. It assesses the student's ability to explain their code, justify their design decisions, and demonstrate genuine authorship of the project.

Multiplier	Level of Understanding and Authorship	Observations during Demonstration & Q&A
1.0 (Excellent)	Complete Ownership & Deep Understanding:	The student can navigate the codebase with ease. They provide clear,
	The student demonstrates that they are	confident, and in-depth explanations of their code's logic, architecture,
	indisputably the author of the work and has a deep	and the design choices they made. They can effectively troubleshoot and
	understanding of it.	discuss hypothetical modifications.
0.75 (Good)	Good Understanding: The student appears to be	The student can explain the core logic of the application but may struggle
	the author but may have some gaps in their	with some detailed or theoretical questions. Their explanations are
	understanding of more complex areas.	generally clear, but they might need some prompting.
0.5	Superficial Understanding: The student can	The student has difficulty explaining the underlying logic and control flow.
(Satisfactory)	describe what the code does on a surface level but	They may read comments aloud without providing deeper insight. There's
	struggles to explain the "how" or "why."	uncertainty in their answers about significant portions of the
		implementation.
0.25 (Poor)	Little to No Understanding: There are significant	The student is unable to explain key parts of their application's logic or
	doubts about the student's authorship of the work.	structure. They frequently respond with "I don't know" or "I don't
		remember."
0.0 (Zero)	No Evidence of Authorship: The student cannot	The student is unable to answer basic questions about their code,
	explain their code. It is clear they are not the author	navigate their own project files, or explain fundamental design choices. An
	of the submitted work.	academic integrity investigation may be warranted.

Demonstration Multiplier: _____ (between 0.0 and 1.0)

UI Rubric

User	5 Clarity & Consistency: The layout is	4 All UI requirements	3 Most UI	1 Multiple UI	0 No effort
Interface &	clean, consistent across all pages, and	from the specification	requirements are met,	requirements from the	has been
Experience	easy to navigate. Font sizes, button styles,	are met.	but there may be some	specification are	made to style
	and color schemes are applied		inconsistencies.	missing (e.g., user's	the
	consistently.	The layout is generally		name is not displayed,	application
		clean and consistent,	The layout is functional	pagination is broken or	beyond
	Usability: All interactive elements	though it may lack	but may be cluttered or	missing).	default
	(buttons, links, forms) are intuitive. The	professional polish.	poorly organized.		browser
	user always knows what to do next.			The layout is	styles. The
	Conditional elements (like the "Book	The user can complete	The user can get tasks	confusing and	applicationis
	Now" or "Edit/Delete" buttons) appear	all tasks without	done, but it might take	inconsistent, actively	fundamentally
	and disappear correctly and logically.	confusion. Basic	some effort to figure out	hindering usability.	unusable due
		feedback (e.g., error	the interface. Feedback		to UI failures.
	User Feedback: The application	messages) is present.	might be minimal or	The application is	
	provides clear, immediate feedback. For		unclear.	difficult to use.	
	example, successful actions result in a				
	success message (e.g., "Event created				
	successfully!"), and validation errors are				
	displayed clearly next to the relevant form				
	fields.				
	Delicht The application leaks				
	Polish: The application looks				
	professionally designed and is reasonably				
	responsive (i.e., it doesn't break on a				
	slightly smaller browser window).				