

Figure 1: Per residue free energy calculation indicate significant differences of sidechain orientation of key amino acid residues. These amino acid residues control the free energy equilibrium to either β or α conformation. All figures in the left are in β conformation, whereas the right ones are in α conformation except in Figure (F). Sidechains of hydrophobic residues Leu-7 in (A) and Ala-26 in (B) are oriented towards the protein interior in β conformation. In such conformation they have hydrophobic interactions with other hydrophobic residues colored in white. In α conformation these residues are exposed to the protein surface. (C) Tyr-45 forms H-bond with Asp-47 with GB95 sequence and β conformation. (D) Gln-11 and Glu-15 form a H-bond in β conformation which is absent in the α conformation. (E) Hydrophobic Ile³⁴⁹ is exposed to the protein surface in β conformation, whereas it is inside protein hydrophobic core in α conformation. (F) Sidechain of Ile-30 is inside the protein hydrophobic core in as it has a smaller sidechain with GA95 sequence in α conformation. In contrast, Phe-30 with larger sidechain is exposed to the protein surface with GB95 sequence.




Figure 2: Native and best model structure of a domain of adhesion exoprotein from *Pediococcus pentosaceus* (pdb id: 2KWF and CASP code: T0569). The best model was from the group "Mufold".




Figure 3: Key differences between native crystal structure and the model as indicated by per residue free energy calculation. The sidechain of hydrophobic residues, Val-59 and Ile-61 are oriented towards the protein hydrophobic core in (A) crystal structure, (B) exposed to the surface in model. The beta sheet containing these residues is disordered in the generated model. There is a H-bond between Lys-76 and Asp-11 in (D) model structure, which is absent in the (C) native structure.




Figure 4: Differences of the sidechain orientation in model 1 and crystallographic structure of CASP target T0538 as determined by per residue free energy calculation. (A) Two salt bridges between residues Glu-35-Arg-32 and Glu-28-Lys-24 exist in the native crystallographic structure. These are absent in the model structure. Instead (B) a new salt bridge is formed between residues Arg-32-Glu-28 in model. (C) Another salt bridge between residues Arg-26-Glu-50 in the native structure, (D) This salt bridge is absent in the model structure. In comparison to the crystallographic structure, orientation of Phe-51 differs in model1.