

Para demostrar que el bytecode generado mediante la optimización de `tailcall` es efectivamente menor queremos mostrar que

$$\text{length}(\text{bcc } t) \leq \text{length}(\text{bcc}' t). \quad t :: \text{TTerm}$$

donde `bcc` t es el bytecode optimizado y `bcc'` t el original, es decir, previa optimización con `tailcall`

Antes de demostrar eso demostraremos el siguiente lema:

Lema 1. *Para todo $t : \text{TTerm}$ se verifica*

$$\text{length}(\text{bctc } t) \leq \text{length}(\text{bcc } t) + 1.$$

Demostración. Inducción estructural sobre t .

Caso base. t construido con `V` o `Const`:

$$\text{length}(\text{bctc } t) \stackrel{\text{def.bctc}}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc } t ++ [\text{RETURN}]) \stackrel{\text{length.}(++)}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc } t) + 1.$$

Caso Inductivo. Sea t un término cualquiera y supongamos la hipótesis inductiva (H.I) $\text{length } \text{bctc } t' \leq \text{length } \text{bcc } t' + 1$ para todo sub-término $t' \preceq t$. Analizamos cada constructor de `TTerm`:

(a) **Aplicación** ($t = \text{App } _ t_1 t_2$):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length } \text{bctc } t &\stackrel{\text{def.bctc}}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1 ++ \text{bcc } t_2 ++ [\text{RETURN}]) \\ &\stackrel{\text{length.}(++)}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1 ++ \text{bcc } t_2) + 1 \\ &\stackrel{\text{def.bcc}}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc } t) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

(b) **Condicional cero** ($t = \text{IfZ } _ c t_1 t_2$). Sean $\ell_1 = \text{length}(\text{bctc } t_1) + 2$, $\ell'_1 = \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1) + 2$, $\ell_2 = \text{length}(\text{bctc } t_2)$ y $\ell'_2 = \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(\text{bctc } t) &\stackrel{\text{def.bctc}}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc } c ++ [\text{CJUMP}, \ell_1] ++ \text{longJump}(\text{bctc } t_1) \ell_2 ++ [\text{JUMP}, \ell_2] ++ \text{bctc } t_2) \\ &\stackrel{\text{length.}(++)}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc } c) + 2 + \text{length}(\text{bctc } t_1) + 2 + \text{length}(\text{bctc } t_2) \\ &\stackrel{\text{H.I.}}{\leq} \text{length}(\text{bcc } c) + 2 + (\text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1) + 1) + 2 + (\text{length}(\text{bcc } t_2) + 1) \\ &\stackrel{\text{length.}(++)}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc } c ++ [\text{CJUMP}, \ell'_1] ++ \text{longJump}(\text{bcc } t_1) \ell'_2 ++ [\text{JUMP}, \ell'_2] ++ \text{bcc } t_2) \\ &\stackrel{\text{def.bcc}}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc } t) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

(c) **Let de descarte** ($t = \text{Let } i' \text{ ``_'' } t_1 (\text{Sc1 } t_2)$). Sea $t'_2 = \text{varChanger } 0 \text{ varLibres varBound } t_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(\text{bctc } t) &\stackrel{\text{def.bctc}}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1 ++ [\text{DISCARD}] ++ \text{bctc } t'_2) \\ &\stackrel{\text{length.}(++)}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1) + \text{length } [\text{DISCARD}] + \text{length}(\text{bctc } t'_2) \\ &\stackrel{\text{H.I.}}{\leq} \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1) + \text{length } [\text{DISCARD}] + \text{length}(\text{bcc } t'_2) + 1 \\ &\stackrel{\text{length.}(++)}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1 ++ [\text{DISCARD}] ++ \text{bcc } t'_2) + 1 \\ &\stackrel{\text{def.bcc}}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc } t) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

(d) **Let anidado** ($t = \text{Let } _ _ t_1 (\text{Sc1 } t_2)$) con subcasos:

(I) $t_2 = \text{Print } _ s (\text{V } _ (\text{Bound } 0))$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length } (\text{bctc } t) &\stackrel{\text{def.bctc}}{=} \text{length } (\text{bctc } (\text{Print } _ s t_1)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{H.I.}}{\leq} \text{length } (\text{bcc } (\text{Print } _ s t_1)) + 1 \\ &\stackrel{\text{def.bcc}}{=} \text{length } (\text{bcc } t) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

(II) $t_2 = \text{Let } i _ _ (\text{Print } _ s (\text{V } _ (\text{Bound } 0))) (\text{Sc1 } t_3)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length } (\text{bctc } t) &\stackrel{\text{def.bctc}}{=} \text{length } (\text{bcc } (\text{Print } i s t_1) ++ [\text{SHIFT}] ++ \text{bctc } (\text{letSimp } t_3)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{length.++}}{=} \text{length } (\text{bcc } (\text{Print } i s t_1)) + 1 + \text{length } (\text{bctc } (\text{letSimp } t_3)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{H.I.}}{\leq} \text{length } (\text{bcc } (\text{Print } i s t_1)) + 1 + (\text{length } (\text{bcc } (\text{letSimp } t_3)) + 1) \\ &\stackrel{\text{length.++}}{=} \text{length } (\text{bcc } (\text{Print } i s t_1) ++ [\text{SHIFT}] ++ \text{bcc } (\text{letSimp } t_3)) + 1 \\ &\stackrel{\text{def.bcc}}{=} \text{length } (\text{bcc } t) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

(III) $t_2 = \text{V } _ (\text{Bound } 0)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length } (\text{bctc } t) &\stackrel{\text{def.bctc}}{=} \text{length } (\text{bctc } t_1) \\ &\stackrel{\text{H.I.}}{\leq} \text{length } (\text{bcc } t_1) + 1 \\ &\stackrel{\text{def.bcc}}{=} \text{length } (\text{bcc } t) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

(IV) *Resto de subcasos*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length } (\text{bctc } t) &\stackrel{\text{def.bctc}}{=} \text{length } (\text{bcc } t) \\ &\leq \text{length } (\text{bcc } t) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

□

Observación. Se usa que $\text{length } (\text{longJump } xs j) = \text{length } (xs)$, demostrable por inducción en la lista xs .

Teorema 1. *Para todo $t :: \text{TTerm}$ se cumple*

$$\text{length } (\text{bcc } t) \leq \text{length } (\text{bcc}' t).$$

Demostración. Por inducción estructural sobre **TTerm**.

Caso base. Cuando t es construido con **V** o **Const**, ambas implementaciones son idénticas, así que la desigualdad es trivial.

Paso inductivo. Supongamos como hipotesis inductiva (H.I.) que

$$\text{length}(\text{bcc } t') \leq \text{length}(\text{bcc}' t')$$

para todo sub-término $t' \prec t$. Solo hay que tratar los constructores modificados; los restantes son idénticos en ambas versiones.

(a) **Expresiones lambda** ($t = \text{Lam } _ _ _ (\text{Sc1 } t_1)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(\text{bcc } t) &\stackrel{\text{def.bcc}}{=} \text{length}\left(\left[\text{FUNCTION}, \text{length}(\text{bctc } t_1)\right] ++ \text{bctc } t_1\right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{length.}(++)}{=} 2 + \text{length}(\text{bctc } t_1) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lema 1}}{\leq} 2 + (\text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1) + 1) \\ &= 2 + 1 + \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1) \\ &\stackrel{\text{length.}(++)}{=} \text{length}\left(\left[\text{FUNCTION}, \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1)\right] ++ [\text{RETURN}]\right) + \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1) \\ &\stackrel{\text{H.I.}}{\leq} \text{length}\left(\left[\text{FUNCTION}, \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1)\right] ++ [\text{RETURN}]\right) + \text{length}(\text{bcc}' t_1) \\ &= \text{length}\left(\left[\text{FUNCTION}, \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1)\right] ++ \text{bcc}' t_1 ++ [\text{RETURN}]\right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{def.bcc}'}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc}' t). \end{aligned}$$

(b) **Recursión mediante punto fijo** ($t = \text{Fix } _ _ _ _ _ (\text{Sc2 } t_1)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(\text{bcc } t) &\stackrel{\text{def.bcc}}{=} \text{length}\left(\left[\text{FUNCTION}, \text{length}(\text{bctc } t_1)\right] ++ \text{bctc } t_1 ++ [\text{FIX}]\right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{length.}(++)}{=} 2 + \text{length}(\text{bctc } t_1) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lema 1}}{\leq} 2 + (\text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1) + 1) \\ &\stackrel{\text{length.}(++)}{=} \text{length}\left(\left[\text{FUNCTION}, \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1)\right] ++ [\text{FIX}] ++ [\text{RETURN}]\right) + \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1) \\ &\stackrel{\text{H.I.}}{\leq} \text{length}\left(\left[\text{FUNCTION}, \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1)\right] ++ [\text{FIX}] ++ [\text{RETURN}]\right) + \text{length}(\text{bcc}' t_1) \\ &= \text{length}\left(\left[\text{FUNCTION}, \text{length}(\text{bcc } t_1)\right] ++ \text{bcc}' t_1 ++ [\text{FIX}] ++ [\text{RETURN}]\right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{def.bcc}'}{=} \text{length}(\text{bcc}' t). \end{aligned}$$

Como todos los casos (modificados o no) satisfacen la cota, la proposición es válida para todo t . \square