IT 324 Web Systems and Technologies (Lecture)
Final Project Deliverables

1. Project Output (electronic)
   - reviewer on Web Technologies (HTTP, HTML, CSS, Javascript, Basic Server-side Scripting [may be specific to a platform])
   - should utilize a back-end database for the review questions and other data the application requires
   - should implement server-side scripting other than database access
   - should have a feature for a timed review activity
   - provide sufficient variety in the way the review is conducted
   - should be deployed using a domain name (implemented via vritual hosting)
   - should utilize any one of the following: PHP, Node js, or Java Servlets
   - front-end (only) frameworks/libraries are allowed
   - should be submitted with sufficient data and well-written review questions
   - should be submitted in a ZIP archive, complete with all supporting components / artifacts, as well as a README.txt file describing the project set up and configuration necessary to run and check the application.
   - all functional codebase sections must be tagged (through comments embedded in the code) with the group member(s) responsible for the code, as well as concise descriptions of the code section's purpose

2. Project Document (electronic and hardcopy)
   - Document Sections:
     i. Cover Page (see specification provided in one of the June 29 assignments
     ii. Project Assessment:
        1. provide a table containing 3 columns: i) detail the features the group intended to implement for the project ii) percentage of completion of the feature (out of 100%) iii) the current state of implementation (e.g., what works, deficiencies, bugs, missing functionality). Follow the template below (see sample provided).
        2. indicate the total percentage completion of the entire application

Project Feature	% accomplishment	Remarks
Results Page (assumed to have been described in the *screenshots* section)	80%	Shows the correct score obtained in the quiz, together with the expected perfect score. Deficiency: CSS was not integrated properly into the page.

     iii. Technologies Used: describe the technologies used, their purpose in the development of the application as well as the manner in which such technologies were used
     iv. Screenshots: provide screenshots of the application's user interfaces, with descriptions of the purpose of the interface and the expected user interaction with the interface.
   - The document should not exceed 10 pages (exclusive of cover page and/or reference pages, if any)

3. Peer Evaluation (hardcopy)
   - The Peer Evaluation includes the Consolidated Peer Evaluation and the PEAR (Peer Evaluation and Accomplishment Report). Refer to the June 29 announcement for the templates. Please include the team name in the heading of your evaluations.