. TWO-SAHPLE PROBUEN

ANOVA (Analysis of voriance)

In the cucked exercise we had 2 groups of observations and we worted to Test whether the means of the two groups were equal (assuming normality and homosceola-sticity). In particular, we showed the equivalence between the two-sample t-test and a test of significance on the regression parameter of a simple em. Now we are going to generalize the procedure of compount the means of several groups

using the linear model.

Suppose we one testing the effectiveness of a treatment, and we measure the survival time Y on subjects divided into 2 gnoups: - group 1: Ns individuals

- group 2: n2 individuels

The question of interest is whether the mean survival time of the two proups one equal or different. If they are different, then the two treatments have different effectiveness.

we can use 2 indices i, 9 i=1,..., ng # writ => Yi,g ~ N(µg, 62) independent g=1,2 # group

Let us denote with μ_{4} the mean survival time for proup 1, and with the mean survival for group 2. The estimates one simply

$$\hat{\mu}_{1} = \frac{1}{n_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} y_{i1} = \overline{y}_{1}$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{2} = \frac{1}{n_{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} y_{i2} = \overline{y}_{2}$$

Ho: 141 = 1/2 (no effect) vs H1: 1/14 + 1/4 We can unite this model as a einear model in scrend ways:

If we wont to Test the effectiveness of the treatment, we test

Yig =
$$\begin{cases} \mu_1 + Eig & \text{if } g = 1 \\ \mu_2 + Eig & \text{if } g = 2 \end{cases}$$
 Eig $^{2d}_{N} N(0, 6^2)$ $g = 1, 2$ $2 = 1, ..., ng$

But we can also write it in a more compact way as Y = XH + & where Y = (Y11, ..., Yn21, Y12, ..., Yn2) T

$$Y = X\mu + \underline{\delta} \quad \text{where} \quad Y = (Y_{21}, ..., Y_{n_{1}1}, Y_{22}, ..., Y_{n_{1}2})$$

$$\mu = (\mu_{1}, \mu_{2})^{T}$$

$$\underline{K}_{1} \quad \underline{K}_{2} \quad$$

• an equivalent formulation

what if we worted to include the intercept?

If we consider
$$X = [\underline{1}_n, \underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2]$$
: not a pood choice: $\underline{1}_n = \underline{X}_1 + \underline{X}_2$ (oddineouty)

 \rightarrow if we want the intercept, we have to remove either x_4 or x_2

if we write $\mu_1 = \mu_1 + \delta \implies \delta = \mu_2 - \mu_1$ difference of the means. E[Yiz] = M1 [[Yis]=141+6

How do we define a linear model with this parameterization?

$$Y = (Y_{11}, ..., Y_{n_{1}1}, Y_{12}, ..., Y_{n_{2}2})^{T}$$

$$X = \left[\frac{1}{2}n_{1}, \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}}$$

$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{1} \\ \delta \end{bmatrix}$$

Group 1 is the BASELINE since the moon of group & 15 defined in terms of deviation from P1 = M1.

- . PARAKETER &: is the difference of the mean of group 2 with respect to group 1. E[Yin] = P1 + 6
- Hence the interpretation of the regression parameters is different when the caroniates

are not quantitative voicibles.

{ Ho: M1 = M2 = M3 = M4

suppose we have now G=4 groups

The interest is again terting the efficacy of different treatments using the LH:

example for
$$Q = A_1$$
 and group 1 as baseline:

 $Y = X\beta + \xi$
 $\beta = [\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4]^T$
 $X = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{cases}$

group 1 $E[Y_i] = \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \mu_2$

group 3 $E[Y_i] = \beta_1 + \beta_3 = \mu_3$
 $X = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 &$

group 4 IE[x] = P1 + P4 = 14

B1: mean of the baseline group

group 3 [E[Yi] = \(\beta_1 + \beta_3 = \mu_3 \)

$$\beta_j$$
 ($j=2,3,4$): difference of the mean of y in group j composed to the baseline Hence the hypotexis becames

{Ho: $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$

{H1: Ho

we can automatically obtain the estimates of β (reparameterization)

ANOVA with a groups

$$\hat{\mu}_{1} = \overline{y}_{1} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \hat{\beta}_{1} = \hat{\mu}_{1} = \overline{y}_{1}$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{2} = \overline{y}_{1} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \hat{\beta}_{2} = \hat{\mu}_{2} - \hat{\beta}_{1} = \overline{y}_{2} - \overline{y}_{1}$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{3} = \overline{y}_{3} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \hat{\beta}_{3} = \hat{\mu}_{3} - \hat{\beta}_{1} = \overline{y}_{3} - \overline{y}_{1}$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{4} \cdot \overline{y}_{4} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \hat{\beta}_{4} = \hat{\mu}_{4} - \hat{\beta}_{1} \cdot \overline{y}_{4} - \overline{y}_{2}$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{4} \cdot \bar{y}_{4} \Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_{4} = \hat{\mu}_{4} \cdot \hat{\beta}_{1} \cdot \bar{y}_{4} - \bar{y}_{2}$$

The predicted values one
$$\hat{y}_{ig} = \begin{cases} \hat{\beta}_1 = \overline{y}_1 & \text{for } g=1 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_g = \overline{y}_1 + \overline{y}_g - \overline{y}_1 = \overline{y}_g & \text{for } g=2,3,4 \end{cases}$$

and the test $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_Q$ $H_1: H_0$ The group-specific means one yq= 1 2 yig

consider (groups and ng observations for each group (g=4,..., G).

Yig n $N(\mu q, \sigma^2)$ independent i=1,..., Ng q=1,..., Q

The overall mean is
$$\widehat{y} = \frac{1}{N} \int_{g=1}^{G} \sum_{i=1}^{N} 3^{i}g$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \int_{g=1}^{G} n_{g} \sqrt{g}$$
The group-specific estimate of the variance is $3^{2}_{g} = \frac{1}{(n_{g-1})} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{g}} (3^{i}g - \sqrt{g})^{2}$

The total sum of squares can be portitioned into two posts

 $\sum_{i=1}^{G} \left(y_{ij} - \overline{y} \right)^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{G} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} \left(y_{ij} - \overline{y}_{j} + \overline{y}_{j} - \overline{y} \right)^{2}$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[(y_{in} - \overline{y}_{0})^{2} + (\overline{y}_{0} - \overline{y})^{2} + 2(y_{in} - \overline{y}_{0})(\overline{y}_{0} - \overline{y}) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[(y_{in} - \overline{y}_{0})^{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{n} (y_{in} - \overline{y})^{2} + 2(y_{in} - \overline{y}) \right]$$

 $= \sum_{q=1}^{q} \sum_{i=1}^{n_q} (y_{iq} - \overline{y_3})^2 + \sum_{q=1}^{q} n_q (\overline{y_3} - \overline{y})^2 + 2 \sum_{q=1}^{q} (\overline{y_3} - \overline{y}) \sum_{i=1}^{n_q} (y_{iq} - \overline{y_3})$ $= \sum_{q=1}^{q} \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3} \right)^{2} + \sum_{q=1}^{q} n_{q} \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3} \right)^{2}$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{q=1}^{G} \sum_{i=1}^{n_q} (y_{ij} - \overline{y})^2 = \sum_{q=1}^{G} (n_{q-1}) S_q^2 + \sum_{q=1}^{G} n_{q} (\overline{y}_{q} - \overline{y})^2$$
Total suk of sowares

within group

variability

variability

SSF

SSR

test about the overall significance

$$\sum_{q=1}^{4} n_q (y_q - y_q)^2 = \sum_{q=1}^{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2} (y_{iq} - y_q)^2$$
 REGRESSION sum of squares Similarly to the previous example we can express this problem as a em with

 $Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ $\varepsilon \sim Nn(0, \varepsilon^2 In)$ where xig = \ 1 if Yig belongs to group g (g=2,..., G)

0 otherwise $X = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{1}_n & \underline{x}_2 & \underline{x}_3 & \dots & \underline{x}_q \end{bmatrix}$

Indeed, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (n_{g-1}) s_{g}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{ij} - \overline{y}_{g})^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{ij} - \widehat{y}_{ij})^{2}$ Error sum of squares

and
$$\hat{\beta}_g = \bar{y}_g - \bar{y}_1$$

Testing equality of the means is equivalent to testing

 $\begin{cases} H_0 : \beta_2 = \beta_3 = ... = \beta_q = 0 \end{cases}$

Then $\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{y}_1$

SST

We used $F = \frac{G^2 - G^2}{G^2} \cdot \frac{n - G}{G - 4} \stackrel{\text{Ho}}{\sim} F_{G - 4} \cdot n - G$

What one
$$\tilde{G}^2$$
 and \hat{G}^2 here?

 \tilde{G}^2 extinate under the \tilde{G}^2 model $\tilde{Y} = \beta_1 \cdot 1 + \tilde{E} \implies \hat{\beta}_1 = \tilde{g}$ overall mean

 \hat{G}^2 estimate under H_2 : $\hat{G}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (y_{ij} - \overline{y}_{ij})^2 = \frac{556}{N}$

$$\Rightarrow F = \frac{\overset{\circ}{G^2} \cdot \overset{\circ}{G^2}}{\overset{\circ}{G^2}} \cdot \frac{\overset{\circ}{G^{-1}}}{\overset{\circ}{G^{-1}}} = \frac{SST - SSE}{SSE} \cdot \frac{\overset{\circ}{G^{-1}}}{\overset{\circ}{G^{-1}}} = \frac{SSR}{SSE} \cdot \frac{\overset{\circ}{G^{-1}}}{\overset{\circ}{G^{-1}}} = \frac{SSR}{SE} \cdot \frac{\overset{\circ}{G^{-1}}}{\overset{\circ}{G^{-1}}} = \frac{SSR}{SSE} \cdot \frac{\overset{\circ}{G^{-1}}}{\overset$$

62 = 1 5 7 (33 - 3)2 = 35T