GOODNESS OF FIT : TEST ABOUT THE OVERALL KODEL

The goodness of fit of a model describes how well it fits the observations. There are several tools that can be used to evaluate it.

We start with the first "tooe": texts to assess whether the model is useful.

In general, these tests evaluate the following system of hypotheses:

 $\begin{cases} Ho: & the model <u>does not</u> help to explain the variability of Y \\ Hi' & the model helps to explain the variability of Y \end{cases}$

· simple einear model: Yi= \beta 1 + \beta_2 xi + \text{Ei} (only one correlate x)

The question becomes: does the inclusion of x help to explain the voiobility of y? Under to the inclusion of x is not useful:

If Ho is true, the correct model is the null model Yi = P1 + E: For this special case, we have already seen that we can answer to this question

using a test to: $\beta_1 = 0$ vs $H_2: \beta_2 \neq 0$ (∞ test t)

To the the git of the model we can also use R2; we have seen that · R2 % 0: no linear relation between y and the covariate x

· R2 13 1 : strong linear relations between y and the coveniate x We can do a formal statistical text:

TEST ON
$$R^2$$

$$\begin{cases}
Ho: R^2=0 & \text{and in Ho, including } N^3 \\
H_1: R^2\neq0 & \text{i.e. } R^2>0
\end{cases}$$

1-R2

Recall that
$$R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SST} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\hat{y}_i - \overline{y})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \overline{y})^2} = 1 - \frac{SSE}{SST}$$

The use a transformation of R^2 : $\frac{R^2}{J - R^2}$

$$\frac{SST - SSE}{SSE} = \frac{SST}{SSE} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{h} (y_i - \overline{y})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{h} (y_i - \overline{y})^2}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{h} (y_i - \overline{y})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{h} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2} - 1$$

what are the two quartities A and B?

A is the sum of the savared residuals of the null model: model with any the intercept Recall that if
$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \epsilon_i \implies$$
 the estimate is $\beta_1 = \overline{y}$ \implies the producted values are $y_i = \overline{y}$ for all i let's define the residuals $y_i - \overline{y} = \epsilon_i^2$ sum of squared residuals is $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i^{n-2}$

Since the null model is the model assumed under Ho, (A) is the sun of souarth Residuals under Ho.

sum of squared residuals is
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ei^2$$
(B) is the sum of squared residuals under H1.

Returning now to the transformation

$$\frac{R^{2}}{4-R^{2}} = \frac{SST}{SSE} - 1 = \frac{SSE_{H_{0}}}{SSE_{H_{2}}} - 1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{*4}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{*2}} - 1$$

and the residuals of the model that includes x. Notice that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{+2} = ne^{n}$ where $e_{i}^{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \overline{y})^{2}$ is the affinate of the volume of the error

we are composing the residuals of the model we would estimate in the observe of information (ie, x)

under the model with any the intercept (Ho). The denomination is $\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{it} = n\hat{\sigma}^2$ where $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$ is the extract of the variance of the error

under the gull model (H2). $\frac{R^{2}}{4-R^{2}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{n+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}} - 4 = \frac{n_{0}^{n+2}}{n_{0}^{2}} - 4 = \frac{n_{0}^{n+2}}{n$

Now, we need to study what values the test statistic can assume First of all, notice that the quantity is always positive

What values of the test statistic do we expect under the and the? inc., how are the RETECT and ACCEPTANCE REGIONS defined?

· IF HO IS TRUE, X is not useful in explaining y - hence the models under the and the will have similar performances at predicting y.

- (the full model can not be worse in Items of prediction, at most is the same as the null model)
 - → If the predictions under the Two models are similar, also the residuals will be similar → the "total amount of error" of the two models will be similar

 \rightarrow the quantities $\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i^{i+1}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i^{i+2}$ will be similar (hence also \hat{e}^{i+1} and \hat{e}^{i+1}).

- -s the predictions under Hz will be more accurate -> the total amount of error of the full model will be smaller
- → ∑ e*1 » ∑ e;2 ⊸ გ. [≫] გ._ა $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}e_{i}^{+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}e_{i}^{2}} - 1 = \frac{\binom{n_{2}}{6} - \binom{n_{2}}{6}}{\binom{n_{2}}{6}} > 0 \quad \text{under He leapest earge positive values!}$ $\Rightarrow \text{ the RESECT REGION will be } (k_{1} + \infty)$

In this case, the full model (H1) is better than the null model (H0)

If $X \sim X_{v_1}^2$ and $W \sim X_{v_2}^2$ independent, $\frac{X/v_2}{W/v_2} \sim F_{v_2,v_2}$ Faistribution with (v_1,v_2)

degrees of freedom It is possible to show that:

 $F = \frac{SSR/1}{SSF/(n-2)} = \frac{\left(\frac{SSR}{6^2}\right)/1}{\left(\frac{SSF}{6^2}\right)/n-2} \stackrel{Ho}{\sim} F_{1, n-2}$

Hence to perform the test we can use this test statistic (known distribution under Ho)

$$\frac{\text{SSR}}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{Y}_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2}{\sigma^2} \stackrel{\text{th}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}_1^2$$

$$\frac{\text{SSE}}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2}{\sigma^2} \stackrel{\text{th}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}_{n-2}^2$$

$$\frac{\text{SSR}}{\sigma^2} \perp \text{SSE}$$

Hence it holds

$$F = \frac{R^2}{A - R^2} \cdot (n-2) = \frac{SSR}{SSE} \cdot (n-2) = \frac{SST}{SSE} - 1 \cdot (n-2) = \frac{SST}{SSE} - 1 \cdot (n-2) = \frac{SST}{SSE} - \frac{1}{SSE} \cdot (n-2) = \frac{1}{SSE} - \frac{1}$$

 $= \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (Y_k - \overline{Y})^2}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (Y_k - \widehat{Y}_k)^2} - 4\right) (n-2) =$

The considered transformation is $\frac{R^2}{4-R^2} = \frac{SSR}{SSE}$

 $= \frac{\frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{\hat{\Sigma}^2}{2}}{\hat{\Sigma}^2} \quad (n-2) \quad \stackrel{\text{Ho}}{\sim} \quad F_{4_1} = 0$

To finish the test

1) FIXED SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL as

$$\alpha = |P(reject Ho)|$$
 Ho true)

the reject region is an the right tail $\Rightarrow \alpha = |P_{Ho}(Fe(k_1+\infty))|$
 $= |P_{Ho}(F > k)|$

what is the value k that guarantees that the probability that F

will assume values larger than k is exactly α ?

(i.e., the value that guarantees that the probability that F assumes

K = f1, n-2; 1-a quantile of level (1-x) of a F1,n-2 distribution PHO (F> fin-211-a) = a acceptiona region $A = (0, f_{1,n-2}, 1-a)$

values smaller than k is $1-\alpha$)

reject region $R = (f_{1,n-2}; 4-\alpha, +\infty)$

if
$$f^{obS} \times F_{a_1n-a_1} + a \Rightarrow we do not reject tho$$

if $f^{obS} \times F_{a_1n-a_1} + a \Rightarrow we reject tho$

A f

2) P. VALUE of obs = PHO (F> Pobs) where FN F1, N-2

Remark: To see what values lead to rejecting tho, we could also do a reasoning about the values of (n-2). R2 directly.

If I am testing Ho: R2=0 vs Ha: R2>0 I would reject for carge values of R2 Since F is a monotone increasing transformation, large values of R2 correspond to large value of F ⇒ reject repon: (k;+∞)