```
7 Nov - LEC 8
```

In the cucker exercise we had 2 groups of observations and we worted to Test whether the means of the two groups were equal (assuming normality and homosceola-sticity). In particular, we showed the equivalence between the two-sample t-test and a test of significance on the regression parameter of a simple em.

Let's generalise the setting and notation

ONE-WAY ANOVA (Analysis of Volume)

Suppose we one testing the effectiveness of a treatment, and we measure the survival time Y on subjects divided into G=3 groups The question of interest is whether the mean survival times of the three proups one

equal or different. If they are different, then the treatments have different effectiveness. index of the unit in each group

-group 1: n_1 individuals $\rightarrow Y_1 = [Y_{11}, ..., Y_{n_11}]^T$ - group 2: n_2 individuels $\rightarrow \underline{Y}_2 = [Y_{12}, ..., Y_{n_22}]^T$ - group 3: n_3 individuals $\rightarrow \underline{Y}_3 = [Y_{13}, ..., Y_{n_23}]^T$

The estimates one μ̂ο = ȳο = 4 ξεί γίο

Let us denote with my the meon survival time for group g (g=1,...,G)

There are several ways to formulate a linear model for this problem.

Here, we only consider the case where we have the intercept (x1=1)

If we wont to Test equality of the treatments, we test:

(Ho:
$$\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$$

First, we define the vector of the response by concatenating each group-specific vector Ig

vector with
$$N = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$$
 elements.

Then, we define the matrix X of the covariates

We use DUHKY VARIABLES where

 $Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 & Y_2 & Y_3 \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{41}, Y_{21}, ..., Y_{n_{14}}, Y_{42}, ..., Y_{n_{22}}, Y_{43}, ..., Y_{n_{33}} \end{bmatrix}^T$

xig = { 1 if individual i belongs to group g for i=1,..., ng and g=1,..., G.

consider G=3. If we define the matrix X as

I Hs: at least one of them is different

Remork:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 &$$

 $X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $n_1 \text{ obs.}$ $1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $n_3 \text{ obs.}$

To encode G groups, if we keep the intercept, we only need G-1 dumny voiables.

E[Yiz] = Pz

Indust from the model we have

- β = E[Yiz] - E[Yiz]

= M2 - M1

⇒ P3 = E[Y63] - E[Y61]

= M3 - M1

IE[Yiz] = Pz + Pa

INTERPRETATION:

Consider removing x1. Then X becomes

We can define a linear model with these quantities
$$Y = X \underline{\beta} + \underline{\epsilon} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \underline{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $\underline{\epsilon} \sim N_N(\underline{\circ}, \underline{\circ}^2 I_H)$

· INTERCEPT: Be is the mean of Yig when g=1 (when all dummy voriables one equal to 200) (mean of the group for which we removed the dummy voriable)

for i= 1,..., ns

A classical example is the control group (i.e. the "no treatment") in clinical trials.
$$\Rightarrow \quad \beta_1 = \text{IE[Yiz]}$$
 The other groups are described in terms of DEVIATION FROM THE BASSLING.

· Pa is the difference in the mean of Yiz w.r.t. the mean of Yis

This group is said to be the REFERENCE GROUP: it is the BASELINE

E[Yiz] = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \quad \beta_1 \dots n_2

E[Yi3] = B1 + B3 Por i= 1,..., N3

· β_3 is the difference in the mean of Yiz w.r.t. the mean of Yiz $E[Yi_3] = \beta_1 + \beta_3$

 $\begin{cases} \mu_1 = \beta_1 \\ \mu_2 = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} \beta_1 = \mu_1 \\ \beta_2 = \mu_2 - \mu_1 \\ \beta_3 = \mu_3 - \mu_4 \end{cases}$

Invariance of the HLE w.r.c. reparametrizations

We can easily compute the predicted values gig

 $\begin{cases} \hat{\beta}_1 = \hat{\mu}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 = \hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_3 = \hat{\mu}_3 - \hat{\mu}_4 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \hat{\beta}_1 = \overline{y}_4 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 = \overline{y}_2 - \overline{y}_4 \\ \hat{\beta}_3 = \overline{y}_3 - \overline{y}_4 \end{cases}$

The predicted values are the group-specific means. Finally, the test about equality of the group-specific means becomes
$$\begin{cases} \text{Ho: } \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0 \\ \text{Ho: } \text{at east one is } \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

test about the significance of the model

= test about the equality of the treatments on the survival time

 $\hat{y}_{i1} = \hat{\beta}_{1} = \bar{y}_{1} \qquad i = 1, ..., m$ $\hat{y}_{i2} = \hat{\beta}_{1} + \hat{\beta}_{2} = \bar{y}_{1} + \bar{y}_{2} - \bar{y}_{1} = \bar{y}_{2} \qquad i = 1, ..., n_{2}$ $\hat{y}_{i3} = \hat{\beta}_{1} + \hat{\beta}_{3} = \bar{y}_{1} + \bar{y}_{3} - \bar{y}_{1} = \bar{y}_{3} \qquad i = 1, ..., n_{3}$

Yig ~ N(µg, 52) independent for i=1,..., hg and g=1,..., Q Let N = Ing Total sample size · The group-specific means are

y = 1 5 yig g=1,..., C

. The group. Specific estimates of the vocionce are

we can specify it for this setting:

 $\overline{y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{iq} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} n_{q} \overline{y}_{q}$

 $s_{3}^{2} = \frac{1}{n_{3}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{3}} (3i_{3} - \overline{y}_{3})^{2}$ $g = \pm, ..., G$

The partition of the sum of squares in the linear model was $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y}_i - \overline{y})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)$

 $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[(y_{ij} - \overline{y}_{0})^{2} + (\overline{y}_{0} - \overline{y}_{0})^{2} + 2(y_{ij} - \overline{y}_{0})(\overline{y}_{0} - \overline{y}_{0}) \right]$

 $= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (y_{ij} - \widehat{y}_{0})^{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\widehat{y}_{0} - \widehat{y})^{2} + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\widehat{y}_{0} - \widehat{y})(y_{ij} - \widehat{y}_{0})$

 $= \sum_{q=1}^{G} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} (y_{iq} - \overline{y_q})^2 + \sum_{q=1}^{G} n_q (\overline{y_q} - \overline{y})^2 + 2\sum_{q=1}^{G} (\overline{y_q} - \overline{y_q})$ $= (n_q - 1) S_2^2$

regression sun of sougres

consider G groups, and ng observations in each group:

suk of Sowares decomposition

. The overall mean is

The Total sum of squares here is SST =
$$\frac{G}{g} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} (y_{ig} - \overline{y})^2$$

$$\frac{G}{g} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} (y_{ig} - \overline{y})^2 = \frac{G}{g} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} (y_{ig} - \overline{y}_g + \overline{y}_g - \overline{y})^2$$

 $= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n_{n}-1) s_{n}^{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n_{n} (\overline{y}_{n}-\overline{y})^{2}$ Hence we get $\sum_{g=1}^{G} \sum_{i=1}^{m_g} (y_{ig} - \overline{y})^2 = \sum_{g=1}^{G} (n_{g-1}) s_g^2 + \sum_{g=1}^{G} n_g (\overline{y}_g - \overline{y})^2$

that is, the predicted values one the group-specific means

 $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{G} (n_{i}-1) s_{i}^{2}}{2 s_{i}} = \sum_{j=1}^{G} \sum_{i=1}^{M} (y_{i}-\hat{y}_{0})^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{G} \sum_{i=1}^{M} (y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i})^{2}$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i} (\overline{y}_{i} - \overline{y})^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\overline{y}_{i} - \overline{y})^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\widehat{y}_{ij} - \overline{y})^{2}$

Horeover, we have seen that $y_g = \hat{y}_{ig}$ for $i = 1,...,n_g$

Thus

TEST ABOUT EQUALITY OF THE KEANS

 β to: $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = ... = \beta_q = 0$ test about the overall significance

Testing equality of the means is equivalent to testing

We used $F = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \hat{\Sigma}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \hat{\Sigma}^{2}} \cdot \frac{N-q}{q-1}$ the $F_{q-1}, N-q$

What one 62 and 62 here? . 6^2 extinote under the : model $\underline{Y} = \beta_1 \cdot \underline{1} + \underline{\epsilon} \implies \hat{\beta}_1 = \overline{y}$ overall mean Hence, $\frac{q^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=1}^{q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{ij} - \overline{y})^2 = \frac{SST}{N}$

Hence the test statistic becomes

• \hat{G}^2 estimate under H_2 : $\hat{G}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (3i_3 - \overline{3}g)^2 = \frac{856}{N}$

$$F : \frac{\sum^{2} - \sum^{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{N - Q}{Q - 1} =$$

$$= \frac{SST - SSE}{SSE} \cdot \frac{N - Q}{Q - 1} =$$

$$= \frac{SSR}{SSE} \cdot \frac{N - Q}{Q - 1} =$$

$$= \frac{SSR}{SSE} \cdot \frac{N - Q}{Q - 1} =$$

$$= \frac{BETWEEN - GROUP S.S.}{WITHW - GROUP S.S.} \cdot \frac{N - Q}{Q - 1} =$$

 $=\frac{R^2}{1-R^2}\cdot\frac{N-G}{G-4}$ Ho $F_{G-4},N-G$