

Neural Networks

Practical Assignment I: Perceptron training

The topic of this assignment is the Rosenblatt perceptron algorithm. We apply it to randomized data and try to observe our theoretical findings (capacity of a hyperplane) in computer experiments. The code (or large parts thereof) will be re-used in the next assignment(s).

1) Rosenblatt's perceptron algorithm

Write a program (Matlab preferred but not mandatory) which can be used to

- ... generate artificial data sets $\mathcal{D} = \{\boldsymbol{\xi}^\mu, S^\mu\}_{\mu=1}^P$ where the $\boldsymbol{\xi}^\mu \in \mathbb{R}^N$ are vectors of independent random components ξ_j^μ with mean *zero* and variance *one*. You can use, for instance, Gaussian components $\xi_j^\mu \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ (matlab: `randn`). The labels S^μ are taken to be independent random numbers $S^\mu = \pm 1$ with equal probability $1/2$.
- ... implement sequential perceptron training by repeated presentation of the P examples: At *time step* $t = 1, 2, \dots$ present example $\mu(t) = 1, 2, \dots, P, 1, 2, \dots$
Use nested loops where the inner one runs from 1 to P and the outer loop counts the number n of *sweeps* through the data set \mathcal{D} . Limit the number of *sweeps* to $n \leq n_{max}$, i.e. the total number of single learning steps will be at most $n_{max} \cdot P$.
- ... run the Rosenblatt algorithm for a given data set \mathcal{D} :

$$\mathbf{w}(t+1) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{w}(t) + \frac{1}{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mu(t)} S^{\mu(t)} & \text{if } E^{\mu(t)} \leq 0 \\ \mathbf{w}(t) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

where $E^{\mu(t)} = \mathbf{w}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mu(t)} S^{\mu(t)}$. Initialize the weights as $\mathbf{w}(0) = 0$, but make sure that a training step is indeed performed for $E^{\mu(t)} = 0$.

The training should be performed until either a solution with all $E^\nu > 0$ is found (counted as a *success*) or until the maximum number of *sweeps* n_{max} is reached.

- a) Perform the Rosenblatt perceptron training for a number of randomized data sets of the same size. Each training process should be performed until either a solution with all $E^\nu > 0$ is found (counted as a *success*) or until the maximum number of *sweeps* n_{max} is reached.

For a given value of P , use a number n_D of independently generated sets \mathcal{D} . Determine the fraction $Q_{l.s.}$ of successful runs as a function of $\alpha = P/N$, by repeating the experiment for different values of P . The result should resemble the probability $P_{l.s.}(\alpha)$ that was derived in class, see lecture notes.

Hint: A reasonable set of parameters could be $N = 25, P = \alpha N$ with $\alpha = 0.75, 1.0, 1.25, \dots 3.0$, $n_D \sim 50$, $n_{max} \sim 100$. Your actual choices will of course depend on your implementation, available computing power, and your patience. If (CPU-) time allows, improve the quality of your results by setting N, n_D and n_{max} as large as possible.

- b) Observe the behavior of $Q_{l.s.}$ for different system sizes N as well. Does it approach a step function with increasing N ? To this end, repeat the above experiments for, say, $N = 50$ and $N = 100$.

The report should contain a very brief description of what precisely you did, please do not include actual code. You should submit plots representing your results for parts (a) and (b) together with a brief discussion thereof. Compare your findings with the theoretical result discussed in class, explain/speculate what causes the differences etc.