MLS	Dataset	PLS(AIC)	PLS(AICc)	PLS(BIC)	PLS(HQIC) I	PLS(GMDL)
Ridge	automobile	17.90(2)	17.90(2)	18.21(5)	17.90(2)	18.06(4)
	fertility	102.93(3)	102.93(3)	102.93(3)	102.93(3)	102.93(3)
	flow	64.68(3)	64.68(3)	64.68(3)	64.68(3)	64.68(3)
	forest	100.38(3)	100.38(3)	100.38(3)	100.38(3)	100.38(3)
	servo	60.21(3)	60.21(3)	60.21(3)	60.21(3)	60.21(3)
	slump	85.85(3)	85.85(3)	85.85(3)	85.85(3)	85.85(3)
	traffic	43.43(3)	41.56(1)	43.43(3)	43.43(3)	44.45(5)
	wine_red	65.05 (3)	65.05(3)	65.05(3)	65.05(3)	65.05(3)
	wine_white	73.12(3)	73.12(3)	73.12(3)	73.12(3)	73.12(3)
Avg. Rank		(2.89)	(2.67)	(3.22)	(2.89)	(3.33)
SVR	automobile	99.99(3)	99.99(3)	99.99(3)	99.99(3)	99.99(3)
	fertility	116.04(3)	116.04 (3)	116.04(3)	116.04(3)	116.04(3)
	flow	74.12(3)	74.12(3)	74.12(3)	74.12(3)	74.12(3)
	forest	101.31(3)	101.31(3)	101.31(3)	101.31(3)	101.31(3)
	servo	18.77(1)	20.01(5)	18.93(3)	18.77(1)	18.93(3)
	slump	74.59(3)	74.59(3)	74.59(3)	74.59(3)	74.59(3)
	traffic	42.68(1)	50.16(5)	45.66(3)	42.68 (1)	49.99(4)
	wine_red	59.62(2)	58.05 (1)	61.68(4)	61.68(4)	61.68(4)
	wine_white	59.24(2)	59.24 (2)	59.24(2)	59.24(2)	62.16(5)
Avg. Rank		(2.50)	(3.17)	(3.11)	(2.72)	(3.50)
RF	automobile		15.09(1)	15.25(2)	15.46(3)	15.92(5)
	fertility	105.33(4)	105.33(4)	100.17(1)	105.33(4)	100.17(1)
	flow	67.39(4)	76.66(5)	61.14(2)	61.14(2)	61.14(2)
	forest	104.74(3)	104.74(3)	104.74(3)	104.74(3)	104.74(3)
	servo	15.36(1)	15.48(3)	15.87(5)	15.36(1)	15.50(4)
	slump	72.62(4)	72.62(4)	71.33(2)	72.62(4)	70.20(1)
	traffic	57.83(4)	57.48(3)	45.44 (1)	57.83(4)	45.95(2)
	wine_red	58.51 (3)	58.51(3)	58.51 (3)	58.51 (3)	58.51(3)
	wine_white	60.62(3)	60.62(3)	60.62(3)	60.62(3)	60.62(3)
Avg. Rank		(3.44)	(3.22)	(2.50)	(3.11)	(2.72)
Mean Rank		(2.94)	(3.02)	(2.94)	(2.91)	(3.19)

Table 13: The 3-fold cross validation relative mean squared error and Friedman ranks for all the datasets when PLS, using several stop criteria (AIC, AICc, BIC, HQIC and GMDL), taking into account some baseline systems (Ridge, SVR and RF) and the BO sampling strategy.