MLS Datas				PCR(HQIC) F	
auton	nobile 403.71 (3)		403.71(3)	403.71(3)	403.71(3)
fertilit	ty 106.54(1)	106.54(1)	107.30(4)	107.30(4)	107.30(4)
flow	631.29(3)	631.29(3)	631.29 (3)	631.29(3)	631.29(3)
forest	102.32(3)	102.32(3)	102.32(3)	102.32(3)	102.32(3)
Ridge servo	61.46(3)	61.46(3)	61.46(3)	61.46(3)	61.46(3)
slump	97.68(2)	97.68(2)	107.84(4)	97.68(2)	107.84(4)
traffic	43.95(2)	43.95(2)	43.95(2)	43.95(2)	49.30(5)
wine_		67.28(2)	72.53(3)	67.28(1)	76.39(5)
wine_	white 77.86(3)	77.25(1)	79.13(4)	77.86(2)	81.20(5)
Avg. Rank (2.7		(2.33)	(3.33)	(2.61)	(3.94)
auton	nobile 409.02(3)	409.02(3)	409.02(3)	409.02(3)	409.02(3)
fertilit		110.01 (1)	122.63(4)	121.57(2)	130.45(5)
flow	882.41(1)	882.41(1)	915.39(4)	915.39(4)	915.39(4)
forest		108.15(3)	108.15(3)	108.15(3)	108.15(3)
SVR servo	16.75(3)	16.75(3)	16.75(3)	16.75(3)	16.75(3)
slump		561.55 (3)		561.55(3)	561.55 (3)
traffic	====(-)	224.28(2)	524.26(4)	224.28(2)	524.26(4)
wine_i	red 105.93(4)	67.17(1)	101.53(3)	73.75(2)	138.66(5)
wine_	white 90.50(4)	74.03(1)	88.94(3)	83.04(2)	98.27(5)
Avg. Rank	(2.78)	(2.11)	(3.39)	(2.78)	(3.94)
	nobile 405.20 (3)	405.20(3)	405.20 (3)	405.20(3)	405.20(3)
fertilit			112.15(1)	114.32(4)	112.15(1)
flow	882.64(3)		882.64(3)	882.64(3)	882.64(3)
forest			105.41(3)	105.41(3)	105.41(3)
RF servo	21.87(2)	21.87(2)	36.08(4)	21.87(2)	36.08(4)
slump		532.42 (3)		532.42(3)	532.42(3)
traffic	97.36(2)	94.58(1)	392.41(5)	114.50(3)	271.37(4)
wine_		69.55(1)	81.24(4)	71.93(2)	96.83(5)
wine_		69.07 (1)	74.94(4)	70.20(2)	86.30(5)
Avg. Rank	(2.89)	(2.33)	(3.44)	(2.78)	(3.56)
Mean Rank	(2.81)	(2.26)	(3.39)	(2.72)	(3.81)

Table 2: The 3-fold cross validation relative mean squared error and Friedman ranks for all the datasets when PCR, using several stop criteria (AIC, AICc, BIC, HQIC and GMDL), taking into account some baseline systems (Ridge, SVR and RF) and the GS sampling strategy.