$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6(6) 9(1) 7(4) 6(4) 3(2) 1(6)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9(1) 7(4) 6(4) 3(2) 1(6)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	7(4) 6(4) 3(2) 1(6)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	6(4) 3(2) 1(6)
slump 92.59(5) 99.48(7) 86.98(3) 90.97(4) 81.00(1) 93.50(6) 85.0   traffic 33.44(2) 33.89(3) 45.37(7) 33.92(4) 34.03(5) 32.14(1) 34.7   wine_red 85.29(7) 79.13(3) 61.83(1) 85.04(6) 82.04(5) 80.14(4) 64.0	$3(2) \\ 1(6)$
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	1(6)
wine_red 85.29(7) 79.13(3) <b>61.83</b> (1) 85.04(6) 82.04(5) 80.14(4) 64.0	
	4(2)
wine_white 85.24(7) 78.64(3) <b>65.55</b> (1) 85.03(6) 85.01(5) 79.88(4) 65.6	
	9(2)
	.67)
Ridge Best LR LRX SWR SWRX SWRSC SWRS	
automobile 18.35(3) 2.85E+04(7) 1.04E+04(6) 18.52(4) 18.72(5) 18.20(2) 16.2	3(1)
fertility $102.35(2) 5.18E + 08(7) 3.87E + 08(6) 102.46(3) 103.96(4)$ <b>95.11</b> (1) 103.9	8(5)
flow $65.31(4) \ 1.55E + 03(7)$ $78.00(6) \ 65.22(3) \ 66.37(5) \ 64.67(2)$ <b>57.1</b>	3(1)
forest $99.34(5) \ 5.09E + 08(7) \ 1.34E + 08(6) \ 98.15(2) \ \textbf{98.02}(1) \ 98.18(3) \ 99.2$	0(4)
servo 62.42(5) 1.82E+11(6) 2.23E+12(7) 61.46(4) <b>60.85</b> (1) 61.05(2) 61.1	$\partial(3)$
slump 87.34(5) 4.63E+12(7) 7.15E+08(6) 86.67(4) 85.35(2) 85.62(3) <b>78.9</b>	3(1)
traffic $39.51(5) \ 2.67E + 10(6) \ 1.68E + 11(7) \ 38.95(3) \ 39.32(4) \ 37.97(2) \ \textbf{37.9}$	7(1)
wine_red 64.85(4) 1.27E+03(7) 1.11E+03(6) 64.81(3) 65.08(5) 64.81(2) 64.7	7(1)
wine_white 72.82(2) 1.58E+03(6) 1.16E+05(7) 72.90(4) 73.00(5) 72.82(3) <b>72.7</b>	<b>5</b> (1)
Avg. Rank (3.89) (6.67) (6.33) (3.33) (3.56) (2.22) (2	.00)
Lasso Best LR LRX SWR SWRX SWRSC SWRS	CX
automobile 18.53(3) 19.27(4) 18.27(2) 19.60(7) 19.60(6) 19.37(5) 16.4	<b>1(</b> 1)
fertility $92.95(3)$ $95.16(5)$ $116.79(7)$ $92.95(1)$ $92.95(1)$ $94.34(4)$ $102.9$	3(6)
flow 64.84(4) 191.60(6) 238.46(7) 64.74(3) 66.02(5) 64.63(2) <b>57.3</b>	<b>4</b> (1)
forest $99.55(5)$ $102.38(6)$ $196.51(7)$ $98.20(2)$ $98.02(1)$ $98.31(3)$ $99.3$	
servo 62.81(4) 62.43(3) 66.16(6) 61.92(2) <b>61.80</b> (1) 63.72(5) 66.2	1(7)
slump 85.77(5) 90.98(6) 92.67(7) 85.22(4) 84.61(2) 84.82(3) <b>79.1</b>	<b>5</b> (1)
traffic $38.22(4) 6.56E + 06(6) 2.18E + 08(7) 37.83(3) 37.83(2) $ <b>36.09</b> (1) $38.7$	2(5)
wine_red $66.69(7)$ $66.50(4)$ $64.92(1)$ $66.65(6)$ $66.53(5)$ $66.49(3)$ $66.1$	3(2)
wine_white 74.80(5) 74.67(4) <b>72.99</b> (1) 74.92(6) 75.03(7) 74.67(3) 73.0	8(2)
	. <b>22</b> )
SVR Best LR LRX SWR SWRX SWRSC SWRS	
automobile 114.69(5) 3.18E+10(6) 1.46E+11(7) 99.46(3) 44.41(2) 99.68(4) <b>16.7</b>	7(1)
fertility $92.71(1) 2.64E+11(7) 1.20E+11(6) 92.95(2) 92.95(2) 103.79(4) 108.6$	4(5)
flow 78.58(3) 4.85E+15(7) 3.01E+11(6) 78.65(4) 80.61(5) 78.27(2) <b>59.1</b>	<b>1</b> (1)
forest $97.99(1) 4.42E+06(6) 7.40E+06(7) 98.25(3) 98.05(2) 98.35(4) 99.7$	0(5)
servo 21.31(4) 4.13E+04(6) 1.05E+15(7) <b>20.54</b> (1) 20.55(2) 20.75(3) 22.4	8(5)
slump 78.83(4) 1.22E+14(7) 6.48E+13(6) 77.02(2) 82.95(5) <b>72.75</b> (1) 77.7	1(3)
	$\hat{a}(5)$
wine_red $65.68(5)$ $92.29(6)$ $5.16E+13(7)$ $65.53(4)$ $65.27(3)$ $56.87(1)$ $56.8$	8(2)
wine_white 73.27(6) 55.78(2) <b>55.37</b> (1) 73.16(5) 73.32(7) 58.40(3) 58.4	
Avg. Rank $(3.33)$ $(6.00)$ $(5.89)$ $(2.94)$ $(3.50)$ $(2.89)$ $(3.89)$	.44)

Table 3: The 3-fold cross validation relative mean squared error and Friedman ranks for all datasets when the best hyperparameter configuration trial (Best), linear regression via least squared with the option of adding features (LRX) or not (LR), non-hyperparametric stepwise regression adding features (SWRX) or not (SWR) and non-hyperparametric stepwise regression adaptation with stop criterion adding features (SWRSCX) or not (SWRSC), all taking into account several baseline systems (kNNR, Ridge, Lasso and SVR) and the BO sampling strategy.