MLS	Dataset	PLS(AIC)	PLS(AICc)	PLS(BIC) I	PLS(HQIC) F	LS(GMDL)
Ridge	automobile	18.23(4)	18.23(4)	18.17(1)	18.23(4)	18.17(1)
	fertility	106.17(3)	106.17(3)	106.17(3)	106.17(3)	106.17(3)
	flow	68.85(4)	68.85(4)	64.45(1)	68.85(4)	64.45(1)
	forest	101.42(3)	101.42(3)	101.42(3)	101.42(3)	101.42(3)
	servo	60.05(1)	60.05(1)	61.66(4)	61.66(4)	61.66(4)
	slump	86.52(2)	90.65(5)	86.52(2)	86.52(2)	86.52(2)
	traffic	43.91(2)	43.97(5)	43.91(2)	43.91(2)	43.91(2)
	wine_red	65.93(3)	65.93 (3)	65.93(3)	65.93(3)	65.93 (3)
	wine_white	74.76(3)	74.76(3)	74.76(3)	74.76(3)	74.76(3)
Avg. Rank		(2.94)	(3.50)	(2.67)	(3.22)	(2.67)
SVR	automobile	19.99(3)	19.99(3)	19.99(3)	19.99(3)	19.99(3)
	fertility	121.15(3)	121.15(3)	121.15(3)	121.15(3)	121.15(3)
	flow	68.17(3)	68.17(3)	68.17(3)	68.17(3)	68.17(3)
	forest	100.88(3)	100.88(3)		100.88(3)	100.88(3)
	servo	18.50(3)	16.14(1)	18.91(4)	18.39(2)	18.91(4)
	slump	83.61(3)	83.61(3)	83.61(3)	83.61(3)	80.94(1)
	traffic	44.65(3)	47.94(4)	40.92(1)	47.94(4)	40.92(1)
	wine_red	58.35 (3)	58.35 (3)	58.35(3)	58.35(3)	58.35 (3)
	wine_white	58.30(3)	58.30(3)	58.30(3)	58.30(3)	58.30(3)
Avg. Rank		(3.06)	(3.00)	(3.06)	(3.11)	(2.78)
RF	automobile		25.45(5)	23.70(2)	24.47(4)	16.72(1)
	fertility	129.79(3)	139.92(5)	128.24(2)	129.79(3)	111.11 (1)
	flow	64.70(4)	73.19(5)	61.74(2)	64.44(3)	60.71(1)
	forest	107.25(3)	107.25(3)	107.25(3)	107.25(3)	107.25(3)
	servo	23.47(2)	28.00(5)	23.47(2)	23.47(2)	24.07(4)
	slump	91.45(5)	88.26(3)	74.92(2)	88.74(4)	69.76(1)
	traffic	49.29(2)	50.34(4)	49.29(2)	50.54(5)	48.33(1)
	wine_red	59.65(2)	57.64 (1)	61.65(4)	61.65(4)	61.65(4)
	wine_white	62.48(2)	62.48 (2)	68.41(4)	62.48(2)	68.41(4)
Avg. Rank		(2.94)	(3.67)	(2.72)	(3.39)	(2.28)
Mean Ranl		(2.98)	(3.39)	(2.81)	(3.24)	(2.57)

Table 8: The 3-fold cross validation relative mean squared error and Friedman ranks for all the datasets when PLS, using several stop criteria (AIC, AICc, BIC, HQIC and GMDL), taking into account some baseline systems (Ridge, SVR and RF) and the RS sampling strategy.