Materials 23

Laura Gáti

March 31, 2020

Overview

1	Implementation of target criterion	1
A	Model summary	2
В	Target criterion	2
\mathbf{C}	Tried to derive a target criterion for the case when the anchoring function is specified in terms of changes in the gain	3
1	Implementation of target criterion	

Problem: Model behaves as if Taylor rule was specified as just a function of exogenous process, i.e. is not E-stable. Need to trick it somehow.

A Model summary

$$x_{t} = -\sigma i_{t} + \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t} \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} \beta^{T-t} \left((1-\beta) x_{T+1} - \sigma(\beta i_{T+1} - \pi_{T+1}) + \sigma r_{T}^{n} \right)$$
(A.1)

$$\pi_t = \kappa x_t + \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} (\alpha \beta)^{T-t} \left(\kappa \alpha \beta x_{T+1} + (1-\alpha) \beta \pi_{T+1} + u_T \right)$$
(A.2)

$$i_t = \psi_\pi \pi_t + \psi_x x_t + \bar{i}_t$$
 (if imposed) (A.3)

PLM:
$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t z_{t+h} = a_{t-1} + b h_x^{h-1} s_t \quad \forall h \ge 1 \qquad b = g_x h_x$$
 (A.4)

Updating:
$$a_t = a_{t-1} + k_t^{-1} (z_t - (a_{t-1} + bs_{t-1}))$$
 (A.5)

Anchoring function:
$$k_t = k_{t-1} + \mathbf{g}(fe_{t-1}^2)$$
 (A.6)

Forecast error:
$$fe_{t-1} = z_t - (a_{t-1} + bs_{t-1})$$
 (A.7)

This notation captures vector learning (z learned) for intercept only. For scalar learning, $a_t = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\pi}_t & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}'$ and b_1 designates the first row of b. The learning setup allows me to evaluate the long-horizon expectations f_a , f_b , and then the observables (π , x) are determined as:

$$x_t = -\sigma i_t + \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & 1 - \beta & -\sigma \beta \end{bmatrix} f_b + \sigma \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (\mathbb{I}_{nx} - \beta h_x)^{-1} s_t$$
 (A.8)

$$\pi_t = \kappa x_t + \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \alpha)\beta & \kappa \alpha \beta & 0 \end{bmatrix} f_a + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (\mathbb{I}_{nx} - \alpha \beta h_x)^{-1} s_t$$
 (A.9)

B Target criterion

The target criterion in the simplified model (scalar learning of inflation intercept only, $k_t^{-1} = \mathbf{g}(fe_{t-1})$):

$$\pi_{t} = -\frac{\lambda_{x}}{\kappa} \left\{ x_{t} - \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta}{1-\alpha\beta} \left(k_{t}^{-1} + ((\pi_{t} - \bar{\pi}_{t-1} - b_{1}s_{t-1})) \mathbf{g}_{\pi}(t) \right) \right\}$$

$$\left(\mathbb{E}_{t} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{t+i} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (1 - k_{t+1+j}^{-1} - (\pi_{t+1+j} - \bar{\pi}_{t+j} - b_{1}s_{t+j}) \mathbf{g}_{\bar{\pi}}(t+j)) \right)$$
(B.1)

where I'm using the notation that $\prod_{j=0}^{0} \equiv 1$. For interpretation purposes, let me rewrite this as follows:

$$\pi_{t} = -\frac{\lambda_{x}}{\kappa} x_{t} + \frac{\lambda_{x}}{\kappa} \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta}{1-\alpha\beta} \left(k_{t}^{-1} + f e_{t|t-1}^{eve} \mathbf{g}_{\pi}(t) \right) \mathbb{E}_{t} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{t+i}$$

$$-\frac{\lambda_{x}}{\kappa} \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta}{1-\alpha\beta} \left(k_{t}^{-1} + f e_{t|t-1}^{eve} \mathbf{g}_{\pi}(t) \right) \left(\mathbb{E}_{t} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{t+i} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (k_{t+1+j}^{-1} + f e_{t+1+j|t+j}^{eve}) \mathbf{g}_{\pi}(t+j) \right)$$
(B.2)

Interpretation: tradeoffs from discretion in RE + effect of current level and change of the gain on future tradeoffs + effect of future expected levels and changes of the gain on future tradeoffs

C Tried to derive a target criterion for the case when the anchoring function is specified in terms of changes in the gain

$$k_t = k_{t-1} + \mathbf{g}(fe_{t|t-1})$$
 (C.1)

Turns out the k_{t-1} adds one $\varphi_{6,t+1}$ too many which makes the target criterion unwieldy. The FOCs of the Ramsey problem are

$$2\pi_t + 2\frac{\lambda}{\kappa} x_t - k_t^{-1} \varphi_{5,t} - \mathbf{g}_{\pi}(t) \varphi_{6,t} = 0$$
 (C.2)

$$cx_{t+1} + \varphi_{5,t} - (1 - k_t^{-1})\varphi_{5,t+1} + \mathbf{g}_{\bar{\pi}}(t)\varphi_{6,t+1} = 0$$
(C.3)

$$\varphi_{6,t} + \varphi_{6,t+1} = f e_t \varphi_{5,t} \tag{C.4}$$

where the red multiplier is the new element vis-a-vis the case where the anchoring function is specified in levels $(k_t^{-1} = \mathbf{g}(fe_{t-1}))$, as in App. B), and I'm using the shorthand notation

$$c = -\frac{2(1-\alpha)\beta}{1-\alpha\beta} \frac{\lambda}{\kappa} \tag{C.5}$$

$$fe_t = \pi_t - \bar{\pi}_{t-1} - bs_{t-1} \tag{C.6}$$

(C.2) says that in anchoring, the discretion tradeoff is complemented with tradeoffs coming from learning $(\varphi_{5,t})$, which are more binding when expectations are unanchored $(k_t^{-1} \text{ high})$. Moreover, the change in the anchoring of expectations imposes an additional constraint $(\varphi_{6,t})$, which is more strongly binding if the gain responds strongly to inflation $(\mathbf{g}_{\pi}(t))$. One can simplify this three-equation-system to:

$$\varphi_{6,t} = -cfe_t x_{t+1} + \left(1 + \frac{fe_t}{fe_{t+1}} (1 - k_{t+1}^{-1}) - fe_t \mathbf{g}_{\bar{\pi}}(t)\right) \varphi_{6,t+1} - \frac{fe_t}{fe_{t+1}} (1 - k_{t+1}^{-1}) \varphi_{6,t+2}$$
(C.7)

$$0 = 2\pi_t + 2\frac{\lambda}{\kappa} x_t - \left(\frac{k_t^{-1}}{f e_t} + \mathbf{g}_{\pi}(t)\right) \varphi_{6,t} + \frac{k_t^{-1}}{f e_t} \varphi_{6,t+1}$$
(C.8)

Unfortunately, I haven't been able to solve (C.7) for $\varphi_{6,t}$ and therefore I can't express the target criterion so nicely as before. The only thing I can say is to direct the targeting rule-following central bank to compute $\varphi_{6,t}$ as the solution to (C.8), and then evaluate (C.7) as a target criterion. The solution to (C.8) is given by:

$$\varphi_{6,t} = -2 \,\mathbb{E}_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\pi_{t+i} + \frac{\lambda_x}{\kappa} x_{t+i}) \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{\frac{k_{t+j}^{-1}}{f e_{t+j}}}{\frac{k_{t+j}^{-1}}{f e_{t+j}} + \mathbf{g}_{\pi}(t+j)}$$
(C.9)

Interpretation: the anchoring constraint is not binding $(\varphi_{6,t} = 0)$ if the CB always hits the target $(\pi_{t+i} + \frac{\lambda_x}{\kappa} x_{t+i} = 0 \quad \forall i)$; or expectations are always anchored $(k_{t+j}^{-1} = 0 \quad \forall j)$.