

MONETARY POLICY & ANCHORED EXPECTATIONS

Laura Gáti

Boston College

October 1, 2019

MOTIVATION

A quote or a plot, something about how policy-makers worry about anchored inflation expectations

ANCHORING - A CONCERN FOR MONETARY POLICY?

- What is anchoring?
- (Why) do we want expectations to be anchored?

THIS PAPER

- ① Defines anchoring from the lens of a learning model
- ② Embeds anchoring in a New Keynesian (NK) model with econometric learning
- ③ Goal: Derive optimal monetary policy, and contrast it with rational expectations (RE)
- ④ Today: some initial simulations with different specifications for monetary policy

IN WORDS

- ① Expectations anchored if unresponsive to short-run fluctuations
- ② Blessing or curse for monetary policy?

RELATED LITERATURE

- Optimal monetary policy in New Keynesian models
Clarida, Gali & Gertler (1999), Woodford (2003)
- Econometric learning
Evans & Honkapohja (2001), Preston (2005), Graham (2011)
- Anchoring
Carvalho et al (2019), Svensson (2015), Hooper et al (2019)

ROADMAP

- 1 INTUITION: WHAT IS ANCHORING AND WHY SHOULD IT MATTER?
- 2 A FORMAL NOTION OF ANCHORING
- 3 NK MODEL WITH ANCHORING
- 4 SIMULATIONS

NEW KEYNESIAN PHILLIPS CURVE

$$\pi_t = \beta \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t$$

- π_t = inflation
- x_t = output gap
- $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t$ = expectation-operator (not necessarily rational)

Suppose a negative demand shock:

$$\pi_t = \beta \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa \underset{\downarrow}{x_t}$$

If expectations do not move:

$$\underset{\downarrow}{\pi_t} = \beta \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \pi_{t+1} + \underset{\downarrow}{\kappa x_t}$$

If seeing π_t , expectations adjust:



$$\pi_t = \beta \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa X_t$$

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

Keeping expectations stable may be desirable

→ Anchoring as a notion of stable expectations

ROADMAP

- 1 INTUITION: WHAT IS ANCHORING AND WHY SHOULD IT MATTER?
- 2 A FORMAL NOTION OF ANCHORING**
- 3 NK MODEL WITH ANCHORING
- 4 SIMULATIONS

ANCHORING DEFINITION

Suppose firms

- observe everything up to time t
- do not observe future variables
- KEY: are unsure about the long-run mean of inflation, $\bar{\pi}$

ANCHORING DEFINITION II

Firms construct one-period-ahead inflation forecasts as

CHECK

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \pi_{t+1} = \bar{\pi}_{t-1} + b s_t \quad (1)$$

$\bar{\pi}$ = drift in inflation (= long-run mean, “target”)

$\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ = subjective expectation operator (not rational expectations, \mathbb{E})

b = matrix of constants

s = shocks

ANCHORING DEFINITION III

And update their estimate of the inflation drift as
(Carvalho et al, 2019) **CHECK**

$$\bar{\pi}_t = \bar{\pi}_{t-1} + k_t \overbrace{(\pi_t - (\bar{\pi}_{t-1} + bs_t))}^{\text{short-run forecast error}} \quad (2)$$

$$k_t = \mathbb{I} \times \frac{1}{k_{t-1} + 1} + (1 - \mathbb{I}) \times \bar{g} \quad (3)$$

$\bar{g} = \text{constant}$

$k = \text{gain} \rightarrow \text{sensitivity to short-run forecast errors}$

Anchoring: when k decreases over time.

ANCHORING DEFINITION IV

$$\mathbb{I} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta_t \leq \bar{\theta} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$\theta_t = |\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{t-1} \pi_t - \mathbb{E}_{t-1} \pi_t| / \sigma_s \quad (5)$$

$\bar{\theta} = \text{constant}$

θ = difference between subjective and objective (model-consistent) expectations, scaled by noise

Anchoring \equiv when the deviation between objective and subjective expectations is small enough such that firms choose decreasing gains

INTUITION

- When my expectation far from what is implied by the model, I update my estimate of the drift strongly
- When the two are close, I load less on my forecast error because it matters less
- Unanchored if: π deviates from target
 - i) strongly enough
 - ii) long enough

ROADMAP

- 1 INTUITION: WHAT IS ANCHORING AND WHY SHOULD IT MATTER?
- 2 A FORMAL NOTION OF ANCHORING
- 3 **NK MODEL WITH ANCHORING**
- 4 SIMULATIONS

3-EQUATION NEW KEYNESIAN MODEL

$$x_t = -\sigma i_t + \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} \beta^{T-t} ((1-\beta)x_{T+1} - \sigma(\beta i_{T+1} - \pi_{T+1}) + \sigma r_T^n) \quad (6)$$

$$\pi_t = \kappa x_t + \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} (\alpha\beta)^{T-t} (\kappa\alpha\beta x_{T+1} + (1-\alpha)\beta\pi_{T+1} + u_T) \quad (7)$$

$$i_t = \psi_{\pi}\pi_t + \psi_x x_t + \bar{i}_t \quad (8)$$

“Long-horizon forecasts” \rightarrow firms do not know beliefs of others
(Preston, 2005)

COMPACT NOTATION

$$z_t = A_1 f_{a,t} + A_2 f_{b,t} + A_3 s_t \quad (9)$$

$$s_t = P s_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \quad (10)$$

where

$$z_t \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \pi_t \\ x_t \\ i_t \end{pmatrix} \quad s_t \equiv \begin{pmatrix} r_t^n \\ \bar{i}_t \\ u_t \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

and

$$f_{a,t} \equiv \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} (\alpha\beta)^{T-t} z_{T+1} \quad f_{b,t} \equiv \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} (\beta)^{T-t} z_{T+1} \quad (12)$$

ROADMAP

- 1 INTUITION: WHAT IS ANCHORING AND WHY SHOULD IT MATTER?
- 2 A FORMAL NOTION OF ANCHORING
- 3 NK MODEL WITH ANCHORING
- 4 SIMULATIONS

CALIBRATION

β	0.98
σ	0.5
α	0.5
ψ_{π}	1.5
ψ_{χ}	1.5
\bar{g}	0.145^{-1}
θ	1
ρ_r	0.9
ρ_i	0.9
ρ_u	0.9
σ_i	0.1
σ_r	0.359
σ_u	0.277

Carvalho et al, 2019

ROLE OF LEARNING

VARYING $\bar{\theta}$

VARYING TAYLOR-RULE COEFFICIENTS

A BEAMER BUTTON TEMPLATE, HOW TO GET BACK TO MAIN TEXT

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} d_{11} & \gamma_{12} & \gamma_{13} & d_{14} & \cdots \\ d_{21} & \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{23} & d_{24} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

◀ Return