

Materials 35 - ...And still estimating the anchoring function

Laura Gáti

June 24, 2020

Overview

1	Estimation procedure	2
2	Simulated data, 1D function	3
2.1	Evaluate loss on a $6^6 = 46656$ grid	3
2.2	$\alpha^{true} \in (0, 0.1)$ and convex	3
2.3	100000 starting points, top 10 minima	3
2.4	Add moments	3
A	Model summary	5
B	Target criterion	5

1 Estimation procedure


Instead of the AR(1) anchoring function used so far (Equation A.6), I use the following equation

$$k_t^{-1} = \alpha s(X) \quad (1)$$

where $X = (k_{t-1}^{-1}, fe_{t|t-1})$ and I use piecewise linear interpolation. I initialize α_0 by specifying a grid for X , passing the grid through Equation (A.6) to generate k_t^{-1} -values, and approximating by fitting the grid to the k_t^{-1} -values. See Fig. 1.

Then I estimate α using GMM, targeting the autocovariance structure of inflation, the output gap and the nominal interest rate (federal funds rate) in the data.

Figure 1: Initialization via Equation (A.6) implies this functional relationship




$T = 233$ before BK-filtering, $T = 209$ after BK-filtering. Using the “constant-only, inflation-only” learning PLM. I drop the $ndrop = 5$ initial values. I restrict $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the support of k^{-1} in the grid. I target the lag $0, \dots, 4$ autocovariance matrices, dropping repeated entries at lag 0, leaving me with 42 moments.

2 Simulated data, 1D function

2.1 Evaluate loss on a $6^6 = 46656$ grid

2.2 $\alpha^{true} \in (0, 0.1)$ and convex

Figure 2: caption



Actually, this improves the solver's ability to get close (up to $\alpha(fe = -5)$) dramatically! But this indicates the finite-element issue: there might not be any data in the -5 range for the forecast error. If that's so, then redoing this spiel with the true data involving a smaller forecast error support should do the trick.


2.3 100000 starting points, top 10 minima

2.4 Add moments


2.4.1 Strict priors: anchoring function should be convex

2.4.2 Calibrated moments: e.g. average gain in simulation should be 0.05


Figure 3: Top 10 candidates




(a) Policy function



(b) Residuals



(c) Loss function



(d) Moments

A Model summary

$$x_t = -\sigma i_t + \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} \beta^{T-t} ((1-\beta)x_{T+1} - \sigma(\beta i_{T+1} - \pi_{T+1}) + \sigma r_T^n) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\pi_t = \kappa x_t + \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} (\alpha\beta)^{T-t} (\kappa\alpha\beta x_{T+1} + (1-\alpha)\beta\pi_{T+1} + u_T) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$i_t = \psi_\pi \pi_t + \psi_x x_t + \bar{i}_t \quad (\text{if imposed}) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\text{PLM:} \quad \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t z_{t+h} = a_{t-1} + b h_x^{h-1} s_t \quad \forall h \geq 1 \quad b = g_x h_x \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\text{Updating:} \quad a_t = a_{t-1} + k_t^{-1} (z_t - (a_{t-1} + b s_{t-1})) \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\text{Anchoring function:} \quad k_t^{-1} = \rho_k k_{t-1}^{-1} + \gamma_k f e_{t-1}^2 \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\text{Forecast error:} \quad f e_{t-1} = z_t - (a_{t-1} + b s_{t-1}) \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\text{LH expectations:} \quad f_a(t) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha\beta} a_{t-1} + b(\mathbb{I}_{nx} - \alpha\beta h)^{-1} s_t \quad f_b(t) = \frac{1}{1-\beta} a_{t-1} + b(\mathbb{I}_{nx} - \beta h)^{-1} s_t \quad (\text{A.8})$$

This notation captures vector learning (z learned) for intercept only. For scalar learning, $a_t = (\bar{\pi}_t \ 0 \ 0)'$ and b_1 designates the first row of b . The observables (π, x) are determined as:

$$x_t = -\sigma i_t + \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & 1-\beta & -\sigma\beta \end{bmatrix} f_b + \sigma \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (\mathbb{I}_{nx} - \beta h_x)^{-1} s_t \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\pi_t = \kappa x_t + \begin{bmatrix} (1-\alpha)\beta & \kappa\alpha\beta & 0 \end{bmatrix} f_a + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (\mathbb{I}_{nx} - \alpha\beta h_x)^{-1} s_t \quad (\text{A.10})$$

B Target criterion

The target criterion in the simplified model (scalar learning of inflation intercept only, $k_t^{-1} = \mathbf{g}(f e_{t-1})$):

$$\pi_t = -\frac{\lambda_x}{\kappa} \left\{ x_t - \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta}{1-\alpha\beta} \left(k_t^{-1} + ((\pi_t - \bar{\pi}_{t-1} - b_1 s_{t-1})) \mathbf{g}_\pi(t) \right) \right. \\ \left. \left(\mathbb{E}_t \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{t+i} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (1 - k_{t+1+j}^{-1} - (\pi_{t+1+j} - \bar{\pi}_{t+j} - b_1 s_{t+j}) \mathbf{g}_{\bar{\pi}}(t+j)) \right) \right\} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where I'm using the notation that $\prod_{j=0}^0 \equiv 1$. For interpretation purposes, let me rewrite this as follows:

$$\pi_t = -\frac{\lambda_x}{\kappa} x_t + \frac{\lambda_x}{\kappa} \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta}{1-\alpha\beta} \left(k_t^{-1} + f e_{t|t-1}^{eve} \mathbf{g}_\pi(t) \right) \mathbb{E}_t \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{t+i} \\ - \frac{\lambda_x}{\kappa} \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta}{1-\alpha\beta} \left(k_t^{-1} + f e_{t|t-1}^{eve} \mathbf{g}_\pi(t) \right) \left(\mathbb{E}_t \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{t+i} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (k_{t+1+j}^{-1} + f e_{t+1+j|t+j}^{eve} \mathbf{g}_{\bar{\pi}}(t+j)) \right) \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Interpretation: **tradeoffs from discretion in RE** + **effect of current level and change of the gain on future tradeoffs** + **effect of future expected levels and changes of the gain on future tradeoffs**