

OXIDATIVE STRESS-MEDIATED SIGNALING PATHWAYS BY ENVIRONMENTAL STRESSORS

HIDEKO SONE AND HIROMI AKANUMA

National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

13.1 INTRODUCTION

Oxidative stress in the form of excess reactive oxygen species (ROS) or reactive nitrogen species (RNS) can affect cells deleteriously or beneficially. Such stress might be generated by intracellular or extracellular sources. Furthermore, oxidative stress can cause various biological effects. Environmental stress is a key contributor to human disease. A number of substances such as metals, particulate materials, smoke, pesticides, and physical agents are environmental stressors [1] that contribute to many diseases. Concerns related to environmental stressor-related diseases such as cancer, chronic lung disease, diabetes mellitus, neurodegenerative diseases, and reproductive disorders have been raised recently. Research efforts elucidating the modes by which environmental stressors influence the development and progression of diseases or exploring preventive approaches are expected to engender further improvements in our knowledge. Understanding environmental stressor-induced influences at the molecular level will also provide a wealth of information related to the exploration of biomarkers for environmental stressor-related diseases [2–4].

The mechanisms of redox adaptation in living bodies and cells might involve multiple influences on an active redox-sensitive signaling pathway, such as ROS metabolism and antioxidant defenses, p53 pathway signaling, nitric oxide (NO) signaling pathway, hypoxia signaling, transforming growth factor (TGF)- β -bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)

ligand-receptor signaling, and mitochondrial function (Table 13.1). For example, transcription factors such as nuclear factor- κ B (NF- κ B), nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2), c-Jun, and hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) engender increased expression of antioxidant molecules such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase, thioredoxin, and the GSH antioxidant system. Metal ions such as arsenic (III/V) or copper (II) directly influence expression levels of those transcription factors and induce various oxidative stress events including thiol molecule perturbation, generation of oxidative DNA adducts, and induction of oxidative molecular biomarkers [5–8]. Nonmetal chemicals such as retinoic acids and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD) are also known to influence the expression of oxidative stress-related genes and proteins during carcinogenesis and during embryonic development [9–12]. In relation to cancer, a growing tumor might also produce intracellular and extracellular oxidative stress, which can modify its malignant features. Endogenous sources of tumor ROS or RNS include impaired intracellular genomes or proteomes, metabolism pathways, and xenobiotic metabolism. Consequently, the study of transcriptional regulation of gene expression in the research field of oxidative stress has been useful for identifying new transregulatory factors or new biomarkers induced by exposure to environmental stressors.

Microarray technology has been used in environmental toxicology and biology studies and has led to the establishment of gene expression signatures profiling

TABLE 13.1 Summary of oxidative stress-mediated signaling pathways

| Categorical pathways | Canonical Pathway (orthology) |
|--|---|
| Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) Metabolism and Antioxidant Defenses | Glutathione Peroxidases (GPx) Peroxiredoxins (TPx) Superoxide Dismutases (SOD) Genes Involved in Superoxide Metabolism Genes Involved in ROS Metabolism Other Peroxidases and Antioxidant-Related Genes |
| p53 Signaling (including DNA damage) | Apoptosis-Related Genes Cell Cycle Arrest and Checkpoint Regulation of the Cell Cycle Regulation of Cell Proliferation, Cell Growth, and Differentiation Damaged DNA Binding Mismatch, Base-Excision and Double-Strand Break Repair |
| Nitric Oxide (NO) Signaling Pathway | Genes with NO Synthase and Regulators of NO Biosynthesis Genes regulated by NO and NO Signaling Pathway Genes Involved in Superoxide Release Antiapoptosis Genes Genes with Antioxidant and Superoxide Dismutase Activity Genes with Glutathione Peroxidase, Oxidoreductase, or Peroxidase Activity Transcription Regulators |
| Hypoxia Signaling | Response to Hypoxia and Signal Transduction, Oxidative Stress Genes Related to Stress and Immune Response Hemoglobin Complex-Associated Genes Peroxidase, Oxidoreductase-Related Genes Transcription Factors and Regulators and Protein Binding Antiapoptosis Induction of Apoptosis and Caspase Activity Protein Biosynthesis, Phosphorylation, and Metabolism Cytoskeleton and Other Extracellular Molecules Cell Cycle, Cell Proliferation, and Growth Factors Carbohydrate, Lipid, One-Carbon Compound Metabolism RNA Metabolism Cardiac Excitation-Contraction (E-C) Coupling |
| TGF- β -BMP Signaling | TGF- β superfamily, bmp (bone morphogenetic protein) family members, gdf (growth differentiation factor), activin, and activin receptors Smad family members, TGF- β /activin-responsive genes, bmp-responsive genes, molecules regulating signaling of the TGF- β superfamily, adhesion molecules, extracellular matrix structural constituents, other extracellular molecules, transcription factors and regulators |
| Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF) Ligand-Receptor Signaling | Caspase activation, caspase inhibition, anti-apoptosis genes, induction of apoptosis, other apoptosis-related genes, jnk signaling pathway, nfkb signaling pathway, tnfr1 and tnfr2 signaling pathway, inflammatory response, transcription regulators |
| Mitochondria | Mitochondrial processing, mitochondrial transportation Fatty acid biosynthesis |

the toxicity of environmental stressors [13, 14]. Statistical methods used for DNA microarray studies are mostly multivariate approaches. Although basic methods treat genes as traits, which is consistent with the rules of experimental design, several approaches have been developed using expression ratio data sets. Such approaches regard the genes as cases and the array plates as variables. Most well-known methods based on singular value decomposition have used principal component analysis [15, 16]. In alternative approaches, our previous reports have described that a Bayesian

network technique, which is a probabilistic graphical model that represents a set of variable identities, is applicable to investigation of the gene expression interaction networks and the detection of differences arising in them from exposure to different doses of chemicals [17, 18]. Bayesian network techniques can provide predictive information related to the relations between agents and gene expression signatures in the life science fields [19–21].

This chapter addresses various environmental stressor-induced toxicities in experimental animals such as

rats and humans to elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying toxicity-induced oxidative stress.

13.2 OXIDATIVE STRESS-MEDIATED SIGNALING PATHWAYS

Cells respond and adapt to environmental signals such as stressors [22–24] through multiple mechanisms that involve communication pathways and signal transduction processes. The impact of oxidative stress on various diseases and aging has been reviewed comprehensively. In particular, free radical-induced oxidative stress plays an important role in cancer development, metabolism-related diseases like diabetes and hypertension, and neurodegenerative disorders [4, 25–36]. Our survey of microarray databases and many other published references has revealed the categorical pathways induced by oxidative stress presented in Table 13.2.

ROS metabolism and antioxidant defenses center upon ROS, which are necessary for biological functions and which regulate many signal transduction pathways by directly reacting with and modifying the structure of proteins, transcription factors, and genes to modulate their functions. Actually, ROS induce expression levels of genes associated with signaling cell growth and differentiation, regulating the activity of enzymes (such as ribonucleotide reductase and peroxidase). Control of ROS levels is achieved by balancing ROS generation with their elimination through ROS-scavenging systems such as superoxide dismutases (SOD1, SOD2, and SOD3), glutathione peroxidase, peroxiredoxins, glutaredoxin, and thioredoxin catalase. The ROS can modulate the activities and expression of many transcription factors and signaling proteins that are involved in stress response and cell survival through multiple mechanisms. Therefore, this category includes glutathione peroxidases (GPx), peroxiredoxins (TPx), superoxide dismutases (SOD), genes involved in superoxide metabolism such as arachidonate 12-lipoxygenase (ALOX12), and copper chaperone for superoxide dismutase (CCS). In fact, p53 signaling plays a central role in coordinating the cellular responses to a broad range of cellular stress factors; p53 functions as a node for organizing whether the cell responds to various types and levels of stress with apoptosis, cell cycle arrest, senescence, DNA repair, cell metabolism, or autophagy. Moreover, p53 controls transactivation of target genes, which is an essential feature of stress response pathways [37–39]. In other words, p53 activation leads to a complicated network of responses to the various stress signals encountered by cells [40–44]. The mitochondrial respiratory chain produces NO, which can generate other RNS when cells are under hypoxic conditions. Although excess ROS and

RNS can engender oxidative and nitrosative stress, moderate to low levels of both function in cellular signaling pathways. Especially important are the roles of these mitochondrion-generated free radicals in hypoxic signaling pathways, which have important implications for cancer, inflammation, and various other diseases [25, 45]. Hypoxic signaling events include vasodilation, modulation of mitochondrial respiration, and cytoprotection following ischemic insult. These phenomena are attributed to the reduction of nitrite anions to NO if local oxygen levels in tissues decrease [46], which activates the expression of genes through oxygen-sensitive transcription factors including HIF and NF- κ B. Hypoxia-dependent gene expression can have important physiological or pathophysiological consequences for an organism, depending upon the cause of the hypoxic insult [47]. These NO signaling and hypoxia signaling pathways are linked to the p53 pathway [48], because recent studies have shown that HIF2 α inhibition promotes p53-mediated responses by disrupting cellular redox homeostasis, thereby permitting ROS accumulation and DNA damage [49]. Reportedly, hypoxia activates the tumor suppressor protein p53 by upregulating Sema3E expression [50].

TGF- β -BMP signaling is involved in developmental morphogenesis and cancer morphogenesis. Morphogens such as those of the TGF- β family inhibit and stimulate basic cell proliferation, respectively, at high and low concentrations. A signaling gradient of declining TGF- β concentration regulates the inhibition and stimulation of cell proliferation [51]. ROS can activate TGF- β either directly or indirectly via the activation of proteases. In addition, TGF- β itself induces ROS production as part of its signal-transduction pathway. Pulmonary tissues are vulnerable to the toxic effects of inhaled air. The oxidant pathways are especially relevant in the lung, where TGF- β is known to have a role in tissue repair and connective tissue turnover. In pulmonary fibrosis and renal endothelial cells, TGF- β activation is considered a hallmark of disease progression [52, 53]. In ovarian cancer, overexpression of FOXG1 contributes to TGF- β resistance through inhibition of p21WAF1/CIP1 expression, which is repressed by p53 [54]. Recent studies have revealed some additional novel functions of the p53 pathway. These include the downregulation of two central cell-growth pathways, the IGF/AKT-1 and mTOR pathways, and the upregulation of the activities of the endosomal compartment [55–57]. The mTOR pathway including the IGF-1/AKT pathway plays critical roles in regulation of cell proliferation, survival, and energy metabolism to shut down cell growth and division to avoid the introduction of infidelity into the process of cell growth and division [58, 59]. In response to stress, IGF-BP3, PTEN, TSC2, AMPK beta1, and Sestrin1/2 are transcribed by p53, play a critical

TABLE 13.2 Oxidative stress-mediated signaling pathways and their related genes

| Categorical Pathways | Gene Name (Symbol) |
|--|--|
| Canonical Pathway (ontology) | |
| Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) Metabolism and Antioxidant Defenses | |
| Glutathione Peroxidases (GPx) | GPX1, GPX2, GPX3, GPX4, GPX5, GPX6, GPX7, GSTZ1 |
| Peroxiredoxins (TPx) | PRDX1, PRDX2, PRDX3, PRDX4, PRDX5, PRDX6 |
| Other Peroxidases | CAT, CSDE1, CYGB, DUOX1, DUOX2, EPX, GPR156, LPO, MGS2, MPO, PIP3-E, PTGS1, PTGS2, PXDN, PXDNL, TPO, TTN |
| Other Antioxidants | ALB, APOE, GSR, MT3, SELS, SRXN1, TXNDC2, TXNRD1, TXNRD2 |
| Superoxide Dismutases (SOD) | SOD1, SOD2, SOD3 |
| Other Genes Involved in Superoxide Metabolism | ALOX12, CCS, CYBA, DUOX1, DUOX2, GTF2L, MT3, NCF1, NCF2, NOS2A, NOX5, PREX1, PRG3 |
| Genes Involved in ROS Metabolism | AOX1, BNIP3, EPHX2, MPV17, SFTPD |
| Oxidative Stress-Responsive Genes | ANGPTL7, ATOX1, CAT, CCL5, CSDE1, DGKK, DHCR24, DUSP1, EPX, FOXM1, GLRX2, GPR156, GSS, KRT1, LPO, MBL2, MPO, MSRA, MTL5, NME5, NUDT1, OXR1, OXSR1, PDLIM1, PIP3-E, PNKP, PRDX2, PRDX5, PRDX6, PRNP, RNF7, SCARA3, SELS, SEPP1, SGK2, SIRT2, SRXN1, STK25, TPO, TTN |
| p53 Signaling Pathway | |
| Induction of Apoptosis | BAX, BID, CDKN1A, CRADD, EI24, FADD, FASLG (TNFSF6), FOXO3, PCBP4, PRKCA, TNFRSF10B, TP53, TP73, TP73L |
| Antiapoptosis | BCL2, BCL2A1, BIRC5, CASP2, HDAC1, IGF1R, MCL1, NFKB1, RELA, TNF, TNFRSF10 |
| Other Apoptosis Genes | APAF1, BRCA1, CASP9, E2F1, GADD45A, GML, LRDD, P53AIP1, SIAH1, SIRT1, TP53BP2, TRAF2 |
| Cell Cycle Arrest | CDKN1A, CDKN2A, CHEK1, CHEK2, GADD45A, GML, MYC, PCAF, PCBP4, RPRM, SESN1, SESN2 |
| Cell Cycle Checkpoint | ATR, BRCA1, CCNE2, CCNG2, CDKN2A, RB1, TP53 |
| Negative Regulation of the Cell Cycle | BAX, BRCA1, CDKN2A, MSH2, NF1, PTEN, RBI, TP53, TP73, TP73L, TSC1, WT1 |
| Regulation of the Cell Cycle | BRCA2, CDC2, CDC25A, CDK4, E2F1, E2F3, HK2, IGF1R, KRAS, PPMID, PRKCA, STAT1, TADA3L, TP53BP2 |
| Other Cell Cycle Genes | BIRC5, CCNH, CCNB2, ESR1, MLH1, PCNA, PRC1 |
| Negative Regulation of Cell Proliferation | BAI1, BCL2, BTG2, CDKN1A, CDKN2A, CHEK1, GML, IFNB1, IL6, MDM2, MDM4, NF1, PCAF, PPMID, SESN1 |
| Positive Regulation of Cell Proliferation | IGF1R, IL6 |
| Cell Proliferation | BRCA1, CDC25A, CDC25C, CDK4, E2F1, MYC, PCNA, PRKCA |
| Cell Growth and Differentiation | ESR1, MCL1, MYOD1 |
| Other Genes Related to Cell Growth, Proliferation, and Differentiation | EGR1, FOXO3A, JUN, KRAS, PTTG1 |
| DNA Repair Genes | ATM, ATR, BRCA1, BTG2, CCNH, DNMT1, GADD45A, MSH2, PCNA, PTTG1, TP53, XRCC5 |
| Human Nitric Oxide Signaling Pathway | |
| Genes with Nitric Oxide Synthase or Oxidoreductase activity | NOS1, NOS2A, NOS3, NQO1 |
| Positive Regulators of Nitric Oxide Biosynthesis | HSP90AB1 (HSPCB), INS |
| Negative Regulators of Nitric Oxide Biosynthesis | DNCL1, GLA, IL10 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Other Genes Involved in NO Biosynthesis | AKT1, ARG2, DDAH2, DNCL1, EGFR, GCH1, GCHFR |
| Genes Induced by NO | CDKN1A, IL8, JUN, VEGFA |
| Genes Suppressed by NO | CCNA1, MYB, TROAP |
| Genes Involved in NO Signaling Pathway | CAMK1, DLG4, GRIN2D, NOS1, PPP3CA, PRKAR1B, PRKCA |
| Genes Involved in Superoxide Release | ALOX12, DUOX1, DUOX2, NOX5, PRG3 |
| Genes with Oxidoreductase Activity | ALOX12, CYBA, DUOX1, DUOX2, NOS2A, NOX5, SOD1, SOD2, SOD3 |
| Genes with Peroxidase Activity | DUOX1, DUOX2 |
| Genes with Superoxide Dismutase Activity | SOD2 |
| Other Genes Involved in Superoxide Metabolism | CCS, NCF1, NCF2, PREX1 |
| Apoptosis | MPO, MTL5, NME5, PRDX2, RNF7 |
| Antiaoptosis Genes | APOE, MT3, SELS, SOD1, SOD3, SRXN1 (C20orf139) |
| Genes with Antioxidant Activity | GPX1, GPX2, GPX3, GPX4, GPX5, GPX6, LOC493869 |
| Genes with Glutathione Peroxidase Activity | CAT, EPX, GPX1, GPX2, GPX3, GPX4, GPX5, GPX6, LPO, MPO, MSRA, PRDX2, PRDX6, SOD1, SOD2, SRXN1(C20orf139), TPO, TXNRD2 |
| Genes with Oxidoreductase Activity | CYGB, EPX, GPR156, LPO, MPO, PRDX2, PRDX5, PRDX6, TPO, TTN, UNR |
| Genes with Peroxidase Activity | FOXO1, GLRX2, SIRT2, SIRT3, SIRT2, SOD2, UNR |
| Transcription Regulators | ATOX1, DUSP1, GSS, KRT1, MBL2, NUDT1, OXR1, PNKP, PRNP, SCARA3, SEPP1, SGK2 |
| Other Genes Involved in Oxidative Stress | |
| DNA Damage Signaling | |
| Apoptosis | ABL1, BRCA1, CIDEA, GADD45A, GADD45G, GML, IHPK3, PCBP4, AIFM1 (PDCD8), PPP1R15A, RAD21, TP53, TP73 |
| Cell Cycle Arrest | CHEK1, CHEK2, DDIT3 (CHOP), GADD45A, GML, GTSE1, HUS1, MAP2K6, MAPK12, PCBP4, PPP1R15A, RAD17, RAD9A, SESN1, ZAK |
| Cell Cycle Checkpoint | ATR, BRCA1, FANCG, NBN (NBS1), RAD1, RBBP8, SMC1A (SMC1L1), TP53 |
| Damaged DNA Binding | ANKRD17, BRCA1, DDB1, DMC1, ERCC1, FANCG, FEN1, MPG, MSH2, MSH3, N4BP2, NBN (NBS1), OGG1, PMS2L3 (PMS2L9), PNKP, RAD1, RAD18, RAD51, RAD51L1, REV1 (REV1L), SEMA4A, XPA, XPC, XRCC1, XRCC2, XRCC3 |
| Base-Excision Repair | APEX1, MBD4, MPG, MUTYH, NTHL1, OGG1, UNG |
| Double-Strand Break Repair | CIB1, FEN1, XRCC6 (G2P1), XRCC6BP1 (KUB3), MRE11A, NBN (NBS1), PRKDC, RAD21, RAD50 |
| Mismatch Repair | ABL1, ANKRD17, EXO1, MLH1, MLH3, MSH2, MSH3, MUTYH, N4BP2, PMS1, PMS2, PMS2L3 (PMS2L9), TP73, TREX1 |
| Other Genes Related to DNA Repair | APEX2, ATM, ATRX, BTG2, CCNH, CDK7, CRY1, ERCC2 (XPD), GTF2H1, GTF2H2, IGHMBP2, LIG1, MNAT1, PCNA, RPA1, SUMO1 |
| Mitochondria | |
| Membrane Polarization & Potential | BAK1, BCL2, BCL2L1, BNIP3, SOD1, TP53, UCPI, UCP2, UCP3 |
| Mitochondrial Transport | AIP, BAK1, BCL2, BCL2L1, BNIP3, CPT1B, CPT2, DNAJC19, FXC1 (TIMM10B), GRPEL1, HSP90AA1, HSPD1, IMMP2L, MFN2, MIPEP, MTX2, STARD3, TP53, TSPO, UCPI, UCP2, UCP3 |
| Small Molecule Transport | SLC25A1, SLC25A10, SLC25A12, SLC25A13, SLC25A14, SLC25A15, SLC25A16, SLC25A17, SLC25A19, SLC25A2, SLC25A20, SLC25A21, SLC25A22, SLC25A23, SLC25A24, SLC25A25, SLC25A27, SLC25A3, SLC25A30, SLC25A31, SLC25A37, SLC25A4, SLC25A5 |
| Targeting Proteins to Mitochondria | AIP, DNAJC19, FXC1 (TIMM10B), GRPEL1, HSPD1, IMMP2L, MFN2, MIPEP, TSPO |
| Mitochondrial Protein Import | AIP, COX10, COX18, DNAJC19, FXC1 (TIMM10B), GRPEL1, HSPD1, MIPEP, SH3GLB1 |

(Continued)

TABLE 13.2 Continued

| Categorical Pathways Canonical Pathway (ontology) | Gene Name (Symbol) |
|--|--|
| Outer Membrane Translocation | TOMM20, TOMM22, TOMM34, TOMM40, TOMM40L, TOMM70A |
| Inner Membrane Translocation | FXC1 (TIMM10B), IMMP1L, IMMP2L, OPA1, TAZ, TIMM10, TIMM17A, TIMM17B, TIMM22, TIMM23, TIMM44, TIMM50, TIMM8A, TIMM8B, TIMM9 |
| Mitochondrial Fission & Fusion | COX10, COX18, FIS1, MFN1, MFN2, OPA1 |
| Mitochondrial Localization | DNM1L, LRPPRC, MFN2, MSTO1, NEFL, OPA1, RHOT1, RHOT2, UXT |
| Apoptotic Genes | AIFM2, BAK1, BBC3, BCL2, BCL2L1, BID, BNIP3, CDKN2A, DNML, PMAIP1, SFN, SH3GLB1, SOD2, TP53 |
| Hypoxia Signaling | |
| Response to Hypoxia | ANGPTL4, ARNT2, CREBBP, EP300, HIF1A, MT3, PRKAA1 |
| Response to Oxidative Stress | CAT, CYGB, GPX1, PIP3-E |
| Immune Response | GPI, IL1A, IL6, IL6ST, NOS2A, NOTCH1, PTX3, RARA |
| Other Genes Related to Stress Response | ADM, EPO, HYOU1, VEGFA |
| Hemoglobin Complex-Associated Genes | CYGB, EPO, HBB, HMOX1, NOS2A, PIP3-E |
| Peroxidase | CAT, CYGB, GPX1, PIP3-E |
| Other Oxidoreductase-Related Genes | HIF1AN, HMOX1, MT3, NOS2A, PLOD3, TH |
| Transcription Cofactors | CREBBP, DRI1, ENO1, EP300, EPAS1, HTATIP, RARA |
| Transcription Factors | ARNT2, BHLHB2, CREBBP, ENO1, EP300, EPAS1, HIF1A, HIF3A, KHSRP, MYBL2, PPARA, RARA |
| Other Transcription Factors and Regulators | HIF1AN, NOTCH1 |
| Antiapoptosis | BAX, ANGPTL4, BIRC5, IL1A, MYBL2, PEA15, PRKAA1, VEGFA |
| Caspase Activity | BIRC5, CASP1 |
| Induction of Apoptosis | BAX, DAPK3, NUDT2 |
| Other Apoptosis Genes | EP300 |
| Signal Transduction | ADM, ARNT2, CASP1, CDC42, CREBBP, EP300, EPAS1, EPO, GNA11, HIF1A, HIF3A, HMOX1, IGFBP1, IL1A, IL6, IL6ST, IQGAP1, KIT, LEP, PLAU, RARA, VEGFA |
| Protein Biosynthesis | EEF1A1, PDIA2 (PDIP), PRKAA1, RPL28, RPL32, RPS2, RPS7 |
| Protein Heterodimerization | ARNT2, HIF1A, RARA, SAE1 |
| Protein Homodimerization | ARNT2, RARA, VEGFA |
| Protein Amino Acid Phosphorylation | DAPK3, KIT, PRKAA1 |
| Protein Binding | CASP1, CREBBP, ENO1, EP300, IQGAP1, NOS2A, PEA15, PPP2CB, RARA |
| Other Genes Related to Protein Metabolism | ARD1A, CDC42, GNA11, HYOU1, MAN2B1, PLOD3, PSMB3, SUMO2, TUBA4A (TUBA1) |
| Protease Inhibitors | BIRC5, CSTB |
| Protease Molecules | AGTPBP1, CASP1, ECE1, PLAU, PSMB3 |
| Other Extracellular Molecules | ADM, ANGPTL4, CHGA, COL1A1, EPO, IGF2, IGFBP1, IL1A, IL6, LEP, NPY, PTX3, VEGFA |
| Cytoskeleton | DCTN2, SPTBN1 |
| Cell Cycle | BAX, BIRC5, EP300, HK2, IGF2, IL1A, MYBL2, SSSCA1, VEGFA |
| Cell Proliferation | DCTN2, IGF2, IL1A, IL6, MT3, NPY, RARA, VEGFA |
| Growth Factors | GPI, IGF2, IGFBP1, IL1A, IL6, KIT, VEGFA |
| Other Genes Related to Cell Growth | ENO1 |

TABLE 13.2 Continued

| Categorical Pathways | Gene Name (Symbol) |
|---|--|
| Canonical Pathway (ontology) | |
| JNK Signaling Pathway | EDA2R, TNFRSF19, CD27 (TNFRSF7), MAP2K4, MAPK8, PAK1 |
| Other TNF Superfamily Members and ligands | LTB, PGLYRP1, TNFSF11, TNFSF12, TNFSF13, TNFSF13B, TNFSF4, TNFSF5IP1, TNFRSF11A, TNFRSF13B, TNFRSF13C, TNFRSF17, TNFRSF19L, TNFRSF4, TNFRSF8 |
| Transcription Regulators | JUN, PARP1, RBL, TNF, TNFRSF1A, TNFRSF25, CD27 (TNFRSF7), TNFRSF9 |
| TNFR1 Signaling Pathway | ARHGDIB, CAD, HRB, LMNA, LMNB1, LMNB2, MADD, MAP3K1, MAP3K7, PAK2, PRKDC, SPTAN1 |
| FAS signaling pathway | IKBKG, LTA, TRAF3, TNFRSF14, TNFRSF1A, TNFRSF1B |
| Induction of Apoptosis | NFKB1, TNFAIP3 |
| Anti-apoptosis Genes | NFKBIA, TNFRSF1B, TRAF1, TRAF2 |
| Other Apoptosis Genes | NFKB1 |
| Inflammatory Response | CHUK, IKBKB, IKBKG, NFKBIA, TNFAIP3 |
| NF- κ B Signaling Pathway | IKBKB, IKBKG, NFKB1, NFKBIA |
| Transcription Regulators | DUSP1, HRB, IKBKAP, MAP3K1, MAP3K14, TANK |
| TNFR2 Signaling Pathway | |

role as negative regulators, and lead to the reduction in the activities of these two pathways. Furthermore, p53 transcriptionally regulates TSAP6, Chmp4C, Caveolin-1, and DRAM, which are critical genes in the endosomal compartment, increases exosome secretion and the rate of endosomal removal of growth factor receptors from cell surface, and enhances autophagy [60–63]. It is thought that these p53-mediated activities slow down cell growth and division, conserve and recycle cellular resources, communicate with adjacent cells and dendritic cells of the immune system, and inform other tissues of the stress signals [55, 64, 65].

TNF ligand-receptor signaling occurs because TNF, as a multifunctional cytokine, can induce cell death through receptor-mediated caspase activation and mitochondrial dysfunction by a trigger of oxidative stress induced in cardiovascular disease, neuronal disease, and cancer [66]. Opposing these cell death-promoting signals, binding of TNF receptors can also trigger survival signal activation. A critical balance among various intracellular signaling pathways determines the predominant *in vivo* bioactivity of TNF, as best exemplified by the differential responses of various organs.

A major source of ROS in cells is the mitochondria. Electron leakage from the mitochondrial respiratory chain can react with molecular oxygen, resulting in the formation of the superoxide anion radical, which can subsequently be converted to other ROS. In phagocytes and some cancer cells, ROS can be produced through a reaction that is catalyzed by NADPH oxidase complexes. When attackers from the outside, such as environmental stressors, damage mitochondria, electron leakage is also induced; this dysfunction induces severe problems in tissues [67–70]. Mitochondrial dysfunction causes the onset of some diseases [71–74]. Recent evidence has shown that mitochondrial dysfunction is related closely to insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome. The underlying mechanism of mitochondrial dysfunction is very complex, including genetic factors from both the nucleus and mitochondrial genome, with numerous environmental factors also impacting [75].

Exposure to air pollution, including particles, metals, and other organic compounds as environmental stressors, is associated with pulmonary diseases and cancer. The mechanisms of induced health effects are believed to involve oxidative stress. Oxidative stress mediated by airborne particles and/or fibers might arise from direct generation of ROS from the surfaces of particles and fibers, soluble compounds such as transition metals or organic compounds, and activation of inflammatory cells capable of generating ROS and RNS. Generation of ROS/RNS can cause covalent modifications to DNA directly, or they can initiate the formation of genotoxic lipid hydroperoxides. The resulting oxidative DNA damage can engender

changed gene expression such as upregulation of tumor promoters and downregulation of tumor suppressor genes; the DNA damage might therefore be implicated in cancer development. This chapter describes the important role of free radicals in particle- and fiber-induced cellular damage, the interaction of ROS with target molecules, especially with DNA, and the modulation of specific genes and transcription factor caused by oxidative stress. Consequently, various environmental stressors cause cellular damage through oxidative stress induction and many signaling pathways. However, what environmental stressor is dominant in which signaling pathway is not always clear. Therefore, identifying gene expression signatures extracted from microarray data can clarify how environmental stressors may damage cells and engender diseases.

13.2.1 Case Studies in Tissues or Organs from Rats Exposed to Environmental Stressors

Many animal models have been studied to elucidate mechanisms of action of oxidants or antioxidants. Research on oxidative stress and its defense has expanded dramatically because of its potential benefit in disease prevention and health promotion. In particular, rats exposed to stress-induced chemicals have been extensively studied in biological systems such as cell cultures, animal models, and clinical trials [76–79]. Therefore, 33 independent studies in rats are focused on in this chapter because these studies used microarrays for which gene expression data are publicly available from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds>). Those microarray data with the same platform GPL341 (Affymetrix) sets in rats were downloaded for this study. All data sets were normalized across all arrays by Z-score transformation methods after combination with respect to probe IDs. The normalized values were filtered with oxidative-related genes listed in this work (Table 13.2), and then the top 10 genes from the upregulated and downregulated genes were chosen to analyze gene expression signatures (Table 13.3). The selected genes were classified by using principal component analysis to create gene expression signatures of oxidative stress and were divided into six groups. Most selected genes could be assigned to gene ontology (GO) categories: DNA repair, oxygen and reactive oxygen species metabolism, and response to stress, but cyclins and cyclin dependent kinase contained in “Apoptosis related genes, Cell Cycle Arrest and Checkpoint, Regulation of the Cell Cycle, Regulation of Cell Proliferation, Cell Growth and Differentiation” of “p53 signaling” and “TGF-beta signaling” were not observed. Experimental conditions selected from GPL341 data sets in this work were almost all of short-period exposure using *in vivo* and *in vitro* culture systems of rats. It is noteworthy that

TABLE 13.3 Environmental stressors induce different gene expression signatures

| Environmental Stressors (target organ or tissues) | Up-gene | Down-gene | GEO ID |
|---|--|--|---------|
| Cluster 1 | | | |
| Methylprednisolone (kidney) | Apoe, Gpx2, Ngb, Nos2, Prdx6, Tmod1, Tnpl, Tpo | Brcal, Cry2, Fen1, Hus1, Ptgs2, Pttgl, Rad50, Srxn1, Xrcc6 | GDS964 |
| Methylprednisolone (liver) | Aass, Atrx, Ncf1, Nqo1, Scd1, Slc41a3, Srd5a2, Tmod1, Tnpl | Chek1, Cry2, Lig1, Mgmt, Pold1, Pold3, Rad50, Rad52, Smc3, Xrcc6 | GDS972 |
| Streptozotocin (penile cavernosal) | Apc, Cat, Duox2, Gpx2, Gpx6, Gsr, Lpo, Slc38a1, Smc3, Tpo | Atrx, Gpx7, Nos2, Park7, Ptgs2, Scd1, Slc38a4, Slc41a3, Srxn1, Zmynd17 | GDS1393 |
| Trimethyltin (hippocampus) | Apex1, Dnm2, Fance, Gpx7, Lpo, Mgmt, Park7, Prnp, Txnip, Ucp3 | Apc, Apoe, Hbz, Mpp4, Ptgs2, Smc3, Srd5a2, Tnpl, Tpo | GDS2555 |
| Octreotide (gastric ECL) | Brcal, Brcal, Dnm2, Duox2, Msh2, Nox4, Tmod1, Tpo, Xirp1 | Apex1, Atrx, Cry2, Gpx6, Nos2, Slc38a1, Slc38a4, Slk, Tmod1, Tpo | GDS2558 |
| Cluster 2 | | | |
| Fibronectin (ventricular myocytes) | Apoe, Atrx, Chaf1a, Ngb, Rad51c, Smc3, Srxn1, Tpo, Zmynd17 | Actb, Atrx, Gsr, Mutyh, Ngb, Prdx6, Rad52, Smc3, Tpo, Txnrd1 | GDS696 |
| Protein restriction (visceral adipose tissue) | Aass, Apc, Gpx6, Gstk1, Ngb, Prnp, Rad51c, Scd1, Tmod1, Tnpl | Brcal, Chaf1a, Lpo, Mutyh, Nos2, Pttgl, Slc38a1, Slc38a4, Tpo, Ung | GDS880 |
| Heregulin (ureteric buds) | Dher24, Hus1, Ldha, Mif, Park7, Rad1, Rad50, Scd1, Tdg, Ung | Actb, Atrx, Nos2, Nox4, Nqo1, Ptgs1, Rad23a, Srxn1, Txnrd1 | GDS1518 |
| Kainic acid (hippocampi) | Apoe, Brcal, Ncf1, Nox4, Pold1, Rad23a, Rad50, Rad51c, Srd5a2, Tmod1 | Chaf1a, Hbz, Lpo, Mb, Pold3, Tnpl, Tpo, Ucp3, Ung, Zmynd17 | GDS1626 |
| Ethanol (pancreas) | Apoe, Atrx, Hbz, Ogg1, Ptgs2, Scd1, Srxn1, Tmod1, Txnrd2, Zmynd17 | Cry2, Hus1, Mb, Msh2, Nox4, Nthl1, Prdx6, Rad52, Slk, Srd5a2 | GDS2107 |
| Sulfur dioxide (lung) | Aass, Brcal, Cry2, Hus1, Nos2, Ptgs2, Pttgl, Rad50, Tpo, Zmynd17 | Apex1, Brcal, Gpx6, Nos2, Nox4, Rad23a, Rad51c, Srd5a2, Tnpl, Tpo | GDS2372 |
| Hypoxia (adrenal gland) | Chaf1a, Duox2, Ldha, Ngb, Pold3, Rad23a, Slc41a3, Tpo, Txnrd2 | Aass, Apc, Apoe, Atrx, Cry2, Lpo, Nox4, Rad52, Srd5a2, Tnpl | GDS2457 |
| Methylprednisolone (skeletal muscles) | Aass, Atrx, Hbz, Ngb, Rad1, Scd1, Slc38a5, Tmod1, Tpo, Xirp1 | Als2, Atrx, Brcal, Cat, Gsr, Ncf1, Nox4, Nqo1, Slc41a3, Trpc2 | GDS2688 |
| Cluster 3 | | | |
| Forskolin (pheochromocytoma cell) | Aass, Apex1, Brcal, Chek1, Duox2, Gpx2, Hbz, Nxn, Ptgs1, Pttgl | Atrx, Cat, Cygb, Ehd2, Gpx3, Gpx4, Gpx7, Scd1, Sod3, Vim | GDS1363 |
| N-methyl-N-nitrosourea (mammary tumors) | Cat, Ehd2, Gadd45a, Gstk1, Mgmt, Prdx3, Prdx6, Scd1, Srxn1, Ube2a | Dpagt1, Gab1, Gpx3, Lpo, Mpg, Nxn, Prdx4, Prnp, Rad52, Txnip | GDS1452 |
| Retinoic X receptor ligand LG100268 (mammary gland) | Brcal, Dnm2, Gpx6, Hbz, Mpp4, Ncf1, Nos2, Slc38a1, Tpo | Aass, Atrx, Chaf1a, Gsr, Idh1, Nox4, Prdx1, Rad23a, Xrcc1, Zmynd17 | GDS1922 |
| Angiopoietin-1 (aortic rings) | Apex1, Dnm2, Mgmt, Ngb, Pold3, Rad50, Slc38a1, Srd5a2, Srxn1, Ucp3 | Atrx, Brcal, Chaf1a, Gpx6, Mb, Nox4, Rad23a, Slk, Tpo, Zmynd17 | GDS2037 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|---------|
| Isoflurane (basolateral amygdalae) | Brca2, Gpx2, Ifi172, Mif, Nos2, Ptgl1, Rad1, Rad51c, Tpo, Ung | Atrx, Atrx, Gsr, Nox4, Pold3, Prnp, Ptgs2, Scd1, Smc3, Xrcc6 | GDS2073 |
| Fe deficiency (jejunum) | Aass, Gadd45a, Gsr, Nqo1, Srxn1, Tdg, Tmod1, Txnrd1, Xrcc1, | Gpx7, Hba-a2, Lpo, Mgmt, Nthl1, Pms2, Rad52, Smc3, Xpc, Xrcc6 | GDS2093 |
| Pregnenolone16alpha-carbonitrile (liver) | Dnm2, Gpx6, Lpo, Nqo1, Prdx5, Ptgs2, Scd1, Srxn1, Tpo, Txnrd1 | Aass, Als2, Apoe, Hbz, Nos2, Rad51c, Slc38a5, Srd5a2, Tpo | GDS2194 |
| Particulate matter (TPM)/1 of cigarette smoke (lung) | Aass, Apc, Brca1, Brca2, Cry2, Gpx2, Hus1, Slc38a4, Tpo, Txnrd1 | Chaf1a, Mb, Mutyh, Nos2, Pold3, Ptgs2, Rad50, Tmod1, Tnp1, Tpo | GDS2616 |
| Genistein (mammary epithelial cells) | Atrx, Brca2, Hba-a2, Ngb, Rad23a, Rad52, Smc3, Tpo, Ung, Zmynd17 | Apex1, Brca1, Gpx6, Lpo, Ptgl1, Slc38a4, Srd5a2, Tnp1, Tpo | GDS2639 |
| Aging (hippocampi) | Atrx, Ehd2, Gadd45a, Gtf2h1, Mgmt, Ncf1, Nthl1, Ptgs2, Ptgl1, Srxn1 | Ercc6, Mlh1, Pms2, Rad50, Rad52, Slc38a1, Trpc2, Txnip, Wrnpl, Xpc | GDS2774 |
| Depolarization. (midbrain) | Apc, Apoe, Atrx, Brca1, Pold3, Ptgs2, Rad23a Slc38a4, Smc3, Zmynd17 | Apex1, Atrx, Chaf1a, Gpx2, Hba-a2, Nos2, Ptgl1, Srxn1, Tmod1, Tnp1 | GDS2901 |
| Aristolochic acid (kidney) | Apoe, Atrx, Cry2, Ngb, Ppp1r15b, Scd1, Srxn1, Tpo | Apoe, Atrx, Fen1, Gadd45a, Gpx6, Ifi172, Pold3, Rad52, Txnip, Zmynd17 | GSM1038 |
| Cluster 4 | | | |
| Pyridine activator (ventricular myocytes) | Aass, Chaf1a, Dhcr24, Nthl1, Pinx1, Pold3, Rad52, Scd1, Slc38a1, Xirpl | Apex1, Brca2, Cry2, Gpx6, Hus1, Lpo, Mutyh, Pold1, Rad51c, Tpo | GDS902 |
| Reinnervation (tibialis anterior muscles) | Apex1, Atrx, Chk1, Gpx6, Mgmt, Ncf1, Nox4, Pold3, Smc3, Tnp1 | Atrx, Brca1, Chaf1a, Lpo, Nthl1, Rad50, Slc41a3, Txnrd2, Ung, Zmynd17 | GDS2243 |
| Hyperinsulinemia (kidney) | Apoe, Chaf1a, Gpx6, Hbaa2, Lpo, Ngb, Ptgs2, Scd1, Slk, Srd5a2 | Apc, Atrx, Duox2, Hbz, Mb, Ncf1, Slc38a4, Tmod1, Tnp1, Txnip | GDS2361 |
| Cluster 5 | | | |
| Sulfur mustard bis-(2-chloroethyl) sulfide (lung) | Apoe, Gadd45a, Gpx2, Hba-a2, Mif, Prdx5, Ptgs2, Scd1, Smc3, Srxn1 | Apc, Atrx, Dnm2, Duox2, Gab1, Gpx6, Mutyh, Nox4, Srd5a2, Tpo | GDS1027 |
| Amoxicillin (intestine) | Apc, Apoe, Atrx, Lpo, Mutyh, Slc38a4, Tnp1, Tpo | Apex1, Chaf1a, Cry2, Gpx2, Ngb, Nox4, Scd1, Tpo, Trpc2, Zmynd17 | GDS1273 |
| Ischemia (heart) | Apc, Apoe, Gpx7, Nos2, Nox4, Nxn, Prdx4, Rad52, Scd1, Smc3 | Atrx, Brca1, Chaf1a, Hus1, Lpo, Pold1, Prdx5, Rad51c, Slc38a4, Xirpl | GDS1959 |
| Cluster 6 | | | |
| Carbon tetrachloride (liver) | Chaf1a, Ehd2, Gpx2, Hba-a2, Ncf1, Prnp, Ptgs2, Slc38a4, Vim, Zmynd17 | Apoe, Dpagt1, Gab1, Hus1, Nos2, Nxn, Ptgs1, Slk, Trpc2, Txnip | GDS1354 |
| Dexamethasone (marrow-derived stromal cells) | Apoe, Ehd2, Gpx6, Mgmt, Mpp4, Srd5a2, Tmod1, Tpo | Apex1, Apoe, Chaf1a, Dnm2, Nos2, Rad50, Rad51c, Slk, Smc1a, Smc3 | GDS2231 |

microarrays capture only transient responses to oxidative stimuli. However, we can predict the underlying mechanism of environmental stressors through oxidative signatures for gene expression. For example, methylprednisolone [80, 81], streptozotocin [82], trimethyltin [83], and octreotide [84] upregulate GPXs, NOS, and NOX, suggesting that environmental stressors in cluster 1 can activate the NO signaling that leads inflammation or other cellular damage. Thioredoxin interacting protein, Txnip, was identified as a unique gene in this category. In cluster 2 (GDS696 [85], GDS880 [86], GDS1518 [87], GDS1626 [88], GDS2107 [89], GDS2372 [90], GDS2457 [91], GDS2688 [92]), Rad23, Rad50, and Rad51c, which are DNA repair and recombination proteins, and the other DNA replication proteins DNA-directed DNA polymerase delta (Pold1) and Pold3 were classified. This classification suggests that environmental stressors in cluster 2 such as fibronectin, protein restriction, heregulin, kainic acid, hypoxia, and ethanol harmed mitochondria or damaged DNA more than the stressors in cluster 1. In cluster 3 (GDS1363 [93], GDS1452 [94], GDS1922 [95], GDS2037 [96], GDS2073 [97], GDS2093 [98], GDS 2194 [99], GDS2616 [100], GDS2639 [101], GDS2774 [102], GDS2901 [103], GDM1038 [104]), Gadd45a, Nthl1, Mgmt, Mpp4, Chek1, Cry2, and Txnrd1 were observed as upregulated genes. Since these genes interact with DNA repair and are p53 signaling activated, it is possible that environmental stressors in cluster 3 cause DNA damage and remodeling. In cluster 4 (GDS902 [105], GDS2243 [106], GDS2361 [107]), DNA replication proteins Pinx1 and Slk were detected as unique genes. In particular, STE20-like kinase (Slk) appears to influence cell survival and proliferation. In fact, Slk has been suggested to have a central growth-suppressive role for Mst orthologs, with intriguing possible links to other established tumor suppressors through work in model organisms. A part of the genes in cluster 5 (GDS1027 [108], GDS1273 [109], GDS1959 [110]) were overlapped in clusters 1 and 3. In cluster 6 (GDS1354 [111], GDS2231 [112]), a part of the genes were overlapped in clusters 2 and 4. However, Vim was detected as a unique gene in GDS1354, which is an experiment in cirrhotic rats [111], since upregulation of this gene was also observed in renal cell carcinoma [113], cerebral tumors [114], and germ cell and trophoblastic neoplasms [115].

13.2.2 Prediction of Biological Influences from Gene Expression Signatures in Rats Exposed to Environmental Stressors

These clusters were characterized by several biological functions. Data of gene expression signatures in Table 13.3 were analyzed through the use of Ingenuity

Pathways Analysis (Ingenuity® Systems, www.ingenuity.com). The Functional Analysis identified the biological functions that were most significant to the data set. Molecules from the data set that met the expression value associated with biological functions and/or diseases in Ingenuity's Knowledge Base were considered for the analysis. Right-tailed Fisher's exact test was used to calculate a *P*-value determining the probability that each biological function and/or disease assigned to that data set is due to chance alone. In Table 13.4, the highest probability predictive function in cluster 1 showed "DNA Replication, Recombination, and Repair"; that in cluster 2 showed "Small Molecule Biochemistry"; that in cluster 3 also showed "Small Molecule Biochemistry"; that in cluster 4 showed "DNA Replication, Recombination, and Repair"; that in cluster 5 showed "Cancer"; and that in cluster 6 showed "Lipid Metabolism." In "Small Molecule Biochemistry," genes related with "degradation or catabolism of hydrogen peroxide" like CAT, GPX3, and GPX4 and peroxidation of lipid were affected in clusters 2, 3 and 6. In "Gene expression," genes related with "binding of p53 consensus binding site" like APEX1, BRCA1, and PTTG1 were affected. For instance, the top-rated network activated by retinoic X receptor ligand LG100268 is shown in Figure 13.1. This network consists of 33 molecules meet 14 molecules, which belong to biological functions of DNA replication, recombination and repair, cancer, and cell cycle.

13.2.3 Oxidative Stress-Mediated p53 Pathways in Human Tissues

Among many oxidative responsive pathways, p53 signaling has been studied extensively and has been thought to play a main role in the orchestration of oxidative events in cells. It coordinates the cellular responses to a broad range of cellular stress factors. In fact, p53 functions as a node for organizing whether the cell responds to various types and levels of stress with apoptosis, cell cycle arrest, senescence, DNA repair, cell metabolism, or autophagy, as described above in this chapter [37–39]. To control and fine-tune responses to various stress signals encountered by cells, as a transcription factor that both activates and represses a broad range of target genes, p53 demands an exquisitely complicated regulatory network (Fig. 13.2). The classical model for activation of p53 specifically examines three simple and rate-limiting steps: p53 stabilization induced by ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM)/ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3 related (ATR)-mediated phosphorylation, sequence-specific DNA binding, and target gene activation through interaction with the general transcriptional machinery [29]. Recent studies with animal models describe that mouse double minute

TABLE 13.4 Predicted biological functions by gene expression signatures shown in Table 13.3

| Cluster | Predictive Biological Functions | <i>P</i> Value |
|---------|--|----------------|
| 1 | DNA Replication, Recombination, and Repair | 1.02E-07 |
| | Hematological Disease | 1.33E-06 |
| | Cardiovascular System Development and Function | 3.62E-06 |
| | Lipid Metabolism | 3.62E-06 |
| | Organ Morphology | 3.62E-06 |
| 2 | Small Molecule Biochemistry | 2.34E-07 |
| | Cell Cycle | 3.80E-06 |
| | DNA Replication, Recombination, and Repair | 3.80E-06 |
| | Cell-to-Cell Signaling and Interaction | 3.22E-05 |
| | Cell Death | 6.18E-05 |
| 3 | Small Molecule Biochemistry | 2.41E-07 |
| | Gene Expression | 7.30E-07 |
| | Cellular Compromise | 1.93E-06 |
| | Cell Cycle | 4.02E-06 |
| | DNA Replication, Recombination, and Repair | 4.57E-06 |
| 4 | DNA Replication, Recombination, and Repair | 7.14E-11 |
| | Cell Cycle | 1.18E-05 |
| | Cell Death | 2.52E-05 |
| | Respiratory System Development and Function | 2.52E-05 |
| | Reproductive System Development and Function | 1.10E-04 |
| 5 | Cancer | 8.09E-09 |
| | Gastrointestinal Disease | 8.09E-09 |
| | Cell Death | 1.20E-07 |
| | Dermatological Diseases and Conditions | 5.01E-07 |
| | Organismal Functions | 3.57E-06 |
| 6 | Lipid Metabolism | 1.45E-09 |
| | Small Molecule Biochemistry | 1.45E-09 |
| | Cell-to-Cell Signaling and Interaction | 1.26E-08 |
| | Nervous System Development and Function | 1.26E-08 |
| | Cell Death | 3.49E-08 |

(Mdm) 2 and MdmX might determine whether a cell responds to p53 activation with growth arrest or apoptosis, but the molecular mechanism of these differential effects remains unknown. In fact, Mdm2 and MdmX can both be recruited to p53 promoter regions. Via a multitude of mechanisms, they can repress transcription of p53 target genes [116–118]. p53 protein binds sequence-specific regions of DNA of the target gene to process sensing and removal of oxidative damage to nuclear DNA and genetic instability. Furthermore, p53 acts as a transcription factor to regulate the expression of many prooxidant and antioxidant genes. A newly refined model for p53 activation includes three key steps: (1) p53 stabilization, (2) antirepression, and (3) promoter-specific activation. Among the three steps, most environmental stressors contribute mainly to p53 stabilization and promoter-specific activation. Several reports describe that low-weight molecules engender induction of stress-induced genes such as NAD(P)H dehydrogenase, quinone (NQO)1, and NQO2, which stabilize and transiently activate p53 and downstream

genes leading to protection against adverse effects of stressors [119–121].

Therefore, to understand how stress-induced genes are downstream within the p53 pathway, we analyzed gene expression of p53 signaling pathways in array data sets GDS2780 [122] and GSE7967 [123] that had been obtained from the GEO database. In the GDS2780 study, six heavy metals and three organic compounds to which liver carcinoma HepG2 cells were exposed responded dramatically to gene expression of CHK1, CHK2, Cyclin B, Cdc2 p21, p53R2, Cop1-1, and Gadd45 [1]. Interestingly, expression levels of p53R2 and Gadd45 responded differently to the heavy metals: p53R2 is likely to associate with mitochondrial DNA and play a critical role in embryogenesis and neurogenesis [124–128]; in contrast, Gadd45 plays a vital role as a cellular stress sensor in the modulation of cell signal transduction in response to stress. Increasing Gadd45 can stabilize p53 activation, leading to cell cycle arrest or progression to apoptosis [129–131]. Consequently, exposure of cultured human cells to heavy metals




Fig. 13.1 The network generated by retinoic X receptor ligand LG100268 in cluster 3 shown in Tables 13.3 and 13.4: the top-rated network as an example.

dramatically altered the gene expression of oxidative stress-responsive genes. However, in human tissues of the GSE7967 study, the p53 signaling pathway differed from that of heavy metals in the GDS2780 study. Overall, the gene expression signals were weaker than those examined in the GDS2780 study. The GSE7967 study examined cord blood collected at birth from infants whose mothers were exposed or unexposed to arsenic (0.1–68.63 mg/g), showing activation of inflammation and NF- κ B signaling in infants born to mothers exposed to arsenic at high concentration. Therefore, after downloading the data sets, we selected four subjects according to blood concentrations of 0.1, 1.76, 9.66, and 68.63 mg/g; then gene expression of the arsenic exposure-induced responses were visualized in the p53 signaling pathway map. The highest concentration subject showed Gadd45, p53-inducible ribonucleotide reductase small subunit 2 (p53R2), spermatogenic leucine zipper 1 (TSP1), cyclinB, Cdc2, Fas, Noxa, and ATR that were higher than those of the subject with the

low concentration. However, p53 was opposite: high in the low-exposure subject and low in the high-exposure subject, suggesting that the downregulation of p53 facilitates apoptosis and promotes cell proliferation.

Previous works described in our study showed that GSS (glutathione synthetase) and PRDX2 (peroxiredoxin 2) regulated TRADD (TNFRSF1A-associated via death domain), NUDT1 (nucleoside diphosphate linked moiety X-type motif 1), SOD1 (superoxide dismutase 1, soluble), and INSIG1 (Insulin induced gene 1) in the low-exposure group (mean blood concentration 0.142 μ g/g) and that NUDT1 regulated TRADD, TXNRD2 (thioredoxin reductase 2), and PRDX2 in the high-exposure group (21.41 μ g/g), using the theoretical algorithm for identifying optimal gene expression networks (TAO-Gen), which is a Bayesian network algorithm used to describe gene interaction networks [18, 132–134] (Fig. 13.3). In fact, NUDT1 is a DNA repair and recombination protein. The H_2O_2 treatment significantly increased this gene and other oxidative




Fig. 13.2 Environmental stressor-mediated p53 signaling pathways. Maps of the p53 signaling pathway partly consulted the KEGG pathway.




Fig. 13.3 Networks for 11 oxidative stress-related genes selected from the GSE7967 study. TAO-Gen algorithms can predict different mechanisms in low and high exposure to arsenic.

stress genes involved in cell cycle arrest [135]. Results of our analyses suggest that anti-oxidative stress-related genes play key roles in protection against cellular damage in the low-exposure group, but a DNA damage-related gene was dominant in the high-exposure group, in which cell damage would progress. Data sets used in this chapter are from fundamental exposure to environmental stressors in normal tissues and cell lines. Therefore, this discrepancy indicates that gene expression signatures in human clinical tissues or epidemiological studies apparently reflect more inflammation than those of experimental materials, which show acute toxicity in animals after short exposure to oxidants in cell cultures.

13.3 CONCLUSION

In this chapter, we have reviewed gene expression signatures of oxidative stress-mediated signaling pathways by environmental stressors and proposed categorical pathways and canonical pathways of oxidative stress in rat and human systems. Analyses of gene expression signatures in environment-related disease such as neuronal disorders, cancer, and diabetes is an important approach in etiology and risk assessment for human health to elucidate the underlying mechanisms of induced health effects. This will take many more genetic and reverse genetic analyses, combined with functional analysis studies. Furthermore, we have shown that oxidative stress has been associated with many signaling pathways and different environmental stressors impacting different molecules, but they are all connected to the same goals like apoptosis or cell cycle. From a therapeutic point of view, researchers must consider that the best biomarker and/or therapy for oxidative stress-related disease may rely on a combination of several different agents, each specifically targeting one aspect of the oxidative stress machinery.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that would prejudice the impartiality of this scientific work.

REFERENCES

1. Sone H, Akanuma H, Fukuda T. Oxygenomics in environmental stress. *Redox Rep* 2010; 15: 98–114.
2. Gibb S. Toxicity testing in the 21st century: a vision and a strategy. *Reprod Toxicol* 2008; 25: 136–138.
3. Woods CG, Heuvel JP, Rusyn I. Genomic profiling in nuclear receptor-mediated toxicity. *Toxicol Pathol* 2007; 35: 474–494.
4. Franco R, Panayiotidis MI. Environmental toxicity, oxidative stress, human disease and the “black box” of their synergism: how much have we revealed? *Mutat Res* 2009; 674: 1–2.
5. Hansen JM, Zhang H, Jones DP. Differential oxidation of thioredoxin-1, thioredoxin-2, and glutathione by metal ions. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2006; 40: 138–145.
6. Valko M, Rhodes CJ, Moncol J, Izakovic M, Mazur M. Free radicals, metals and antioxidants in oxidative stress-induced cancer. *Chem Biol Interact* 2006; 160: 1–40.
7. Bau DT, Wang TS, Chung CH, Wang AS, Wang AS, Jan KY. Oxidative DNA adducts and DNA-protein cross-links are the major DNA lesions induced by arsenite. *Environ Health Perspect* 2002; 110 Suppl 5: 753–756.
8. Kawai Y, Furuhashi A, Toyokuni S, Aratani Y, Uchida K. Formation of acrolein-derived 2'-deoxyadenosine adduct in an iron-induced carcinogenesis model. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278: 50346–50354.
9. Chiu HJ, Fischman DA, Hammerling U. Vitamin A depletion causes oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and PARP-1-dependent energy deprivation. *FASEB J* 2008; 22: 3878–3887.
10. Knott L, Hartridge T, Brown NL, Mansell JP, Sandy JR. Homocysteine oxidation and apoptosis: a potential cause of cleft palate. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim* 2003; 39: 98–105.
11. Nebert DW, Petersen DD, Fornace AJ, Jr. Cellular responses to oxidative stress: the [Ah] gene battery as a paradigm. *Environ Health Perspect* 1990; 88: 13–25.
12. Cheng Y, Chang LW, Cheng LC, Tsai MH, Lin P. 4-Methoxyestradiol-induced oxidative injuries in human lung epithelial cells. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2007; 220: 271–277.
13. Mendrick DL. Genomic and genetic biomarkers of toxicity. *Toxicology* 2008; 245: 175–181.
14. Luhe A, Suter L, Ruepp S, Singer T, Weiser T, Albertini S. Toxicogenomics in the pharmaceutical industry: hollow promises or real benefit? *Mutat Res* 2005; 575: 102–115.
15. Wall ME, Dyck PA, Brettin TS. SVDMAN—singular value decomposition analysis of microarray data. *Bioinformatics* 2001; 17: 566–568.
16. Yeung KY, Ruzzo WL. Principal component analysis for clustering gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 2001; 17: 763–774.
17. Portier CJ, Toyoshiba H, Sone H, Parham F, Irwin RD, Boorman GA. Comparative analysis of gene networks at multiple doses and time points in livers of rats exposed to acetaminophen. *Altex* 2006; 23 Suppl: 380–384.
18. Toyoshiba H, Yamanaka T, Sone H, et al. Gene interaction network suggests dioxin induces a significant linkage between aryl hydrocarbon receptor and retinoic acid receptor beta. *Environ Health Perspect* 2004; 112: 1217–1224.
19. Bumgarner RE, Yeung KY. Methods for the inference of biological pathways and networks. *Methods Mol Biol* 2009; 541: 225–245.

20. Huang JC, Babak T, Corson TW, et al. Using expression profiling data to identify human microRNA targets. *Nat Methods* 2007; 4: 1045–1049.
21. Li H, Lu L, Manly KF, et al. Inferring gene transcriptional modulatory relations: a genetical genomics approach. *Hum Mol Genet* 2005; 14: 1119–1125.
22. Kultz D. Molecular and evolutionary basis of the cellular stress response. *Annu Rev Physiol* 2005; 67: 225–257.
23. Hamilton ML, Van Remmen H, Drake JA, et al. Does oxidative damage to DNA increase with age? *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001; 98: 10469–10474.
24. von Zglinicki T, Saretzki G, Ladhoff J, d'Adda di Fagagna F, Jackson SP. Human cell senescence as a DNA damage response. *Mech Ageing Dev* 2005; 126: 111–117.
25. Lambeth J D. Nox enzymes, ROS, and chronic disease: an example of antagonistic pleiotropy. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2007; 43: 332–347.
26. Collado M, Blasco MA, Serrano M. Cellular senescence in cancer and aging. *Cell* 2007; 130: 223–233.
27. Trachootham D, Alexandre J, Huang P. Targeting cancer cells by ROS-mediated mechanisms: a radical therapeutic approach? *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2009; 8: 579–591.
28. Kimbro KS, Simons JW. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 in human breast and prostate cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2006; 13: 739–749.
29. Kruse JP, Gu W. Modes of p53 regulation. *Cell* 2009; 137: 609–622.
30. Benz CC, Yau C. Ageing, oxidative stress and cancer: paradigms in parallax. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2008; 8: 875–879.
31. Vousden KH, Ryan KM. p53 and metabolism. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2009; 9: 691–700.
32. Capri M, Salvioli S, Sevini F, et al. The genetics of human longevity. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2006; 1067: 252–263.
33. Sanchez-Capelo A. Dual role for TGF-beta1 in apoptosis. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* 2005; 16: 15–34.
34. Chalmers L, Kaskel FJ, Bamgbola O. The role of obesity and its biochemical correlates in the progression of chronic kidney disease. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis* 2006; 13: 352–364.
35. Eleuteri E, Magno F, Gnemmi I, et al. Role of oxidative and nitrosative stress biomarkers in chronic heart failure. *Front Biosci* 2009; 14: 2230–2237.
36. Albano E. Oxidative mechanisms in the pathogenesis of alcoholic liver disease. *Mol Aspects Med* 2008; 29: 9–16.
37. Vogelstein B, Lane D, Levine AJ. Surfing the p53 network. *Nature* 2000; 408: 307–310.
38. Vousden KH, Lane DP. p53 in health and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2007; 8: 275–283.
39. Marchenko ND, Moll UM. The role of ubiquitination in the direct mitochondrial death program of p53. *Cell Cycle* 2007; 6: 1718–1723.
40. Chao C, Wu Z, Mazur SJ, et al. Acetylation of mouse p53 at lysine 317 negatively regulates p53 apoptotic activities after DNA damage. *Mol Cell Biol* 2006; 26: 6859–6869.
41. Chao C, Herr D, Chun J, Xu Y. Ser18 and 23 phosphorylation is required for p53-dependent apoptosis and tumor suppression. *EMBO J* 2006; 25: 2615–2622.
42. Blattner C, Tobiasch E, Litfen M, Rahmsdorf HJ, Herrlich P. DNA damage induced p53 stabilization: no indication for an involvement of p53 phosphorylation. *Oncogene* 1999; 18: 1723–1732.
43. Chao C, Hergenhausen M, Kaeser MD, et al. Cell type- and promoter-specific roles of Ser18 phosphorylation in regulating p53 responses. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278: 41028–41033.
44. Brooks CL, Gu W. Ubiquitination, phosphorylation and acetylation: the molecular basis for p53 regulation. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 2003; 15: 164–171.
45. Poyton RO, Ball KA, Castello PR. Mitochondrial generation of free radicals and hypoxic signaling. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 2009; 20: 332–340.
46. van Faassen EE, Bahrami S, Feelisch M, et al. Nitrite as regulator of hypoxic signaling in mammalian physiology. *Med Res Rev* 2009; 29: 683–741.
47. Taylor CT, Cummins EP. The role of NF-kappaB in hypoxia-induced gene expression. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2009; 1177: 178–184.
48. Maziere C, Maziere JC. Activation of transcription factors and gene expression by oxidized low-density lipoprotein. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2009; 46: 127–137.
49. Bertout JA, Majmundar AJ, Gordan JD, et al. HIF2-alpha inhibition promotes p53 pathway activity, tumor cell death, and radiation responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009; 106: 14391–14396.
50. Moriya J, Minamino T, Tateno K, et al. Inhibition of semaphorin as a novel strategy for therapeutic angiogenesis. *Circ Res* 2010; 106: 391–398.
51. Fosslien E. Cancer morphogenesis: role of mitochondrial failure. *Ann Clin Lab Sci* 2008; 38: 307–329.
52. Koli K, Myllarniemi M, Keski-Oja J, Kinnula VL. Transforming growth factor-beta activation in the lung: focus on fibrosis and reactive oxygen species. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 2008; 10: 333–342.
53. Zhang H, Jiang Z, Chang J, et al. Role of NAD(P)H oxidase in transforming growth factor-beta1-induced monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 and interleukin-6 expression in rat renal tubular epithelial cells. *Nephrology (Carlton)* 2009; 14: 302–310.
54. Chan DW, Liu VW, To RM, et al. Overexpression of FOXG1 contributes to TGF-beta resistance through inhibition of p21WAF1/CIP1 expression in ovarian cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2009; 101: 1433–1443.
55. Yu X, Riley T, Levine AJ. The regulation of the endosomal compartment by p53 the tumor suppressor gene. *FEBS J* 2009; 276: 2201–2212.
56. Feng Z, Zhang H, Levine AJ, Jin S. The coordinate regulation of the p53 and mTOR pathways in cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005; 102: 8204–8209.
57. Levine AJ, Feng Z, Mak TW, You H, Jin S. Coordination and communication between the p53 and IGF-1-AKT-TOR

- signal transduction pathways. *Genes Dev* 2006; 20: 267–275.
58. Budanov AV, Karin M. p53 target genes sestrin1 and sestrin2 connect genotoxic stress and mTOR signaling. *Cell* 2008; 134: 451–460.
 59. Levine AJ, Hu W, Feng Z. The P53 pathway: what questions remain to be explored? *Cell Death Differ* 2006; 13: 1027–1036.
 60. Crighton D, Wilkinson S, O'Prey J, et al. DRAM, a p53-induced modulator of autophagy, is critical for apoptosis. *Cell* 2006; 126: 121–134.
 61. Morselli E, Tasdemir E, Maiuri MC, et al. Mutant p53 protein localized in the cytoplasm inhibits autophagy. *Cell Cycle* 2008; 7: 3056–3061.
 62. Tasdemir E, Chiara Maiuri M, Morselli E, et al. A dual role of p53 in the control of autophagy. *Autophagy* 2008; 4: 810–814.
 63. Tasdemir E, Maiuri MC, Galluzzi L, et al. Regulation of autophagy by cytoplasmic p53. *Nat Cell Biol* 2008; 10: 676–687.
 64. Lespagnol A, Duflaut D, Beekman C, et al. Exosome secretion, including the DNA damage-induced p53-dependent secretory pathway, is severely compromised in TSAP6/Steap3-null mice. *Cell Death Differ* 2008; 15: 1723–1733.
 65. Schorey JS, Bhatnagar S. Exosome function: from tumor immunology to pathogen biology. *Traffic* 2008; 9: 871–881.
 66. Tezel G. TNF-alpha signaling in glaucomatous neurodegeneration. *Prog Brain Res* 2008; 173: 409–421.
 67. Aguilera-Aguirre L, Bacsí A, Saavedra-Molina A, Kur-osky A, Sur S, Boldogh I. Mitochondrial dysfunction increases allergic airway inflammation. *J Immunol* 2009; 183: 5379–5387.
 68. Bertram KM, Bagloli CJ, Phipps RP, Libby RT. Molecular regulation of cigarette smoke induced-oxidative stress in human retinal pigment epithelial cells: implications for age-related macular degeneration. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2009; 297: C1200–C1210.
 69. Lal N, Kumar J, Erdahl WE, et al. Differential effects of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on mitochondrial dysfunction during oxidative stress. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 2009; 490: 1–8.
 70. Nelson GM, Ahlborn GJ, Allen JW, et al. Transcriptional changes associated with reduced spontaneous liver tumor incidence in mice chronically exposed to high dose arsenic. *Toxicology* 2009; 266: 6–15.
 71. Bailey SM. A review of the role of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species in alcohol-induced mitochondrial dysfunction. *Free Radic Res* 2003; 37: 585–596.
 72. Kim GJ, Chandrasekaran K, Morgan WF. Mitochondrial dysfunction, persistently elevated levels of reactive oxygen species and radiation-induced genomic instability: a review. *Mutagenesis* 2006; 21: 361–367.
 73. Protti A, Singer M. Bench-to-bedside review: potential strategies to protect or reverse mitochondrial dysfunction in sepsis-induced organ failure. *Crit Care* 2006; 10: 228.
 74. Shults C W. Mitochondrial dysfunction and possible treatments in Parkinson's disease—a review. *Mitochondrion* 2004; 4: 641–648.
 75. Lee HK, Cho YM, Kwak SH, Lim S, Park KS, Shim EB. Mitochondrial dysfunction and metabolic syndrome—looking for environmental factors. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2010; 1800: 282–289.
 76. Williamson G, Manach C. Bioavailability and bioefficacy of polyphenols in humans. II. Review of 93 intervention studies. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005; 81: 243S–255S.
 77. Manach C, Williamson G, Morand C, Scalbert A, Remesy C. Bioavailability and bioefficacy of polyphenols in humans. I. Review of 97 bioavailability studies. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005; 81: 230S–242S.
 78. Zhou C, Huang Y, Przedborski S. Oxidative stress in Parkinson's disease: a mechanism of pathogenic and therapeutic significance. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2008; 1147: 93–104.
 79. Drechsel DA, Patel M. Role of reactive oxygen species in the neurotoxicity of environmental agents implicated in Parkinson's disease. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2008; 44: 1873–1886.
 80. Almon RR, Lai W, DuBois DC, Jusko WJ. Corticosteroid-regulated genes in rat kidney: mining time series array data. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2005; 289: E870–E882.
 81. Jin JY, Almon RR, DuBois DC, Jusko WJ. Modeling of corticosteroid pharmacogenomics in rat liver using gene microarrays. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2003; 307: 93–109.
 82. Sullivan CJ, Teal TH, Luttrell IP, Tran KB, Peters MA, Wessells H. Microarray analysis reveals novel gene expression changes associated with erectile dysfunction in diabetic rats. *Physiol Genomics* 2005; 23: 192–205.
 83. Lattanzi W, Bernardini C, Gangitano C, Michetti F. Hypoxia-like transcriptional activation in TMT-induced degeneration: microarray expression analysis on PC12 cells. *J Neurochem* 2007; 100: 1688–1702.
 84. Erlandsen SE, Fykse V, Waldum HL, Sandvik AK. Octreotide induces apoptosis in the oxyntic mucosa. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2007; 264: 188–196.
 85. Chen H, Huang XN, Stewart AF, Sepulveda JL. Gene expression changes associated with fibronectin-induced cardiac myocyte hypertrophy. *Physiol Genomics* 2004; 18: 273–283.
 86. Guan H, Arany E, Van Beek JP, et al. Adipose tissue gene expression profiling reveals distinct molecular pathways that define visceral adiposity in offspring of maternal protein-restricted rats. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2005; 288: E663–E673.
 87. Sakurai H, Bush KT, Nigam SK. Heregulin induces glial cell line-derived neurotrophic growth factor-independent, non-branching growth and differentiation of ureteric bud epithelia. *J Biol Chem* 2005; 280: 42181–42187.
 88. Koh S, Chung H, Xia H, Mahadevia A, Song Y. Environmental enrichment reverses the impaired exploratory behavior and altered gene expression induced by early-life seizures. *J Child Neurol* 2005; 20: 796–802.

89. Kubisch CH, Gukovsky I, Lugea A, et al. Long-term ethanol consumption alters pancreatic gene expression in rats: a possible connection to pancreatic injury. *Pancreas* 2006; 33: 68–76.
90. Kodavanti UP, Schladweiler MC, Ledbetter AD, et al. The spontaneously hypertensive rat: an experimental model of sulfur dioxide-induced airways disease. *Toxicol Sci* 2006; 94: 193–205.
91. Bruder ED, Lee JJ, Widmaier EP, Raff H. Microarray and real-time PCR analysis of adrenal gland gene expression in the 7-day-old rat: effects of hypoxia from birth. *Physiol Genomics* 2007; 29: 193–200.
92. Almon RR, DuBois DC, Yao Z, Hoffman EP, Ghimbovski S, Jusko WJ. Microarray analysis of the temporal response of skeletal muscle to methylprednisolone: comparative analysis of two dosing regimens. *Physiol Genomics* 2007; 30: 282–299.
93. Chan MM, Lu X, Merchant FM, Iglehart JD, Miron PL. Gene expression profiling of NMU-induced rat mammary tumors: cross species comparison with human breast cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 2005; 26: 1343–1353.
94. Kendzierski C, Irizarry RA, Chen KS, Haag JD, Gould MN. On the utility of pooling biological samples in microarray experiments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005; 102: 4252–4257.
95. Aplin AC, Gelati M, Fogel E, Carnevale E, Nicosia RF. Angiopoietin-1 and vascular endothelial growth factor induce expression of inflammatory cytokines before angiogenesis. *Physiol Genomics* 2006; 27: 20–28.
96. Rampil IJ, Moller DH, Bell AH. Isoflurane modulates genomic expression in rat amygdala. *Anesth Analg* 2006; 102: 1431–1438.
97. Collins JF. Gene chip analyses reveal differential genetic responses to iron deficiency in rat duodenum and jejunum. *Biol Res* 2006; 39: 25–37.
98. Guzelian J, Barwick JL, Hunter L, Phang TL, Quattrochi LC, Guzelian PS. Identification of genes controlled by the pregnane X receptor by microarray analysis of mRNAs from pregnenolone 16alpha-carbonitrile-treated rats. *Toxicol Sci* 2006; 94: 379–387.
99. Gebel S, Gerstmayr B, Kuhl P, Borlak J, Meurrens K, Muller T. The kinetics of transcriptomic changes induced by cigarette smoke in rat lungs reveals a specific program of defense, inflammation, and circadian clock gene expression. *Toxicol Sci* 2006; 93: 422–431.
100. Su Y, Simmen FA, Xiao R, Simmen RC. Expression profiling of rat mammary epithelial cells reveals candidate signaling pathways in dietary protection from mammary tumors. *Physiol Genomics* 2007; 30: 8–16.
101. Rowe WB, Blalock EM, Chen KC, et al. Hippocampal expression analyses reveal selective association of immediate-early, neuroenergetic, and myelinogenic pathways with cognitive impairment in aged rats. *J Neurosci* 2007; 27: 3098–3110.
102. Volpicelli F, Caiazzo M, Greco D, et al. Bdnf gene is a downstream target of Nurr1 transcription factor in rat midbrain neurons in vitro. *J Neurochem* 2007; 102: 441–453.
103. Stemmer K, Ellinger-Ziegelbauer H, Ahr HJ, Dietrich DR. Carcinogen-specific gene expression profiles in short-term treated Eker and wild-type rats indicative of pathways involved in renal tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res* 2007; 67: 4052–4068.
104. Impey S, McCorkle SR, Cha-Molstad H, et al. Defining the CREB regulon: a genome-wide analysis of transcription factor regulatory regions. *Cell* 2004; 119: 1041–1054.
105. Bush EW, Hood DB, Papst PJ, et al. Canonical transient receptor potential channels promote cardiomyocyte hypertrophy through activation of calcineurin signaling. *J Biol Chem* 2006; 281: 33487–33496.
106. Zhou Z, Cornelius CP, Eichner M, Bornemann A. Reinnervation-induced alterations in rat skeletal muscle. *Neurobiol Dis* 2006; 23: 595–602.
107. Bursztyjn M, Gross ML, Goltser-Dubner T, et al. Adult hypertension in intrauterine growth-restricted offspring of hyperinsulinemic rats: evidence of subtle renal damage. *Hypertension* 2006; 48: 717–723.
108. Thomas H, Senkel S, Erdmann S, et al. Pattern of genes influenced by conditional expression of the transcription factors HNF6, HNF4alpha and HNF1beta in a pancreatic beta-cell line. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004; 32: e150.
109. Schumann A, Nutton S, Donnicola D, et al. Neonatal antibiotic treatment alters gastrointestinal tract developmental gene expression and intestinal barrier transcriptome. *Physiol Genomics* 2005; 23: 235–245.
110. Roy S, Khanna S, Kuhn DE, et al. Transcriptome analysis of the ischemia-reperfused remodeling myocardium: temporal changes in inflammation and extracellular matrix. *Physiol Genomics* 2006; 25: 364–374.
111. Tugues S, Morales-Ruiz M, Fernandez-Varo G, et al. Microarray analysis of endothelial differentially expressed genes in liver of cirrhotic rats. *Gastroenterology* 2005; 129: 1686–1695.
112. Akavia UD, Shur I, Rechavi G, Benayahu D. Transcriptional profiling of mesenchymal stromal cells from young and old rats in response to Dexamethasone. *BMC Genomics* 2006; 7: 95.
113. Zhou M, Roma A, Magi-Galluzzi C. The usefulness of immunohistochemical markers in the differential diagnosis of renal neoplasms. *Clin Lab Med* 2005; 25: 247–257.
114. Schiffer D, Giordana MT, Mauro A, Migheli A, Germano I, Giaccone G. Immunohistochemical demonstration of vimentin in human cerebral tumors. *Acta Neuropathol* 1986; 70: 209–219.
115. Niehans GA, Manivel JC, Copland GT, Scheithauer BW, Wick MR. Immunohistochemistry of germ cell and trophoblastic neoplasms. *Cancer* 1988; 62: 1113–1123.
116. Iwakuma T, Lozano G. Crippling p53 activities via knock-in mutations in mouse models. *Oncogene* 2007; 26: 2177–2184.

117. Marine JC, Jochemsen AG. Mdmx as an essential regulator of p53 activity. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2005; 331: 750–760.
118. Tang Y, Zhao W, Chen Y, Zhao Y, Gu W. Acetylation is indispensable for p53 activation. *Cell* 2008; 133: 612–626.
119. Gong X, Kole L, Iskander K, Jaiswal AK. NRH:quinone oxidoreductase 2 and NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 protect tumor suppressor p53 against 20s proteasomal degradation leading to stabilization and activation of p53. *Cancer Res* 2007; 67: 5380–5388.
120. Lai Z, Yang T, Kim YB, et al. Differentiation of Hdm2-mediated p53 ubiquitination and Hdm2 autoubiquitination activity by small molecular weight inhibitors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002; 99: 14734–14739.
121. Wang W, Ho WC, Dicker DT, et al. Acridine derivatives activate p53 and induce tumor cell death through Bax. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2005; 4: 893–898.
122. Kawata K, Yokoo H, Shimazaki R, Okabe S. Classification of heavy-metal toxicity by human DNA microarray analysis. *Environ Sci Technol* 2007; 41: 3769–3774.
123. Fry RC, Navasumrit P, Valiathan C, et al. Activation of inflammation/NF-kappaB signaling in infants born to arsenic-exposed mothers. *PLoS Genet* 2007; 3: e207.
124. Chang L, Zhou B, Hu S, et al. ATM-mediated serine 72 phosphorylation stabilizes ribonucleotide reductase small subunit p53R2 protein against MDM2 to DNA damage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008; 105: 18519–18524.
125. Kollberg G, Darin N, Benan K, et al. A novel homozygous RRM2B missense mutation in association with severe mtDNA depletion. *Neuromuscul Disord* 2009; 19: 147–150.
126. Liu X, Xue L, Yen Y. Redox property of ribonucleotide reductase small subunit M2 and p53R2. *Methods Mol Biol* 2008; 477: 195–206.
127. Spinazzola A, Invernizzi F, Carrara F, et al. Clinical and molecular features of mitochondrial DNA depletion syndromes. *J Inherit Metab Dis* 2009; 32: 143–158.
128. Tyynismaa H, Suomalainen A. Mouse models of mitochondrial DNA defects and their relevance for human disease. *EMBO Rep* 2009; 10: 137–143.
129. Ceryak S, Zingariello C, O'Brien T, Patierno SR. Induction of pro-apoptotic and cell cycle-inhibiting genes in chromium (VI)-treated human lung fibroblasts: lack of effect of ERK. *Mol Cell Biochem* 2004; 255: 139–149.
130. Fanzo JC, Reaves SK, Cui L, et al. Zinc status affects p53, gadd45, and c-fos expression and caspase-3 activity in human bronchial epithelial cells. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2001; 281: C751–C757.
131. Shih RS, Wong SH, Schoene NW, Lei KY. Suppression of Gadd45 alleviates the G2/M blockage and the enhanced phosphorylation of p53 and p38 in zinc supplemented normal human bronchial epithelial cells. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 2008; 233: 317–327.
132. Toyoshiba H, Sone H, Yamanaka T, et al. Gene interaction network analysis suggests differences between high and low doses of acetaminophen. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2006; 215: 306–316.
133. Yamanaka T, Toyoshiba H, Sone H, Parham FM, Portier CJ. The TAO-Gen algorithm for identifying gene interaction networks with application to SOS repair in *E. coli*. *Environ Health Perspect* 2004; 112: 1614–1621.
134. Sone H, Imanishi S, Akanuma H, et al. Gene expression signatures of environmental chemicals in cancer and in developmental disorders. In: Zhao BDM, Cadeans E, ed. *The Roles of Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine*. Beijing: Medimond; 2009, p. 45–52.
135. Chua PJ, Yip GW, Bay BH. Cell cycle arrest induced by hydrogen peroxide is associated with modulation of oxidative stress related genes in breast cancer cells. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 2009; 234: 1086–1094.