PLOS Climate

Narrowed gene functions and enhanced transposon activity are associated with high tolerance to ocean acidification in a juvenile subarctic crustacean

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	PCLM-D-23-00215R1
Article Type:	Research Article
Full Title:	Narrowed gene functions and enhanced transposon activity are associated with high tolerance to ocean acidification in a juvenile subarctic crustacean
Short Title:	Gene activity in an acidification-tolerant juvenile crustacean
Corresponding Author:	Laura H Spencer NOAA Fisheries Alaska Fisheries Science Center Seattle, WA UNITED STATES
Order of Authors:	Laura H Spencer
	William Christopher Long
	Ingrid B Spies
	Krista M Nichols
	Robert J Foy
Keywords:	red king crab; transcriptomics; functional genomics; Alaskan crab
Abstract:	Ocean acidification (OA) threatens marine crustaceans, particularly those at high latitudes where conditions are rapidly changing. Red king crab (RKC) support important fisheries in Alaskan waters. RKC early life stages are sensitive to OA when exposure occurs as embryos and juveniles. However, in a supporting study, RKC were surprisingly tolerant of varying OA conditions (pH 7.5, 7.8, & 8.0) when reared long-term from larval hatching to the first crab stage (C1). Here, we examined gene expression in the C1 juveniles to characterize transcriptional activity of these OA-tolerant crabs. Expression of nearly half of all genes (44%) correlated with OA treatment, suggesting a strong molecular response to OA, contrary to the phenotypic results. Downregulated functions were numerous in response to OA, and included reduced energy production, biosynthesis, immune function, and notably lipid and carbohydrate metabolic processes, which suggest a shift in metabolic strategy to protein catabolism, possibly to reduce CO2 production and facilitate acid/base regulation. Only a handful of functions were enriched in OA-induced genes, which were related to transcription regulation, control of growth and cell death, and signaling activity. Transposon activity was high in OA-reared crab, many of which were upregulated at consistent levels, suggesting that transposon mobilization may be a component of the RKC OA-response system. Genetic composition did not differ among OA treatments indicating that transcriptional differences in OA-reared crab were more likely attributed to phenotypic plasticity than selective mortality. Our results suggest that OA-reared RKC have a narrowed, possibly optimized, set of gene functions that enables OA-tolerance through the early juvenile stage. OA-exposure from hatch may benefit RKC and related species by “hardening” them against OA through physiological reprogramming. Future studies should test OA-hardened crabs in additional challenges, as metabolic and immune limitations may ultimately make them more vulnerable to infection or secondary stressors.
Opposed Reviewers:	
Additional Information:	
Question	**Response**
Financial Disclosure	Financial support was provided by the NOAA Ocean Acidification Program Grants OAP1530-1213 and 21404.

Enter a financial disclosure statement that describes the sources of funding for the work included in this submission and the role the funder(s) played. This includes grants and any commercial funding of the work or authors. This statement will be typeset if the manuscript is accepted for publication. *Please review the submission guidelines and the instructions link below for detailed requirements and guidance.*	
Competing Interests On behalf of all authors, disclose any competing interests that could be perceived to bias this work. This statement will be typeset if the manuscript is accepted for publication. *Please review the instructions link below and PLOS Climate's competing interests policy to determine what information must be disclosed at submission.*	The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.
Data Availability Before publication, Authors are required to make fully available and without restriction all data underlying their findings. Please see our PLOS Data Policy page for detailed information on this policy. A **Data Availability Statement**, detailing where the data can be accessed, is required at first submission. Insert your Data Availability Statement in the box below. Please see the data reporting section of	Raw data are available at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) in BioProject PRJNA1027324. Code and analysis files used in this study are available in the accompanying repository https://github.com/laurahspencer/red-king_RNASeq-2022 (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10547911).

our submission guidelines for instructions on what you need to include in your Data Availability Statement. This statement will be typeset if the manuscript is accepted for publication. *PLOS allows rare exemptions to address legal and ethical concerns. If you have legal or ethical restrictions, please use the box below to detail these in full sentences for the Journal team to consider.*	

Dear Dr. Nicola Caroline James,

Thank you for the opportunity to submit a revised manuscript. Enclosed please find the revision and our responses to the reviewer comments. In response to the Journal Requirements the following changes were made:

We have reviewed the list of references. No references were removed, but additional references are included in the expanded portion of the introduction based on reviewer comments.

The following information regarding animal collection permitting and code availability has been added to the Methods section:

- "Animals were collected under ADFG permit P-16-011 and subsequently held under P-17-023."
- "Code and analysis files used in this study are available in the accompanying repository https://github.com/laurahspencer/red-king_RNASeq-2022 (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10547911)."

Thank you for the expeditious and thorough review process, and for accommodating our deadline extension request!

Regards,

Laura H Spencer
Alaska Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries, Seattle, WA

Narrowed gene functions and enhanced transposon activity are associated with high tolerance to ocean acidification in a juvenile subarctic crustacean

Laura H Spencer[1], William Christopher Long[1], Ingrid B Spies[1], Krista M Nichols[2], Robert J Foy[1]

[1] NOAA Fisheries, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115, USA
[2] Northwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2725 Montlake Blvd E, Seattle, WA, 98112, USA

Short title: gene activity in an acidification-tolerant juvenile crustacean

Keywords: red king crab; transcriptomics; functional genomics; Alaskan crab

Abstract

Ocean acidification (OA) threatens marine crustaceans, particularly those at high latitudes where conditions are rapidly changing. Red king crab (RKC) support important fisheries in Alaskan waters. RKC early life stages are sensitive to OA when exposure occurs as embryos and juveniles. However, in a supporting study, RKC were surprisingly tolerant of varying OA conditions (pH 7.5, 7.8, & 8.0) when reared long-term from larval hatching to the first crab stage (C1). Here, we examined gene expression in the C1 juveniles to characterize transcriptional activity of these OA-tolerant crabs. Expression of nearly half of all genes (44%) correlated with OA treatment, suggesting a strong molecular response to OA, contrary to the phenotypic results. Downregulated functions were numerous in response to OA, and included reduced energy production, biosynthesis, immune function, and notably lipid and carbohydrate metabolic processes, which suggest a shift in metabolic strategy to protein catabolism, possibly to reduce CO_2 production and facilitate acid/base regulation. Only a handful of functions were enriched in OA-induced genes, which were related to transcription regulation, control of growth and cell death, and signaling activity. Transposon activity was high in OA-reared crab, many of which were upregulated at consistent levels, suggesting that transposon mobilization may be a component of the RKC OA-response system. Genetic composition did not differ among OA treatments indicating that transcriptional differences in OA-reared crab were more likely attributed to phenotypic plasticity than selective mortality. Our results suggest that OA-reared RKC have a narrowed, possibly optimized, set of gene functions that enables OA-tolerance through the early juvenile stage. OA-exposure from hatch may benefit RKC and related species by “hardening” them against OA through physiological reprogramming. Future studies should test OA-hardened crabs in additional challenges, as metabolic and immune limitations may ultimately make them more vulnerable to infection or secondary stressors.

Introduction

Global oceans are rapidly changing due to increased concentrations of atmospheric greenhouse gasses. In addition to warming, deoxygenation, and sea level rise, oceans are acidifying [1]. This is occurring due to the increased partial pressure of carbon dioxide (CO_2) in the ocean, which has absorbed ~30% of added atmospheric CO_2 emitted since the industrial revolution [2]. As a result, the concentration of aqueous CO_2, hydrogen ions, and bicarbonate ions are increasing, and ocean pH, carbonate ion concentrations, and calcium carbonate saturation states are decreasing. This shift is referred to as ocean acidification (OA) [3], and, in addition to the effects of lower pH, is particularly concerning for calcifying species including crustaceans, molluscs, and corals due to their reliance on calcium carbonate (aragonite, calcite) to form shells and exoskeletons [4,5].

While OA is a global phenomenon, changes in high latitude coastal zones are likely to have outsized biological effects. Carbon dioxide is more soluble at high latitudes due to colder water, resulting in lower pH levels and carbonate saturation states [6,7]. Increased freshwater inputs from sea ice melt and river runoff, and enhanced upwelling and respiration are likely to augment acidification in high latitude coastal zones [6]. Conditions in those regions are therefore more likely to become undersaturated with carbonate ions and reach severely low pH levels [7,8]. The Bering Sea shelf, a coastal area off Alaska which supports highly productive commercial, subsistence, and sport fisheries [9], already experiences seasonal levels of aragonite saturation (Ω) below one, which is the biological threshold for calcium carbonate dissolution [10–13]. Model projections for the Bering Sea through the year 2100 predict longer seasonal periods of $\Omega<1$ (up to five and two months in the winter for aragonite and calcite, respectively)[14,15, Darren Pilcher *pers. comm.*], and that pH will decrease 0.07 - 0.35 pH units in surface waters and 0.05 - 0.30 in bottom waters [14,15]. These carbonate changes have significant socio-economic implications for Alaskan crustaceans, given their importance to

fisheries as both prey species (krill, copepods) [16,17] and fishery stocks (e.g. king, tanner, and snow crabs) [18,19].

OA has broad but variable physiological effects on crustaceans [20]. Many are capable of maintaining hemolymph pH homeostasis by acid-base regulation [21,22], and have exoskeletons composed of both calcium carbonate and chitin, which may protect against direct dissolution [23,24]. Still, tissue and shell growth [25], molt cycle [26,27], exoskeleton properties [28,29], metabolic activity [30,31], reproduction [22,32], behavior [33,34], and immune function [22,35] can all be altered by OA, particularly at higher pCO_2 concentrations [36]. Effects are most acutely observed in early life stages (larvae, juveniles), and vary greatly by species, severity of OA, life stage, and duration of exposure [20,28,37,38].

The diversity of responses in crustaceans, and all marine invertebrates for that matter, has highlighted the need for a more mechanistic understanding of how organisms function in OA conditions. Targeted gene expression analyses in green shore crab (*Carcinus maenas*) gill tissue reported that genes involved in acid-base regulation are affected by high pCO_2 [39,40]. Transcriptome-wide expression analysis identified changes in genes involved in energy metabolism and apoptosis activity in shrimp (*Exopalaemon carinicauda*) [41], and immune functions, energy metabolism, and ion transport in the Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*) [42,43]. Metabolomic analyses in juvenile Dungeness (*Cancer magister*) and green shore (*Carcinus maenas*) crabs both found amino acid metabolism to respond to acidified conditions, perhaps due to increased buffering needs [44,45]. As with biometric responses, molecular effects of OA can vary by life stage. Metabolite analyses of American lobster larvae (*Homarus americanus*) indicate that large metabolic shifts (fatty acids, amino acids, and citrate cycle) perhaps underlie their buffering capacity and tolerance of OA, whereas metabolic reprogramming is not observed in the more sensitive juvenile stage [46]. Together, molecular assays to date reveal that OA induces changes in energy metabolism, acid-base regulation, immune function, and cellular stress-response processes, with the strongest responses perhaps

reflective of physiological reprogramming. Changes are, however, somewhat unpredictable due to species-, stage-, and exposure-specific effects. For that reason, the most informative studies pair molecular assays with survival and growth data preferably from the same individuals.

Paralithodes camtschaticus, red king crab, is one of several crab species that are a highly valued fishery in Alaskan waters (17M pounds [$90M USD] in annual commercial landings on average from 2000-2022, for all king crab species [47]) but that may be threatened by ocean acidification [18]. Early life stages, which are thought to be particularly vulnerable to OA, are present as brooded embryos year-round and from hatch in late spring through settlement in fall [48,49] and may encounter low carbonate saturation states that already occur in bottom waters and during seasonal carbonate cycles [13]. Laboratory studies on embryos, early zoea, and juveniles indicate that OA negatively affects early red king crab life stages such that development is altered, and growth and survival typically decreases [50–52]. OA also interacts with warming by increasing intermolt duration and decreasing survival in juveniles [53]. One study to date has explored molecular changes in OA-exposed red king crab, and found no pronounced effects on the larval transcriptome (individuals were pooled) [54] which corresponded with decreased survival rates [52]; whereas in juveniles, expression of genes involved in cuticular processes were strongly affected by OA exposure [54] despite no changes in morphology (survival and growth were negatively affected) [51]. Interestingly, Long et al. [55] recently found that when red king crabs are exposed to OA during multiple stages of development – from hatch through the early juvenile stage – they are surprisingly tolerant of moderate (pH 7.8) and severe (pH 7.5) acidification, with no change in survival, growth, or development [42]. Therefore, when OA-exposure is prolonged and begins at hatch, red king crab may effectively acclimate without negative physiological impacts. The mechanisms that enable OA tolerance are of profound interest to those that manage and rely on the red king crab fishery.

In this study, we used functional genomics to examine the molecular response of OA-exposed red king crab. We leveraged juveniles from Long et al. [55] that were exposed to (and tolerated) three carbonate chemistry treatments from hatching to the first crab stage (C1), thus capturing transcriptional differences among crab that are reared in historically ambient conditions along the Bering Sea shelf (pH 8.0), and those acclimated to a moderately (pH 7.8) and severely (pH 7.5) acidified environments that are projected to occur in surface and bottom waters by the end of this century [15]. Using RNA-Seq, a high-throughput sequencing approach that measures gene-activity, our study provides a snap-shot of system-wide changes in energy allocation due to acidification exposure by identifying genes, their functions, and biological processes that differ in OA-reared crab [56]. Libraries were constructed from at least 13 individuals per treatment, rather than pools of individuals which can obscure genotype-dependent variation. Importantly, since the crab used in this experiment were quite tolerant of OA conditions [42], the molecular mechanisms and pathways described here may be potentially critical to survival in an acidified environment.

Methods

Ethics statement

Red king crabs are non-cephalopod invertebrates and research involving them is exempt from ethics approval. All research was conducted according to applicable national and international guidelines.

Animal collection

Ovigerous females for this study were collected in Bristol Bay in October 2016 in crab pots during the commercial fishery. Animals were transported to Kodiak in the live hold of a fishing vessel. Females were held communally in tanks at the NOAA Kodiak Laboratory in the Kodiak

Fisheries Research Center supplied with flow through sand-filtered seawater pumped from Trident Basin, Kodiak, at local ambient temperature and salinity, and were fed to excess on chopped frozen fish and squid. Embryos were monitored and, as they approached hatching, 48 females were moved to individual 48L tubs with flow-through seawater. Tanks were monitored for larvae. Larvae for this experiment were collected during peak hatch from as many females as possible over a period of three days (April 10-12, 2017), pooled, and immediately moved to experimental tanks. Larvae used to stock experimental tanks were from the same 21 females on the first 2 days of larval stocking, and from 20 of those 21 on the third (one female completed hatching after the second day of stocking). Animals were collected under ADFG permit P-16-011 and subsequently held under P-17-023.

Experimental Design

Experiments were performed in 180L conical bottomed tanks. Each tank was randomly assigned to one of three pH treatments: 1) Local ambient (pH ~ 8.1), 2) pH 7.8, and 3) pH 7.5, with five replicate tanks per treatment. Tanks were stocked in a random order with larvae on the same day they hatched. Flowthrough water for this experiment was passed through a 5 μm filter and UV sterilized and flow into each tank was 2 L/min. The temperature and salinity of all tanks were allowed to vary with seasonal change of the intake water. The pH in each tank was adjusted via direct bubbling of CO_2 controlled by feedback from Honeywell controllers connected to an in-tank Durafet III pH probe. Discrete temperature and pH measurements were collected daily in each tank using a Durafet III pH probe calibrated with TRIS buffer [57]. Water samples were taken once a week from each tank beginning the second week of exposure, poisoned with mercuric chloride to saturation and analyzed for dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and total alkalinity (TA). DIC and TA were measured using a VINDTA 3C (Marianda, Kiel, Germany) and a 5012 Coulometer (UIC Inc.) according to DOE [58] using Certified Reference Material from the Dickson Laboratory (Scripps Institute, San Diego, CA, USA;[59]). The seacarb

package [60] in R (V3.6.1, Vienna, Austria) was used to calculate the other parameters of the carbonate system.

Larval rearing

Larvae were stocked at 50 larvae/L, or approximately 9,000 larvae per tank, and reared according to Swingle et al. [61], except that in this experiment we used ambient incoming seawater rather than elevated temperature to avoid potential interactive effects between pH and temperature. In brief, larvae were fed daily *ad libitum* on a diet of *Artemia sp.* enriched with DC DHA Selco (Inve Aquaculture) except during the non-feeding glaucothoe stage. Once the larvae molted to the glaucothoe stage, artificial seaweed was provided as a settling substrate. Glaucothoe continued to be monitored until they molted to the first crab stage. Survival, growth, and development metrics were captured at each of the four zoea, glaucothoe, and C1 stages (for details, see [55])

RNA Extraction & Sequencing

For each OA treatment 13-15 juvenile crabs at the first juvenile instar (C1) stage were sampled for RNA-seq (2-4 crab from each replicate tank, Table 1), placing whole crabs in RNAlater per manufacturer's instructions (Thermofisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). To standardize among tanks/treatments and ensure that all crabs were at the same point in their molt cycle, sampling date varied by tank to target the C1-stage, and occurred five days after 100% of crabs in a tank reached the C1-stage. RNA isolation, library construction, and sequencing were performed by the University of Oregon. Briefly, RNAlater-preserved crabs were homogenized with silica beads using a Spex Geno/Grinder®, then RNA was isolated following TRIzol™ Reagent protocol for total RNA (Invitrogen Inc., Carlsbad, CA). The purity and quality of RNA were assessed with a NanoDrop™ Spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific) and a *Fragment Analyzer*™ (Agilent Technologies, Boulder, CO). Stranded mRNA-Seq libraries were constructed with the NuGen

Universal Plus mRNA kit (Tecan Genomics, Inc, Männedorf, Switzerland). Libraries were prepared for sequencing using TruSeq RNA sample prep kits (Illumina). Paired-end sequencing was conducted on seven lanes of a Hi-Seq 4000 with 100-bp read length.

Table 1 RNA-Seq sample size and replication by treatment after the removal of one outlier sample from the Ambient treatment. All libraries listed were used in all analyses (genetic, global patterns, gene co-expression, differential expression, and expression variation).

Treatment	Individuals / Libraries	Replicate Tanks	Replicates per Tank
Ambient (pH 8.0)	14	5	2 or 3
Moderate OA (pH 7.8)	13	4	3 or 4
Severe OA (pH 7.5)	15	5	3

Bioinformatics

Raw sequence data from each of the seven lanes was demultiplexed, concatenated by library, then trimmed using Cutadapt v3.5 [62] to remove Illumina adapters, poly-A tails, flanking N bases, reads less than 50bp, and low-quality ends from reads using minimum quality scores of 20 and 15 for the 5' and 3' ends, respectively. Raw and trimmed data were inspected using FastQC [63] and MultiQC [64]. Reads were aligned to the draft Red king crab (*Paralithodes camtschaticus*) genome (Genbank accession GCA_018397895.1) [65,66] using Bowtie2 v2.4.2 with the preset option --sensitive [67,68]. The number of fragments aligning to gene coding regions of the *P. camtschaticus* genome was quantified using featureCounts v2.0.3 [69] with settings -p --countReadPairs to count paired-end fragments and -C and -B to exclude chimeras and singletons, respectively. Gene functions were identified by querying coding sequences of the *P. camtschaticus* genome, derived from gene annotations published along with the *P. camtschaticus* genome [66], against the Uniprot/Swissprot database [70] using blastx from blast v2.11.0 (e-value < 1^{-10}) [71].

Genetic analysis

As with many rearing experiments, the cumulative survival rate to the C1 juvenile stage was low, averaging 1.9% across all replicate tanks (for details see Long et al. [55]). While survival rates did not differ among treatments in this experiment [55], there could have been treatment-specific survival rates among the ~20 families, possibly resulting in genotype-specific expression patterns. We therefore assessed whether there were genetic differences among treatments. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were extracted from RNA-Seq reads to examine the genetic composition of sampled crabs. Variants were identified using the GATK toolkit [72]. Briefly, RNA-Seq reads were aligned to the draft red king crab genome [65]. The genome was first concatenated into 50 larger contigs, with 1000N separating each original contig, which was necessary to reduce the processing time in GATK. Alignment files were deduplicated using MarkDuplicates, reads spanning splicing events and CigarN reads were split, variants were called using HaplotypeCaller, then joint-genotyped using GenotypeGVCFs. SNPs were filtered using VariantFiltration to hard-filter loci with any of the characteristics FS>60, QD<2, QUAL<30, SOR>3, DP<15, DP>150, or AF<0.30. SNPs were then pruned with `snpgdsLDpruning` from the R package *SNPRelate* v1.30.1 to remove those in linkage-disequilibrium and with >15% missing rate or <5% minor allele frequency. One sample from the ambient treatment was removed from the genetic analysis due to high missingness. From 227,781 candidate SNPs, 331 markers resulted from the above filtering and were retained for genetic analysis.

Differences in genetic composition among treatments was examined using multivariate analysis, estimates of diversity, and parentage analysis. Using *SNPRelate*, allele frequencies (major and minor) and per-SNP missing rate were calculated with *snpgdsSNPRateFreq()*, and PCA biplots of the first four principal components (PCs) were constructed with `snpgdsPCA()`. The first four PCs were selected as they explained over 25% of the total variance, and each

additional PC explained less than 4% of the total variance. Pairwise Fst values [73] among treatments were calculated with `stamppFst()` from *STaMPP* v1.6.3 using 1000 bootstraps to generate 95% confidence intervals and *p*-values. Parentage analysis was performed using the 331 SNPs with *Colony* v2.0.6.6 for R [74], specifying polygamous males and females with three replicate medium-length runs using the full likelihood method with high precision. The parentage of samples with cluster probability < 0.5 were considered invalid (three samples from each treatment). The relationship between global expression patterns and genetic structure was assessed by regressing genotype PCA sample scores against the expression-derived PCA sample scores along the first two principal components, using OA treatment as a covariate.

Gene expression analysis

Analyses were performed in R v4.1.2 using RStudio interface v2021.09.1 [75,76]. Unless otherwise specified, significance thresholds were alpha = 0.05 and representations of spread in data are 1 standard deviation.

RNA-Seq pre-processing for gene expression analysis

Gene counts were filtered to remove outlier samples and low-frequency genes. Outlier samples were identified using principal component analysis (PCA), which was performed on variance-stabilizing transformed counts of the top 500 genes using `vsd` and `plotPCA` from *DESeq2* v1.34.0 [77]. Genes with mean count <10 across all samples or those with counts <30 across at minimum 10% of the samples were discarded, and differences in the number of remaining fragments per sample among treatments was tested using ANOVA. This filtered gene count dataset was used in comparative gene expression analyses as raw counts, or transformed counts via variance-stabilization transformation in *DESeq2*.

Global patterns

Global gene expression differences among OA treatments were explored with PCA, using `prcomp` from the R package *vegan* v.2.5-7 on all gene counts that were transformed via variance-stabilization. Principal components that explained a significant amount of variance were identified using the scree test [78]. Global differences among treatments was assessed by permutational pairwise permANOVA with `pairwise.adonis` from the *pairwiseAdonis* package, which is a wrapper for `adonis` from the *vegan* package.

Gene co-expression network analysis

We identified groups of co-expressed genes (i.e. gene modules) with expression profiles that correlated with OA treatment using weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA v1.70-3, [79]). Briefly, a weighted gene network was constructed from transformed gene counts with a signed adjacency matrix using the soft thresholding power 15, and minimum module size 75. Modules were merged if their eigengene expression correlated at $R > 0.75$, and those with eigengenes that correlated with pCO_2 concentration at alpha=0.05 were determined to be associated with OA treatment. Modules with positive and negative correlations were designated as those with upregulated and downregulated expression profiles, respectively.

Differential gene expression analysis

Differentially expressed genes among pH treatments were identified using *DESeq2* with default settings [77,80]. *DESeq2* uses raw count data to generate generalized linear models and internally corrects for library size, therefore counts were not transformed prior to differential expression analysis. No minimum log2 fold change (L2FC) was used to identify differentially expressed genes, but they were filtered for those with $|L2FC| > 0.5$ prior to functional analyses. In addition to examining the transcriptional responses of crabs to OA treatments, differentially

277 expressed genes were used to characterize the gene modules with expression that correlated
278 with pCO_2.

279 ### Variation in gene expression

280 Global differences in the variation of gene expression among OA treatments was assessed with
281 a test of multivariate homogeneity of group dispersions. To do so, we used `betadisper` from
282 the *vegan* package to calculate per-sample distances to group medians in multivariate space,
283 then analysis of variance to compare per-sample distances among treatments for all genes, and
284 for differentially expressed genes. Pairwise comparisons and associated permuted *p*-values
285 identified which treatments differed. We then examined gene-wise variation in expression by
286 treatment for genes that were upregulated, downregulated, or not differentially expressed for
287 each treatment. The within-treatment coefficient of variation (CV=SD/mean) was calculated for
288 each gene, providing a method of comparing variation in gene expression relative to the mean
289 for each treatment [81]. CV was summarized by calculating the mean CV of genes that were
290 upregulated, downregulated, and were not differentially expressed for each treatment.

291 ### Functional Analyses

292 #### *Enrichment Analyses*

293 Gene sets of interest, outlined below, were characterized by Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment
294 analyses. For all gene sets, genes were filtered for those that mapped to the Uniprot/Swissprot
295 database [70], and enriched GO terms were identified by entering UniprotID's into the Gene-
296 Enrichment and Functional Annotation Tool from DAVID v2021 [82] to identify enriched
297 biological processes, which were defined as those with modified Fisher Exact p-values (EASE
298 Scores) <0.05. For all enrichment analyses the background list of genes included all examined
299 genes that mapped to the Uniprot/Swissprot database (n=32,435).

Co-expressed genes. To characterize functions that respond to pCO_2 in a dose-dependent manner, enrichment analyses were performed on co-expressed gene modules (from WGCNA analysis) for which eigengenes correlated with pCO_2 concentration, filtered to retain genes that either correlated individually with pCO_2 (Gene Significance p-value < 0.05) or were differentially expressed.

Differentially expressed genes. To determine the functions of differentially expressed genes two enrichment analyses were performed for each of the three pairwise treatment contrasts: (1) genes that were upregulated (L2FC > 0.5) and (2) genes that were downregulated (L2FC < -0.5) in response to the more severe OA treatment.

Low-variance differentially upregulated genes. To identify processes that are likely critical to the function of OA-reared crab, enrichment analysis was performed on a subset of differentially expressed genes that were upregulated in OA compared to ambient: those that had very consistent expression levels (genes with within-treatment CV < 3%, referred to as low-variance genes).

Transposable Element Composition

Given that not all transposable elements are assigned to transposition-related GO terms and may be overlooked by traditional enrichment analyses, gene sets were also interrogated for transposable element composition. The proportion of genes that were transposable elements was estimated for each gene set (co-expressed gene modules, differentially up/down-regulated genes in each pairwise contrast, and low-variance genes) by searching within the protein names of annotated genes for the words “transposon”, “transposable”, “LINE” (representing long interspersed nuclear elements), “retrotransposable element”, “transposable element”, “mobile element jockey” (a LINE), and “pol polyprotein”. Pol polyprotein was included as it is core to the replication of retrotransposons, but may also be associated with retroviral activity [83]. These terms were determined to represent the majority of TEs by manual review of annotated genes.

Beta-regression and a likelihood ratio test assessed whether the TE proportions differed for gene sets that were up-regulated and down-regulated in OA treatments.

Code and analysis files used in this study are available in the accompanying repository https://github.com/laurahspencer/red-king_RNASeq-2022 (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10547911).

Results

Experimental Design

Experimental pHs were well controlled to within 0.01 pH units in experimental treatments (Table 2). Water temperatures increased from about 5°C at the beginning of the experiment to about 10°C by the end and averaged about 7.2°C throughout (Table 2). Incorporating this seasonal shift in temperature provided ecologically relevant variability in conditions, including slight shifts in pCO_2 and saturation state conditions over time [84,85] (Supplemental Figure 1).

Table 2. Water parameters during the experiment. Temperature and pH were measured daily; salinity, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and alkalinity were measured weekly beginning on the second week of the exposure period; all other parameters were calculated. Values are mean ± standard deviation, calculated across replicate tanks per treatment (N=430 for pH and temperature, and N=53 for other parameters). See Supplemental Figure 1 for water parameter time-series and Supplemental Table 1 for per-tank means.

Treatment	Ambient	Moderate OA	Severe OA
Temperature (C)	7.24 ± 1.40	7.25 ± 1.40	7.23 ± 1.44
Salinity	31.267 ± 0.142	31.277 ± 0.149	31.288 ± 0.163
pH_T	8.05 ± 0.03	7.79 ± 0.05	7.50 ± 0.06

pCO_2 (µatm)	370.74 ± 26.92	703.89 ± 90.45	1414.71 ± 287.82
HCO_3^- (mmol/kg)	1.89 ± 0.08	1.96 ± 0.05	2.00 ± 0.04
CO_3^{-2} (mmol/kg)	0.11 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.01
DIC (mmol/kg)	2.01 ± 0.08	2.06 ± 0.05	2.10 ± 0.05
Alkalinity (mmol/kg)	2.16 ± 0.08	2.12 ± 0.05	2.09 ± 0.06
$\Omega_{Aragonite}$	1.66 ± 0.09	0.96 ± 0.13	0.52 ± 0.19
$\Omega_{Calcite}$	2.65 ± 0.15	1.53 ± 0.21	0.83 ± 0.31

Survival, growth, and development

Survival, growth, and development results were reported in Long et al. [55]. Briefly, survival, developmental time, calcification, and mass did not differ among treatments at any stage, nor did survival or developmental time cumulatively from hatch to the C1 juvenile stage differ.

Genetic relatedness analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) constructed from SNPs (n=331) indicated genetic homogeneity among treatments (Figure 1, Supplemental Figure 2). Individuals loosely aggregated into two or three clusters, primarily along PC1 and PC2 which explained 8.7% and 7.3% of variation, respectively, but no treatments were overrepresented in any of the clusters. Pairwise F_{ST} values among samples from the three treatments did not differ from zero for any contrast (Table 3). Parentage analysis using Colony estimated the same number of mothers (n=9) and fathers (n=10) represented by surviving offspring in each treatment. No correlation was found among SNP-derived PCA scores and gene expression-derived PCA scores along the first two principal components (Supplemental Figure 3).

Figure 1: PCA biplot of the first two principal components, constructed from RNA-Seq derived SNPs (n=331). Points represent individual crabs that are color-coded by OA treatment, which do not indicate clustering by treatment, and sizes represent the percent of SNPs that are missing in each

individual. The PCA and other genetic analyses (parentage, pairwise F_{ST}) does not indicate that genetic composition of individuals surviving the three-month exposure differed among treatments.

Table 3: Pairwise F_{ST} values

Treatment 1	*Treatment 2*	F_{ST}	*CI Lower Bound*	*CI Upper Bound*	*p-value*
Ambient	Severe	-0.0015	-0.0107	0.0073	0.67
Ambient	Moderate	-0.0123	-0.0207	-0.0035	1.00
Moderate	Severe	-0.0033	-0.0125	0.0061	0.78

RNA-Seq pre-processing for gene expression analysis

A total of ~2.65B paired-end reads (henceforth "fragments") remained after discarding ~4.55M (0.17%) during initial quality-filtering, with a per-sample mean of 61.7M +/- 10.7M fragments. Across all samples ~2.14B reads were aligned to the *P. camtschaticus* draft genome [65,66], for a total alignment rate of 80.47%. The average per-sample alignment rate was 80.43%±2.15%, which included 43.19%±1.50% and 24.52%±0.89% of concordantly mapped fragments that mapped uniquely and multiple times, respectively. The multi-mapped fragments were assigned by Bowtie2 to the "best" location, and therefore counted once in the downstream analysis. Of the ~2.14B aligned fragments, ~1.27B were assigned to gene-coding regions of the *P. camtschaticus* draft genome (59.6%). The remainder were not included in the downstream analyses as they mapped to non-coding regions (22.3%), or were assigned ambiguously (6.7%), as singletons (8.3%) or chimeras (3.1%). Initial examination of the gene counts using PCA identified one sample from the ambient pH treatment as an outlier, which was removed from the dataset and resulted in 14, 13, and 15 samples for the ambient, moderate, and severe OA treatments, respectively (Table 1). In total, we detected all 162,611 gene features that are in the draft *P. camtschaticus* genome [66], but after removing low frequency genes (totaling 0.75% of fragments), 74,778 genes remained for analysis, 32,435 of which mapped to genes in the Uniprot/Swissprot database. The high number of genes to which reads mapped reflects the

large *P. camtschaticus* draft genome, which includes a high degree of repeat elements characteristic of crustacean genomes [65]. A one-way ANOVA indicated that the number of fragments retained for analyses did not differ among OA treatments ($F_{(2,39)}$=0.22, *p*-value=0.80), ranging from 15.4M to 38.2M per sample and averaged 29.4M±5.1M, mapped to on average 75K±80 genes.

Global expression patterns

Global expression profiles of red king crabs reared in ambient conditions differed from those reared in either moderate or severe OA. Pairwise permANOVA tests detected significant differences in multivariate space among ambient conditions and OA treatments (moderate OA: F(1)=1.84, *p*-adj=0.042; severe OA: F(1)=2.70, *p*-adj=$3.0e^{-3}$), but not between the two OA treatments (F(1)=1.20, *p*-adj=0.50), which is evident from the biplot of the first two principal components (PC1 & PC2) (Figure 2). Ambient-reared crabs were separated from those reared in OA treatments along PC1 (19.0%) and PC2 (8.4%), which combined explained 27.4% of variation in global expression. While the scree test indicated that PC3 and PC4 also explained a significant amount of variation (7.0% and 6.2%, respectively), there was no separation among treatments along those axes (Supplemental Figure 4).

Figure 2. PCA biplot of first the two principal components, constructed from all expressed genes.

Global gene expression was less variable among crabs reared in OA treatments compared to those reared in ambient conditions. Using a test of multivariate homogeneity of group dispersions, we found that variation in global gene expression differed by treatment (F(2,39)=4.9, p=0.012). Pairwise comparisons indicated that variation differed between ambient and severe OA ($p\text{-value}_{permuted}=8.3e^{-3}$), but did not differ between ambient and moderate OA ($p\text{-value}_{permuted}$=0.32) or moderate and severe OA ($p\text{-value}_{permuted}$=0.25).

Co-expression network analysis

We performed a weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) to identify groups of genes that were co-expressed (i.e. gene modules), and for which expression correlated with pCO_2 concentration. The 74,778 examined genes were assigned to 41 modules, 14 of which had eigengenes that correlated significantly with pCO_2 (Supplemental Table 2). For eight of the modules, which contained in total 22,537 genes, the eigengenes correlated negatively with pCO_2 indicating that expression decreased as OA treatment became more severe. Six modules, containing 19,248 genes in total, correlated positively with pCO_2, indicating higher expression in OA treatments.

Differential gene expression

Analysis in DESeq2 identified 6,806 genes that were differentially expressed among treatments (9.1% of all examined genes). The number of differentially expressed genes increased with OA severity: 1,459 genes differed between ambient and moderate OA (526 upregulated in moderate OA, 933 downregulated, Figures 3 & 4A), and 6,257 genes differed between ambient and severe OA (2,350 upregulated in severe OA, 3,907 downregulated, Figures 3 & 4B). Only 47 genes differed between moderate and severe OA treatments (22 upregulated in severe OA, 25 downregulated, Figures 3 & 4C), indicating that the two OA treatments induced a similar transcriptional response (Supplemental Table 3). There was high overlap between the differentially expressed genes and the co-expression network analysis (WGCNA), with 92.8% of DEG's assigned to one of the 14 pCO_2-correlated co-expression modules.

Figure 3. Venn diagram showing the number of differentially expressed genes among each pairwise OA treatment contrast, and the number that are shared among contrasts.

Figure 4. Pairwise differential expression among OA treatments for (A) ambient (pH 8.0) vs. moderate OA (pH 7.8), (B) ambient vs. severe OA (pH 7.5), and (C) moderate OA vs. severe OA. Volcano plots (left panels) show expression of all genes. Each point represents a unique gene, with non-black points residing above the dotted line representing differentially expressed genes. -Log10 p-value is along the y-axes, with higher numbers indicating higher significance, and $\log_2$ fold change is along the x-axes, with higher absolute values indicating larger differences among treatments. Differentially expressed genes (*p*-adj < 0.05) are color-coded to indicate those that are expressed at higher levels in ambient treatment (blue), moderate OA (orange), or severe OA (red). Points with darker shades indicate those that have |Log2FC| >0.5, which were used in functional analyses. Heatmaps (right panels) show expression of differentially expressed genes only (rows=genes) at per-sample resolution (columns=samples), with the green-black gradient indicating the *z*-score of expression values standardized across samples for each gene, where green and black indicate higher and lower expression, respectively.

Variation in gene expression

Within-treatment mean coefficient of variation (CV), which was calculated separately from genes that were upregulated, downregulated, or not differentially expressed, ranged from 4.0%-11.2% (Table 4). Genes upregulated in the severe OA treatment relative to ambient had the lowest mean CV (Table 4).

Table 4: Coefficient of variation mean ± SD for genes that were upregulated or downregulated relative to other treatments, or not differentially expressed (Non-DEG). To focus on differences among OA and ambient treatments, the 16 genes that were only differentially expressed among moderate and severe OA were not included in these calculations.

	Ambient	Moderate OA	Severe OA
Up-regulated	11.0%±10.3%	6.8%±4.7%	4.0%±4.2%
Down-regulated	5.5%±4.1%	11.2%±9.5%	8.5%±7.2%

Non-DEG	8.3%±5.6%	8.0%±5.3%	7.2%±5.2%

Functional Analyses

Enrichment analysis of co-expressed genes that correlate with pCO_2

Genes that decreased significantly with pCO_2 (eight modules) were enriched for 193 biological process GO terms (65 at FDR<10%), which were predominantly related to protein production, energy production, metabolic activity, tissue development, muscle activity, cell cycle, immune function, chaperone mediated protein folding, and telomere maintenance (Figure 5, Supplemental Table 4). Genes that increased significantly with pCO_2 (six modules) were enriched for 48 processes (10 at FDR<10%), focused on transcription regulation and signaling (Figure 5, Supplemental Table 4). We also found that transposition and the related process DNA integration were enriched in both downregulated and upregulated modules (5 modules each).

Figure 5: Expression profiles of co-expressed gene modules that correlated with pCO_2 treatment negatively (purple, a-h) and positively (green, i-n), indicating those genes that decreased and increased with OA severity, respectively, where 370 µatm is ambient (pH 8.0), 700 µatm is moderate OA (pH 7.8), and 1400 µatm is severe OA (pH 7.5). Points indicate the mean eigengenes (i.e. first principal component) for each treatment within each module, with standard deviation among individuals within treatments indicated by error bars. Figure titles indicate the predominant biological functions that were enriched in each module. Detailed enrichment analysis results, number of genes in each module, and correlation statistics are Supplemental Table 4. Transposition was omitted from figure titles as it was enriched in many modules.

Enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes

Enrichment analysis revealed 26 and 104 biological process GO terms that were enriched in genes that were differentially upregulated and downregulated in an OA treatment compared to ambient, respectively (Supplemental Table 5). In genes upregulated in moderate-OA compared to ambient reared crab, 11 enriched processes were involved in negatively regulating (i.e. decreasing) cell growth and proliferation, transcription regulation, chaperone-mediated protein folding, chromatin organization, neurotransmitter exocytosis and signal transduction, and DNA integration via transposons (Figure 6A). In severe-OA reared crab, 15 enriched processes in upregulated genes were involved in transposable element activity, regulation of transcription, nervous system development, signal transduction, and tissue development (Figure 6A).

Figure 6: Enriched Gene Ontology biological processes in genes that were A) upregulated or B) downregulated in moderate OA (pH 7.8, left column) and severe OA (pH 7.3, right column) compared to ambient conditions (pH 8.0). Point size indicates the -Log10 transformed *p*-value (all *p*-values < 0.05), such that larger points are more significantly enriched. For downregulated processes (B) only the top 20 processes by *p*-value per OA treatment were included in this figure.

A variety of biological processes were downregulated in OA-reared crab compared to ambient-reared crab (Figure 6B). Of the 23 processes that were enriched in genes down-regulated in moderate-OA reared crab, many were involved in the metabolism of various compounds (e.g. carbohydrates, lipids, fatty acids, estrogen), energy production (e.g. tricarboxylic acid cycle), and ceramide activity (biosynthesis, translocation, and metabolism), but also were involved in protein folding and N-linked glycosylation, toxin transport, and telomerase activity (see Supplemental Table 5 for full list). There were 81 enriched processes in genes down-regulated in severe-OA reared crab. Many processes that were enriched in moderate-OA reared crab were also enriched in genes downregulated in severe-OA reared crab (Figure 6B). Enriched processes that were uniquely downregulated in severe-OA reared crab included

translation (the most significantly enriched down regulated process, *p*-value=$8.6e^{-14}$), and those related to DNA replication, microtubules, immune function, and aerobic respiration (Figure 6B, see Supplemental Table 5 for full list).

Five biological processes were enriched in genes that were differentially expressed among crab reared in moderate vs. severe OA. Two processes involved in molecular chaperone activity via the heat shock complex were enriched in genes with increased expression in the moderate OA-reared crab, and three processes involved in transposition were enriched in genes with increased expression in the severe OA-reared crab (Supplemental Table 5).

Enrichment analysis of genes potentially critical in an OA environment

Of the 526 and 2,350 genes that were upregulated in moderate and severe OA relative to ambient treatment, respectively, 66 (13%) and 1,319 (56%) were expressed at consistent levels across individuals within treatments (CV < 3%, hereafter referred to as low-variance genes). These upregulated low-variance genes are of interest as they may provide critical functions in the OA environment. Low-variance genes upregulated in severe OA treatment were enriched for biological processes involved in transcription regulation, DNA integration (transposon activity), nervous system processes (neurogenesis, signal transduction, calcium ion-regulated exocytosis of neurotransmitter), developmental processes (multicellular organism development, keratinocyte differentiation, glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis), regulation of cardiac muscle cell contraction, and potassium ion transport (Supplemental Table 6). Low-variance genes upregulated in moderate OA treatment were enriched for chromatin organization and transposition (Supplemental Table 6). In contrast, low-variance genes that were upregulated in ambient treatment relative to either OA treatment were primarily enriched for processes involved in protein biosynthesis, glycolysis, and ion transport (including hydrogen ion transport) (Supplemental Table 6).

Transposable element composition

A large portion of the red king crab transcriptome mapped to transposable elements (20,860 TEs), comprising 28% of the 74,778 analyzed genes, and 64% of the 32,435 annotated genes. These TEs mapped to 67 distinct Uniprot Species IDs, all of which were retroelements (Supplemental Table 7). Transposable element activity was high in all treatments, but activity increased with OA severity. Of the annotated genes that were upregulated in severe OA compared to ambient and moderate OA treatments, 65% and 86% mapped to TE's, respectively, while 51% of genes upregulated in moderate OA compared to ambient were TE's (Figure 7, Supplemental Table 8). In contrast, 31% and 28% of genes that were more abundant in ambient treatment compared to moderate and severe OA mapped to TEs (Figure 7, Supplemental Table 8). A large percentage of upregulated low-variance genes were also transposable elements, comprising 50% and 60% of those genes in moderate and severe OA-treated crab, respectively. In contrast, 16% of the low-variance upregulated genes in ambient-pH-reared crab were transposable elements (Supplemental Table 8). The TE composition of co-expressed gene modules was similar- on average the percent of genes that increased and decreased with pCO_2 was 73% and 51%, respectively (Figure 7). A likelihood ratio test examined the proportions of gene sets that were TEs, and found higher TE proportions in upregulated gene sets compared to downregulated gene sets (χ^2 (2, N = 20) = 10.7, p = 0.001, Figure 7).

Figure 7: The percent of genes in each co-expressed gene module and differentially expressed gene set (DEGs) that were transposable elements (TEs), categorized by whether genes were downregulated or upregulated in OA relative to ambient treatment, which shows the high percentage of TEs in OA-upregulated genes. The proportion of all genes examined that were TEs (64%) is indicated by the dotted line. TEs were identified by searching within the protein names for the words transposon, transposable, LINE, retrotransposable element, transposable

element, mobile element jockey, and pol polyprotein. Lines in the middle of each boxplot indicate the median percent TEs in downregulated and upregulated gene sets.

Discussion

Calcifying marine species living at high latitudes may be particularly vulnerable to the effects of OA due to more extreme changes projected to occur in those regions [15,86]. Crustaceans in some high latitude regions are likely already experiencing acidified conditions seasonally; at present the Bering Sea shelf drops to seasonal lows of around pH 7.5 [13] and mean bottom pH is projected to drop by a further 0.3 units by 2100 [15]. Red king crab, which is one of several valuable commercial fisheries in Alaskan waters, are sensitive to changes in ocean chemistry at the juvenile stage, resulting in high mortality and decreased growth [51,87]. However, our supporting study [55] found that red king crab are surprisingly resilient to OA when exposure begins early in life – at hatch – with no impact to survival, growth, or development through the early juvenile (C1) stage. Here, we explore aspects of red king crab molecular physiology that are altered by long term exposure to acidification, and which may be critical to their survival, to understand their adaptive potential and improve population predictions. We provide the first study to describe the molecular signatures of juvenile red king crab that were reared from the larval stage in acidified conditions.

Expression of nearly half of all genes (44%) correlated significantly with pCO_2, suggesting a strong molecular response to OA conditions, contrary to the phenotypic results. There was a general reduction in transcriptional activity and inter-individual variability in OA-reared crab, suggesting that OA reduces the breadth of physiological functions compared to crabs reared in ambient seawater. Functional analysis of downregulated genes indicates that energy production is depressed in OA conditions, which is likely associated with decreases in biosynthesis, the immune system, and myriad metabolic processes. Downregulated lipid and

carbohydrate metabolic processes suggest a shift in metabolic strategy to protein catabolism, possibly to reduce CO_2 production and facilitate acid/base regulation. The limited energy is shunted towards transcriptional regulation mechanisms, signaling systems, and control of growth. Transposable elements (TEs) in OA-reared crab were highly active, particularly in the most severe OA treatment, and were expressed at consistent levels, suggesting that TEs play a role in the OA-response. In the remainder of this section we describe processes that are suppressed and triggered by OA exposure during development in red king crab, and expand on effects to TE activity. Finally, we discuss differences in gene expression variation observed among treatments, and consider whether there were significant genetic differences among treatment groups that may have contributed to the observed gene expression profiles.

Processes that are suppressed in OA-reared crab

OA resulted in a widespread downregulation of metabolic processes involved in multiple respiratory pathways, metabolism of a variety of compounds, and protein synthesis machinery (Figure 6B). Reduced metabolic activity may be one way that red king crabs mitigate acidosis [88,89]. Carbon dioxide is produced during respiration, therefore a decrease in metabolic activity reduces internal CO_2 production. Decreased mitochondrial activity can also reduce oxidative cellular stress by reducing mitochondrial production of reactive oxygen species, which might otherwise increase due to environmental stressors [90–92].

Another outcome of changes in metabolic activity could be a shift in metabolic strategy away from carbohydrate and lipid substrates and towards proteins to improve acid/base regulation [93,94]. Protein catabolism is less energy efficient, but instead of CO_2 it produces ammonia and bicarbonate, which can be used to buffer intracellular pH [95]. While we did detect downregulation of carbohydrate & lipid metabolism that suggests a metabolic shift (Figure 6B), and increased transmembrane signaling, we did not detect increased ion regulation processes in response to OA. It is possible that ion transport was indeed higher, but was uncoupled from

592 mRNA levels in our fully OA-acclimated crab [96]. Pairing gene expression with more direct
593 physiological assays (e.g. excreted O:N ratio, in vivo Na+,K+-ATPase activity) would improve
594 interpretation of how red king crab metabolic and ion regulation strategies respond to OA.
595 Metabolic changes are quite common in response to OA [97,98]. OA can cause
596 metabolic depression (and more generally, reduction in gene activity) in crustaceans and other
597 marine invertebrates [99–103]. In the present study, metabolic processes were downregulated
598 in both the moderate and severe OA treatments, which likely reflects the sensitivity of red king
599 crab to changes in ocean chemistry. Given these findings, we might expect metabolic rate to
600 decrease in response to OA in red king crab. In previous respiration trials [50] there was no
601 change to metabolic rate measured by oxygen consumption in juvenile red king crab exposed to
602 the same OA conditions after three weeks. The decreased metabolic gene activity in the present
603 study could reflect an acclimatory response that is only induce by long-term and/or multi-stage
604 exposure – in our case three months from hatching - which may influence the physiology of
605 crabs in a way that short term- or single life stage- exposure does not [98]. Decreased
606 expression of metabolic functions may also reflect an interactive effect between OA treatment
607 and seasonal temperature increase, which was not a factor in Long et al. [50] (they held crab at
608 5°C). Future studies should pair gene expression analysis with more direct measurements of
609 metabolic rate (and other traditional physiological measurements) at varying temperatures to
610 untangle the effects of OA and temperature on red king crab metabolic functioning.
611 OA affected the red king crab immune system. Genes involved in neuroinflammation
612 (microglial cell activation), viral response, and the innate immune system decreased with pCO_2,
613 although effects were less pronounced compared to metabolic changes. OA can negatively
614 affect the immune system in crustaceans [22,35,104–106] and other marine invertebrates [107–
615 109]. The mechanisms by which OA alters immune function are not fully understood, and could
616 relate to energy constraints and malfunction of immune-related enzymes and
617 signaling/recognition pathways (among other mechanisms) [107,109]. We find evidence that

618 immunosuppression may in part be due to activation of the stress response [110]. Genes coding
619 for the octopamine receptors and a receptor for tyramine, the direct precursor of octopamine,
620 were upregulated in OA. Octopamine is the invertebrate orthologue to norepinephrine, and is
621 one of the key neurotransmitters that regulates the acute stress-response [111]. Interestingly,
622 molecular chaperones (HSP70 and DNAJA1), which respond to a variety of stressors by
623 refolding or facilitating the destruction of damaged proteins ([112,113]), increased in moderate
624 OA but decreased substantially in severe OA, which suggests that those processes become
625 ineffective as OA severity worsens. Further, it suggests that at moderate OA levels increased
626 oxidative stress damages proteins, or that altered intracellular or extracellular pH affects folding
627 patterns of proteins. While these changes did not ultimately result in mortality in the present
628 study, our findings indicate that red king crab may be more vulnerable to co-occurring
629 secondary stressors, such as thermal stress [53,54], due to immunosuppression and changes in
630 cellular stress-response mechanisms. Additional multi-stressor studies are needed that expose
631 red king crab to a range of pH levels alongside other stressors, particularly pathogen
632 challenges.

633 ### Transposable elements are highly active in OA-reared crab

634 Many of the genes upregulated under OA conditions were transposable elements (TEs, or
635 transposons). While TEs were present in all gene sets, including those that were more active in
636 ambient conditions, they comprised a much larger percentage of genes upregulated in OA-
637 reared crab (Figure 7, Supplemental Table 8). TEs, or “jumping genes”, are DNA elements that
638 move to new locations in the genome when activated, resulting in insertional mutations [114].
639 Retrotransposons, the class of TEs detected in our study, are mobilized by a copy-and-paste
640 mechanism where the DNA sequence is transcribed and an RNA intermediary is then reverse-
641 transcribed into a cDNA copy before being integrated into the genome [115]. Increased
642 transposable element activity in response to environmental stress is well documented in a

643 variety of eukaryotes [116]. There have been, however, only a few studies to detect stress-
644 activated TEs in marine crustaceans [117], and to our knowledge this is the first to do so in
645 response to OA. While TEs comprise large portions of the genomes of arthropods [118],
646 including crustaceans [65,119], previous transcriptional characterization of stress-responses in
647 crustaceans may have overlooked TE's due to the common practice of masking repeat
648 elements from genomes during analysis [120]. Recent reports from a wide range of other taxa
649 have also implicated TEs in the OA-response, including anemones [121], clams [122], and
650 diatoms [123]. This breadth of taxa, which now includes crustaceans, suggests that TEs are a
651 common response to acidification exposure.

652 Why TEs can become activated under stress is still under debate, as is whether they are
653 detrimental or beneficial to an organism's survival [115]. TEs may become more active because
654 the mechanisms that suppress them are no longer functioning, which could negatively affect
655 fitness due to pathological mutations. Alternatively, stress-activated TE's could act as regulatory
656 elements and/or increase diversity of proteins available to the organism or its descendents,
657 which may cause beneficial phenotypic variation. TEs may also be a component of the antiviral
658 defense system, as they can stimulate the antiviral inflammatory response [124,125].
659 Deciphering why TE were more active in OA-reared crab is beyond the scope of this study.
660 However, given that red king crab early life stages tolerated OA in this study, and that many
661 genes that were upregulated at consistent levels among OA-exposed individuals were TEs, TE
662 mobilization may be an effective component of the red king crab stress-response system, rather
663 than simply the result of genomic instability. TEs are also strong facilitators of adaptive evolution
664 [126,127], thus OA-induced TE activity may benefit red king crab at an evolutionary scale, given
665 that it could provide a mechanism for rapidly increasing genetic diversity [116,128]. It would be
666 informative to examine whether the stress-induced mobilization of TE's increases mutation rates
667 by re-integration into the germline, resulting in heritable changes to the genome, which can

occur in other species [129]. If so, this could provide a mechanism by which OA exposure increases phenotypic diversity across generations.

Other processes that are more active in OA-reared crab

OA triggered a heavy investment in transcriptional regulation at multiple levels of transcriptional control. DNA methylation and histone demethylase activity was upregulated in OA-reared crab, which are epigenetic mechanisms that control transcription through changes to chromatin structure and DNA accessibility [130]. A large percentage of upregulated genes (18%) were associated with the regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoters. Increased expression was also detected in genes that code for post-translational gene control, such as Exportin-5, which is involved in mRNA silencing by microRNAs [131]. Increased transcription regulation activity in OA-reared crab explains the widespread changes in gene expression (44% of all genes correlated with pCO_2). Given that OA resulted in widespread downregulation of a variety of biological functions (Figure 6B, Supplemental Tables 4 & 5), one purpose of the transcriptional regulatory response of OA-reared crab may be to shut down (or dampen) less critical processes. Additionally, since physiological metrics were unaffected by OA [55], changes in transcription regulation may reflect physiological reprogramming that optimizes gene activity for the OA-environment, for instance by shifting metabolic pathways to improve acid/base regulation, and decreasing activity of less-critical processes to conserve energy. In addition to the broad transcriptional effects, these regulatory elements may be responsible for the unleashing of transposable element activity in response to OA [116].

Cell signaling and nervous system development was substantially more active in OA-reared crab. Many upregulated genes were associated with signal transduction, and interacted with or spanned cell membranes (e.g. Teneurin-m, Semaphorin-1A, Ankyrin-2). Increased expression of these genes suggest that OA increases the need to transfer information between the external and internal environments, particularly across the plasma membrane, to regulate

activity inside the cell. Investment in signaling molecules may also reflect negative effects of OA on transmembrane signaling, perhaps by damaging the membrane or cytoskeleton (e.g. due to oxidative stress [92]), or by decreasing signaling molecule binding affinity [132,133]. Investment in the nervous system may be necessary to fortify systems that monitor conditions in the environment. A gene coding for the protein “pinocchio”, which was upregulated in severe OA, is expressed in the antenna of some arthropods (e.g. fruit fly, [134]), and acts as a chemosensory receptor [134,135]. OA-associated carapace dissolution around neuritic canals is correlated with damaged setae in larval Dungeness crab or their underdevelopment [136]. Setae are important sensory structures which are innervated with chemo- and mechano-receptors [137]. Further, OA can alter the response of crabs to chemosensory cues suggesting a reduction in their ability to detect such cues [138]. The heavy investment in neurogenesis found here may be one way that OA-reared crab counteract the negative effects of OA to external sensory structures.

Genes involved in negative regulation of growth and cell proliferation were also upregulated in OA conditions (Figure 4A). Differentially upregulated genes included negative growth regulators Menin, Brain tumor protein, and Forkhead box protein O (FOXO). FOXO is a transcription factor that specifically inhibits growth in response to cellular stressors, including oxidative stress and nutrient deprivation [139], and may therefore be one regulatory mechanism connecting oxidative stress with OA exposure [5] and decreased growth rate previously seen in juvenile red king crab and related species [25,50,51]. Interestingly, our supporting study did not find any effects of OA on growth measured throughout the larval stages and into the early juvenile stage. Had treatments continued it is possible that growth through the juvenile stage could have been impacted. Alternatively, negative growth regulators could reflect increased cellular response to DNA damage caused by OA. For example, FOXO negatively regulates growth by promoting cell cycle arrest, DNA repair and detoxification, and apoptosis [140], which may be needed in OA conditions due to damage from oxidative stress [5]. While this experiment’s three-month exposure is long relative to much of the other research, it would be

719 informative for future studies to extend OA treatments through the full juvenile stage, and where
720 possible sexual maturity, to fully capture effects of OA on growth across life stages.
721 A previous study reported that OA-exposed juvenile red king crab predominantly
722 upregulated genes related to the cuticle [54], which we did not see. On the contrary, five genes
723 coding for cuticle proteins (CP1158 & CPAM119) were downregulated in severe OA-reared crab
724 (but cuticle processes were not enriched). The Stillman et al. [54] experimental design was
725 similar to the present study in many ways (similar laboratory & OA conditions), but the
726 treatments were shorter in duration (three weeks) and occurred only during the juvenile stage.
727 The transcriptional response of juvenile red king crab may therefore depend on whether they
728 are OA-naive, or if they were previously exposed to OA conditions as larvae. Exposure to OA
729 during early life stages may be particularly impactful, as it can alter an organism's physiological
730 trajectory [141,142]. For instance, exposure to OA during oogenesis and embryogenesis
731 positively impacts larval performance in snow crab [143], and negatively affects larval size,
732 morphology, mineral content, and metabolic rate in Tanner crab [144]. Another possible factor
733 influencing the transcriptional response to OA is when red king crab are exposed relative to their
734 molt cycle, which greatly influences gene expression [145,146]. We sampled juveniles early in
735 the intermolt stage and, because of the design, know that all crabs were sampled at the same
736 stage in the molt cycle (at ~5 days post molt). The crabs sampled by Stillman et al. [54] were
737 not standardized by where they were in the molt cycle, thus possibly capturing some effects of
738 OA on crabs in the early pre-molt stage when the new exoskeleton is being synthesized. A time-
739 series analysis of the transcriptome in varying OA environments, particularly across multiple
740 stages of the molt-cycle, would provide a more integrated view of the crustacean OA response.

741 OA decreases gene expression variability, which is not explained by genetic differences

742 The variability in gene expression was much lower among individuals reared in OA treatments
743 than those reared in ambient pH. This is evident in the PCA constructed from genome-wide

744 expression data (Figure 1), which reveals tighter clustering as OA treatment severity increases.
745 Genes upregulated in OA-reared crabs were also expressed at more consistent levels,
746 particularly in the severe OA-reared crab (Figure 2b, Table 3), suggesting the need for highly
747 controlled levels of transcripts that perform critical functions. This tight transcriptional control
748 may reduce the scope for potential responses to other stressors (e.g. warming, pathogens),
749 which could explain synergistic effects of OA and warming on survival reported for red king crab
750 [53]. Given the possibility for genotype-specific gene expression influencing our transcriptional
751 results, we investigated whether genetic composition differed among OA treatments (i.e. did
752 larvae from only a few families survive in OA treatments). We found no evidence of genetic
753 differences among treatments - samples did not cluster by treatment in the genetic PCA (Figure
754 1, Supplemental Figure 2), and no families were over- or under-represented in any treatment.
755 The constricted gene expression pattern observed in juveniles reared in OA treatments
756 therefore is not likely attributed to genotype-specific expression, but is a plastic response to OA
757 conditions that is consistent across many families. This may reflect a lack of standing genetic
758 variability that is needed to fuel rapid adaptation to OA [147], as transcriptional variability and
759 other measures of phenotypic plasticity in response to OA may be associated with the tolerance
760 of a species or population [148], or their potential for adaptive selection [149]. It must be noted
761 that our genetic analysis is limited to variants within transcribed genes, and therefore may not
762 fully capture variation across the genome. As the OA literature continues to mature, closer
763 attention should be paid to transcriptional variability among OA-exposed organisms, and how it
764 relates to the species' tolerance.

765 Conclusion

766 Red king crab juveniles are quite sensitive to short-term OA exposure, even when compared to
767 closely related species [50,87]. When reared in OA from hatching through the early juvenile

stage, however, typical response metrics (growth, development, survival) are unaffected [55], suggesting a shift in molecular mechanisms that enable OA tolerance. Our findings reveal that red king crab reared in OA conditions have a narrowed, possibly optimized, set of gene functions that may reflect physiological reprogramming for the OA environment [150]. Still unknown is whether OA tolerance in OA-reared crab persists through all juvenile stages, as metabolic limitations and depressed immune function, revealed here by gene expression analysis, may ultimately make them more vulnerable to infection or secondary stressors and limit growth. Only a handful of processes are upregulated in OA, indicating a critical need for a more active and developed nervous system, and tight regulation of transcription and control of growth. Given the universal and invariable upregulation of transposable elements in OA-exposed crab, TE activity may serve as an effective OA response by producing novel or cryptic transcripts, but these effects may only be fully realized in future generations. Multi-stressor studies are needed, with a focus on the immunological effects of OA, the role (and vulnerability) of the nervous system in an acidified world, and the potential role of TE's in fueling adaptation.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to Jennifer Gardner and Allie Batemen for helping to rear the larvae in the lab, Darren Pilcher for providing calcite projections for the Bering Sea, Brian Garber-Yonts and Michael Smith for providing fishery valuation information, Giles Goetz for computing assistance, Shannon Meseck, Shallin Busch, and two anonymous reviewers for their thorough manuscript reviews. The findings and conclusions in the paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA. Reference to trade names or commercial firms does not imply endorsement by the National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA.

Ethics statement

All pertinent laws and regulations were followed.

References

1. Cooley, S., D. Schoeman, L. Bopp, P. Boyd, S. Donner, D.Y. Ghebrehiwet, S.-I. Ito, W. Kiessling, P. Martinetto, E. Ojea, M.-F. Racault, B. Rost, and M. Skern-Mauritzen, 2022: Oceans and Coastal Ecosystems and Their Services. In: Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, M. Tignor, E.S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Löschke, V. Möller, A. Okem, B. Rama (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, pp. 379–550, doi:10.1017/9781009325844.005

2. Gruber N, Clement D, Carter BR, Feely RA, van Heuven S, Hoppema M, et al. The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO2 from 1994 to 2007. Science. 2019;363: 1193–1199. doi:10.1126/science.aau5153

3. Feely RA, Sabine CL, Lee K, Berelson W, Kleypas J, Fabry VJ, et al. Impact of anthropogenic CO2 on the CaCO3 system in the oceans. Science. 2004;305: 362–366. doi:10.1126/science.1097329

4. Figuerola B, Hancock AM, Bax N, Cummings VJ, Downey R, Griffiths HJ, et al. A Review and Meta-Analysis of Potential Impacts of Ocean Acidification on Marine Calcifiers From the Southern Ocean. Frontiers in Marine Science. 2021. doi:10.3389/fmars.2021.584445

5. Melzner F, Mark FC, Seibel BA, Tomanek L. Ocean Acidification and Coastal Marine Invertebrates: Tracking CO2 Effects from Seawater to the Cell. Ann Rev Mar Sci. 2019. doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-010419-010658

6. Mathis J, NOAA, Cross J, Evans W, Doney S. Ocean Acidification in the Surface Waters of the Pacific-Arctic Boundary Regions. Oceanography. 2015. pp. 122–135. doi:10.5670/oceanog.2015.36

7. Fabry V, McClintock J, Mathis J, Grebmeier J. Ocean Acidification at High Latitudes: The Bellwether. Oceanography. 2009. pp. 160–171. doi:10.5670/oceanog.2009.105

8. Terhaar J, Kwiatkowski L, Bopp L. Emergent constraint on Arctic Ocean acidification in the twenty-first century. Nature. 2020;582: 379–383. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2360-3

9. Wiese FK, Wiseman WJ, Van Pelt TI. Bering Sea linkages. Deep Sea Res Part 2 Top Stud Oceanogr. 2012;65-70: 2–5. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.03.001

10. Mathis JT, Cross JN, Bates NR. Coupling primary production and terrestrial runoff to ocean acidification and carbonate mineral suppression in the eastern Bering Sea. J Geophys Res. 2011;116. doi:10.1029/2010jc006453

11. Mathis JT, Cross JN, Bates NR. The role of ocean acidification in systemic carbonate mineral suppression in the Bering Sea. Geophys Res Lett. 2011;38. doi:10.1029/2011GL048884

12. Cross JN, Mathis JT, Bates NR, Byrne RH. Conservative and non-conservative variations of total alkalinity on the southeastern Bering Sea shelf. Mar Chem. 2013;154: 100–112. doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2013.05.012

13. Mathis JT, Cross JN, Monacci N, Feely RA, Stabeno P. Evidence of prolonged aragonite undersaturations in the bottom waters of the southern Bering Sea shelf from autonomous sensors. Deep Sea Res Part 2 Top Stud Oceanogr. 2014;109: 125–133. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.07.019

14. Mathis JT, Cooley SR, Lucey N, Colt S, Ekstrom J, Hurst T, et al. Ocean acidification risk assessment for Alaska's fishery sector. Progress in Oceanography. 2015. pp. 71–91. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2014.07.001

15. Pilcher DJ, Cross JN, Hermann AJ, Kearney KA, Cheng W, Mathis JT. Dynamically downscaled projections of ocean acidification for the Bering Sea. Deep Sea Res Part 2 Top Stud Oceanogr. 2022;198: 105055. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2022.105055

16. Mueter FJ, Planque B, Hunt GL, Alabia ID, Hirawake T, Eisner L, et al. Possible future scenarios in the gateways to the Arctic for Subarctic and Arctic marine systems: II. prey resources, food webs, fish, and fisheries. ICES J Mar Sci. 2021;78: 3017–3045. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsab122

17. Smetacek V, Nicol S. Polar ocean ecosystems in a changing world. Nature. 2005;437: 362–368. doi:10.1038/nature04161

18. Punt AE, Poljak D, Dalton MG, Foy RJ. Evaluating the impact of ocean acidification on fishery yields and profits: The example of red king crab in Bristol Bay. Ecol Modell. 2014;285: 39–53. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2014.04.017

19. Punt AE, Foy RJ, Dalton MG, Long WC, Swiney KM. Effects of long-term exposure to ocean acidification conditions on future southern Tanner crab (Chionoecetes bairdi) fisheries management. ICES J Mar Sci. 2015;73: 849–864. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv205

20. Bednaršek N, Ambrose R, Calosi P, Childers RK, Feely RA, Litvin SY, et al. Synthesis of Thresholds of Ocean Acidification Impacts on Decapods. Frontiers in Marine Science. 2021;8. doi:10.3389/fmars.2021.651102

21. Pane, Barry. Extracellular acid–base regulation during short-term hypercapnia is effective in a shallow-water crab, but ineffective in a deep-sea crab. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2007;334. Available: https://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v334/p1-9/

22. Meseck SL, Alix JH, Swiney KM, Long WC, Wikfors GH, Foy RJ. Ocean Acidification Affects Hemocyte Physiology in the Tanner Crab (Chionoecetes bairdi). PLoS One. 2016;11: e0148477. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0148477

23. Pörtner H-O. Ecosystem effects of ocean acidification in times of ocean warming: a physiologist's view. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2008;373: 203–217. Available: https://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v373/p203-217/

24. Ries JB, Cohen AL, McCorkle DC. Marine calcifiers exhibit mixed responses to CO2-induced ocean acidification. Geology. 2009;37: 1131–1134. doi:10.1130/G30210A.1

25. Long WC, Van Sant SB, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Survival, growth, and morphology of blue king crabs: effect of ocean acidification decreases with exposure time. ICES J Mar Sci. 2017;74: 1033–1041. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw197

26. Whiteley NM. Physiological and ecological responses of crustaceans to ocean acidification. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2011;430: 257–271. doi:10.3354/meps09185

27. Long WC, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of ocean acidification on young-of-the-year golden king crab (Lithodes aequispinus) survival and growth. Mar Biol. 2021;168. doi:10.1007/s00227-021-03930-y

28. Siegel KR, Kaur M, Grigal AC, Metzler RA, Dickinson GH. Meta-analysis suggests negative, but pCO2-specific, effects of ocean acidification on the structural and functional properties of crustacean biomaterials. Ecol Evol. 2022;12: e8922. doi:10.1002/ece3.8922

29. Dickinson GH, Bejerano S, Salvador T, Makdisi C, Patel S, Long WC, et al. Ocean acidification alters properties of the exoskeleton in adult Tanner crabs, Chionoecetes bairdi. J Exp Biol. 2021;224. doi:10.1242/jeb.232819

30. Dissanayake A, Ishimatsu A. Synergistic effects of elevated CO2 and temperature on the metabolic scope and activity in a shallow-water coastal decapod (Metapenaeus joyneri; Crustacea: Penaeidae).

ICES J Mar Sci. 2011;68: 1147–1154. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsq188

31. Thor P, Bailey A, Dupont S, Calosi P, Søreide JE, De Wit P, et al. Contrasting physiological responses to future ocean acidification among Arctic copepod populations. Glob Chang Biol. 2018;24: e365–e377. doi:10.1111/gcb.13870

32. Swiney KM, Long WC, Foy RJ. Effects of high pCO2 on Tanner crab reproduction and early life history—Part I: long-term exposure reduces hatching success and female calcification, and alters embryonic development. ICES J Mar Sci. 2015;73: 825–835. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv201

33. Clements JC, Comeau LA. Behavioral Defenses of Shellfish Prey under Ocean Acidification. shre. 2019;38: 725–742. doi:10.2983/035.038.0324

34. Dodd LF, Grabowski JH, Piehler MF, Westfield I, Ries JB. Ocean acidification impairs crab foraging behaviour. Proc Biol Sci. 2015;282. doi:10.1098/rspb.2015.0333

35. Shields JD. Climate change enhances disease processes in crustaceans: case studies in lobsters, crabs, and shrimps. J Crustacean Biol. 2019;39: 673–683. doi:10.1093/jcbiol/ruz072

36. Wittmann AC, Pörtner H-O. Sensitivities of extant animal taxa to ocean acidification. Nat Clim Chang. 2013;3: 995–1001. doi:10.1038/nclimate1982

37. McElhany P, Busch DS, Lawrence A, Maher M, Perez D, Reinhardt EM, et al. Higher survival but smaller size of juvenile Dungeness crab (Metacarcinus magister) in high CO2. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 2022;555: 151781. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2022.151781

38. Miller JJ, Maher M, Bohaboy E, Friedman CS, McElhany P. Exposure to low pH reduces survival and delays development in early life stages of Dungeness crab (Cancer magister). Mar Biol. 2016;163: 118. doi:10.1007/s00227-016-2883-1

39. Fehsenfeld S, Weihrauch D. Differential acid-base regulation in various gills of the green crab Carcinus maenas: Effects of elevated environmental pCO2. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol. 2013;164: 54–65. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2012.09.016

40. Fehsenfeld S, Kiko R, Appelhans Y, Towle DW, Zimmer M, Melzner F. Effects of elevated seawater pCO(2) on gene expression patterns in the gills of the green crab, Carcinus maenas. BMC Genomics. 2011;12: 488. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-12-488

41. Shen Q, Wang WX, Chen HG, Zhu HG, Chen JH, Gao H. Transcriptome analysis of Exopalaemon carinicauda (Holthuis, 1950) (Caridea, Palaemonidae) in response to CO2-driven acidification. Crustaceana. 2021;94: 661–677. doi:10.1163/15685403-bja10121

42. Zhu S, Yan X, Shen C, Wu L, Tang D, Wang Y, et al. Transcriptome analysis of the gills of Eriocheir sinensis provide novel insights into the molecular mechanisms of the pH stress response. Gene. 2022;833: 146588. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2022.146588

43. Luo B-Y, Qian H-L, Jiang H-C, Xiong X-Y, Ye B-Q, Liu X, et al. Transcriptional changes revealed water acidification leads to the immune response and ovary maturation delay in the Chinese mitten crab Eriocheir sinensis. Comp Biochem Physiol Part D Genomics Proteomics. 2021;39: 100868. doi:10.1016/j.cbd.2021.100868

44. Hammer KM, Pedersen SA, Størseth TR. Elevated seawater levels of CO2 change the metabolic fingerprint of tissues and hemolymph from the green shore crab Carcinus maenas. Comp Biochem Physiol Part D Genomics Proteomics. 2012;7: 292–302. doi:10.1016/j.cbd.2012.06.001

45. Trigg SA, McElhany P, Maher M, Perez D, Busch DS, Nichols KM. Uncovering mechanisms of global ocean change effects on the Dungeness crab (Cancer magister) through metabolomics analysis. Sci Rep. 2019;9: 10717. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-46947-6

46. Noisette F, Calosi P, Madeira D, Chemel M, Menu-Courey K, Piedalue S, et al. Tolerant Larvae and Sensitive Juveniles: Integrating Metabolomics and Whole-Organism Responses to Define Life-Stage Specific Sensitivity to Ocean Acidification in the American Lobster. Metabolites. 2021;11. doi:10.3390/metabo11090584

47. FQ LANDINGS. [cited 4 Oct 2023]. Available: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/foss/f?p=215:10:2807398673803

48. Stevens BG, Swiney KM. Hatch Timing, Incubation Period, and Reproductive Cycle for Captive Primiparous and Multiparous Red King Crab, Paralithodes Camtschaticus. J Crustacean Biol. 2007;27: 37–48. doi:10.1651/S-2663.1

49. Stevens BG, Swiney KM. Post-settlement effects of habitat type and predator size on cannibalism of glaucothoe and juveniles of red king crab Paralithodes camtschaticus. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 2005;321: 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2004.12.026

50. Long WC, Pruisner P, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of ocean acidification on the respiration and feeding of juvenile red and blue king crabs (Paralithodes camtschaticus and P. platypus). ICES J Mar Sci. 2019;76: 1335–1343. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsz090

51. Long WC, Swiney KM, Harris C, Page HN, Foy RJ. Effects of ocean acidification on juvenile red king crab (Paralithodes camtschaticus) and Tanner crab (Chionoecetes bairdi) growth, condition, calcification, and survival. PLoS One. 2013;8: e60959. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060959

52. Long CW, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of ocean acidification on the embryos and larvae of red king crab, Paralithodes camtschaticus. Mar Pollut Bull. 2013;69: 38–47. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.01.011

53. Swiney KM, Long WC, Foy RJ. Decreased pH and increased temperatures affect young-of-the-year red king crab (Paralithodes camtschaticus). ICES J Mar Sci. 2017;74: 1191–1200. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw251

54. Stillman JH, Fay SA, Ahmad SM, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Transcriptomic response to decreased pH in adult, larval and juvenile red king crab, Paralithodes camtschaticus, and interactive effects of pH and temperature on juveniles. J Mar Biol Assoc U K. 2020;100: 251–265. doi:10.1017/S002531541900119X

55. Long WC, Gardner JL, Conrad A, Foy R. Effects of ocean acidification on red king crab larval survival and development. bioRxiv. 2023. p. 2023.10.02.560246. doi:10.1101/2023.10.02.560246

56. Sokolova IM, Frederich M, Bagwe R, Lannig G, Sukhotin AA. Energy homeostasis as an integrative tool for assessing limits of environmental stress tolerance in aquatic invertebrates. Mar Environ Res. 2012;79: 1–15. doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2012.04.003

57. Millero FJ. The pH of estuarine waters. Limnol Oceanogr. 1986;31: 839–847. doi:10.4319/lo.1986.31.4.0839

58. Dickson AG, Goyet C. Handbook of methods for the analysis of the various parameters of the carbon dioxide system in sea water. Version 2. Oak Ridge National Lab. (ORNL), Oak Ridge, TN (United States); 1994 Sep. Report No.: ORNL/CDIAC-74. doi:10.2172/10107773

59. Dickson AG, Sabine CL, Christian JR. Guide to Best Practices for Ocean CO2 Measurements. North Pacific Marine Science Organization; 2007. Available: https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=lZDGSgAACAAJ

60. Gattuso J-P, Epitalon J-M, Lavigne H, Orr J, Gentili B, Hagens M, et al. Package “seacarb.” Preprint at http://cran r-project org/package= seacarb. 2015. Available: ftp://mirror.csclub.uwaterloo.ca/CRAN/web/packages/seacarb/seacarb.pdf

61. Swingle JS, Daly B, Hetrick J. Temperature effects on larval survival, larval period, and health of hatchery-reared red king crab, Paralithodes camtschaticus. Aquaculture. 2013;384-387: 13–18. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.12.015

62. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet.journal. 2011;17: 10–12. doi:10.14806/ej.17.1.200

63. Andrews S. A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data [Online]. 2010. Available: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/

64. Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics. 2016;32: 3047–3048. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354

65. Veldsman WP, Ma KY, Hui JHL, Chan TF, Baeza JA, Qin J, et al. Comparative genomics of the coconut crab and other decapod crustaceans: exploring the molecular basis of terrestrial adaptation. BMC Genomics. 2021;22: 313. doi:10.1186/s12864-021-07636-9

66. Veldsman WP, Ma KY, Hui JHL, Chan TF, Baeza AJ, Qin J, et al. Nuclear genomes of Birgus latro, Paralithodes camtschaticus, and Panulirus ornatus. 2021. doi:10.5281/zenodo.4589425

67. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 2012;9: 357–359. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923

68. Tong L, Wu P-Y, Phan JH, Hassazadeh HR, SEQC Consortium, Tong W, et al. Impact of RNA-seq data analysis algorithms on gene expression estimation and downstream prediction. Sci Rep. 2020;10: 17925. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-74567-y

69. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30: 923–930. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

70. UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49: D480–D489. doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa1100

71. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10: 421. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-421

72. McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. 2010;20: 1297–1303. doi:10.1101/gr.107524.110

73. Weir BS, Cockerham CC. Estimating F-Statistics For The Analysis Of Population Structure. Evolution. 1984;38: 1358–1370. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1984.tb05657.x

74. Jones OR, Wang J. COLONY: a program for parentage and sibship inference from multilocus genotype data. Mol Ecol Resour. 2010;10: 551–555. doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02787.x

75. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2021. Available: https://www.R-project.org/

76. RStudio Team. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. Boston, MA; 2020. Available: http://www.rstudio.com/

77. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15: 550. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

78. Cattell RB. The Scree Test For The Number Of Factors. Multivariate Behav Res. 1966;1: 245–276.

doi:10.1207/s15327906mbr0102_10

79. Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2008;9: 559. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-559

80. Costa-Silva J, Domingues D, Lopes FM. RNA-Seq differential expression analysis: An extended review and a software tool. PLoS One. 2017;12: e0190152. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0190152

81. Reed George F., Lynn Freyja, Meade Bruce D. Use of Coefficient of Variation in Assessing Variability of Quantitative Assays. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2003;10: 1162–1162. doi:10.1128/CDLI.10.6.1162.2003

82. Sherman BT, Hao M, Qiu J, Jiao X, Baseler MW, Lane HC, et al. DAVID: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and functional annotation of gene lists (2021 update). Nucleic Acids Res. 2022. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac194

83. Havecker ER, Gao X, Voytas DF. The diversity of LTR retrotransposons. Genome Biol. 2004;5: 225. doi:10.1186/gb-2004-5-6-225

84. Reum JCP, Alin SR, Feely RA, Newton J, Warner M, McElhany P. Seasonal carbonate chemistry covariation with temperature, oxygen, and salinity in a fjord estuary: implications for the design of ocean acidification experiments. PLoS One. 2014;9: e89619. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089619

85. Reum JCP, Alin SR, Harvey CJ, Bednaršek N, Evans W, Feely RA, et al. Interpretation and design of ocean acidification experiments in upwelling systems in the context of carbonate chemistry co-variation with temperature and oxygen. ICES J Mar Sci. 2015;73: 582–595. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsu231

86. Cooley, S., D. Schoeman, L. Bopp, P. Boyd, S. Donner, D.Y. Ghebrehiwet, S.-I. Ito, W. Kiessling, P. Martinetto, E. Ojea, M.-F. Racault, B. Rost, and M. Skern-Mauritzen. Oceans and Coastal Ecosystems and Their Services. Cambridge University Press; 2022. pp. 379–550. doi:10.1017/9781009325844.005

87. Coffey WD, Nardone JA, Yarram A, Long WC, Swiney KM, Foy RJ, et al. Ocean acidification leads to altered micromechanical properties of the mineralized cuticle in juvenile red and blue king crabs. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 2017;495: 1–12. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2017.05.011

88. Michaelidis B, Ouzounis C, Paleras A, Pörtner HO. Effects of long-term moderate hypercapnia on acid–base balance and growth rate in marine mussels Mytilus galloprovincialis. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2005;293: 109–118. Available: http://www.jstor.org/stable/24868542

89. Small D, Calosi P, White D, Spicer JI, Widdicombe S. Impact of medium-term exposure to CO2 enriched seawater on the physiological functions of the velvet swimming crab Necora puber. Aquat Biol. 2010;10: 11–21. doi:10.3354/ab00266

90. Rivera-Ingraham GA, Lignot J-H. Osmoregulation, bioenergetics and oxidative stress in coastal marine invertebrates: raising the questions for future research. J Exp Biol. 2017;220: 1749–1760. doi:10.1242/jeb.135624

91. Rato LD, Novais SC, Lemos MFL, Alves LMF, Leandro SM. Homarus gammarus (Crustacea: Decapoda) larvae under an ocean acidification scenario: responses across different levels of biological organization. Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol. 2017;203: 29–38. doi:10.1016/j.cbpc.2017.09.002

92. Pörtner H-O. Oxygen- and capacity-limitation of thermal tolerance: a matrix for integrating climate-related stressor effects in marine ecosystems. J Exp Biol. 2010;213: 881–893. doi:10.1242/jeb.037523

93. Mayzaud P, Conover RJ. O: N atomic ratio as a tool to describe zooplankton metabolism. Marine ecology progress series Oldendorf. 1988;45: 289–302. Available: https://www.int-res.com/articles/meps/45/m045p289.pdf

94. Pousse E, Poach ME, Redman DH, Sennefelder G, White LE, Lindsay JM, et al. Energetic response of Atlantic surfclam Spisula solidissima to ocean acidification. Mar Pollut Bull. 2020;161: 111740. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111740

95. Langenbuch M, Pörtner HO. Changes in metabolic rate and N excretion in the marine invertebrate Sipunculus nudus under conditions of environmental hypercapnia: identifying effective acid-base variables. J Exp Biol. 2002;205: 1153–1160. doi:10.1242/jeb.205.8.1153

96. Pan T-CF, Applebaum SL, Manahan DT. Experimental ocean acidification alters the allocation of metabolic energy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112: 4696–4701. doi:10.1073/pnas.1416967112

97. Kelley, Lunden. Meta-analysis identifies metabolic sensitivities to ocean acidification running title: ocean acidification impacts metabolic function. AIMS Environ Sci. doi:10.3934/environsci.2017.5.709

98. Strader ME, Wong JM, Hofmann GE. Ocean acidification promotes broad transcriptomic responses in marine metazoans: a literature survey. Front Zool. 2020;17: 7. doi:10.1186/s12983-020-0350-9

99. Bogan SN, Johnson KM, Hofmann GE. Changes in genome-wide methylation and gene expression in response to future pCO2 extremes in the antarctic pteropod Limacina helicina antarctica. Front Mar Sci. 2020;6. doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00788

100. Johnson KM, Hofmann GE. Transcriptomic response of the Antarctic pteropod Limacina helicina antarctica to ocean acidification. BMC Genomics. 2017;18: 812. doi:10.1186/s12864-017-4161-0

101. Kriefall NG, Pechenik JA, Pires A, Davies SW. Resilience of Atlantic slippersnail Crepidula fornicata larvae in the face of severe coastal acidification. Front Mar Sci. 2018;5. doi:10.3389/fmars.2018.00312

102. Evans TG, Watson-Wynn P. Effects of seawater acidification on gene expression: resolving broader-scale trends in sea urchins. Biol Bull. 2014;226: 237–254. doi:10.1086/BBLv226n3p237

103. Kaniewska P, Campbell PR, Kline DI, Rodriguez-Lanetty M, Miller DJ, Dove S, et al. Major cellular and physiological impacts of ocean acidification on a reef building coral. PLoS One. 2012;7: e34659. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034659

104. Hernroth B, Sköld HN, Wiklander K, Jutfelt F, Baden S. Simulated climate change causes immune suppression and protein damage in the crustacean Nephrops norvegicus. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2012;33: 1095–1101. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2012.08.011

105. McLean EL, Katenka NV, Seibel BA. Decreased growth and increased shell disease in early benthic phase Homarus americanus in response to elevated CO2. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2018;596: 113–126. doi:10.3354/meps12586

106. Hernroth B, Krång A-S, Baden S. Bacteriostatic suppression in Norway lobster (Nephrops norvegicus) exposed to manganese or hypoxia under pressure of ocean acidification. Aquat Toxicol. 2015;159: 217–224. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.11.025

107. Bibby R, Widdicombe S, Parry H, Spicer J, Pipe R. Effects of ocean acidification on the immune response of the blue mussel Mytilus edulis. Aquat Biol. 2008;2: 67–74. doi:10.3354/ab00037

108. Hernroth B, Baden S, Thorndyke M, Dupont S. Immune suppression of the echinoderm Asterias rubens (L.) following long-term ocean acidification. Aquat Toxicol. 2011;103: 222–224. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2011.03.001

109. Liu S, Shi W, Guo C, Zhao X, Han Y, Peng C, et al. Ocean acidification weakens the immune response of blood clam through hampering the NF-kappa β and toll-like receptor pathways. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2016;54: 322–327. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2016.04.030

110. Adamo SA. The effects of the stress response on immune function in invertebrates: an evolutionary perspective on an ancient connection. Horm Behav. 2012;62: 324–330. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.02.012

111. Adamo SA. Norepinephrine and octopamine: linking stress and immune function across phyla. Invertebrate Surviv J. 2008. Available: https://www.isj.unimore.it/index.php/ISJ/article/download/154/70

112. Kültz D. Evolution of cellular stress response mechanisms. J Exp Zool A Ecol Integr Physiol. 2020;333: 359–378. doi:10.1002/jez.2347

113. Feder ME, Hofmann GE. Heat-shock proteins, molecular chaperones, and the stress response: evolutionary and ecological physiology. Annu Rev Physiol. 1999;61: 243–282. doi:10.1146/annurev.physiol.61.1.243

114. Bourque G, Burns KH, Gehring M, Gorbunova V, Seluanov A, Hammell M, et al. Ten things you should know about transposable elements. Genome Biol. 2018;19: 199. doi:10.1186/s13059-018-1577-z

115. Casacuberta E, González J. The impact of transposable elements in environmental adaptation. Mol Ecol. 2013;22: 1503–1517. doi:10.1111/mec.12170

116. Horváth V, Merenciano M, González J. Revisiting the Relationship between Transposable Elements and the Eukaryotic Stress Response. Trends Genet. 2017;33: 832–841. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2017.08.007

117. de la Vega E, Degnan BM, Hall MR, Wilson KJ. Differential expression of immune-related genes and transposable elements in black tiger shrimp (Penaeus monodon) exposed to a range of environmental stressors. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2007;23: 1072–1088. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2007.05.001

118. Wu C, Lu J. Diversification of Transposable Elements in Arthropods and Its Impact on Genome Evolution. Genes . 2019;10. doi:10.3390/genes10050338

119. Tang B, Wang Z, Liu Q, Wang Z, Ren Y, Guo H, et al. Chromosome-level genome assembly of Paralithodes platypus provides insights into evolution and adaptation of king crabs. Mol Ecol Resour. 2021;21: 511–525. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13266

120. Lanciano S, Cristofari G. Measuring and interpreting transposable element expression. Nat Rev Genet. 2020;21: 721–736. doi:10.1038/s41576-020-0251-y

121. Urbarova I, Forêt S, Dahl M, Emblem Å, Milazzo M, Hall-Spencer JM, et al. Ocean acidification at a coastal CO2 vent induces expression of stress-related transcripts and transposable elements in the sea anemone Anemonia viridis. PLoS One. 2019;14: e0210358. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0210358

122. Lesser MP, Thompson MM, Walker CW. Effects of Thermal Stress and Ocean Acidification on the Expression of the Retrotransposon Steamer in the Softshell Mya arenaria. shre. 2019;38: 535–541. doi:10.2983/035.038.0304

123. Huang R, Ding J, Gao K, Cruz de Carvalho MH, Tirichine L, Bowler C, et al. A Potential Role for Epigenetic Processes in the Acclimation Response to Elevated pCO2 in the Model Diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum. Front Microbiol. 2018;9: 3342. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.03342

124. Macchietto MG, Langlois RA, Shen SS. Virus-induced transposable element expression up-regulation in human and mouse host cells. Life Sci Alliance. 2020;3. doi:10.26508/lsa.201900536

125. Hale BG. Antiviral immunity triggered by infection-induced host transposable elements. Curr Opin Virol. 2022;52: 211–216. doi:10.1016/j.coviro.2021.12.006

126. Oliver KR, Greene WK. Transposable elements: powerful facilitators of evolution. Bioessays. 2009;31: 703–714. doi:10.1002/bies.200800219

127. Schrader L, Schmitz J. The impact of transposable elements in adaptive evolution. Mol Ecol. 2019;28: 1537–1549. doi:10.1111/mec.14794

128. Pimpinelli S, Piacentini L. Environmental change and the evolution of genomes: Transposable elements as translators of phenotypic plasticity into genotypic variability. Funct Ecol. 2020;34: 428–441. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13497

129. Ito H, Kim J-M, Matsunaga W, Saze H, Matsui A, Endo TA, et al. A Stress-Activated Transposon in Arabidopsis Induces Transgenerational Abscisic Acid Insensitivity. Sci Rep. 2016;6: 23181. doi:10.1038/srep23181

130. Gibney ER, Nolan CM. Epigenetics and gene expression. Heredity . 2010;105: 4–13. doi:10.1038/hdy.2010.54

131. Yi R, Qin Y, Macara IG, Cullen BR. Exportin-5 mediates the nuclear export of pre-microRNAs and short hairpin RNAs. Genes Dev. 2003;17: 3011–3016. doi:10.1101/gad.1158803

132. Roggatz CC, Lorch M, Hardege JD, Benoit DM. Ocean acidification affects marine chemical communication by changing structure and function of peptide signalling molecules. Glob Chang Biol. 2016;22: 3914–3926. doi:10.1111/gcb.13354

133. Porteus CS, Roggatz CC, Velez Z, Hardege JD, Hubbard PC. Acidification can directly affect olfaction in marine organisms. J Exp Biol. 2021;224. doi:10.1242/jeb.237941

134. Rollmann SM, Mackay TFC, Anholt RRH. Pinocchio, a novel protein expressed in the antenna, contributes to olfactory behavior in Drosophila melanogaster. J Neurobiol. 2005;63: 146–158. doi:10.1002/neu.20123

135. Vizueta J, Escuer P, Frías-López C, Guirao-Rico S, Hering L, Mayer G, et al. Evolutionary History of Major Chemosensory Gene Families across Panarthropoda. Mol Biol Evol. 2020;37: 3601–3615. doi:10.1093/molbev/msaa197

136. Bednaršek N, Feely RA, Beck MW, Alin SR, Siedlecki SA, Calosi P, et al. Exoskeleton dissolution with mechanoreceptor damage in larval Dungeness crab related to severity of present-day ocean acidification vertical gradients. Sci Total Environ. 2020;716: 136610. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136610

137. Smolowitz R. Arthropoda. Invertebrate Histology. Wiley; 2021. pp. 277–299. doi:10.1002/9781119507697.ch11

138. Draper AM, Weissburg MJ. Differential effects of warming and acidification on chemosensory transmission and detection may strengthen non-consumptive effects of blue crab predators (Callinectes sapidus) on mud crab prey (Panopeus herbstii). Front Mar Sci. 2022;9. doi:10.3389/fmars.2022.944237

139. Furukawa-Hibi Y, Kobayashi Y, Chen C, Motoyama N. FOXO transcription factors in cell-cycle regulation and the response to oxidative stress. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2005;7: 752–760. doi:10.1089/ars.2005.7.752

140. Greer EL, Brunet A. FOXO transcription factors at the interface between longevity and tumor suppression. Oncogene. 2005;24: 7410–7425. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1209086

141. Block MDE, de Block M, Stoks R. Short-term larval food stress and associated compensatory growth reduce adult immune function in a damselfly. Ecological Entomology. 2008. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2311.2008.01024.x

142. Pechenik JA. Larval experience and latent effects—metamorphosis is not a new beginning. Integr Comp Biol. 2006;46: 323–333. doi:10.1093/icb/icj028

143. Long WC, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of high pCO2 on snow crab larvae: Carryover effects from embryogenesis and oogenesis reduce direct effects on larval survival. bioRxiv. 2022. p. 2022.10.06.511100. doi:10.1101/2022.10.06.511100

144. Long WC, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of high pCO2 on Tanner crab reproduction and early life history, Part II: carryover effects on larvae from oogenesis and embryogenesis are stronger than direct effects. ICES J Mar Sci. 2016;73: 836–848. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv251

145. Chang ES, Mykles DL. Regulation of crustacean molting: A review and our perspectives. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 2011;172: 323–330. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2011.04.003

146. Mykles DL, Chang ES. Hormonal control of the crustacean molting gland: Insights from transcriptomics and proteomics. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 2020;294: 113493. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2020.113493

147. Bitter MC, Kapsenberg L, Gattuso J-P, Pfister CA. Standing genetic variation fuels rapid adaptation to ocean acidification. Nat Commun. 2019;10: 5821. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13767-1

148. Kenkel CD, Matz MV. Gene expression plasticity as a mechanism of coral adaptation to a variable environment. Nature Ecology & Evolution. 2017. doi:10.1038/s41559-016-0014

149. Crispo E. Modifying effects of phenotypic plasticity on interactions among natural selection, adaptation and gene flow. J Evol Biol. 2008;21: 1460–1469. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2008.01592.x

150. Gurr SJ, Vadopalas B, Roberts SB, Putnam HM. Metabolic recovery and compensatory shell growth of juvenile Pacific geoduck Panopea generosa following short-term exposure to acidified seawater. Conserv Physiol. 2020;8: coaa024. doi:10.1093/conphys/coaa024

37. NOAA Fisheries Office of Science and Technology, Commercial Landings Query, Available at: www.fisheries.noaa.gov/foss, Accessed /04/2023

Figure1

Click here to access/download;Figure;Figure1.tiff



Figure2

Click here to access/download;Figure;Figure2.tiff



Figure3



Figure4-revised

Click here to access/download;Figure;Figure4_revision.tif



Figure5

Click here to access/download;Figure;Figure5.tiff



Figure6A

Click here to access/download;Figure;Figure6A-upregulated.tiff



Figure6B

Click here to access/download;Figure;Figure6B-downregulated.tiff



Figure7

Click here to access/download;Figure;Figure7.tiff



Narrowed gene functions and enhanced transposon activity are associated with high tolerance to ocean acidification in a juvenile subarctic crustacean

Laura H Spencer[1], William Christopher Long[1], Ingrid B Spies[1], Krista M Nichols[2], Robert J Foy[1]

[1] NOAA Fisheries, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115, USA
[2] Northwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2725 Montlake Blvd E, Seattle, WA, 98112, USA

Short title: gene activity in an acidification-tolerant juvenile crustacean

Keywords: red king crab; transcriptomics; functional genomics; Alaskan crab

Abstract

Ocean acidification (OA) threatens marine crustaceans, particularly those at high latitudes where conditions are rapidly changing. Red king crab (RKC) support important fisheries in Alaskan waters. RKC early life stages are sensitive to OA when exposure occurs as embryos and juveniles. However, in a supporting study, RKC were surprisingly tolerant of varying OA conditions (pH 7.5, 7.8, & 8.0) when reared long-term from larval hatching to the first crab stage (C1). Here, we examined gene expression in the C1 juveniles to characterize transcriptional activity of these OA-tolerant crabs. Expression of nearly half of all genes (44%) correlated with OA treatment, suggesting a strong molecular response to OA, contrary to the phenotypic results. Downregulated functions were numerous in response to OA, and included reduced energy production, biosynthesis, immune function, and notably lipid and carbohydrate metabolic processes, which suggest a shift in metabolic strategy to protein catabolism, possibly to reduce CO_2 production and facilitate acid/base regulation. Only a handful of functions were enriched in OA-induced genes, which were related to transcription regulation, control of growth and cell death, and signaling activity. Transposon activity was high in OA-reared crab, many of which were upregulated at consistent levels, suggesting that transposon mobilization may be a component of the RKC OA-response system. Genetic composition did not differ among OA treatments indicating that transcriptional differences in OA-reared crab were more likely attributed to phenotypic plasticity than selective mortality. Our results suggest that OA-reared RKC have a narrowed, possibly optimized, set of gene functions that enables OA-tolerance through the early juvenile stage. OA-exposure from hatch may benefit RKC and related species by “hardening” them against OA through physiological reprogramming. Future studies should test OA-hardened crabs in additional challenges, as metabolic and immune limitations may ultimately make them more vulnerable to infection or secondary stressors.

Introduction

Global oceans are rapidly changing due to increased concentrations of atmospheric greenhouse gasses. In addition to warming, deoxygenation, and sea level rise, oceans are acidifying ~~[1].~~[1]. This is occurring due to the increased partial pressure of carbon dioxide (CO_2) in the ocean, which has absorbed ~30% of added atmospheric CO_2 emitted since the industrial revolution ~~[2].~~[2]. As a result, the concentration of aqueous CO_2, hydrogen ions, and bicarbonate ions are increasing, and ocean pH, carbonate ion concentrations, and calcium carbonate saturation states are decreasing. This shift is referred to as ocean acidification (OA) ~~[3]~~[3], and, in addition to the effects of lower pH, is particularly concerning for calcifying species including crustaceans, molluscs, and corals due to their reliance on calcium carbonate (aragonite, calcite) to form shells and exoskeletons ~~[4,5]~~[4,5].

While OA is a global phenomenon, changes in high latitude coastal zones are likely to have outsized biological effects. Carbon dioxide is more soluble at high latitudes due to colder water, resulting in lower pH levels and carbonate saturation states ~~[6,7].~~[6,7]. Increased freshwater inputs from sea ice melt and river runoff, and enhanced upwelling and respiration are likely to augment acidification in high latitude coastal zones ~~[6].~~[6]. Conditions in those regions are therefore more likely to become undersaturated with carbonate ions and reach severely low pH levels ~~[7,8].~~[7,8]. The Bering Sea shelf, a coastal area off Alaska which supports highly productive commercial, subsistence, and sport fisheries ~~[9]~~[9], already experiences seasonal levels of aragonite saturation (Ω) below one, which is the biological threshold for calcium carbonate dissolution ~~[10–13].~~[10–13]. Model projections for the Bering Sea through the year 2100 predict longer seasonal periods of Ω<1 (up to five and two months in the winter for aragonite and calcite, respectively)~~[14,15]~~[14,15, Darren Pilcher *pers. comm.*], and that pH will decrease 0.07 - 0.35 pH units in surface waters and 0.05 - 0.30 in bottom waters ~~[14,15].~~[14,15]. These carbonate changes have significant socio-economic implications for Alaskan

crustaceans, given their importance to fisheries as both prey species (krill, copepods) ~~[16,17]~~[16,17] and fishery stocks (e.g. king, tanner, and snow crabs) ~~[18,19].~~[18,19].

OA has broad but variable physiological effects on crustaceans ~~[20].~~[20]. Many are capable of maintaining hemolymph pH homeostasis by acid-base regulation ~~[21,22]~~[21,22], and have exoskeletons composed of both calcium carbonate and chitin, which may protect against direct dissolution ~~[23,24].~~[23,24]. Still, tissue and shell growth ~~[25]~~[25], molt cycle ~~[26,27]~~[26,27], exoskeleton properties ~~[28,29]~~[28,29], metabolic activity ~~[30,31]~~[30,31], reproduction ~~[22,32]~~[22,32], behavior ~~[33,34]~~[33,34], and immune function ~~[22,35]~~[22,35] can all be altered by OA~~.~~, particularly at higher pCO_2 concentrations [36]. Effects are most acutely observed in early life stages (larvae, juveniles), and vary greatly by species, severity of OA, life stage, and duration of exposure ~~[20,28,36].~~[20,28,37,38].

The diversity of responses in crustaceans, and all marine invertebrates for that matter, has highlighted the need for a more mechanistic understanding of how organisms function in OA conditions. Targeted gene expression analyses in green shore crab (*Carcinus maenas*) gill tissue reported that genes involved in acid-base regulation are affected by high pCO_2 [39,40]. Transcriptome-wide expression analysis identified changes in genes involved in energy metabolism and apoptosis activity in shrimp (*Exopalaemon carinicauda*) [41], and immune functions, energy metabolism, and ion transport in the Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*) [42,43]. Metabolomic analyses in juvenile Dungeness (*Cancer magister*) and green shore (*Carcinus maenas*) crabs both found amino acid metabolism to respond to acidified conditions, perhaps due to increased buffering needs [44,45]. As with biometric responses, molecular effects of OA can vary by life stage. Metabolite analyses of American lobster larvae (*Homarus americanus*) indicate that large metabolic shifts (fatty acids, amino acids, and citrate cycle) perhaps underlie their buffering capacity and tolerance of OA, whereas metabolic reprogramming is not observed in the more sensitive juvenile stage [46]. Together, molecular assays to date reveal that OA induces changes in energy metabolism, acid-base regulation,

immune function, and cellular stress-response processes, with the strongest responses perhaps reflective of physiological reprogramming. Changes are, however, somewhat unpredictable due to species-, stage-, and exposure-specific effects. For that reason, the most informative studies pair molecular assays with survival and growth data preferably from the same individuals.

Paralithodes camtschaticus, red king crab, is one of several crab species that are a highly valued fishery in Alaskan waters (~~US$92M / 18M~~17M pounds [$90M USD] in annual commercial landings on average from 2000-~~2020,~~2022, for all king crab species ~~[37]~~[47]) but that may be threatened by ocean acidification ~~[18].~~[18]. Early life stages, which are thought to be particularly vulnerable to OA, are present as brooded embryos year-round and from hatch in late spring through settlement in fall [48,49] and may encounter low carbonate saturation states that already occur in bottom waters and during seasonal carbonate cycles [13]. Laboratory studies on ~~embryonic~~embryos, early ~~zoeal~~zoea, and ~~juvenile red king crab~~juveniles indicate that OA negatively affects ~~their physiology~~early red king crab life stages such that development is altered, and growth and survival typically decreases ~~[38–40].~~[50–52]. OA also interacts with warming by increasing intermolt duration and decreasing survival in juveniles ~~[41].~~[53]. One study to date has explored molecular changes in OA-exposed red king crab, and found no pronounced effects on the larval transcriptome (individuals were pooled) [54] which corresponded with decreased survival rates [52]; whereas in juveniles, expression of genes involved in cuticular processes were strongly affected by OA exposure [54] despite no changes in morphology (survival and growth were negatively affected) [51]. Interestingly, Long et al. ~~[42]~~[55] recently ~~examined effects of long term~~found that when red king crabs are exposed to OA ~~exposure in early life~~during multiple stages of ~~red king crab, rearing them~~development – from hatch ~~for ~3 months~~ through the early juvenile stage~~, and found them to be~~ – they are surprisingly tolerant of moderate (pH 7.8) and severe (pH 7.5) acidification~~. Little~~, with no change in survival, growth, or development [42]. Therefore, when OA-exposure is ~~known about the~~prolonged and begins at hatch, red king crab may effectively acclimate without negative

physiological impacts. The mechanisms ~~underlying these impacts to red king crab, particularly~~ that enable OA tolerance are of profound interest to those that ~~might enable tolerance after long-term exposure. Here, we~~manage and rely on the red king crab fishery.

In this study, we ~~use~~used functional genomics to examine the molecular response of OA-exposed red king crab ~~by leveraging RNA-Seq and crabs~~. We leveraged juveniles from Long et al. ~~[42] to identify genes and functions that may be potentially critical to survival in an acidified environment. RNA-Seq is a high-throughput sequencing approach that measures gene activity, which provides a snap-shot of system-wide changes in energy allocation due to acidification exposure [43]. Functional genomics studies complement more traditional physiological assays by identifying mechanisms that may be responsible for a species tolerance or sensitivity to environmental stressors [44].~~

~~To date, one previous study has examined gene expression patterns in red king crab after short-term OA exposure (3 weeks, [45]), and found that cuticular processes were affected in juveniles, while there were no pronounced changes to larval gene expression. Our study examines expression in juveniles that were reared~~[55] that were exposed to (and tolerated) three carbonate chemistry treatments from hatching to the first crab stage (C1~~) over three months, and increases the resolution at which we can detect molecular responses by constructing libraries from at least 13 individuals per treatment, rather than pools of individuals which can obscure genotype-dependent variation. We also leverage a recently published draft *P. camtschaticus* genome which is large (7.29 Gbp) and highly repetitive [46]. Crab were exposed to three carbonate chemistry treatments,~~), thus capturing transcriptional differences among crab that are reared in historically ambient conditions along the Bering Sea shelf (pH 8.0), and those acclimated to a moderately (pH 7.8) and severely (pH 7.5) acidified environments that are projected to occur in surface and bottom waters by the end of this century ~~[15].~~ [15]. Using RNA-Seq, a high-throughput sequencing approach that measures gene-activity, our study provides a snap-shot of system-wide changes in energy allocation due to acidification

exposure by identifying genes, their functions, and biological processes that differ in OA-reared crab [56]. Libraries were constructed from at least 13 individuals per treatment, rather than pools of individuals which can obscure genotype-dependent variation. Importantly, since the crab used in this experiment were quite tolerant of OA conditions [42], the molecular mechanisms and pathways described here may be potentially critical to survival in an acidified environment.

Methods

Ethics statement

Red king crabs are non-cephalopod invertebrates and research involving them is exempt from ethics approval. All research was conducted according to applicable national and international guidelines.

Animal collection

Ovigerous females for this study were collected in Bristol Bay in October 2016 in crab pots during the commercial fishery. Animals were transported to Kodiak in the live hold of a fishing vessel. Females were held communally in tanks at the NOAA Kodiak Laboratory in the Kodiak Fisheries Research Center supplied with flow through sand-filtered seawater pumped from Trident Basin, Kodiak, at local ambient temperature and salinity, and were fed to excess on chopped frozen fish and squid. Embryos were monitored and, as they approached hatching, 48 females were moved to individual 48L tubs with flow-through seawater. Tanks were monitored for larvae. Larvae for this experiment were collected during peak hatch from as many females as possible over a period of three days (April 10-12, 2017), pooled, and immediately moved to experimental tanks. Larvae used to stock experimental tanks were from the same 21 females on the first 2 days of larval stocking, and from 20 of those 21 on the third (one female completed

hatching after the second day of stocking). Animals were collected under ADFG permit P-16-011 and subsequently held under P-17-023.

Experimental Design

Experiments were performed in 180L conical bottomed tanks. Each tank was randomly assigned to one of three pH treatments: 1) Local ambient (pH ~ 8.1), 2) pH 7.8, and 3) pH 7.5, with five replicate tanks per treatment. Tanks were stocked in a random order with larvae on the same day they hatched. Flowthrough water for this experiment was passed through a 5 µm filter and UV sterilized and flow into each tank was 2 L/min. The temperature and salinity of all tanks were allowed to vary with seasonal change of the intake water. The pH in each tank was adjusted via direct bubbling of CO_2 controlled by feedback from Honeywell controllers connected to an in-tank Durafet III pH probe. Discrete temperature and pH measurements were collected daily in each tank using a Durafet III pH probe calibrated with TRIS buffer ~~[47].~~[57]. Water samples were taken once a week from each tank beginning the second week of exposure, poisoned with mercuric chloride to saturation and analyzed for dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and total alkalinity (TA). DIC and TA were measured using a VINDTA 3C (Marianda, Kiel, Germany) and a 5012 Coulometer (UIC Inc.) according to DOE ~~[48]~~[58] using Certified Reference Material from the Dickson Laboratory (Scripps Institute, San Diego, CA, USA;~~[49]).~~[59]). The seacarb package ~~[50]~~[60] in R (V3.6.1, Vienna, Austria) was used to calculate the other parameters of the carbonate system.

~~Experimental pHs were well controlled to within 0.01 pH units in experimental treatments (Table 1). Water temperatures increased from about 5°C at the beginning of the experiment to about 10°C by the end and averaged about 7.2°C throughout (Table 1). Incorporating this seasonal shift in temperature provided ecologically relevant variability in conditions, including slight shifts in pCO_2 and saturation state conditions over time [51,52] (Supplemental Figure 1).~~

Table 1. Water parameters during the experiment. Temperature and pH were measured daily; salinity, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and alkalinity were measured weekly; all other parameters were calculated. Values are mean ± standard deviation. See Supplemental Figure 1 for water parameter time-series.

Treatment	Ambient	Moderate OA	Severe OA
Temperature (C)	7.24 ± 1.40	7.25 ± 1.40	7.23 ± 1.44
Salinity	31.267 ± 0.142	31.277 ± 0.149	31.288 ± 0.163
pH_T	8.05 ± 0.03	7.79 ± 0.05	7.50 ± 0.06
pCO_2 (µatm)	370.74 ± 26.02	703.89 ± 90.45	1414.71 ± 287.82
HCO_3^- (mmol/kg)	1.89 ± 0.08	1.96 ± 0.05	2.00 ± 0.04
CO_3^{-2} (mmol/kg)	0.11 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.01
DIC (mmol/kg)	2.01 ± 0.08	2.06 ± 0.05	2.10 ± 0.05
Alkalinity (mmol/kg)	2.16 ± 0.08	2.12 ± 0.05	2.09 ± 0.06
$\Omega_{Aragonite}$	1.66 ± 0.09	0.96 ± 0.13	0.52 ± 0.19
$\Omega_{Calcite}$	2.65 ± 0.15	1.53 ± 0.21	0.83 ± 0.31

Formatted Table

Larval rearing

Larvae were stocked at 50 larvae/L, or approximately 9,000 larvae per tank, and reared according to Swingle et al. [53][61], except that in this experiment we used ambient incoming seawater rather than elevated temperature to avoid potential interactive effects between pH and temperature. In brief, larvae were fed daily *ad libitum* on a diet of *Artemia sp.* enriched with DC DHA Selco (Inve Aquaculture) except during the non-feeding glaucothoe stage. Once the larvae molted to the glaucothoe stage, artificial seaweed was provided as a settling substrate. Glaucothoe continued to be monitored until they molted to the first crab stage. Survival, growth, and development metrics were captured at each of the four zoea, glaucothoe, and C1 stages (for details, see [42])[55])

RNA Extraction & Sequencing

For each OA treatment 13-15 juvenile crabs at the first juvenile instar (C1) stage were sampled for RNA-seq (2-4 crab from each replicate tank, Table ~~2~~1), placing whole crabs in RNAlater per manufacturer's instructions (Thermofisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). To standardize among tanks/treatments and ensure that all crabs were at the same point in their molt cycle, sampling date varied by tank to target the C1-stage, and occurred five days after 100% of crabs in a tank reached the C1-stage. RNA isolation, library construction, and sequencing were performed by the University of Oregon. Briefly, RNAlater-preserved crabs were homogenized with silica beads using a Spex Geno/Grinder®, then RNA was isolated following TRIzol™ Reagent protocol for total RNA (Invitrogen Inc., Carlsbad, CA). The purity and quality of RNA were assessed with a NanoDrop™ Spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific) and a *Fragment Analyzer*™ (Agilent Technologies, Boulder, CO). Stranded mRNA-Seq libraries were constructed with the NuGen Universal Plus mRNA kit (Tecan Genomics, Inc, Männedorf, Switzerland). Libraries were prepared for sequencing using TruSeq RNA sample prep kits (Illumina). Paired-end sequencing was conducted on ~~two~~seven lanes of a Hi-Seq 4000 with 100-bp read length.

~~**Table 2** RNA-Seq sampling scheme.~~

Table 1 RNA-Seq sample size and replication by treatment after the removal of one outlier sample from the Ambient treatment. All libraries listed were used in all analyses (genetic, global patterns, gene co-expression, differential expression, and expression variation).

Treatment	Individuals / Libraries	Replicate Tanks	Replicates per Tank
Ambient (pH 8.0)	14	5	2 or 3
Moderate OA (pH 7.8)	13	4	3 or 4
Severe OA (pH 7.5)	15	5	3

Formatted Table

Bioinformatics

Raw sequence data from each of the ~~two~~seven lanes was demultiplexed, concatenated by library, then trimmed using Cutadapt v3.5 ~~[54]~~[62] to remove Illumina adapters, poly-A tails, flanking N bases, reads less than 50bp, and low-quality ends from reads using minimum quality scores of 20 and 15 for the 5' and 3' ends, respectively. Raw and trimmed data were inspected using FastQC ~~[55] and MultiQC [56].~~[63] and MultiQC [64]. Reads were aligned to the draft Red king crab (*Paralithodes camtschaticus*) genome (Genbank accession GCA_018397895.1) ~~[46,57]~~[65,66] using Bowtie2 v2.4.2 with the preset option --sensitive ~~[58,59]~~[67,68]. The number of fragments aligning to gene coding regions of the *P. camtschaticus* genome was quantified using featureCounts v2.0.3 ~~[60]~~[69] with settings -p --countReadPairs to count paired-end fragments and -C and -B to exclude chimeras and singletons, respectively. Gene functions were identified by querying coding sequences of the *P. camtschaticus* genome, derived from gene annotations published along with the *P. camtschaticus* genome ~~[57]~~[66], against the Uniprot/Swissprot database ~~[61]~~[70] using blastx from blast v2.11.0 (e-value < 1^{-10}) [~~62~~71].

Field Code Changed

Genetic analysis

As with many rearing experiments, ~~there~~the cumulative survival rate to the C1 juvenile stage was ~~high larval mortality rate.~~low, averaging 1.9% across all replicate tanks (for details see Long et al. [55]). While survival rates did not differ among treatments in this experiment ~~(Long et al. *in*~~

~~*prep*),~~[55], there could have been treatment-specific survival rates among the ~20 families, possibly resulting in genotype-specific expression patterns. We therefore assessed whether there were genetic differences among treatments. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were extracted from RNA-Seq reads to examine the genetic composition of sampled crabs. Variants were identified using the GATK toolkit ~~[63].~~[72]. Briefly, RNA-Seq reads were aligned to the draft red king crab genome ~~[46].~~[65]. The genome was first concatenated into 50 larger contigs, with 1000N separating each original contig, which was necessary to reduce the processing time in GATK. Alignment files were deduplicated using MarkDuplicates, reads spanning splicing events and CigarN reads were split, variants were called using HaplotypeCaller, then joint-genotyped using GenotypeGVCFs. SNPs were filtered using VariantFiltration to hard-filter loci with any of the characteristics FS>60, QD<2, QUAL<30, SOR>3, DP<15, DP>150, or AF<0.30. SNPs were then pruned with `snpgdsLDpruning` from the R package *SNPRelate* v1.30.1 to remove those in linkage-disequilibrium and with >15% missing rate or <5% minor allele frequency. One sample from the ambient treatment was removed from the genetic analysis due to high missingness. From 227,781 candidate SNPs, 331 markers resulted from the above filtering and were retained for genetic analysis.

Differences in genetic composition among treatments was examined using multivariate analysis, estimates of diversity, and parentage analysis. Using *SNPRelate*, allele frequencies (major and minor) and per-SNP missing rate were calculated with *snpgdsSNPRateFreq()*, and PCA biplots of the first four principal components (PCs) were constructed with `snpgdsPCA()`. The first four PCs were selected as they explained over 25% of the total variance, and each additional PC explained less than 4% of the total variance. Pairwise Fst values ~~[64]~~[73] among treatments were calculated with `stamppFst()` from *STaMPP* v1.6.3 using 1000 bootstraps to generate 95% confidence intervals and *p*-values. Parentage analysis was performed using the 331 SNPs with *Colony* v2.0.6.6 for R ~~[65]~~[74], specifying polygamous males and females with

three replicate medium-length runs using the full likelihood method with high precision. The parentage of samples with cluster probability < 0.5 were considered invalid (three samples from each treatment). The relationship between global expression patterns and genetic structure was assessed by regressing genotype PCA sample scores against the expression-derived PCA sample scores along the first two principal components, using OA treatment as a covariate.

Gene expression analysis

Analyses were performed in R v4.1.2 using RStudio interface v2021.09.1 ~~[66,67].~~[75,76]. Unless otherwise specified, significance thresholds were alpha = 0.05 and representations of spread in data are 1 standard deviation.

RNA-Seq pre-processing for gene expression analysis

Gene counts were filtered to remove outlier samples and low-frequency genes. Outlier samples were identified using principal component analysis (PCA), which was performed on variance-stabilizing transformed counts of the top 500 genes using `vsd` and `plotPCA` from *DESeq2* v1.34.0 ~~[68].~~[77]. Genes with mean count <10 across all samples or those with counts <30 across at minimum 10% of the samples were discarded, and differences in the number of remaining fragments per sample among treatments was tested using ANOVA. This filtered gene count dataset was used in comparative gene expression analyses as raw counts, or transformed counts via variance-stabilization transformation in *DESeq2*.

Global patterns

Global gene expression differences among OA treatments were explored with PCA, using `prcomp` from the R package *vegan* v.2.5-7 on all gene counts that were transformed via variance-stabilization. Principal components that explained a significant amount of variance were identified using the scree test ~~[69].~~[78]. Global differences among treatments was

assessed by permutational pairwise permANOVA with `pairwise.adonis` from the *pairwiseAdonis* package, which is a wrapper for `adonis` from the *vegan* package.

Gene co-expression network analysis

We identified groups of co-expressed genes (i.e. gene modules) with expression profiles that correlated with OA treatment using weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA v1.70-3, [79]). Briefly, a weighted gene network was constructed from transformed gene counts with a signed adjacency matrix using the soft thresholding power 15, and minimum module size 75. Modules were merged if their eigengene expression correlated at R > 0.75, and those with eigengenes that correlated with pCO_2 concentration at alpha=0.05 were determined to be associated with OA treatment. Modules with positive and negative correlations were designated as those with upregulated and downregulated expression profiles, respectively.

Differential gene expression analysis

Differentially expressed genes among pH treatments were identified using *DESeq2* with default settings [77,80]. *DESeq2* uses raw count data to generate generalized linear models and internally corrects for library size, therefore counts were not transformed prior to differential expression analysis. No minimum log2 fold change (L2FC) was used to identify differentially expressed genes, but they were filtered for those with |L2FC| > 0.5 prior to functional analyses. In addition to examining the transcriptional responses of crabs to OA treatments, differentially expressed genes were used to characterize the gene modules with expression that correlated with pCO_2.

Formatted: Font color: Black

Variation in gene expression

Global differences in the variation of gene expression among OA treatments was assessed with a test of multivariate homogeneity of group dispersions. To do so, we used `betadisper` from the *vegan* package to calculate per-sample distances to group medians in multivariate space,

then analysis of variance to compare per-sample distances among treatments for all genes, and for differentially expressed genes. Pairwise comparisons and associated permuted *p*-values identified which treatments differed. We then examined gene-wise variation in expression by treatment for genes that were upregulated, downregulated, or not differentially expressed for each treatment. The within-treatment coefficient of variation (CV=SD/mean) was calculated for each gene, providing a method of comparing variation in gene expression relative to the mean for each treatment ~~[70].~~[81]. CV was summarized by calculating the mean CV of genes that were upregulated, downregulated, and were not differentially expressed for each treatment.

~~Differential gene expression analysis~~

~~Differentially expressed genes among pH treatments were identified using *DESeq2* with default settings [68,71]. *DESeq2* uses raw count data to generate generalized linear models and internally corrects for library size, therefore counts were not transformed prior to differential expression analysis. In addition to examining the transcriptional responses of crabs to OA treatments, differentially expressed genes were used to characterize the gene modules with expression that correlated with pCO_2.~~

~~Gene co-expression network analysis~~

~~We identified groups of co-expressed genes (i.e. gene modules) with expression profiles that correlated with OA treatment using weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA v1.70-3, [72]). Briefly, a weighted gene network was constructed from transformed gene counts with a signed adjacency matrix using the soft thresholding power 15, and minimum module size 75. Modules were merged if their eigengene expression correlated at R > 0.75, and those with eigengenes that correlated with pCO_2 concentration at alpha=0.05 were determined to be associated with OA treatment. Modules with positive and negative correlations were designated as those with upregulated and downregulated expression profiles, respectively.~~

Formatted: Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font color: Black

Functional Analyses

~~*Gene sets of interest were characterized by Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analyses.*~~*Enrichment Analyses*

Gene sets of interest, outlined below, were characterized by Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analyses. For all gene sets, genes were filtered for those that mapped to the Uniprot/Swissprot database [70], and enriched GO terms were identified by entering UniprotID's into the Gene-Enrichment and Functional Annotation Tool from DAVID v2021 [82] to identify enriched biological processes, which were defined as those with modified Fisher Exact p-values (EASE Scores) <0.05. For all enrichment analyses the background list of genes included all examined genes that mapped to the Uniprot/Swissprot database (n=32,435).

Co-expressed genes. To characterize functions that respond to pCO_2 in a dose-dependent manner, enrichment analyses were performed on co-expressed gene modules (from WGCNA analysis) for which eigengenes correlated with pCO_2 concentration, filtered to retain genes that either correlated individually with pCO_2 (Gene Significance *p*-value < 0.05) or were differentially expressed. ~~Two~~

Differentially expressed genes. To determine the functions of differentially expressed genes two enrichment analyses were performed for each of the three pairwise treatment contrasts ~~to determine the functions of differentially expressed genes~~ : (1) genes that were upregulated (L2FC > 0.5) and (2) genes that were downregulated (L2FC < -0.5) in response to the more severe OA treatment.

Low-variance differentially upregulated genes. To identify processes that are likely critical to the function of OA-reared crab, enrichment analysis was performed on a subset of differentially expressed genes that were upregulated in OA compared to ambient: those that had very consistent expression levels (genes with within-treatment CV < 3~~%). For all gene sets, genes were filtered for those that map to the Uniprot/Swissprot database [61], and enriched GO~~

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5"

Formatted: Font: Bold

~~terms were identified by entering UniprotID's into the Gene Enrichment and Functional Annotation Tool from DAVID v2021 [73] to identify enriched biological processes, which were defined as those with modified Fisher Exact *p*-values (EASE Scores) <0.05.~~%, referred to as low-variance genes).

~~———~~*Transposable Element Composition*

Given that not all transposable elements are assigned to transposition-related GO terms and may be overlooked by traditional enrichment analyses, gene sets were also interrogated for transposable element composition. The proportion of genes that were transposable elements was estimated for each gene set ~~described above~~(co-expressed gene modules, differentially up/down-regulated genes in each pairwise contrast, and low-variance genes) by searching within the protein names of annotated genes for the words "transposon", "transposable", "LINE" (representing long interspersed nuclear elements), "retrotransposable element", "transposable element", "mobile element jockey" (a LINE), and "pol polyprotein". Pol polyprotein was included as it is core to the replication of retrotransposons, but may also be associated with retroviral activity ~~[74].~~[83]. These terms were determined to represent the majority of TEs by manual review of annotated genes. Beta-regression and a likelihood ratio test assessed whether the TE proportions differed for gene sets that were up-regulated and down-regulated in OA treatments.

Code and analysis files used in this study are available in the accompanying repository https://github.com/laurahspencer/red-king_RNASeq-2022 (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10547911).

Results

Experimental Design

Experimental pHs were well controlled to within 0.01 pH units in experimental treatments (Table 2). Water temperatures increased from about 5°C at the beginning of the experiment to about 10°C by the end and averaged about 7.2°C throughout (Table 2). Incorporating this seasonal shift in temperature provided ecologically relevant variability in conditions, including slight shifts in pCO_2 and saturation state conditions over time [84,85] (Supplemental Figure 1).

Table 2. Water parameters during the experiment. Temperature and pH were measured daily; salinity, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and alkalinity were measured weekly beginning on the second week of the exposure period; all other parameters were calculated. Values are mean ± standard deviation, calculated across replicate tanks per treatment (N=430 for pH and temperature, and N=53 for other parameters). See Supplemental Figure 1 for water parameter time-series and Supplemental Table 1 for per-tank means.

Treatment	Ambient	Moderate OA	Severe OA
Temperature (C)	7.24 ± 1.40	7.25 ± 1.40	7.23 ± 1.44
Salinity	31.267 ± 0.142	31.277 ± 0.149	31.288 ± 0.163
pH_T	8.05 ± 0.03	7.79 ± 0.05	7.50 ± 0.06
pCO_2 (µatm)	370.74 ± 26.92	703.89 ± 90.45	1414.71 ± 287.82
HCO_3^- (mmol/kg)	1.89 ± 0.08	1.96 ± 0.05	2.00 ± 0.04
CO_3^{-2} (mmol/kg)	0.11 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.01
DIC (mmol/kg)	2.01 ± 0.08	2.06 ± 0.05	2.10 ± 0.05
Alkalinity (mmol/kg)	2.16 ± 0.08	2.12 ± 0.05	2.09 ± 0.06
$\Omega_{Aragonite}$	1.66 ± 0.09	0.96 ± 0.13	0.52 ± 0.19
$\Omega_{Calcite}$	2.65 ± 0.15	1.53 ± 0.21	0.83 ± 0.31

Survival, growth, and development

Survival, growth, and development results were reported in Long et al. [55].~~Survival, growth, and development~~

~~Survival, growth, and development results were reported in Long et al. [42].~~ Briefly, survival, developmental time, calcification, and mass did not differ among treatments at any stage, nor did survival or developmental time cumulatively from hatch to the C1 juvenile stage differ.

Genetic relatedness analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) constructed from SNPs (n=331) indicated genetic homogeneity among treatments (Figure 1, Supplemental Figure 2). Individuals loosely aggregated into two or three clusters, primarily along PC1 and PC2 which explained 8.7% and 7.3% of variation, respectively, but no treatments were overrepresented in any of the clusters. Pairwise F_{ST} values among samples from the three treatments did not differ from zero for any contrast (Table 3). Parentage analysis using Colony estimated the same number of mothers (n=9) and fathers (n=10) represented by surviving offspring in each treatment. No correlation was found among SNP-derived PCA scores and gene expression-derived PCA scores along the first two principal components (Supplemental Figure 3).

Figure 1: PCA biplot of the first two principal components, constructed from RNA-Seq derived SNPs (n=331). Points represent individual crabs that are color-coded by OA treatment, which do not indicate clustering by treatment, and sizes represent the percent of SNPs that are missing in each individual. The PCA and other genetic analyses (parentage, pairwise F_{ST}) does not indicate that genetic composition of individuals surviving the three-month exposure differed among treatments.

Table 3: Pairwise F_{ST} values

Treatment 1	*Treatment 2*	F_{ST}	*CI Lower Bound*	*CI Upper Bound*	*p-value*
Ambient	Severe	-0.0015	-0.0107	0.0073	0.67

Ambient	Moderate	-0.0123	-0.0207	-0.0035	1.00
Moderate	Severe	-0.0033	-0.0125	0.0061	0.78

RNA-Seq pre-processing for gene expression analysis

A total of ~2.65B paired-end reads (henceforth “fragments”) remained after discarding ~4.55M (0.17%) during initial quality-filtering, with a per-sample mean of 61.7M +/- 10.7M fragments. Across all samples ~2.14B reads were aligned to the *P. camtschaticus* draft genome ~~[46,57]~~[65,66], for a total alignment rate of 80.47%. The average per-sample alignment rate was 80.43%±2.15%, which included 43.19%±1.50% and 24.52%±0.89% of concordantly mapped fragments that mapped uniquely and multiple times, respectively. The multi-mapped fragments were assigned by Bowtie2 to the “best” location, and therefore counted once in the downstream analysis. Of the ~2.14B aligned fragments, ~1.27B were assigned to gene-coding regions of the *P. camtschaticus* draft genome (59.6%). The remainder were not included in the downstream analyses as they mapped to non-coding regions (22.3%), or were assigned ambiguously (6.7%), as singletons (8.3%) or chimeras (3.1%). Initial examination of the gene counts using PCA identified one sample from the ambient pH treatment as an outlier, which was removed from the dataset and resulted in 14, 13, and 15 samples for the ambient, moderate, and severe OA treatments, respectively (Table ~~2~~1). In total, we detected all 162,611 gene features that are in the draft *P. camtschaticus* genome ~~[57]~~[66], but after removing low frequency genes (totaling 0.75% of fragments), 74,778 genes remained for analysis, 32,435 of which mapped to genes in the Uniprot/Swissprot database. The high number of genes to which reads mapped reflects the large *P. camtschaticus* draft genome, which includes a high degree of repeat elements ~~[46]~~characteristic of crustacean genomes [65]. A one-way ANOVA indicated that the number of fragments retained for analyses did not differ among OA treatments ($F_{(2,39)}$=0.22, *p*-value=0.80), ~~and ranged~~ranging from 15.4M to 38.2M per sample and averaged 29.4M±5.1M, mapped to on average 75K±80 genes.

Global expression patterns

Global expression profiles of red king crabs reared in ambient conditions differed from those reared in either moderate or severe OA. Pairwise permANOVA tests detected significant differences in multivariate space among ambient conditions and OA treatments (moderate OA: $F(1)=1.84$, p-adj=0.042; severe OA: $F(1)=2.70$, p-adj=$3.0e^{-3}$), but not between the two OA treatments ($F(1)=1.20$, p-adj=0.50), which is evident from the biplot of the first two principal components (PC1 & PC2) (Figure 2). Ambient-reared crabs were separated from those reared in OA treatments along PC1 (19.0%) and PC2 (8.4%), which combined explained 27.4% of variation in global expression. While the scree test indicated that PC3 and PC4 also explained a significant amount of variation (7.0% and 6.2%, respectively), there was no separation among treatments along those axes (Supplemental Figure 4).

Figure 2. PCA biplot of first the two principal components, constructed from all expressed genes.

Global gene expression was less variable among crabs reared in OA treatments compared to those reared in ambient conditions. Using a test of multivariate homogeneity of group dispersions, we found that variation in global gene expression differed by treatment ($F(2,39)=4.9$, p=0.012). Pairwise comparisons indicated that variation differed between ambient and severe OA (p-$value_{permuted}=8.3e^{-3}$), but did not differ between ambient and moderate OA (p-$value_{permuted}=0.32$) or moderate and severe OA (p-$value_{permuted}=0.25$).

Co-expression network analysis

We performed a weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) to identify groups of genes that were co-expressed (i.e. gene modules), and for which expression correlated with pCO_2 concentration. The 74,778 examined genes were assigned to 41 modules, 14 of which had eigengenes that correlated significantly with pCO_2 (Supplemental Table ~~1~~2). For eight of the modules, which contained in total 22,537 genes, the eigengenes correlated negatively with

464 pCO_2 indicating that expression decreased as OA treatment became more severe. Six modules,
465 containing 19,248 genes in total, correlated positively with pCO_2,
466 indicating higher expression in OA treatments.

467 Differential gene expression

468 Analysis in DESeq2 identified 6,806 genes that were differentially expressed among treatments
469 (9.1% of all examined genes). The number of differentially expressed genes increased with OA
470 severity: 1,459 genes differed between ambient and moderate OA (526 upregulated in
471 moderate OA, 933 downregulated, Figures 3 & 4A), and 6,257 genes differed between ambient
472 and severe OA (2,350 upregulated in severe OA, 3,907 downregulated, Figures 3 & 4B). Only
473 47 genes differed between moderate and severe OA treatments (22 upregulated in severe OA,
474 25 downregulated, Figures 3 & 4C), indicating that the two OA treatments induced a similar
475 transcriptional response (Supplemental Table ~~2~~3). There was high overlap between the
476 differentially expressed genes and the co-expression network analysis (WGCNA), with 92.8% of
477 DEG's assigned to one of the 14 pCO_2-correlated co-expression modules.

478 **Figure 3**. Venn diagram showing the number of differentially expressed genes among each pairwise
479 OA treatment contrast, and the number that are shared among contrasts.

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Not Bold

Figure 4. Pairwise differential expression among OA treatments for (A) ambient (pH 8.0) vs. moderate OA (pH 7.8), (B) ambient vs. severe OA (pH 7.5), and (C) moderate OA vs. severe OA. Volcano plots (left panels) show ~~Log$_2$~~expression of all genes. Each point represents a unique gene, with non-black points residing above the dotted line representing differentially expressed genes. -Log10 p-value is along the y-axes, with higher numbers indicating higher significance, and log$_2$ fold change is along the x-axes, with higher absolute values indicating larger differences among treatments~~, and -log$_{10}$ *p*-values along the y-axes, with higher numbers indicating higher significance. Each point represents a unique gene, with differentially expressed genes~~. Differentially expressed genes (*p*-adj < 0.05) are color-coded to indicate those that are expressed at higher levels in ambient treatment (blue), moderate OA (orange), or severe OA (red). Points with darker ~~colors~~shades indicate those that have |Log2FC| >0.5, which were used in functional analyses. Heatmaps (right panels) show expression of differentially expressed genes only (rows=genes) at per-sample resolution (columns=samples), with the ~~purple~~ green-black gradient indicating the *z*-score of expression values standardized across samples for each gene, where green and ~~purple~~black indicate higher and lower expression, respectively.

Variation in gene expression

Within-treatment mean coefficient of variation (CV), which was calculated separately from genes that were upregulated, downregulated, or not differentially expressed, ranged from 4.0%-11.2% (Table 4). Genes upregulated in the severe OA treatment relative to ambient had the lowest mean CV (Table 4).

Table 4: Coefficient of variation mean ± SD for genes that were upregulated or downregulated relative to other treatments, or not differentially expressed (Non-DEG). To focus on differences among OA and ambient treatments, the 16 genes that were only differentially expressed among moderate and severe OA were not included in these calculations.

	Ambient	Moderate OA	Severe OA

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted Table

Up-regulated	11.0%±10.3%	6.8%±4.7%	4.0%±4.2%
Down-regulated	5.5%±4.1%	11.2%±9.5%	8.5%±7.2%
Non-DEG	8.3%±5.6%	8.0%±5.3%	7.2%±5.2%

Functional Analyses

Enrichment analysis of co-expressed genes that correlate with pCO_2

Genes that decreased significantly with pCO_2 (eight modules) were enriched for 193 biological ~~processes~~process GO terms (65 at FDR<10%), which were predominantly related to protein production, energy production, metabolic activity, tissue development, muscle activity, cell cycle, immune function, chaperone mediated protein folding, and telomere maintenance (Figure 5, Supplemental Table ~~3~~4). Genes that increased significantly with pCO_2 (six modules) were enriched for 48 processes (10 at FDR<10%), focused on transcription regulation and signaling (Figure 5, Supplemental Table ~~3~~4). We also found that transposition and the related process DNA integration were enriched in both downregulated and upregulated modules (5 modules each).

Figure 5: Expression profiles of co-expressed gene modules that correlated with pCO_2 treatment negatively (purple, a-h) and positively (green, i-n), indicating those genes that decreased and increased with OA severity, respectively, where 370 µatm is ambient (pH 8.0), 700 µatm is moderate OA (pH 7.8), and 1400 µatm is severe OA (pH 7.5). Points indicate the mean eigengenes (i.e. first principal component) for each treatment within each module, with standard deviation among individuals within treatments indicated by error bars. Figure titles indicate the predominant biological functions that were enriched in each module. Detailed enrichment analysis results, number of genes in each module, and correlation statistics are Supplemental Table ~~3~~4. Transposition was omitted from figure titles as it was enriched in many modules.

Enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes

~~GO enrichment~~Enrichment analysis revealed 26 and 104 biological ~~processes~~process GO terms that were enriched in genes that were differentially upregulated and downregulated in an OA treatment compared to ambient, respectively (Supplemental Table ~~4~~5). In genes upregulated in moderate-OA compared to ambient reared crab, 11 enriched processes were involved in negatively regulating (i.e. decreasing) cell growth and proliferation, transcription regulation, chaperone-mediated protein folding, chromatin organization, neurotransmitter exocytosis and signal transduction, and DNA integration via transposons (Figure 6A). In severe-OA reared crab, 15 enriched processes in upregulated genes were involved in transposable element activity, regulation of transcription, nervous system development, signal transduction, and tissue development (Figure 6A).

Figure 6: Enriched Gene Ontology biological processes in genes that were A) upregulated or B) downregulated in moderate OA (pH 7.8, left column) and severe OA (pH 7.3, right column) compared to ambient conditions (pH 8.0). Point size indicates the -Log10 transformed *p*-value (all *p*-values < 0.05), such that larger points are more significantly enriched. For downregulated processes (B) only the top 20 processes by *p*-value per OA treatment were included in this figure.

A variety of biological processes were downregulated in OA-reared crab compared to ambient-reared crab (Figure 6B). Of the 23 processes that were enriched in genes down-regulated in moderate-OA reared crab, many were involved in the metabolism of various compounds (e.g. carbohydrates, lipids, fatty acids, estrogen), energy production (e.g. tricarboxylic acid cycle), and ceramide activity (biosynthesis, translocation, and metabolism), but also were involved in protein folding and N-linked glycosylation, toxin transport, and telomerase activity (see Supplemental Table ~~4~~5 for full list). There were 81 enriched processes in genes down-regulated in severe-OA reared crab. Many processes that were enriched in moderate-OA reared crab were also enriched in genes downregulated in severe-OA reared crab (Figure 6B).

549 Enriched processes that were uniquely downregulated in severe-OA reared crab included
550 translation (the most significantly enriched down regulated process, *p*-value=8.6e^{-14}), and those
551 related to DNA replication, microtubules, immune function, and aerobic respiration (Figure 6B,
552 see Supplemental Table ~~4~~5 for full list).

553 Five biological processes were enriched in genes that were differentially expressed
554 among crab reared in moderate vs. severe OA. Two processes involved in molecular chaperone
555 activity via the heat shock complex were ~~more active~~enriched in genes with increased
556 expression in the moderate OA-reared crab, and three processes involved in transposition were
557 ~~more active~~enriched in genes with increased expression in the severe OA-reared crab
558 (Supplemental Table ~~4~~5).

559 Enrichment analysis of genes potentially critical in an OA environment

560 Of the 526 and 2,350 genes that were upregulated in moderate and severe OA relative to
561 ambient treatment, respectively, 66 (13%) and 1,319 (56%) were expressed at consistent levels
562 across individuals within treatments (CV < 3%, hereafter referred to as low-variance genes).
563 These upregulated low-variance genes are of interest as they may provide critical functions in
564 the OA environment. Low-variance genes upregulated in severe OA treatment were enriched for
565 biological processes involved in transcription regulation, DNA integration (transposon activity),
566 nervous system processes (neurogenesis, signal transduction, calcium ion-regulated exocytosis
567 of neurotransmitter), developmental processes (multicellular organism development,
568 keratinocyte differentiation, glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis), regulation of cardiac muscle cell
569 contraction, and potassium ion transport (Supplemental Table ~~5~~6). Low-variance genes
570 upregulated in moderate OA treatment were enriched for chromatin organization and
571 transposition (Supplemental Table ~~5~~6). In contrast, low-variance genes that were upregulated in
572 ambient treatment relative to either OA treatment were primarily enriched for processes involved

in protein biosynthesis, glycolysis, and ion transport (including hydrogen ion transport) (Supplemental Table ~~5~~6).

Transposable element composition

A large portion of the red king crab transcriptome mapped to transposable elements (20,860 TEs), comprising 28% of the 74,778 analyzed genes, and 64% of the 32,435 annotated genes. These TEs mapped to 67 distinct Uniprot Species IDs, all of which were retroelements (Supplemental Table ~~6~~7). Transposable element activity was high in all treatments, but activity increased with OA severity. Of the annotated genes that were upregulated in severe OA compared to ambient and moderate OA treatments, 65% and 86% mapped to TE's, respectively, while 51% of genes upregulated in moderate OA compared to ambient were TE's (Figure 7, Supplemental Table ~~7~~8). In contrast, 31% and 28% of genes that were more abundant in ambient treatment compared to moderate and severe OA mapped to TEs (Figure 7, Supplemental Table ~~7~~8). A large percentage of upregulated low-variance genes were also transposable elements, comprising 50% and 60% of those genes in moderate and severe OA-treated crab, respectively. In contrast, 16% of the low-variance upregulated genes in ambient-pH-reared crab were transposable elements (Supplemental Table ~~7~~8). The TE composition of co-expressed gene modules was similar- on average the percent of genes that increased and decreased with pCO_2 was 73% and 51%, respectively (Figure 7). A likelihood ratio test examined the proportions of gene sets that were TEs, and found higher TE proportions in upregulated gene sets compared to downregulated gene sets (χ^2 (2, N = 20) = 10.7, p = 0.001, Figure 7).

Figure 7: The percent of genes in each co-expressed gene module and differentially expressed gene set (DEGs) that were transposable elements (TEs), categorized by whether genes were downregulated or upregulated in OA relative to ambient treatment, which shows the high percentage of TEs in OA-upregulated genes. The proportion of all genes examined that were

TEs (64%) is indicated by the dotted line. TEs were identified by searching within the protein names for the words transposon, transposable, LINE, retrotransposable element, transposable element, mobile element jockey, and pol polyprotein. Lines in the middle of each boxplot indicate the median percent TEs in downregulated and upregulated gene sets.

Discussion

Calcifying marine species living at high latitudes may be particularly vulnerable to the effects of OA due to more extreme changes projected to occur in those regions ~~[15,75].~~[15,86]. Crustaceans in some high latitude regions are likely already experiencing acidified conditions seasonally; at present the Bering Sea shelf drops to seasonal lows of around pH 7.5 ~~[13]~~[13] and mean bottom pH is projected to drop by a further 0.3 units by 2100 ~~[15].~~[15]. Red king crab, which is one of several valuable commercial fisheries in Alaskan waters, are sensitive to changes in ocean chemistry at the juvenile stage, resulting in high mortality and decreased growth ~~[39,76].~~[51,87]. However, our supporting study ~~[42]~~[55] found that red king crab are surprisingly resilient to OA when exposure begins early in life – at hatch – with no impact to survival, growth, or development through the early juvenile (C1) stage. Here, we explore aspects of red king crab molecular physiology that are altered by long term exposure to acidification, and which may be critical to their survival, to understand their adaptive potential and improve population predictions. We provide the first study to describe the molecular signatures of juvenile red king crab that were reared from the larval stage in acidified conditions.

Expression of nearly half of all genes (44%) correlated significantly with pCO_2, suggesting a strong molecular response to OA conditions, contrary to the phenotypic results. There was a general reduction in transcriptional activity and inter-individual variability in OA-reared crab, suggesting that OA reduces the breadth of physiological functions compared to crabs reared in ambient seawater. Functional analysis of downregulated genes indicates that

energy production is depressed in OA conditions, which is likely associated with decreases in biosynthesis, the immune system, and myriad metabolic processes. Downregulated lipid and carbohydrate metabolic processes suggest a shift in metabolic strategy to protein catabolism, possibly to reduce CO_2 production and facilitate acid/base regulation. The limited energy is shunted towards transcriptional regulation mechanisms, signaling systems, and control of growth. Transposable elements (TEs) in OA-reared crab were highly active, particularly in the most severe OA treatment, and were expressed at consistent levels, suggesting that TEs play a role in the OA-response. In the remainder of this section we describe processes that are suppressed and triggered by OA exposure during development in red king crab, and expand on effects to TE activity. Finally, we discuss differences in gene expression variation observed among treatments, and consider whether there were significant genetic differences among treatment groups that may have contributed to the observed gene expression profiles.

Processes that are suppressed in OA-reared crab

OA resulted in a widespread downregulation of metabolic processes involved in multiple respiratory pathways, metabolism of a variety of compounds, and protein synthesis machinery (Figure 6B). Reduced metabolic activity may be one way that red king crabs mitigate acidosis ~~[77,78].~~[88,89]. Carbon dioxide is produced during respiration, therefore a decrease in metabolic activity reduces internal CO_2 production. Decreased mitochondrial activity can also reduce oxidative cellular stress by reducing mitochondrial production of reactive oxygen species, which might otherwise increase due to environmental stressors ~~[79–81].~~[90–92].

Another outcome of changes in metabolic activity could be a shift in metabolic strategy away from carbohydrate and lipid substrates and towards proteins to improve acid/base regulation ~~[82,83].~~[93,94]. Protein catabolism is less energy efficient, but instead of CO_2 it produces ammonia and bicarbonate, which can be used to buffer intracellular pH ~~[84].~~[95]. While we did detect downregulation of carbohydrate & lipid metabolism that suggests a

metabolic shift (Figure 6B), and increased transmembrane signaling, we did not detect increased ion regulation processes in response to OA. It is possible that ion transport was indeed higher, but was uncoupled from mRNA levels in our fully OA-acclimated crab ~~[85].~~[96]. Pairing gene expression with more direct physiological assays (e.g. excreted O:N ratio, in vivo Na+,K+-ATPase activity) would improve interpretation of how red king crab metabolic and ion regulation strategies respond to OA.

Metabolic changes are quite common in response to OA ~~[86,87].~~[97,98]. OA can cause metabolic depression (and more generally, reduction in gene activity) in crustaceans and other marine invertebrates ~~[88–92].~~[99–103]. In the present study, metabolic processes were downregulated in both the moderate and severe OA treatments, which likely reflects the sensitivity of red king crab to changes in ocean chemistry. Given these findings, we might expect metabolic rate to decrease in response to OA in red king crab. In previous respiration trials ~~[38]~~[50] there was no change to metabolic rate measured by oxygen consumption in juvenile red king crab exposed to the same OA conditions after three weeks. The decreased metabolic gene activity in the present study could reflect an acclimatory response that is only induce by long-term and/or multi-stage exposure – in our case three months from hatching - which may influence the physiology of crabs in a way that short term- or single life stage-exposure does not ~~[87].~~[98]. Decreased expression of metabolic functions may also reflect an interactive effect between OA treatment and seasonal temperature increase, which was not a factor in Long et al. ~~[38]~~[50] (they held crab at 5°C). Future studies should pair gene expression analysis with more direct measurements of metabolic rate (and other traditional physiological measurements) at varying temperatures to untangle the effects of OA and temperature on red king crab metabolic functioning.

OA affected the red king crab immune system. Genes involved in neuroinflammation (microglial cell activation), viral response, and the innate immune system decreased with pCO_2, although effects were less pronounced compared to metabolic changes. OA can negatively

affect the immune system in crustaceans ~~[22,35,93–95]~~[22,35,104–106] and other marine invertebrates ~~[96–98]~~[107–109]. The mechanisms by which OA alters immune function are not fully understood, and could relate to energy constraints and malfunction of immune-related enzymes and signaling/recognition pathways (among other mechanisms) ~~[96,98].~~[107,109]. We find evidence that immunosuppression may in part be due to activation of the stress response ~~[99].~~[110]. Genes coding for the octopamine receptors and a receptor for tyramine, the direct precursor of octopamine, were upregulated in OA. Octopamine is the invertebrate orthologue to norepinephrine, and is one of the key neurotransmitters that regulates the acute stress-response ~~[100].~~[111]. Interestingly, molecular chaperones (HSP70 and DNAJA1), which respond to a variety of stressors by refolding or facilitating the destruction of damaged proteins ([~~101,102~~][112,113]), increased in moderate OA but decreased substantially in severe OA, which suggests that those processes become ineffective as OA severity worsens. Further, it suggests that at moderate OA levels increased oxidative stress damages proteins, or that altered intracellular or extracellular pH affects folding patterns of proteins. While these changes did not ultimately result in mortality in the present study, our findings indicate that red king crab may be more vulnerable to co-occurring secondary stressors, such as thermal stress ~~[41,45]~~[53,54], due to immunosuppression and changes in cellular stress-response mechanisms. Additional multi-stressor studies are needed that expose red king crab to a range of pH levels alongside other stressors, particularly pathogen challenges.

Transposable elements are highly active in OA-reared crab

Many of the genes upregulated under OA conditions were transposable elements (TEs, or transposons). While TEs were present in all gene sets, including those that were more active in ambient conditions, they comprised a much larger percentage of genes upregulated in OA-reared crab (Figure 7, Supplemental Table ~~7~~8). TEs, or “jumping genes”, are DNA elements that move to new locations in the genome when activated, resulting in insertional mutations

~~[103].~~[114]. Retrotransposons, the class of TEs detected in our study, are mobilized by a copy-and-paste mechanism where the DNA sequence is transcribed and an RNA intermediary is then reverse-transcribed into a cDNA copy before being integrated into the genome ~~[104].~~[115]. Increased transposable element activity in response to environmental stress is well documented in a variety of eukaryotes ~~[105].~~[116]. There have been, however, only a few studies to detect stress-activated TEs in marine crustaceans ~~[106]~~[117], and to our knowledge this is the first to do so in response to OA. While TEs comprise large portions of the genomes of arthropods ~~[107]~~[118], including crustaceans ~~[46,108]~~[65,119], previous transcriptional characterization of stress-responses in crustaceans may have overlooked TE's due to the common practice of masking repeat elements from genomes during analysis ~~[109].~~[120]. Recent reports from a wide range of other taxa have also implicated TEs in the OA-response, including anemones ~~[110],~~ ~~clams [111], and diatoms [112].~~[121], clams [122], and diatoms [123]. This breadth of taxa, which now includes crustaceans, suggests that TEs are a common response to acidification exposure.

Why TEs can become activated under stress is still under debate, as is whether they are detrimental or beneficial to an organism's survival ~~[104].~~[115]. TEs may become more active because the mechanisms that suppress them are no longer functioning, which could negatively affect fitness due to pathological mutations. Alternatively, stress-activated TE's could act as regulatory elements and/or increase diversity of proteins available to the organism or its descendents, which may cause beneficial phenotypic variation. TEs may also be a component of the antiviral defense system, as they can stimulate the antiviral inflammatory response ~~[113,114].~~[124,125]. Deciphering why TE were more active in OA-reared crab is beyond the scope of this study. However, given that red king crab early life stages tolerated OA in this study, and that many genes that were upregulated at consistent levels among OA-exposed individuals were TEs, TE mobilization may be an effective component of the red king crab stress-response system, rather than simply the result of genomic instability. TEs are also strong

facilitators of adaptive evolution ~~[115,116]~~[126,127], thus OA-induced TE activity may benefit red king crab at an evolutionary scale, given that it could provide a mechanism for rapidly increasing genetic diversity ~~[105,117].~~[116,128]. It would be informative to examine whether the stress-induced mobilization of TE's increases mutation rates by re-integration into the germline, resulting in heritable changes to the genome, which can occur in other species ~~[118].~~[129]. If so, this could provide a mechanism by which OA exposure increases phenotypic diversity across generations.

Other processes that are more active in OA-reared crab

OA triggered a heavy investment in transcriptional regulation at multiple levels of transcriptional control. DNA methylation and histone demethylase activity was upregulated in OA-reared crab, which are epigenetic mechanisms that control transcription through changes to chromatin structure and DNA accessibility ~~[119].~~[130]. A large percentage of upregulated genes (18%) were associated with the regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoters. Increased expression was also detected in genes that code for post-translational gene control, such as Exportin-5, which is involved in mRNA silencing by microRNAs ~~[120].~~[131]. Increased transcription regulation activity in OA-reared crab explains the widespread changes in gene expression (44% of all genes correlated with pCO_2). Given that OA resulted in widespread downregulation of a variety of biological functions (Figure 6B, Supplemental Tables ~~3 &~~ 4 & 5), one purpose of the transcriptional regulatory response of OA-reared crab may be to shut down (or dampen) less critical processes. Additionally, since physiological metrics were unaffected by OA ~~[42]~~[55], changes in transcription regulation may reflect physiological reprogramming that optimizes gene activity for the OA-environment, for instance by shifting metabolic pathways to improve acid/base regulation, and decreasing activity of less-critical processes to conserve energy. In addition to the broad transcriptional effects, these regulatory elements may be responsible for the unleashing of transposable element activity in response to OA ~~[105].~~[116].

Cell signaling and nervous system development was substantially more active in OA-reared crab. Many upregulated genes were associated with signal transduction, and interacted with or spanned cell membranes (e.g. Teneurin-m, Semaphorin-1A, Ankyrin-2). Increased expression of these genes suggest that OA increases the need to transfer information between the external and internal environments, particularly across the plasma membrane, to regulate activity inside the cell. Investment in signaling molecules may also reflect negative effects of OA on transmembrane signaling, perhaps by damaging the membrane or cytoskeleton (e.g. due to oxidative stress ~~[81]~~[92]), or by decreasing signaling molecule binding affinity ~~[121,122]~~[132,133]. Investment in the nervous system may be necessary to fortify systems that monitor conditions in the environment. A gene coding for the protein “pinocchio”, which was upregulated in severe OA, is expressed in the antenna of some arthropods (e.g. fruit fly, ~~[123]~~[134]), and acts as a chemosensory receptor ~~[123,124]~~[134,135]. OA-associated carapace dissolution around neuritic canals is correlated with damaged setae in larval Dungeness crab or their underdevelopment ~~[125].~~[136]. Setae are important sensory structures which are innervated with chemo- and mechano-receptors ~~[126].~~[137]. Further, OA can alter the response of crabs to chemosensory cues suggesting a reduction in their ability to detect such cues ~~[127].~~[138]. The heavy investment in neurogenesis found here may be one way that OA-reared crab counteract the negative effects of OA to external sensory structures.

Genes involved in negative regulation of growth and cell proliferation were also upregulated in OA conditions (Figure 4A). Differentially upregulated genes included negative growth regulators Menin, Brain tumor protein, and Forkhead box protein O (FOXO). FOXO is a transcription factor that specifically inhibits growth in response to cellular stressors, including oxidative stress and nutrient deprivation ~~[128]~~[139], and may therefore be one regulatory mechanism connecting oxidative stress with OA exposure ~~[5]~~[5] and decreased growth rate previously seen in juvenile red king crab and related species ~~[25,38,39].~~[25,50,51]. Interestingly, our supporting study did not find any effects of OA on growth measured throughout the larval

stages and into the early juvenile stage. Had treatments continued it is possible that growth through the juvenile stage could have been impacted. Alternatively, negative growth regulators could reflect increased cellular response to DNA damage caused by OA. For example, FOXO negatively regulates growth by promoting cell cycle arrest, DNA repair and detoxification, and apoptosis ~~[129]~~[140], which may be needed in OA conditions due to damage from oxidative stress ~~[5].~~[5]. While this experiment's three-month exposure is long relative to much of the other research, it would be informative for future studies to extend OA treatments through the full juvenile stage, and where possible sexual maturity, to fully capture effects of OA on growth across life stages.

A previous study reported that OA-exposed juvenile red king crab predominantly upregulated genes related to the cuticle ~~[45]~~[54], which we did not see. On the contrary, five genes coding for cuticle proteins (CP1158 & CPAM119) were downregulated in severe OA-reared crab (but cuticle processes were not enriched). The Stillman et al. ~~[45]~~[54] experimental design was similar to the present study in many ways (similar laboratory & OA conditions), but the treatments were shorter in duration (three weeks) and occurred only during the juvenile stage. The transcriptional response of juvenile red king crab may therefore depend on whether they are OA-naive, or if they were previously exposed to OA conditions as larvae. Exposure to OA during early life stages may be particularly impactful, as it can alter an organism's physiological trajectory ~~[130,131].~~[141,142]. For instance, exposure to OA during oogenesis and embryogenesis positively impacts larval performance in snow crab ~~[132], and larval exposure to OA carries over to affect growth and shell strength later in life for the Olympia oyster [133–135].~~[143], and negatively affects larval size, morphology, mineral content, and metabolic rate in Tanner crab [144]. Another possible factor influencing the transcriptional response to OA is when red king crab are exposed relative to their molt cycle, which greatly influences gene expression ~~[136,137].~~[145,146]. We sampled juveniles early in the intermolt stage and, because of the design, know that all crabs were sampled at the same stage in the molt cycle (at ~5 days

post molt). The crabs sampled by Stillman et al. [45][54] were not standardized by where they were in the molt cycle, thus possibly capturing some effects of OA on crabs in the early pre-molt stage when the new exoskeleton is being synthesized. A time-series analysis of the transcriptome in varying OA environments, particularly across multiple stages of the molt-cycle, would provide a more integrated view of the crustacean OA response.

OA decreases gene expression variability, which is not explained by genetic differences

The variability in gene expression was much lower among individuals reared in OA treatments than those reared in ambient pH. This is evident in the PCA constructed from genome-wide expression data (Figure 1), which reveals tighter clustering as OA treatment severity increases. Genes upregulated in OA-reared crabs were also expressed at more consistent levels, particularly in the severe OA-reared crab (Figure 2b, Table 3), suggesting the need for highly controlled levels of transcripts that perform critical functions. This tight transcriptional control may reduce the scope for potential responses to other stressors (e.g. warming, pathogens), which could explain synergistic effects of OA and warming on survival reported for red king crab [41].[53]. Given the possibility for genotype-specific gene expression influencing our transcriptional results, we investigated whether genetic composition differed among OA treatments (i.e. did larvae from only a few families survive in OA treatments). We found no evidence of genetic differences among treatments - samples did not cluster by treatment in the genetic PCA (Figure 1, Supplemental Figure 2), and no families were over- or under-represented in any treatment. The constricted gene expression pattern observed in juveniles reared in OA treatments therefore is not likely attributed to genotype-specific expression, but is a plastic response to OA conditions that is consistent across many families. This may reflect a lack of standing genetic variability that is needed to fuel rapid adaptation to OA [138][147], as transcriptional variability and other measures of phenotypic plasticity in response to OA may be associated with the tolerance of a species or population [139][148], or their potential for adaptive

selection ~~[140]~~[149]. It must be noted that our genetic analysis is limited to variants within transcribed genes, and therefore may not fully capture variation across the genome. As the OA literature continues to mature, closer attention should be paid to transcriptional variability among OA-exposed organisms, and how it relates to the species' tolerance.

Conclusion

Red king crab juveniles are quite sensitive to short-term OA exposure, even when compared to closely related species ~~[38,76].~~[50,87]. When reared in OA from hatching through the early juvenile stage, however, typical response metrics (growth, development, survival) are unaffected ~~[42]~~[55], suggesting a shift in molecular mechanisms that enable OA tolerance. Our findings reveal that red king crab reared in OA conditions have a narrowed, possibly optimized, set of gene functions that may reflect physiological reprogramming for the OA environment ~~[141].~~[150]. Still unknown is whether OA tolerance in OA-reared crab persists through all juvenile stages, as metabolic limitations and depressed immune function, revealed here by gene expression analysis, may ultimately make them more vulnerable to infection or secondary stressors and limit growth. Only a handful of processes are upregulated in OA, indicating a critical need for a more active and developed nervous system, and tight regulation of transcription and control of growth. Given the universal and invariable upregulation of transposable elements in OA-exposed crab, TE activity may serve as an effective OA response by producing novel or cryptic transcripts, but these effects may only be fully realized in future generations. Multi-stressor studies are needed, with a focus on the immunological effects of OA, the role (and vulnerability) of the nervous system in an acidified world, and the potential role of TE's in fueling adaptation.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to Jennifer Gardner and Allie Batemen for helping to rear the larvae in the lab, Darren Pilcher for providing calcite projections for the Bering Sea, Brian Garber-Yonts and Michael Smith for providing fishery valuation information, Giles Goetz for computing assistance, ~~and~~ Shannon Meseck ~~&~~, Shallin Busch, and two anonymous reviewers for their thorough manuscript reviews. The findings and conclusions in the paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA. Reference to trade names or commercial firms does not imply endorsement by the National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA.

Ethics statement

All pertinent laws and regulations were followed.

References

1. Cooley, S., D. Schoeman, L. Bopp, P. Boyd, S. Donner, D.Y. Ghebrehiwet, S.-I. Ito, W. Kiessling, P. Martinetto, E. Ojea, M.-F. Racault, B. Rost, and M. Skern-Mauritzen, 2022: Oceans and Coastal Ecosystems and Their Services. In: Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, M. Tignor, E.S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Löschke, V. Möller, A. Okem, B. Rama (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, pp. 379–550, doi:10.1017/9781009325844.005

1. Cooley, S., D. Schoeman, L. Bopp, P. Boyd, S. Donner, D.Y. Ghebrehiwet, S.-I. Ito, W. Kiessling, P. Martinetto, E. Ojea, M.-F. Racault, B. Rost, and M. Skern-Mauritzen. Oceans and Coastal Ecosystems and Their Services. In Cambridge University Press; 2022 [cited 2023 Oct 4]. p. 379–550. Available from: https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9781009325844%23c3/type/book_part

2. Gruber N, Clement D, Carter BR, Feely RA, van Heuven S, Hoppema M, et al. The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO2 from 1994 to 2007. Science [Internet]. 2019 Mar 15;363(6432):1193–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aau5153

3. Feely RA, Sabine CL, Lee K, Berelson W, Kleypas J, Fabry VJ, et al. Impact of anthropogenic CO2 on the CaCO3 system in the oceans. Science [Internet]. 2004 Jul 16;305(5682):362–6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1097329

4. Figuerola B, Hancock AM, Bax N, Cummings VJ, Downey R, Griffiths HJ, et al. A Review and Meta-Analysis of Potential Impacts of Ocean Acidification on Marine Calcifiers From the Southern Ocean [Internet]. Vol. 8, Frontiers in Marine Science. 2021. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.584445

5. Melzner F, Mark FC, Seibel BA, Tomanek L. Ocean Acidification and Coastal Marine Invertebrates: Tracking CO2 Effects from Seawater to the Cell. Ann Rev Mar Sci [Internet]. 2019 Aug 26; Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010419-010658

6. Mathis J, NOAA, Cross J, Evans W, Doney S. Ocean Acidification in the Surface Waters of the Pacific-Arctic Boundary Regions [Internet]. Vol. 25, Oceanography. 2015. p. 122–35. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2015.36

7. Fabry V, McClintock J, Mathis J, Grebmeier J. Ocean Acidification at High Latitudes: The Bellwether [Internet]. Vol. 22, Oceanography. 2009. p. 160–71. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2009.105

8. Terhaar J, Kwiatkowski L, Bopp L. Emergent constraint on Arctic Ocean acidification in the twenty-first century. Nature [Internet]. 2020 Jun;582(7812):379–83. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2360-3

9. Wiese FK, Wiseman WJ, Van Pelt TI. Bering Sea linkages. Deep Sea Res Part 2 Top Stud Oceanogr [Internet]. 2012 Jun 15;65-70:2–5. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0967064512000380

10. Mathis JT, Cross JN, Bates NR. Coupling primary production and terrestrial runoff to ocean acidification and carbonate mineral suppression in the eastern Bering Sea. J Geophys Res [Internet]. 2011 Feb 19;116(C2). Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2010JC006453

11. Mathis JT, Cross JN, Bates NR. The role of ocean acidification in systemic carbonate mineral suppression in the Bering Sea. Geophys Res Lett [Internet]. 2011 Oct;38. Available from: https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2011GL048884

12. Cross JN, Mathis JT, Bates NR, Byrne RH. Conservative and non-conservative variations of total alkalinity on the southeastern Bering Sea shelf. Mar Chem [Internet]. 2013 Aug 20;154:100–12. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030442031300100X

13. Mathis JT, Cross JN, Monacci N, Feely RA, Stabeno P. Evidence of prolonged aragonite undersaturations in the bottom waters of the southern Bering Sea shelf from autonomous sensors. Deep Sea Res Part 2 Top Stud Oceanogr [Internet]. 2014 Nov 1;109:125–33. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0967064513002932

14. Mathis JT, Cooley SR, Lucey N, Colt S, Ekstrom J, Hurst T, et al. Ocean acidification risk assessment for Alaska's fishery sector [Internet]. Vol. 136, Progress in Oceanography. 2015. p. 71–91. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2014.07.001

15. Pilcher DJ, Cross JN, Hermann AJ, Kearney KA, Cheng W, Mathis JT. Dynamically downscaled projections of ocean acidification for the Bering Sea. Deep Sea Res Part 2 Top Stud Oceanogr [Internet]. 2022 Apr 1;198:105055. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0967064522000406

16. Mueter FJ, Planque B, Hunt GL, Alabia ID, Hirawake T, Eisner L, et al. Possible future scenarios in the gateways to the Arctic for Subarctic and Arctic marine systems: II. prey resources, food webs, fish, and fisheries. ICES J Mar Sci [Internet]. 2021 Oct 8 [cited 2022 Sep 13];78(9):3017–45. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/article-abstract/78/9/3017/6384790

17. Smetacek V, Nicol S. Polar ocean ecosystems in a changing world. Nature [Internet]. 2005 Sep 15;437(7057):362–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature04161

18. Punt AE, Poljak D, Dalton MG, Foy RJ. Evaluating the impact of ocean acidification on fishery yields and profits: The example of red king crab in Bristol Bay. Ecol Modell [Internet]. 2014 Aug 10;285:39–53. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304380014001987

19. Punt AE, Foy RJ, Dalton MG, Long WC, Swiney KM. Effects of long-term exposure to ocean acidification conditions on future southern Tanner crab (Chionoecetes bairdi) fisheries management. ICES J Mar Sci [Internet]. 2015 Nov 6 [cited 2022 Jun 2];73(3):849–64. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/article-abstract/73/3/849/2458912

20. Bednaršek N, Ambrose R, Calosi P, Childers RK, Feely RA, Litvin SY, et al. Synthesis of Thresholds of Ocean Acidification Impacts on Decapods. Frontiers in Marine Science [Internet]. 2021;8. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmars.2021.651102

21. Pane, Barry. Extracellular acid–base regulation during short-term hypercapnia is effective in a shallow-water crab, but ineffective in a deep-sea crab. Mar Ecol Prog Ser [Internet]. 2007;334(0171-8630). Available from: 2. Gruber N, Clement D, Carter BR, Feely RA, van Heuven S, Hoppema M, et al. The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO2 from 1994 to 2007. Science. 2019;363: 1193–1199. doi:10.1126/science.aau5153

3. Feely RA, Sabine CL, Lee K, Berelson W, Kleypas J, Fabry VJ, et al. Impact of anthropogenic CO2 on the CaCO3 system in the oceans. Science. 2004;305: 362–366. doi:10.1126/science.1097329

4. Figuerola B, Hancock AM, Bax N, Cummings VJ, Downey R, Griffiths HJ, et al. A Review and Meta-Analysis of Potential Impacts of Ocean Acidification on Marine Calcifiers From the Southern Ocean. Frontiers in Marine Science. 2021. doi:10.3389/fmars.2021.584445

5. Melzner F, Mark FC, Seibel BA, Tomanek L. Ocean Acidification and Coastal Marine Invertebrates: Tracking CO2 Effects from Seawater to the Cell. Ann Rev Mar Sci. 2019. doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-010419-010658

6. Mathis J, NOAA, Cross J, Evans W, Doney S. Ocean Acidification in the Surface Waters of the Pacific-Arctic Boundary Regions. Oceanography. 2015. pp. 122–135. doi:10.5670/oceanog.2015.36

7. Fabry V, McClintock J, Mathis J, Grebmeier J. Ocean Acidification at High Latitudes: The Bellwether. Oceanography. 2009. pp. 160–171. doi:10.5670/oceanog.2009.105

8. Terhaar J, Kwiatkowski L, Bopp L. Emergent constraint on Arctic Ocean acidification in the twenty-first century. Nature. 2020;582: 379–383. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2360-3

9. Wiese FK, Wiseman WJ, Van Pelt TI. Bering Sea linkages. Deep Sea Res Part 2 Top Stud Oceanogr. 2012;65-70: 2–5. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.03.001

10. Mathis JT, Cross JN, Bates NR. Coupling primary production and terrestrial runoff to ocean acidification and carbonate mineral suppression in the eastern Bering Sea. J Geophys Res. 2011;116. doi:10.1029/2010jc006453

11. Mathis JT, Cross JN, Bates NR. The role of ocean acidification in systemic carbonate mineral suppression in the Bering Sea. Geophys Res Lett. 2011;38. doi:10.1029/2011GL048884

12. Cross JN, Mathis JT, Bates NR, Byrne RH. Conservative and non-conservative variations of total alkalinity on the southeastern Bering Sea shelf. Mar Chem. 2013;154: 100–112. doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2013.05.012

13. Mathis JT, Cross JN, Monacci N, Feely RA, Stabeno P. Evidence of prolonged aragonite undersaturations in the bottom waters of the southern Bering Sea shelf from autonomous sensors. Deep Sea Res Part 2 Top Stud Oceanogr. 2014;109: 125–133. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.07.019

14. Mathis JT, Cooley SR, Lucey N, Colt S, Ekstrom J, Hurst T, et al. Ocean acidification risk assessment for Alaska's fishery sector. Progress in Oceanography. 2015. pp. 71–91. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2014.07.001

15. Pilcher DJ, Cross JN, Hermann AJ, Kearney KA, Cheng W, Mathis JT. Dynamically downscaled projections of ocean acidification for the Bering Sea. Deep Sea Res Part 2 Top Stud Oceanogr. 2022;198: 105055. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2022.105055

16. Mueter FJ, Planque B, Hunt GL, Alabia ID, Hirawake T, Eisner L, et al. Possible future scenarios in the gateways to the Arctic for Subarctic and Arctic marine systems: II. prey resources, food webs, fish, and fisheries. ICES J Mar Sci. 2021;78: 3017–3045. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsab122

17. Smetacek V, Nicol S. Polar ocean ecosystems in a changing world. Nature. 2005;437: 362–368. doi:10.1038/nature04161

18. Punt AE, Poljak D, Dalton MG, Foy RJ. Evaluating the impact of ocean acidification on fishery yields and profits: The example of red king crab in Bristol Bay. Ecol Modell. 2014;285: 39–53. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2014.04.017

19. Punt AE, Foy RJ, Dalton MG, Long WC, Swiney KM. Effects of long-term exposure to ocean acidification conditions on future southern Tanner crab (Chionoecetes bairdi) fisheries management. ICES J Mar Sci. 2015;73: 849–864. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv205

20. Bednaršek N, Ambrose R, Calosi P, Childers RK, Feely RA, Litvin SY, et al. Synthesis of Thresholds of Ocean Acidification Impacts on Decapods. Frontiers in Marine Science. 2021;8. doi:10.3389/fmars.2021.651102

21. Pane, Barry. Extracellular acid–base regulation during short-term hypercapnia is effective in a shallow-water crab, but ineffective in a deep-sea crab. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2007;334. Available: https://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v334/p1-9/

~~22. Meseck SL, Alix JH, Swiney KM, Long WC, Wikfors GH, Foy RJ. Ocean Acidification Affects Hemocyte Physiology in the Tanner Crab (Chionoecetes bairdi). PLoS One [Internet]. 2016 Feb 9;11(2):e0148477. Available from:~~ 22. Meseck SL, Alix JH, Swiney KM, Long WC, Wikfors GH,

Foy RJ. Ocean Acidification Affects Hemocyte Physiology in the Tanner Crab (Chionoecetes bairdi). PLoS One. 2016;11: e0148477. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148477

23. Pörtner HO. Ecosystem effects of ocean acidification in times of ocean warming: a physiologist's view. Mar Ecol Prog Ser [Internet]. 2008;373:203–17. Available from: 23. Pörtner H-O. Ecosystem effects of ocean acidification in times of ocean warming: a physiologist's view. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2008;373: 203–217. Available: https://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v373/p203-217/

24. Ries JB, Cohen AL, McCorkle DC. Marine calcifiers exhibit mixed responses to CO2-induced ocean acidification. Geology [Internet]. 2009 Dec 1 [cited 2018 Dec 12];37(12):1131–4. Available from: https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/gsa/geology/article/37/12/1131-1134/103987 Ries JB, Cohen AL, McCorkle DC. Marine calcifiers exhibit mixed responses to CO2-induced ocean acidification. Geology. 2009;37: 1131–1134. doi:10.1130/G30210A.1

25. Long WC, Van Sant SB, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Survival, growth, and morphology of blue king crabs: effect of ocean acidification decreases with exposure time. ICES J Mar Sci [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2022 Jun 2];74(4):1033–41. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/article-abstract/74/4/1033/2731237

26. Whiteley NM. Physiological and ecological responses of crustaceans to ocean acidification. Mar Ecol Prog Ser [Internet]. 2011 May 26;430:257–71. Available from: http://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v430/p257-271/

27. Long WC, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of ocean acidification on young-of-the-year golden king crab (Lithodes aequispinus) survival and growth. Mar Biol [Internet]. 2021 Aug;168(8). Available from: https://idp.springer.com/authorize/casa?redirect_uri=https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00227-021-03930-y&casa_token=5p87HARS0IcAAAAA:JvwU-13QMA5cIu-IVcU-Hmf0nDVBgYEWslVehCjBZGGf27fIa-I98zJ1Sj4Ac8CJq5NiwMbf_-kLHlU

28. Siegel KR, Kaur M, Grigal AC, Metzler RA, Dickinson GH. Meta-analysis suggests negative, but pCO2-specific, effects of ocean acidification on the structural and functional properties of crustacean biomaterials. Ecol Evol [Internet]. 2022 Jul;12(6):e8922. Available from: 25. Long WC, Van Sant SB, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Survival, growth, and morphology of blue king crabs: effect of ocean acidification decreases with exposure time. ICES J Mar Sci. 2017;74: 1033–1041. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw197

26. Whiteley NM. Physiological and ecological responses of crustaceans to ocean acidification. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2011;430: 257–271. doi:10.3354/meps09185

27. Long WC, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of ocean acidification on young-of-the-year golden king crab (Lithodes aequispinus) survival and growth. Mar Biol. 2021;168. doi:10.1007/s00227-021-03930-y

28. Siegel KR, Kaur M, Grigal AC, Metzler RA, Dickinson GH. Meta-analysis suggests negative, but pCO2-specific, effects of ocean acidification on the structural and functional properties of crustacean biomaterials. Ecol Evol. 2022;12: e8922. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8922

29. Dickinson GH, Bejerano S, Salvador T, Makdisi C, Patel S, Long WC, et al. Ocean acidification alters properties of the exoskeleton in adult Tanner crabs, Chionoecetes bairdi. J Exp Biol [Internet]. 2021 Feb 5;224(Pt 3). Available from: 29. Dickinson GH, Bejerano S, Salvador T, Makdisi C, Patel S, Long WC, et al. Ocean acidification alters properties of the exoskeleton in adult Tanner crabs, Chionoecetes bairdi. J Exp Biol. 2021;224. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.232819

30. Dissanayake A, Ishimatsu A. Synergistic effects of elevated CO2 and temperature on the metabolic scope and activity in a shallow-water coastal decapod (Metapenaeus joyneri; Crustacea: Penaeidae). ICES J Mar Sci [Internet]. 2011 Feb 4 [cited 2022 Sep 13];68(6):1147–54. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/article-abstract/68/6/1147/696833

31. Thor P, Bailey A, Dupont S, Calosi P, Søreide JE, De Wit P, et al. Contrasting physiological responses to future ocean acidification among Arctic copepod populations. Glob Chang Biol [Internet]. 2018 Jan;24(1):e365–77. Available from: 30. Dissanayake A, Ishimatsu A. Synergistic effects of elevated CO2 and temperature on the metabolic scope and activity in a shallow-water coastal decapod (Metapenaeus joyneri; Crustacea: Penaeidae). ICES J Mar Sci. 2011;68: 1147–1154. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsq188

31. Thor P, Bailey A, Dupont S, Calosi P, Søreide JE, De Wit P, et al. Contrasting physiological responses to future ocean acidification among Arctic copepod populations. Glob Chang Biol. 2018;24: e365–e377. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13870

32. Swiney KM, Long WC, Foy RJ. Effects of high pCO2 on Tanner crab reproduction and early life history—Part I: long-term exposure reduces hatching success and female calcification, and alters embryonic development. ICES J Mar Sci [Internet]. 2015 Nov 27 [cited 2022 Sep 12];73(3):825–35. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/article-abstract/73/3/825/2458897

33. Clements JC, Comeau LA. Behavioral Defenses of Shellfish Prey under Ocean Acidification. shre [Internet]. 2019 Dec [cited 2022 Sep 13];38(3):725–42. Available from: https://bioone.org/journals/journal-of-shellfish-research/volume-38/issue-3/035.038.0324/Behavioral-Defenses-of-Shellfish-Prey-under-Ocean-Acidification/10.2983/035.038.0324.short

34. Dodd LF, Grabowski JH, Piehler MF, Westfield I, Ries JB. Ocean acidification impairs crab foraging behaviour. Proc Biol Sci [Internet]. 2015 Jul 7;282(1810). Available from: 32. Swiney KM, Long WC, Foy RJ. Effects of high pCO2 on Tanner crab reproduction and early life history—Part I: long-term exposure reduces hatching success and female calcification, and alters embryonic development. ICES J Mar Sci. 2015;73: 825–835. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv201

33. Clements JC, Comeau LA. Behavioral Defenses of Shellfish Prey under Ocean Acidification. shre. 2019;38: 725–742. doi:10.2983/035.038.0324

34. Dodd LF, Grabowski JH, Piehler MF, Westfield I, Ries JB. Ocean acidification impairs crab foraging behaviour. Proc Biol Sci. 2015;282. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.0333

35. Shields JD. Climate change enhances disease processes in crustaceans: case studies in lobsters, crabs, and shrimps. J Crustacean Biol [Internet]. 2019 Nov 4 [cited 2022 Sep 1];39(6):673–83. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/jcb/article-abstract/39/6/673/5611664

36. McElhany P, Busch DS, Lawrence A, Maher M, Perez D, Reinhardt EM, et al. Higher survival but smaller size of juvenile Dungeness crab (Metacarcinus magister) in high CO2. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol [Internet]. 2022 Oct 1;555:151781. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022098122000892

37. NOAA Fisheries Office of Science and Technology, Commercial Landings Query, Available at: www.fisheries.noaa.gov/foss, Accessed /04/2023

38. Long WC, Pruisner P, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of ocean acidification on the respiration and feeding of juvenile red and blue king crabs (Paralithodes camtschaticus and P. platypus). ICES J Mar Sci [Internet]. 2019 Jun 4 [cited 2022 Jun 3];76(5):1335–43. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/article-abstract/76/5/1335/5510569

39. Long WC, Swiney KM, Harris C, Page HN, Foy RJ. Effects of ocean acidification on juvenile red king crab (Paralithodes camtschaticus) and Tanner crab (Chionoecetes bairdi) growth, condition, calcification, and survival. PLoS One [Internet]. 2013 Apr 4;8(4):e60959. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060959

40. Christopher Long W, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of ocean acidification on the embryos and larvae of red king crab, Paralithodes camtschaticus. Mar Pollut Bull [Internet]. 2013 Apr 15;69(1-2):38–47.

~~Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.01.011~~

~~41. Swiney KM, Long WC, Foy RJ. Decreased pH and increased temperatures affect young-of-the-year red king crab (Paralithodes camtschaticus). ICES J Mar Sci [Internet]. 2017 Apr 18 [cited 2023 Jan 17];74(4):1191–200. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/article-abstract/74/4/1191/3739849~~

~~42. Long WC, Gardner JL, Conrad A, Foy R. Effects of ocean acidification on red king crab larval survival and development [Internet]. bioRxiv. 2023 [cited 2023 Oct 4]. p. 2023.10.02.560246. Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.10.02.560246v1~~

~~43~~35. Shields JD. Climate change enhances disease processes in crustaceans: case studies in lobsters, crabs, and shrimps. J Crustacean Biol. 2019;39: 673–683. doi:10.1093/jcbiol/ruz072

36. Wittmann AC, Pörtner H-O. Sensitivities of extant animal taxa to ocean acidification. Nat Clim Chang. 2013;3: 995–1001. doi:10.1038/nclimate1982

37. McElhany P, Busch DS, Lawrence A, Maher M, Perez D, Reinhardt EM, et al. Higher survival but smaller size of juvenile Dungeness crab (Metacarcinus magister) in high CO2. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 2022;555: 151781. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2022.151781

38. Miller JJ, Maher M, Bohaboy E, Friedman CS, McElhany P. Exposure to low pH reduces survival and delays development in early life stages of Dungeness crab (Cancer magister). Mar Biol. 2016;163: 118. doi:10.1007/s00227-016-2883-1

39. Fehsenfeld S, Weihrauch D. Differential acid-base regulation in various gills of the green crab Carcinus maenas: Effects of elevated environmental pCO2. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol. 2013;164: 54–65. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2012.09.016

40. Fehsenfeld S, Kiko R, Appelhans Y, Towle DW, Zimmer M, Melzner F. Effects of elevated seawater pCO(2) on gene expression patterns in the gills of the green crab, Carcinus maenas. BMC Genomics. 2011;12: 488. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-12-488

41. Shen Q, Wang WX, Chen HG, Zhu HG, Chen JH, Gao H. Transcriptome analysis of Exopalaemon carinicauda (Holthuis, 1950) (Caridea, Palaemonidae) in response to CO2-driven acidification. Crustaceana. 2021;94: 661–677. doi:10.1163/15685403-bja10121

42. Zhu S, Yan X, Shen C, Wu L, Tang D, Wang Y, et al. Transcriptome analysis of the gills of Eriocheir sinensis provide novel insights into the molecular mechanisms of the pH stress response. Gene. 2022;833: 146588. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2022.146588

43. Luo B-Y, Qian H-L, Jiang H-C, Xiong X-Y, Ye B-Q, Liu X, et al. Transcriptional changes revealed water acidification leads to the immune response and ovary maturation delay in the Chinese mitten crab Eriocheir sinensis. Comp Biochem Physiol Part D Genomics Proteomics. 2021;39: 100868. doi:10.1016/j.cbd.2021.100868

44. Hammer KM, Pedersen SA, Størseth TR. Elevated seawater levels of CO2 change the metabolic fingerprint of tissues and hemolymph from the green shore crab Carcinus maenas. Comp Biochem Physiol Part D Genomics Proteomics. 2012;7: 292–302. doi:10.1016/j.cbd.2012.06.001

45. Trigg SA, McElhany P, Maher M, Perez D, Busch DS, Nichols KM. Uncovering mechanisms of global ocean change effects on the Dungeness crab (Cancer magister) through metabolomics analysis. Sci Rep. 2019;9: 10717. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-46947-6

46. Noisette F, Calosi P, Madeira D, Chemel M, Menu-Courey K, Piedalue S, et al. Tolerant Larvae and Sensitive Juveniles: Integrating Metabolomics and Whole-Organism Responses to Define Life-Stage Specific Sensitivity to Ocean Acidification in the American Lobster. Metabolites. 2021;11. doi:10.3390/metabo11090584

47. FQ LANDINGS. [cited 4 Oct 2023]. Available: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/foss/f?p=215:10:2807398673803

48. Stevens BG, Swiney KM. Hatch Timing, Incubation Period, and Reproductive Cycle for Captive Primiparous and Multiparous Red King Crab, Paralithodes Camtschaticus. J Crustacean Biol. 2007;27: 37–48. doi:10.1651/S-2663.1

49. Stevens BG, Swiney KM. Post-settlement effects of habitat type and predator size on cannibalism of glaucothoe and juveniles of red king crab Paralithodes camtschaticus. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 2005;321: 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2004.12.026

50. Long WC, Pruisner P, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of ocean acidification on the respiration and feeding of juvenile red and blue king crabs (Paralithodes camtschaticus and P. platypus). ICES J Mar Sci. 2019;76: 1335–1343. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsz090

51. Long WC, Swiney KM, Harris C, Page HN, Foy RJ. Effects of ocean acidification on juvenile red king crab (Paralithodes camtschaticus) and Tanner crab (Chionoecetes bairdi) growth, condition, calcification, and survival. PLoS One. 2013;8: e60959. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060959

52. Long CW, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of ocean acidification on the embryos and larvae of red king crab, Paralithodes camtschaticus. Mar Pollut Bull. 2013;69: 38–47. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.01.011

53. Swiney KM, Long WC, Foy RJ. Decreased pH and increased temperatures affect young-of-the-year red king crab (Paralithodes camtschaticus). ICES J Mar Sci. 2017;74: 1191–1200. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw251

54. Stillman JH, Fay SA, Ahmad SM, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Transcriptomic response to decreased pH in adult, larval and juvenile red king crab, Paralithodes camtschaticus, and interactive effects of pH and temperature on juveniles. J Mar Biol Assoc U K. 2020;100: 251–265. doi:10.1017/S002531541900119X

55. Long WC, Gardner JL, Conrad A, Foy R. Effects of ocean acidification on red king crab larval survival and development. bioRxiv. 2023. p. 2023.10.02.560246. doi:10.1101/2023.10.02.560246

56. Sokolova IM, Frederich M, Bagwe R, Lannig G, Sukhotin AA. Energy homeostasis as an integrative tool for assessing limits of environmental stress tolerance in aquatic invertebrates. Mar Environ Res. 2012;79: 1–15. doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2012.04.003

57. Millero FJ. The pH of estuarine waters. Limnol Oceanogr. 1986;31: 839–847. doi:10.4319/lo.1986.31.4.0839

58. . ~~Sokolova IM, Frederich M, Bagwe R, Lannig G, Sukhotin AA. Energy homeostasis as an integrative tool for assessing limits of environmental stress tolerance in aquatic invertebrates. Mar Environ Res [Internet]. 2012 Aug;79:1–15. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2012.04.003~~

~~44. Connon RE, Jeffries KM, Komoroske LM, Todgham AE, Fangue NA. The utility of transcriptomics in fish conservation. J Exp Biol [Internet]. 2018 Jan 29;221(Pt 2). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.148833~~

~~45. Stillman JH, Fay SA, Ahmad SM, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Transcriptomic response to decreased pH in adult, larval and juvenile red king crab, Paralithodes camtschaticus, and interactive effects of pH and temperature on juveniles. J Mar Biol Assoc U K [Internet]. 2020;100(2):251–65. Available from: https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-the-marine-biological-association-of-the-united-kingdom/article/transcriptomic-response-to-decreased-ph-in-adult-larval-and-juvenile-red-king-crab-paralithodes-camtschaticus-and-interactive-effects-of-ph-and-temperature-on-juveniles/21D671583E8C9700FBAFDA872ACC6536~~

46. Veldsman WP, Ma KY, Hui JHL, Chan TF, Baeza JA, Qin J, et al. Comparative genomics of the coconut crab and other decapod crustaceans: exploring the molecular basis of terrestrial adaptation. BMC Genomics [Internet]. 2021 Apr 30;22(1):313. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12864-021-07636-9

47. Millero FJ. The pH of estuarine waters. Limnol Oceanogr [Internet]. 1986 Jul;31(4):839–47. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.4319/lo.1986.31.4.0839

Dickson AG, Goyet C. Handbook of methods for the analysis of the various parameters of the carbon dioxide system in sea water. Version 2. Oak Ridge National Lab. (ORNL), Oak Ridge, TN (United States); 1994 Sep. Report No.: ORNL/CDIAC-74. doi:10.2172/10107773 48. Dickson AG, Goyet C. Handbook of methods for the analysis of the various parameters of the carbon dioxide system in sea water. Version 2 [Internet]. Oak Ridge National Lab. (ORNL), Oak Ridge, TN (United States); 1994 Sep [cited 2023 Oct 4]. Report No.: ORNL/CDIAC-74. Available from: https://www.osti.gov/biblio/10107773

59. Dickson AG, Sabine CL, Christian JR. Guide to Best Practices for Ocean CO2 Measurements. North Pacific Marine Science Organization; 2007. Available: 49. Dickson AG, Sabine CL, Christian JR. Guide to Best Practices for Ocean CO2 Measurements [Internet]. North Pacific Marine Science Organization; 2007. 175 p. Available from: https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=lZDGSgAACAAJ

50. Gattuso JP, Epitalon JM, Lavigne H, Orr J, Gentili B, Hagens M, et al. Package "seacarb." Preprint at http://cran r-project org/package= seacarb [Internet]. 2015; Available from: 60. Gattuso J-P, Epitalon J-M, Lavigne H, Orr J, Gentili B, Hagens M, et al. Package "seacarb." Preprint at http://cran r-project org/package= seacarb. 2015. Available: ftp://mirror.csclub.uwaterloo.ca/CRAN/web/packages/seacarb/seacarb.pdf

61. Swingle JS, Daly B, Hetrick J. Temperature effects on larval survival, larval period, and health of hatchery-reared red king crab, Paralithodes camtschaticus. Aquaculture. 2013;384-387: 13–18. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.12.015

62. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet.journal. 2011;17: 10–12. doi:10.14806/ej.17.1.200

63. 51. Reum JCP, Alin SR, Feely RA, Newton J, Warner M, McElhany P. Seasonal carbonate chemistry covariation with temperature, oxygen, and salinity in a fjord estuary: implications for the design of ocean acidification experiments. PLoS One [Internet]. 2014 Feb 19;9(2):e89619. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089619

Andrews S. A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data [Online]. 2010. Available: 52. Reum JCP, Alin SR, Harvey CJ, Bednaršek N, Evans W, Feely RA, et al. Interpretation and design of ocean acidification experiments in upwelling systems in the context of carbonate chemistry co-variation with temperature and oxygen. ICES J Mar Sci [Internet]. 2015 Jan 7 [cited 2023 Jan 18];73(3):582–95. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/article/73/3/582/2457875

53. Swingle JS, Daly B, Hetrick J. Temperature effects on larval survival, larval period, and health of hatchery-reared red king crab, Paralithodes camtschaticus. Aquaculture [Internet]. 2013 Mar 25;384-387:13–8. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0044848612007375

54. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet.journal [Internet]. 2011 May 2 [cited 2021 Mar 28];17(1):10–2. Available from: http://journal.embnet.org/index.php/embnetjournal/article/view/200

55. Andrews S. A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data [Online] [Internet]. 2010.

Available from: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/

56. 64. Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2016 Oct 1;32(19):3047–8. Available from: Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics. 2016;32: 3047–3048. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354

57. Veldsman WP, Ma KY, Hui JHL, Chan TF, Baeza AJ, Qin J, et al. Nuclear genomes of Birgus latro, Paralithodes camtschaticus, and Panulirus ornatus [Internet]. 2021. Available from: https://zenodo.org/record/4589425

65. Veldsman WP, Ma KY, Hui JHL, Chan TF, Baeza JA, Qin J, et al. Comparative genomics of the coconut crab and other decapod crustaceans: exploring the molecular basis of terrestrial adaptation. BMC Genomics. 2021;22: 313. doi:10.1186/s12864-021-07636-9

66. Veldsman WP, Ma KY, Hui JHL, Chan TF, Baeza AJ, Qin J, et al. Nuclear genomes of Birgus latro, Paralithodes camtschaticus, and Panulirus ornatus. 2021. doi:10.5281/zenodo.4589425

58. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods [Internet]. 2012 Mar 4;9(4):357–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923

59. 67. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 2012;9: 357–359. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923

68. Tong L, Wu P-Y, Phan JH, Hassazadeh HR, SEQC Consortium, Tong W, et al. Impact of RNA-seq data analysis algorithms on gene expression estimation and downstream prediction. Sci Rep. 2020;10: 17925. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-74567-y

69. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30: 923–930. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

70. Tong L, Wu PY, Phan JH, Hassazadeh HR, SEQC Consortium, Tong W, et al. Impact of RNA-seq data analysis algorithms on gene expression estimation and downstream prediction. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2020 Oct 21;10(1):17925. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-74567-y

60. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2014 Apr 1;30(7):923–30. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

61. UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res [Internet]. 2021 Jan 8;49(D1):D480–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1100UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49: D480–D489. doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa1100

71. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10: 421. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-421

72. McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. 2010;20: 1297–1303. doi:10.1101/gr.107524.110

62. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al. BLAST+: architecture

and applications. BMC Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2009 Dec 15;10:421. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421

63. McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res [Internet]. 2010 Sep;20(9):1297–303. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.107524.110

73. 64. Weir BS, Cockerham CC. ESTIMATING F-STATISTICS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF POPULATION STRUCTURE. Evolution [Internet]. 1984 Nov;38(6):1358–70. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1984.tb05657.x

65. Jones OR, Wang J. COLONY: a program for parentage and sibship inference from multilocus genotype data. Mol Ecol Resour [Internet]. 2010 May;10(3):551–5. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02787.x

66. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing [Internet]. 2021. Available from: Weir BS, Cockerham CC. Estimating F-Statistics For The Analysis Of Population Structure. Evolution. 1984;38: 1358–1370. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1984.tb05657.x

74. Jones OR, Wang J. COLONY: a program for parentage and sibship inference from multilocus genotype data. Mol Ecol Resour. 2010;10: 551–555. doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02787.x

75. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2021. Available: https://www.R-project.org/

76. RStudio Team. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. Boston, MA; 2020. Available: 67. RStudio Team. RStudio: Integrated Development for R [Internet]. Boston, MA; 2020. Available from: http://www.rstudio.com/

68. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol [Internet]. 2014;15(12):550. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

69. Cattell RB. The Scree Test For The Number Of Factors. Multivariate Behav Res [Internet]. 1966 Apr 1;1(2):245–76. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr0102_1077.

70. Reed George F., Lynn Freyja, Meade Bruce D. Use of Coefficient of Variation in Assessing Variability of Quantitative Assays. Clin Vaccine Immunol [Internet]. 2003 Nov 1;10(6):1162–1162. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1128/CDLI.10.6.1162.2003

71. Costa-Silva J, Domingues D, Lopes FM. RNA-Seq differential expression analysis: An extended review and a software tool. PLoS One [Internet]. 2017 Dec 21;12(12):e0190152. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190152

72. Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2008 Dec 29;9:559. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-559

73. Sherman BT, Hao M, Qiu J, Jiao X, Baseler MW, Lane HC, et al. DAVID: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and functional annotation of gene lists (2021 update). Nucleic Acids Res [Internet]. 2022 Mar 23; Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac194

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15: 550. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

78. Cattell RB. The Scree Test For The Number Of Factors. Multivariate Behav Res. 1966;1: 245–276. doi:10.1207/s15327906mbr0102_10

79. Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2008;9: 559. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-559

80~~74.~~ ~~Havecker ER, Gao X, Voytas DF. The diversity of LTR retrotransposons. Genome Biol [Internet]. 2004 May 18;5(6):225. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2004-5-6-225~~

~~75. Cooley, S., D. Schoeman, L. Bopp, P. Boyd, S. Donner, D.Y. Ghebrehiwet, S.-I. Ito, W. Kiessling, P. Martinetto, E. Ojea, M.-F. Racault, B. Rost, and M. Skern-Mauritzen. Oceans and Coastal Ecosystems and Their Services. In Cambridge University Press; 2022 [cited 2023 Oct 4]. p. 379–550. Available from: https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9781009325844%23c3/type/book_part~~

~~76. Coffey WD, Nardone JA, Yarram A, Long WC, Swiney KM, Foy RJ, et al. Ocean acidification leads to altered micromechanical properties of the mineralized cuticle in juvenile red and blue king crabs. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol [Internet]. 2017 Oct 1;495:1–12. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S002209811630315X~~

~~77. Michaelidis B, Ouzounis C, Paleras A, Pörtner HO. Effects of long-term moderate hypercapnia on acid–base balance and growth rate in marine mussels Mytilus galloprovincialis. Mar Ecol Prog Ser [Internet]. 2005;293:109–18. Available from: .~~ Costa-Silva J, Domingues D, Lopes FM. RNA-Seq differential expression analysis: An extended review and a software tool. PLoS One. 2017;12: e0190152. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0190152

81. Reed George F., Lynn Freyja, Meade Bruce D. Use of Coefficient of Variation in Assessing Variability of Quantitative Assays. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2003;10: 1162–1162. doi:10.1128/CDLI.10.6.1162.2003

82. Sherman BT, Hao M, Qiu J, Jiao X, Baseler MW, Lane HC, et al. DAVID: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and functional annotation of gene lists (2021 update). Nucleic Acids Res. 2022. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac194

83. Havecker ER, Gao X, Voytas DF. The diversity of LTR retrotransposons. Genome Biol. 2004;5: 225. doi:10.1186/gb-2004-5-6-225

84. Reum JCP, Alin SR, Feely RA, Newton J, Warner M, McElhany P. Seasonal carbonate chemistry covariation with temperature, oxygen, and salinity in a fjord estuary: implications for the design of ocean acidification experiments. PLoS One. 2014;9: e89619. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089619

85. Reum JCP, Alin SR, Harvey CJ, Bednaršek N, Evans W, Feely RA, et al. Interpretation and design of ocean acidification experiments in upwelling systems in the context of carbonate chemistry co-variation with temperature and oxygen. ICES J Mar Sci. 2015;73: 582–595. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsu231

86. Cooley, S., D. Schoeman, L. Bopp, P. Boyd, S. Donner, D.Y. Ghebrehiwet, S.-I. Ito, W. Kiessling, P. Martinetto, E. Ojea, M.-F. Racault, B. Rost, and M. Skern-Mauritzen. Oceans and Coastal Ecosystems and Their Services. Cambridge University Press; 2022. pp. 379–550. doi:10.1017/9781009325844.005

87. Coffey WD, Nardone JA, Yarram A, Long WC, Swiney KM, Foy RJ, et al. Ocean acidification leads to altered micromechanical properties of the mineralized cuticle in juvenile red and blue king crabs. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 2017;495: 1–12. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2017.05.011

88. Michaelidis B, Ouzounis C, Paleras A, Pörtner HO. Effects of long-term moderate hypercapnia on acid–base balance and growth rate in marine mussels Mytilus galloprovincialis. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2005;293: 109–118. Available: http://www.jstor.org/stable/24868542

89. Small D, Calosi P, White D, Spicer JI, Widdicombe S. Impact of medium-term exposure to CO2 enriched seawater on the physiological functions of the velvet swimming crab Necora puber. Aquat

Biol. 2010;10: 11–21. doi:10.3354/ab00266

90. Rivera-Ingraham GA, Lignot J-H. Osmoregulation, bioenergetics and oxidative stress in coastal marine invertebrates: raising the questions for future research. J Exp Biol. 2017;220: 1749–1760. doi:10.1242/jeb.135624

9178. Small D, Calosi P, White D, Spicer JI, Widdicombe S. Impact of medium-term exposure to CO2 enriched seawater on the physiological functions of the velvet swimming crab Necora puber. Aquat Biol [Internet]. 2010 Jun 22;10(1):11–21. Available from: http://www.int-res.com/abstracts/ab/v10/n1/p11-21/

79. Rivera-Ingraham GA, Lignot JH. Osmoregulation, bioenergetics and oxidative stress in coastal marine invertebrates: raising the questions for future research. J Exp Biol [Internet]. 2017 May 15;220(Pt 10):1749–60. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.135624

80. Rato LD, Novais SC, Lemos MFL, Alves LMF, Leandro SM. Homarus gammarus (Crustacea: Decapoda) larvae under an ocean acidification scenario: responses across different levels of biological organization. Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol [Internet]. 2017 Dec;203:29–38. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2017.09.002

81. Pörtner HO. Oxygen- and capacity-limitation of thermal tolerance: a matrix for integrating climate-related stressor effects in marine ecosystems. J Exp Biol [Internet]. 2010 Mar 15;213(6):881–93. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.037523

82. Mayzaud P, Conover RJ. O: N atomic ratio as a tool to describe zooplankton metabolism. Marine ecology progress series Oldendorf [Internet]. 1988;45(3):289–302. Available from: . Rato LD, Novais SC, Lemos MFL, Alves LMF, Leandro SM. Homarus gammarus (Crustacea: Decapoda) larvae under an ocean acidification scenario: responses across different levels of biological organization. Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol. 2017;203: 29–38. doi:10.1016/j.cbpc.2017.09.002

92. Pörtner H-O. Oxygen- and capacity-limitation of thermal tolerance: a matrix for integrating climate-related stressor effects in marine ecosystems. J Exp Biol. 2010;213: 881–893. doi:10.1242/jeb.037523

93. Mayzaud P, Conover RJ. O: N atomic ratio as a tool to describe zooplankton metabolism. Marine ecology progress series Oldendorf. 1988;45: 289–302. Available: https://www.int-res.com/articles/meps/45/m045p289.pdf

83. Pousse E, Poach ME, Redman DH, Sennefelder G, White LE, Lindsay JM, et al. Energetic response of Atlantic surfclam Spisula solidissima to ocean acidification. Mar Pollut Bull [Internet]. 2020 Dec;161(Pt B):111740. Available from: 94. Pousse E, Poach ME, Redman DH, Sennefelder G, White LE, Lindsay JM, et al. Energetic response of Atlantic surfclam Spisula solidissima to ocean acidification. Mar Pollut Bull. 2020;161: 111740. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111740

84. Langenbuch M, Pörtner HO. Changes in metabolic rate and N excretion in the marine invertebrate Sipunculus nudus under conditions of environmental hypercapnia: identifying effective acid-base variables. J Exp Biol [Internet]. 2002 Apr;205(Pt 8):1153–60. Available from: 95. Langenbuch M, Pörtner HO. Changes in metabolic rate and N excretion in the marine invertebrate Sipunculus nudus under conditions of environmental hypercapnia: identifying effective acid-base variables. J Exp Biol. 2002;205: 1153–1160. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.205.8.1153

85. Pan TCF, Applebaum SL, Manahan DT. Experimental ocean acidification alters the allocation of metabolic energy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2015 Apr 14;112(15):4696–701. Available from: 96. Pan T-CF, Applebaum SL, Manahan DT. Experimental ocean acidification alters the allocation of metabolic energy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112: 4696–4701.

doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1416967112

86. 97. Kelley, Lunden. Meta-analysis identifies metabolic sensitivities to ocean acidification running title: ocean acidification impacts metabolic function. AIMS Environ Sci [Internet]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Amanda-Kelley-3/publication/321220000_Meta-analysis_identifies_metabolic_sensitivities_to_ocean_acidificationRunning_title_Ocean_acidification_impacts_metabolic_function/links/5a42acf4a6fdcce19715b6ac/Meta-analysis-identifies-metabolic-sensitivities-to-ocean-acidificationRunning-title-Ocean-acidification-impacts-metabolic-function.pdf

87. Strader ME, Wong JM, Hofmann GE. Ocean acidification promotes broad transcriptomic responses in marine metazoans: a literature survey. Front Zool [Internet]. 2020 Feb 17;17:7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12983-020-0350-9

88. Bogan SN, Johnson KM, Hofmann GE. Changes in genome-wide methylation and gene expression in response to future pCO2 extremes in the antarctic pteropod Limacina helicina antarctica. Front Mar Sci [Internet]. 2020 Jan 22;6. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmars.2019.00788/full

89. Johnson KM, Hofmann GE. Transcriptomic response of the Antarctic pteropod Limacina helicina antarctica to ocean acidification. BMC Genomics [Internet]. 2017 Oct 23;18(1):812. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-4161-0 Kelley, Lunden. Meta-analysis identifies metabolic sensitivities to ocean acidification running title: ocean acidification impacts metabolic function. AIMS Environ Sci. doi:10.3934/environsci.2017.5.709

98. Strader ME, Wong JM, Hofmann GE. Ocean acidification promotes broad transcriptomic responses in marine metazoans: a literature survey. Front Zool. 2020;17: 7. doi:10.1186/s12983-020-0350-9

99. Bogan SN, Johnson KM, Hofmann GE. Changes in genome-wide methylation and gene expression in response to future pCO2 extremes in the antarctic pteropod Limacina helicina antarctica. Front Mar Sci. 2020;6. doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00788

100. Johnson KM, Hofmann GE. Transcriptomic response of the Antarctic pteropod Limacina helicina antarctica to ocean acidification. BMC Genomics. 2017;18: 812. doi:10.1186/s12864-017-4161-0

101. Kriefall NG, Pechenik JA, Pires A, Davies SW. Resilience of Atlantic slippersnail Crepidula fornicata larvae in the face of severe coastal acidification. Front Mar Sci. 2018;5. doi:10.3389/fmars.2018.00312

102. Evans TG, Watson-Wynn P. Effects of seawater acidification on gene expression: resolving broader-scale trends in sea urchins. Biol Bull. 2014;226: 237–254. doi:10.1086/BBLv226n3p237

103. Kaniewska P, Campbell PR, Kline DI, Rodriguez-Lanetty M, Miller DJ, Dove S, et al. Major cellular and physiological impacts of ocean acidification on a reef building coral. PLoS One. 2012;7: e34659. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034659

104. Hernroth B, Sköld HN, Wiklander K, Jutfelt F, Baden S. Simulated climate change causes immune suppression and protein damage in the crustacean Nephrops norvegicus. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2012;33: 1095–1101. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2012.08.011

105. McLean EL, Katenka NV, Seibel BA. Decreased growth and increased shell disease in early benthic phase Homarus americanus in response to elevated CO2. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2018;596: 113–126. doi:10.3354/meps12586

106.

90. Kriefall NG, Pechenik JA, Pires A, Davies SW. Resilience of Atlantic slippersnail Crepidula fornicata larvae in the face of severe coastal acidification. Front Mar Sci [Internet]. 2018 Aug 30;5. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmars.2018.00312/full

91. Evans TG, Watson-Wynn P. Effects of seawater acidification on gene expression: resolving broader-scale trends in sea urchins. Biol Bull [Internet]. 2014 Jun;226(3):237–54. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/BBLv226n3p237

92. Kaniewska P, Campbell PR, Kline DI, Rodriguez-Lanetty M, Miller DJ, Dove S, et al. Major cellular and physiological impacts of ocean acidification on a reef building coral. PLoS One [Internet]. 2012 Apr 11;7(4):e34659. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034659

93. Hernroth B, Sköld HN, Wiklander K, Jutfelt F, Baden S. Simulated climate change causes immune suppression and protein damage in the crustacean Nephrops norvegicus. Fish Shellfish Immunol [Internet]. 2012 Nov;33(5):1095–101. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2012.08.011

94. McLean EL, Katenka NV, Seibel BA. Decreased growth and increased shell disease in early benthic phase Homarus americanus in response to elevated CO2. Mar Ecol Prog Ser [Internet]. 2018 May 28;596:113–26. Available from: http://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v596/p113-126/

95. Hernroth B, Krång AS, Baden S. Bacteriostatic suppression in Norway lobster (Nephrops norvegicus) exposed to manganese or hypoxia under pressure of ocean acidification. Aquat Toxicol [Internet]. 2015 Feb;159:217–24. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.11.025

96. Bibby R, Widdicombe S, Parry H, Spicer J, Pipe R. Effects of ocean acidification on the immune response of the blue mussel Mytilus edulis. Aquat Biol [Internet]. 2008 Mar 27;2:67–74. Available from: http://www.int-res.com/abstracts/ab/v2/n1/p67-74/

Hernroth B, Krång A-S, Baden S. Bacteriostatic suppression in Norway lobster (Nephrops norvegicus) exposed to manganese or hypoxia under pressure of ocean acidification. Aquat Toxicol. 2015;159: 217–224. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.11.025

107. Bibby R, Widdicombe S, Parry H, Spicer J, Pipe R. Effects of ocean acidification on the immune response of the blue mussel Mytilus edulis. Aquat Biol. 2008;2: 67–74. doi:10.3354/ab00037

108. Hernroth B, Baden S, Thorndyke M, Dupont S. Immune suppression of the echinoderm Asterias rubens (L.) following long-term ocean acidification. Aquat Toxicol. 2011;103: 222–224. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2011.03.00197.

109. Liu S, Shi W, Guo C, Zhao X, Han Y, Peng C, et al. Ocean acidification weakens the immune response of blood clam through hampering the NF-kappa β and toll-like receptor pathways. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2016;54: 322–327. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2016.04.030

110. Adamo SA. The effects of the stress response on immune function in invertebrates: an evolutionary perspective on an ancient connection. Horm Behav. 2012;62: 324–330. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.02.012

111. Hernroth B, Baden S, Thorndyke M, Dupont S. Immune suppression of the echinoderm Asterias rubens (L.) following long-term ocean acidification. Aquat Toxicol [Internet]. 2011 Jun;103(3-4):222–4. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2011.03.001

98. Liu S, Shi W, Guo C, Zhao X, Han Y, Peng C, et al. Ocean acidification weakens the immune response of blood clam through hampering the NF-kappa β and toll-like receptor pathways. Fish Shellfish Immunol [Internet]. 2016 Jul;54:322–7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2016.04.030

99. Adamo SA. The effects of the stress response on immune function in invertebrates: an evolutionary perspective on an ancient connection. Horm Behav [Internet]. 2012 Aug;62(3):324–30. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.02.012

Adamo SA. Norepinephrine and octopamine: linking stress and immune function across phyla. Invertebrate Surviv J. 2008. Available: 100. Adamo SA. Norepinephrine and octopamine: linking

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.28"

stress and immune function across phyla. Invertebrate Surviv J [Internet]. 2008; Available from: https://www.isj.unimore.it/index.php/ISJ/article/download/154/70

101. Kültz D. Evolution of cellular stress response mechanisms. J Exp Zool A Ecol Integr Physiol [Internet]. 2020 Jul;333(6):359–78. Available from: 112. Kültz D. Evolution of cellular stress response mechanisms. J Exp Zool A Ecol Integr Physiol. 2020;333: 359–378. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jez.2347

113. 102. Feder ME, Hofmann GE. Heat-shock proteins, molecular chaperones, and the stress response: evolutionary and ecological physiology. Annu Rev Physiol [Internet]. 1999;61:243–82. Available from: Feder ME, Hofmann GE. Heat-shock proteins, molecular chaperones, and the stress response: evolutionary and ecological physiology. Annu Rev Physiol. 1999;61: 243–282. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.61.1.243

114. 103. Bourque G, Burns KH, Gehring M, Gorbunova V, Seluanov A, Hammell M, et al. Ten things you should know about transposable elements. Genome Biol [Internet]. 2018 Nov 19;19(1):199. Available from: Bourque G, Burns KH, Gehring M, Gorbunova V, Seluanov A, Hammell M, et al. Ten things you should know about transposable elements. Genome Biol. 2018;19: 199. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13059-018-1577-z

104. Casacuberta E, González J. The impact of transposable elements in environmental adaptation. Mol Ecol [Internet]. 2013 Mar;22(6):1503–17. Available from: 115. Casacuberta E, González J. The impact of transposable elements in environmental adaptation. Mol Ecol. 2013;22: 1503–1517. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.12170

105. Horváth V, Merenciano M, González J. Revisiting the Relationship between Transposable Elements and the Eukaryotic Stress Response. Trends Genet [Internet]. 2017 Nov;33(11):832–41. Available from: 116. Horváth V, Merenciano M, González J. Revisiting the Relationship between Transposable Elements and the Eukaryotic Stress Response. Trends Genet. 2017;33: 832–841. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2017.08.007

117. de la Vega E, Degnan BM, Hall MR, Wilson KJ. Differential expression of immune-related genes and transposable elements in black tiger shrimp (Penaeus monodon) exposed to a range of environmental stressors. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2007;23: 1072–1088. doi:106. de la Vega E, Degnan BM, Hall MR, Wilson KJ. Differential expression of immune-related genes and transposable elements in black tiger shrimp (Penaeus monodon) exposed to a range of environmental stressors. Fish Shellfish Immunol [Internet]. 2007 Nov;23(5):1072–88. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2007.05.001

107. Wu C, Lu J. Diversification of Transposable Elements in Arthropods and Its Impact on Genome Evolution. Genes [Internet]. 2019 May 6;10(5). Available from: 118. Wu C, Lu J. Diversification of Transposable Elements in Arthropods and Its Impact on Genome Evolution. Genes . 2019;10. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/genes10050338

119. 108. Tang B, Wang Z, Liu Q, Wang Z, Ren Y, Guo H, et al. Chromosome-level genome assembly of Paralithodes platypus provides insights into evolution and adaptation of king crabs. Mol Ecol Resour [Internet]. 2021 Feb;21(2):511–25. Available from: Tang B, Wang Z, Liu Q, Wang Z, Ren Y, Guo H, et al. Chromosome-level genome assembly of Paralithodes platypus provides insights into evolution and adaptation of king crabs. Mol Ecol Resour. 2021;21: 511–525. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13266

120. 109. Lanciano S, Cristofari G. Measuring and interpreting transposable element expression. Nat Rev Genet [Internet]. 2020 Dec;21(12):721–36. Available from: Lanciano S, Cristofari G. Measuring and interpreting transposable element expression. Nat Rev Genet. 2020;21: 721–736. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41576-020-0251-y

121. Urbarova I, Forêt S, Dahl M, Emblem Å, Milazzo M, Hall-Spencer JM, et al. Ocean acidification at a

coastal CO2 vent induces expression of stress-related transcripts and transposable elements in the sea anemone Anemonia viridis. PLoS One. 2019;14: e0210358. doi:110. Urbarova I, Forêt S, Dahl M, Emblem Å, Milazzo M, Hall-Spencer JM, et al. Ocean acidification at a coastal CO2 vent induces expression of stress-related transcripts and transposable elements in the sea anemone Anemonia viridis. PLoS One [Internet]. 2019 May 8;14(5):e0210358. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210358

122. Lesser MP, Thompson MM, Walker CW. Effects of Thermal Stress and Ocean Acidification on the Expression of the Retrotransposon Steamer in the Softshell Mya arenaria. shre. 2019;38: 535–541. doi:10.2983/035.038.0304

123. Huang R, Ding J, Gao K, Cruz de Carvalho MH, Tirichine L, Bowler C, et al. A Potential Role for Epigenetic Processes in the Acclimation Response to Elevated pCO2 in the Model Diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum. Front Microbiol. 2018;9: 3342. doi:111. Lesser MP, Thompson MM, Walker CW. Effects of Thermal Stress and Ocean Acidification on the Expression of the Retrotransposon Steamer in the Softshell Mya arenaria. shre [Internet]. 2019 Dec [cited 2022 Aug 31];38(3):535–41. Available from: https://bioone.org/journals/journal-of-shellfish-research/volume-38/issue-3/035.038.0304/Effects-of-Thermal-Stress-and-Ocean-Acidification-on-the-Expression/10.2983/035.038.0304.short

112. Huang R, Ding J, Gao K, Cruz de Carvalho MH, Tirichine L, Bowler C, et al. A Potential Role for Epigenetic Processes in the Acclimation Response to Elevated pCO2 in the Model Diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum. Front Microbiol [Internet]. 2018;9:3342. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03342

124. Macchietto MG, Langlois RA, Shen SS. Virus-induced transposable element expression up-regulation in human and mouse host cells. Life Sci Alliance. 2020;3. doi:113. Macchietto MG, Langlois RA, Shen SS. Virus-induced transposable element expression up-regulation in human and mouse host cells. Life Sci Alliance [Internet]. 2020 Feb;3(2). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.26508/lsa.201900536

125. Hale BG. Antiviral immunity triggered by infection-induced host transposable elements. Curr Opin Virol. 2022;52: 211–216. doi:114. Hale BG. Antiviral immunity triggered by infection-induced host transposable elements. Curr Opin Virol [Internet]. 2022 Feb;52:211–6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2021.12.006

115. Oliver KR, Greene WK. Transposable elements: powerful facilitators of evolution. Bioessays [Internet]. 2009 Jul;31(7):703–14. Available from: 126. Oliver KR, Greene WK. Transposable elements: powerful facilitators of evolution. Bioessays. 2009;31: 703–714. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bies.200800219

127. 116. Schrader L, Schmitz J. The impact of transposable elements in adaptive evolution. Mol Ecol [Internet]. 2019 Mar;28(6):1537–49. Available from: Schrader L, Schmitz J. The impact of transposable elements in adaptive evolution. Mol Ecol. 2019;28: 1537–1549. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.14794

128. Pimpinelli S, Piacentini L. Environmental change and the evolution of genomes: Transposable elements as translators of phenotypic plasticity into genotypic variability. Funct Ecol. 2020;34: 428–441. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13497

129. Ito H, Kim J-M, Matsunaga W, Saze H, Matsui A, Endo TA, et al. A Stress-Activated Transposon in Arabidopsis Induces Transgenerational Abscisic Acid Insensitivity. Sci Rep. 2016;6: 23181. doi:117. Pimpinelli S, Piacentini L. Environmental change and the evolution of genomes: Transposable elements as translators of phenotypic plasticity into genotypic variability. Funct Ecol [Internet]. 2020 Feb;34(2):428–41. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1365-2435.13497

118. Ito H, Kim JM, Matsunaga W, Saze H, Matsui A, Endo TA, et al. A Stress-Activated Transposon in

Arabidopsis Induces Transgenerational Abscisic Acid Insensitivity. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2016 Mar 15;6:23181. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep23181

130. Gibney ER, Nolan CM. Epigenetics and gene expression. Heredity . 2010;105: 4–13. doi:119. Gibney ER, Nolan CM. Epigenetics and gene expression. Heredity [Internet]. 2010 Jul;105(1):4–13. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2010.54

131. Yi R, Qin Y, Macara IG, Cullen BR. Exportin-5 mediates the nuclear export of pre-microRNAs and short hairpin RNAs. Genes Dev. 2003;17: 3011–3016. doi:120. Yi R, Qin Y, Macara IG, Cullen BR. Exportin-5 mediates the nuclear export of pre-microRNAs and short hairpin RNAs. Genes Dev [Internet]. 2003 Dec 15;17(24):3011–6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.1158803

132. Roggatz CC, Lorch M, Hardege JD, Benoit DM. Ocean acidification affects marine chemical communication by changing structure and function of peptide signalling molecules. Glob Chang Biol. 2016;22: 3914–3926. doi:121. Roggatz CC, Lorch M, Hardege JD, Benoit DM. Ocean acidification affects marine chemical communication by changing structure and function of peptide signalling molecules. Glob Chang Biol [Internet]. 2016 Dec;22(12):3914–26. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13354

133. Porteus CS, Roggatz CC, Velez Z, Hardege JD, Hubbard PC. Acidification can directly affect olfaction in marine organisms. J Exp Biol. 2021;224. doi:122. Porteus CS, Roggatz CC, Velez Z, Hardege JD, Hubbard PC. Acidification can directly affect olfaction in marine organisms. J Exp Biol [Internet]. 2021 Jul 15;224(14). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.237941

123. Rollmann SM, Mackay TFC, Anholt RRH. Pinocchio, a novel protein expressed in the antenna, contributes to olfactory behavior in Drosophila melanogaster. J Neurobiol [Internet]. 2005 May;63(2):146–58. Available from: 134. Rollmann SM, Mackay TFC, Anholt RRH. Pinocchio, a novel protein expressed in the antenna, contributes to olfactory behavior in Drosophila melanogaster. J Neurobiol. 2005;63: 146–158. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/neu.20123

135. Vizueta J, Escuer P, Frías-López C, Guirao-Rico S, Hering L, Mayer G, et al. Evolutionary History of Major Chemosensory Gene Families across Panarthropoda. Mol Biol Evol. 2020;37: 3601–3615. doi:124. Vizueta J, Escuer P, Frías-López C, Guirao-Rico S, Hering L, Mayer G, et al. Evolutionary History of Major Chemosensory Gene Families across Panarthropoda. Mol Biol Evol [Internet]. 2020 Dec 16;37(12):3601–15. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa197

136. Bednaršek N, Feely RA, Beck MW, Alin SR, Siedlecki SA, Calosi P, et al. Exoskeleton dissolution with mechanoreceptor damage in larval Dungeness crab related to severity of present-day ocean acidification vertical gradients. Sci Total Environ. 2020;716: 136610. doi:125. Bednaršek N, Feely RA, Beck MW, Alin SR, Siedlecki SA, Calosi P, et al. Exoskeleton dissolution with mechanoreceptor damage in larval Dungeness crab related to severity of present-day ocean acidification vertical gradients. Sci Total Environ [Internet]. 2020 May 10;716:136610. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136610

137. Smolowitz R. Arthropoda. Invertebrate Histology. Wiley; 2021. pp. 277–299. doi:10.1002/9781119507697.ch11

138. Draper AM, Weissburg MJ. Differential effects of warming and acidification on chemosensory transmission and detection may strengthen non-consumptive effects of blue crab predators (Callinectes sapidus) on mud crab prey (Panopeus herbstii). Front Mar Sci. 2022;9. doi:10.3389/fmars.2022.944237

139. 126. Smolowitz R. Arthropoda [Internet]. Invertebrate Histology. Wiley; 2021. p. 277–99. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/9781119507697.ch11

Furukawa-Hibi Y, Kobayashi Y, Chen C, Motoyama N. FOXO transcription factors in cell-cycle regulation and the response to oxidative stress. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2005;7: 752–760. doi:127. Draper AM,

Weissburg MJ. Differential effects of warming and acidification on chemosensory transmission and detection may strengthen non-consumptive effects of blue crab predators (Callinectes sapidus) on mud crab prey (Panopeus herbstii). Front Mar Sci [Internet]. 2022 Aug 11;9. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars.2022.944237/full

128. Furukawa-Hibi Y, Kobayashi Y, Chen C, Motoyama N. FOXO transcription factors in cell-cycle regulation and the response to oxidative stress. Antioxid Redox Signal [Internet]. 2005 May;7(5-6):752–60. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/ars.2005.7.752

140. Greer EL, Brunet A. FOXO transcription factors at the interface between longevity and tumor suppression. Oncogene. 2005;24: 7410–7425. doi:129. Greer EL, Brunet A. FOXO transcription factors at the interface between longevity and tumor suppression. Oncogene [Internet]. 2005 Nov 14;24(50):7410–25. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1209086

141. Block MDE, de Block M, Stoks R. Short-term larval food stress and associated compensatory growth reduce adult immune function in a damselfly. Ecological Entomology. 2008. doi:130. Block MDE, de Block M, Stoks R. Short-term larval food stress and associated compensatory growth reduce adult immune function in a damselfly [Internet]. Ecological Entomology. 2008. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.2008.01024.x

142. Pechenik JA. Larval experience and latent effects—metamorphosis is not a new beginning. Integr Comp Biol. 2006;46: 323–333. doi:10.1093/icb/icj028

143. Long WC, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of high pCO2 on snow crab larvae: Carryover effects from embryogenesis and oogenesis reduce direct effects on larval survival. bioRxiv. 2022. p. 2022.10.06.511100. doi:10.1101/2022.10.06.511100

144. Long WC, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of high pCO2 on Tanner crab reproduction and early life history, Part II: carryover effects on larvae from oogenesis and embryogenesis are stronger than direct effects. ICES J Mar Sci. 2016;73: 836–848. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv251

145. Chang ES, Mykles DL. Regulation of crustacean molting: A review and our perspectives. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 2011;172: 323–330. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2011.04.003

146. Mykles DL, Chang ES. Hormonal control of the crustacean molting gland: Insights from transcriptomics and proteomics. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 2020;294: 113493. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2020.113493

147. Bitter MC, Kapsenberg L, Gattuso J-P, Pfister CA. Standing genetic variation fuels rapid adaptation to ocean acidification. Nat Commun. 2019;10: 5821. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13767-1

148. Kenkel CD, Matz MV. Gene expression plasticity as a mechanism of coral adaptation to a variable environment. Nature Ecology & Evolution. 2017. doi:10.1038/s41559-016-0014

149. Crispo E. Modifying effects of phenotypic plasticity on interactions among natural selection, adaptation and gene flow. J Evol Biol. 2008;21: 1460–1469. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2008.01592.x

150. Gurr SJ, Vadopalas B, Roberts SB, Putnam HM. Metabolic recovery and compensatory shell growth of juvenile Pacific geoduck Panopea generosa following short-term exposure to acidified seawater. Conserv Physiol. 2020;8: coaa024. doi:10.1093/conphys/coaa024

37. NOAA Fisheries Office of Science and Technology, Commercial Landings Query, Available at: www.fisheries.noaa.gov/foss, Accessed /04/2023131. Pechenik JA. Larval experience and latent effects—metamorphosis is not a new beginning. Integr Comp Biol [Internet]. 2006 Jun 1 [cited 2021 Apr 5];46(3):323–33. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/icb/article-abstract/46/3/323/615813

132. Long CW, Swiney KM, Foy RJ. Effects of high pCO2 on snow crab larvae: Carryover effects from embryogenesis and oogenesis reduce direct effects on larval survival [Internet]. bioRxiv. 2022 [cited

2023 Mar 2]. p. 2022.10.06.511100. Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.10.06.511100v1.abstract

133. Hettinger A, Sanford E, Hill TM, Russell AD, Sato KNS, Hoey J, et al. Persistent carry-over effects of planktonic exposure to ocean acidification in the Olympia oyster. Ecology [Internet]. 2012 Dec;93(12):2758–68. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/12-0567.1

134. Sanford E, Gaylord B, Hettinger A, Lenz EA, Meyer K, Hill TM. Ocean acidification increases the vulnerability of native oysters to predation by invasive snails. Proc Biol Sci [Internet]. 2014 Mar 7;281(1778):20132681. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2681

135. Hettinger A, Sanford E, Hill TM, Lenz EA, Russell AD, Gaylord B. Larval carry-over effects from ocean acidification persist in the natural environment. Glob Chang Biol [Internet]. 2013 Nov;19(11):3317–26. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12307

136. Chang ES, Mykles DL. Regulation of crustacean molting: A review and our perspectives. Gen Comp Endocrinol [Internet]. 2011 Jul 1;172(3):323–30. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016648011001444

137. Mykles DL, Chang ES. Hormonal control of the crustacean molting gland: Insights from transcriptomics and proteomics. Gen Comp Endocrinol [Internet]. 2020 Aug 1;294:113493. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2020.113493

138. Bitter MC, Kapsenberg L, Gattuso JP, Pfister CA. Standing genetic variation fuels rapid adaptation to ocean acidification. Nat Commun [Internet]. 2019 Dec 20;10(1):5821. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13767-1

139. Kenkel CD, Matz MV. Gene expression plasticity as a mechanism of coral adaptation to a variable environment [Internet]. Vol. 1, Nature Ecology & Evolution. 2017. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41559-016-0014

140. Crispo E. Modifying effects of phenotypic plasticity on interactions among natural selection, adaptation and gene flow. J Evol Biol [Internet]. 2008 Nov;21(6):1460–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2008.01592.x

141. Gurr SJ, Vadopalas B, Roberts SB, Putnam HM. Metabolic recovery and compensatory shell growth of juvenile Pacific geoduck Panopea generosa following short-term exposure to acidified seawater. Conserv Physiol [Internet]. 2020 Apr 4;8(1):coaa024. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/conphys/coaa024

Supplemental Materials

Narrowed gene functions and enhanced transposon activity are associated with high tolerance to ocean acidification in a juvenile subarctic crustacean

Laura H Spencer, William Christopher Long, Ingrid B Spies, Krista M Nichols, Robert J Foy



Supplemental Figure 1: Water parameters during the experiment. Temperature and pH were measured daily; salinity, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and alkalinity were measured weekly; all other parameters were calculated. Lines are mean values across tanks for each treatment.



~~**Supplemental Figure 2:** PCA biplot of principal components 3 and 4, constructed from RNA-Seq derived SNPs (n=331). Points represent individual crabs that are color-coded by OA treatment, which do not indicate clustering by treatment, and sizes represent the percent of SNPs that are missing in each individual.~~



~~Supplemental Figure 3~~~~: Correlation plots among SNP-derived PCA scores and gene expression-derived PCA scores along the first two principal components, with Pearson correlation coefficients and p-values calculated for each treatment.~~



~~Supplemental Figure 4.~~ ~~PCA biplot of principal components 3 and 4 constructed from all expressed genes.~~

Supplemental Table 1: Statistics for modules with eigengenes that correlated significantly with pCO_2 concentration. Modules in purple (↓) and green (↑) indicate modules for which gene expression decreased and increased with pCO_2, respectively. The percent of genes that were transposable elements are also included for each module. Modules are randomly assigned color names, and the letters correspond to Figure 5 panels in the main text.

Module	No. of genes in module	No. of genes in module that are also differentially expressed	Weighted Pearson correlation with pCO_2 (P-value)	% Transposable Elements	No. of enriched biological process GO terms at EASE<0.05 (FDR 10%)
a (magenta) ↓	6,602	1,280	-0.52 ($4.5e^{-4}$)	45%	43 (11)
b (lightcyan) ↓	4,169	1,171	-0.45 ($2.9e^{-3}$)	39%	60 (31)
c (firebrick4) ↓	1,573	191	-0.39 ($9.7e^{-3}$)	60%	4 (0)
d (darkviolet) ↓	92	17	-0.37 (0.016)	23%	6 (4)
e (royalblue) ↓	1,245	197	-0.36 (0.019)	32%	19 (3)
f (coral1) ↓	162	21	-0.34 (0.028)	40%	5 (0)
g (plum1) ↓	449	40	-0.31 (0.042)	53%	6 (1)
h (pink) ↓	8,245	980	-0.30 (0.049)	63%	50 (15)
i (blue2) ↑	96	16	0.36 (0.018)	92%	0
j (lightsteelblue1) ↑	306	20	0.42 ($5.4e^{-3}$)	53%	2 (0)
k (ivory) ↑	301	84	0.44 ($3.4e^{-3}$)	43%	13 (0)
l (lightgreen) ↑	7,080	515	0.45 ($2.7e^{-3}$)	76%	13 (4)
m (purple) ↑	2,862	328	0.46 ($2.1e^{-3}$)	77%	7 (4)
n (green) ↑	8,603	1,453	0.50 ($6.6e^{-4}$)	68%	13 (2)

Supplemental Table 2: Number of differentially expressed genes and enriched GO terms by pairwise treatment contrast. Colors and arrows indicate the number of DEGs and GO terms that were upregulated (↑) and downregulated (↓) in the more severe OA treatment, where ambient = pH 8.0, moderate = pH 7.8, and severe = pH 7.5.

OA treatment contrast	No. of DEGs	No. of enriched Biological Processes	No. of enriched Molecular Functions	No. of enriched Cellular Components	% Transposable Elements
Ambient vs Moderate	526 ↑ 933 ↓	9 ↑ 23 ↓	7 ↑ 18 ↓	2 ↑ 16 ↓	51.4% ↑ 30.6% ↓
Ambient vs Severe	2,350 ↑ 3,907 ↓	5 ↑ 81 ↓	10 ↑ 47 ↓	1 ↑ 29 ↓	64.5% ↑ 27.7% ↓
Moderate vs Severe	22 ↑ 25 ↓	3 ↑ 2 ↓	5 ↑ 0 ↓	0 ↑ 0 ↓	85.7% ↑ 12.5% ↓

Supplemental Table 3: Enrichment results from WGCNA modules

Supplemental Table 4: Enrichment results from DEG analysis

Supplemental Table 5: Enrichment results from upregulated low-variance genes

Supplemental Table 6: Transposable elements detected, list of 68 unique Uniprot IDs

Supplemental Table 7: Percent of genes differentially expressed among pairwise contrasts that map to transposable elements. Colors indicate genes that were more active in ambient (blue), moderate OA (orange), and severe OA (red) treatments in each pairwise comparison. Values below the dotted line indicate the % of upregulated genes with low within-treatment variation (CV < 3%) that were TEs.

	Ambient	Moderate	Severe
Ambient		51%	65%
Moderate OA	31%		86%
Severe OA	28%	13%	
Upregulated with low variance (CV< 3%)	16%	50%	60%

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.28", Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: single, No widow/orphan control, Border: Top: (No border), Bottom: (No border), Left: (No border), Right: (No border), Between : (No border)

Response to Reviewers, PLOS Climate

Narrowed gene functions and enhanced transposon activity are associated with high tolerance to ocean acidification in a juvenile subarctic crustacean

Laura H Spencer, William Christopher Long, Ingrid B Spies, Krista M Nichols, Robert J Foy

Reviewer 1 Comments

COMMENT: Line 78 – 81: are these studies showing deleterious effects on short term exposure? Is that why results differ to long exposure l Long et al? Please indicate.
Good catch, it wasn't clear how these previous studies differed from Long et al. 2023. I have edited the text for clarity:

Laboratory studies on embryos, early zoea, and juveniles indicate that OA negatively affects early red king crab life stages such that development is altered, and growth and survival typically decreases [50–52]. OA also interacts with warming by increasing intermolt duration and decreasing survival in juveniles [53]. … Interestingly, Long et al. [55] recently found that when red king crabs are exposed to OA during multiple stages of early development – from hatch through the early juvenile stage – they are surprisingly tolerant of moderate (pH 7.8) and severe (pH 7.5) acidification, with no change in survival, growth, or development [42].

COMMENT: Line 86: remove "Here, we"
Thank you, that has been removed!

COMMENT: Line 101-106: rephrase, it comes across as methods.
We rephrased this sentence, as well as re-organized it within the introduction based on another reviewer's comment:

We leverage juveniles from Long et al. [55] that were exposed to (and tolerated) three carbonate chemistry treatments from hatching to the first crab stage (C1), thus capturing transcriptional differences among crab that are reared in historically ambient conditions along …

COMMENT: Line 141-145 = results
The paragraph describing how experimental water parameters were maintained and the accompanying table (now Table 2) have been moved to the Results section entitled "Experimental Design."

COMMENT: Table 1: Is this data pooled for each treatment among the replicates? It would be good to see this data broken down by tank replicate in the supplementary material to see if something untoward may have occurred in a replicate.
Yes, this table (now referred to as Table 2) shows mean +/- sd of water parameters measured or calculated during the experiment, pooled across all tanks for each treatment. We have added a new table to the supplemental (Supplemental Table 1) which includes the means for each tank.

COMMENT: Line 138, Supplementary figure S1: you mention elsewhere that it was a three-month exposure trial but you only have two months of time series data in the supplemental material? Sample size? For analyses?
Good catch! We have updated Supplemental Figure 1 to include the temperature and pH data that were collected daily throughout the larval collection/stocking and exposure period (from April 10th - July 8th). Data for the remaining parameters, which were measured or calculated using weekly samples, begins during week 2 of the exposure period (April 26th). We have clarified this in the Methods section and Table 2 caption (see updated text below). Regarding the comments “Sample size?” and “For analyzes?”, I presume you are referring to N used to calculate the water quality parameter summary statistics shown in Table 2. We have added that information.

In text: *“Water samples were taken once a week from each tank beginning the second week of exposure, poisoned with mercuric chloride … ”*

Table 2 caption. *“Water parameters during the experiment. Temperature and pH were measured daily; salinity, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and alkalinity were measured weekly beginning on the second week of the exposure period; all other parameters were calculated. Values are mean ± standard deviation, calculated across replicate tanks per treatment (N=430 for pH and temperature, and N=53 for other parameters). See Supplemental Figure 1 for water parameter time-series and Supplemental Table 1 for per-tank means.”*

COMMENT: Line 5: what were the phenotypic results?
It is not clear which line you are referring to here (Line 5 is the title page), so we have incorporated phenotypic results of Long et al. 2023 to the introduction:

Interestingly, Long et al. [55] recently found that when red king crabs are exposed to OA during multiple stages of early development – from hatch through the early juvenile stage – they are surprisingly tolerant of moderate (pH 7.8) and severe (pH 7.5) acidification, with no change in survival, growth, or development [42].

Reviewer #2 Comments:

Overarching Comments:

COMMENT: Connections to other crustacean literature: The focus of the manuscript currently is RKC-centric. While connecting this study with previous RKC studies is important, I think the manuscript would be of interest to a wider audience if the authors discussed their findings in the context of other crustacean OA studies. A handful of studies have examined molecular mechanisms involved in crustacean responses to OA. I've included some suggested references below (one physiology study, two molecular studies) that may be useful for the authors.

Thank you for providing the additional references. We have added a paragraph that expands on the effects of OA on crustaceans, focusing on studies that use molecular approaches.

COMMENT: Functional Analyses: It was unclear which enrichment analyses were completed, and which datasets were used for those analyses, when reading the methods section alone. Consider restructuring the methods section and adding subheadings to match the results section for increased clarity. In lines 276-279, the authors state that two analyses were performed for each pairwise contrast. What were these analyses?

The Functional Analyses section in the Methods has been restructured to more clearly outline the different gene sets examined for enriched processes. We also have edited the section describing the functional analyses of differentially expressed genes:

Differentially expressed genes. To determine the functions of differentially expressed genes two enrichment analyses were performed for each of the three pairwise treatment contrasts: (1) genes that were upregulated (L2FC > 0.5) and (2) genes that were downregulated (L2FC < -0.5) in response to the more severe OA treatment.

Specific Comments:

Introduction:

COMMENT: Line 57: When does the Bering Sea experience lower aragonite saturation, and which part of the crab life cycle does it coincide with?
We agree that this information was lacking in the manuscript- we have added the following text to the introduction for more context regarding when RKC early life stages may encounter low carbonate concentrations:

Early life stages, which are thought to be particularly vulnerable to OA, are present as brooded embryos year-round and from hatch in late spring through settlement in fall [48,49] and may encounter low carbonate saturation states that already occur in bottom waters and during seasonal upwelling [13].

COMMENT: Line 61: Replace first "]" with ","
This change has been made.

COMMENT: Lines 73-75: What have existing mechanistic crustacean OA studies demonstrated?
We have added a paragraph that expands on the effects of OA on crustaceans, focusing on studies that use molecular approaches:

*The diversity of responses in crustaceans, and all marine invertebrates for that matter, has highlighted the need for a more mechanistic understanding of how organisms function in OA conditions. Targeted gene expression analyses in green shore crab (*Carcinus maenas*) gill tissue reported that genes involved in acid-base regulation are affected by high pCO_2 [39,40]. Transcriptome-wide expression analysis identified changes in genes involved in energy metabolism and apoptosis activity in shrimp (*Exopalaemon carinicauda*) [41], and immune functions, energy metabolism, and ion transport in the Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*) [42,43]. Metabolomic analyses in juvenile Dungeness (*Cancer magister*) and green shore (*Carcinus maenas*) crabs both found amino acid metabolism to respond to acidified conditions, perhaps due to increased buffering needs [44,45]. As with whole-animal metrics, molecular effects of OA can vary by life stage. Metabolite analyses of American lobster larvae (*Homarus americanus*) indicate that large metabolic shifts (fatty acids, amino acids, and citrate cycle) perhaps underlie their buffering capacity and tolerance of OA, whereas metabolic reprogramming is not observed in the more sensitive juvenile stage [46]. Together, molecular assays to date reveal that OA induces changes in energy metabolism, acid-base regulation, immune function, and cellular stress-response processes, with the strongest responses perhaps reflective of physiological reprogramming. Changes are, however, somewhat unpredictable due to species-, stage-, and exposure-specific effects. For that reason, the most informative studies pair molecular assays with survival and growth data preferably from the same individuals.*

COMMENT: Line 86: Delete "Here, we"
This change has been made.

COMMENT: Lines 88-89: Consider replacing "genes and functions" with "molecular mechanisms and pathways" to encompass DEG and TE information.
Great suggestion! That sentence now uses the terms "molecular mechanisms and pathways".

COMMENT: Lines 89-106: Consider restructuring this section of the introduction for improved flow and clarity. Move lines 101-106 after lines 87-89 to introduce the experimental design in the previous study. Then, modify lines 89-91 to explicitly define the molecular approaches used by the study/the different ways RNA-Seq data was leveraged.
The concluding section of the introduction that describes the experimental design and RNA-Seq has been restructured for clarity, succinctness, and to improve flow. Thank you for pointing this out!

COMMENT: Line 94-96: Consider moving to line 75, as that paragraph discusses previous crustacean OA literature.
The sentence describing the Stillman et al. study, which is the one previous transcriptomics study in red king crab, has been relocated. We have opted to keep it within the paragraph that introduces red king crab to discuss studies on the species together. We hope that the overall reorganization of the introduction and additional paragraph with more mechanistic background in crustaceans has improved the flow and bolstered the background.

COMMENT: Lines 100-101: Leveraged the genome to do what?
After restructuring the last paragraph of the introduction this sentence was no longer needed, so it has been removed.

***Methods**:*

COMMENT: Lines 141-149: Consider moving this information to an Experimental Design section in the results.
The paragraph describing how experimental water parameters were maintained and the accompanying table have been moved to the Results section entitled “Experimental Design.”

COMMENT: Lines 218-220: Consider modifying Table 2 to include how many samples were used for each analysis (DEG, SNPs, etc.)
I have edited the caption for the table containing RNASeq sample size (now “Table 1”) to clarify that the sample sizes listed were used in all analyses:

Table 1 RNA-Seq sample size and replication by treatment after the removal of one outlier sample from the Ambient treatment. All libraries listed were used in all analyses (genetic, global patterns, gene co-expression, differential expression, and expression variation).

COMMENT: Lines 220-222: Why not use an RDA, or something similar, to understand how genetic variation constrains gene expression variation?
Our primary goal of the genetic analysis was to determine whether selective mortality occurred during the experiment, resulting in differing genetic composition among OA treatments that could have influenced expression differences. In addition to the analyses included in the paper (parentage, Fst among treatments, genetic composition using PCA, correlations among PC axes that were generated from genetic and expression PCAs), we examined correlation between pairwise genetic distances and expression distances. The RDA approach is a very good suggestion that we hadn’t yet used! As part of our revision process, we explored this option by performing an RDA in addition to a distance-based RDA to understand how genetic variation explains expression of genes that responded to acidification. We used counts of all differentially expressed genes as the response variables (n=6,806 DEGS) and PCs 1-5 from the genetic PCA as the explanatory variables. The RDA and dbRDA found that genetic PC axes explained a total of 13.6% and 11.7% of the variance in expression, respectively, but permutation tests of results did not find significance of the RDA/dbRDA models, explanatory variables, or canonical axes. We have opted to not add this additional analysis to the

manuscript as the interpretation is similar to our other correlation-based analyses – gene expression differences among OA treatments are not likely due to genetic composition differences among treatments. We will certainly add this multi-omics data integration method to our repertoire!

COMMENT: Lines 249-254: Can be hard to follow methods for gene expression variation calculations when DEG analysis is not yet described. Consider incorporating the relevant gene-wise variation analyses into the global gene expression and DEG analysis sections.
We have reorganized the methods and results sections to describe the coexpression and differential expression analyses before the variation analysis. The methods and results sub-sections also now are in the same order.

COMMENT: Lines 278-279: Specify L2FC thresholds in the "Differential gene expression analysis" section, as I assume the same thresholds listed here were used with DESeq2.
The approach used (DESeq2) does not use a minimum |L2FC| to define a differentially expressed gene. However, we did opt to filter for |L2FC| > 0.5 prior to interrogating the DEG sets. The following information was added to the Differential gene expression analysis section:

No minimum log2 fold change (L2FC) was used to identify differentially expressed genes, but DEGs they were filtered for those with |L2FC| > 0.5 prior to functional analysis.

COMMENT: Lines 288-289: I would argue that searching for transposable elements wouldn't constitute an enrichment analysis, but a separate functional analysis. Consider changing the wording and adding a descriptive subheading to match this.
There are now subheadings in the "Functional Analyses" methods section that first describes the enrichment analyses on the various gene sets and the transposable element composition analysis.

COMMENT: Line 291: Restate the gene sets used for clarity.
The gene sets have been added for clarity:

The proportion of genes that were transposable elements was estimated for each gene set described above (co-expressed gene modules, differentially up/down-regulated genes in each pairwise contrast, and low-variance genes) by searching within the protein names of annotated genes for the words …

COMMENT: Lines 290-294: Why not use RepeatMasker to search for transposable elements in addition to the manual curation already performed?
Our manual curation approach, which identified genes in our gene sets of interest that were TE's, was performed at the final step of the analysis once we identified DEGs, co-expressed genes, and low-variance genes that were annotated by the Uniprot/Swissprot database. We feel that the comprehensive annotation information pulled from the Uniprot/Swissprot database was sufficient for TE identification. While it would be interesting to also search for transposable elements in the un-annotated genes using RepeatMasker, those genes were not included in any

of the other functional analyses, so we opted to focus on the reduced dataset for all functional analyses.

Results:

COMMENT: Lines 327-329: ...mapped to non-coding regions (22.3%), or were assigned…
Indeed, the “or” has been added.

COMMENT: Line 335: The high number of genes that were removed? That had reads mapped to them?
The following text was added for clarity: *“The high number of genes to which reads mapped reflects the large P. camtschaticus draft genome … “*

COMMENT: Line 336: Add “...repeat elements characteristic of crustacean genomes” to add context for readers who are unfamiliar with crustacean genomics.
The suggested text has been added!

COMMENT: Line 338: Replace “and ranged” with “ranging”
This change has been made.

COMMENT: Figure 4: Consider changing the green-purple color scheme to a single-color gradient-based color scheme to facilitate better interpretation, especially for color-blind individuals. Move A/B/C and plot titles above the volcano plot panels so they are easier to read.
We have moved the A/B/C plot titles above the volcano plot panels as suggested. Heatmaps are challenging to visualize for color blindedness! We have changed the gradient to a black/green gradient in hopes that will aid the interpretation for all readers.

COMMENT: Line 386: Consider “darker shades” instead of “darker colors”
The text now reads “darker shades”

COMMENT: Lines 387-388: Are the DEG those with darker shades in the volcano plots? If so, add that information for clarity.
The figure 4 caption has been edited for clarity:

Figure 4. Pairwise differential expression among OA treatments for (A) ambient (pH 8.0) vs. moderate OA (pH 7.8), (B) ambient vs. severe OA (pH 7.5), and (C) moderate OA vs. severe OA. Volcano plots (left panels) show expression of all genes. Each point represents a unique gene, with non-black points residing above the dotted line representing differentially expressed genes. -Log10 p-value is along the y-axes, with higher numbers indicating higher significance, and log2 fold change is along the x-axes, with higher absolute values indicating larger differences among treatments.. Differentially expressed genes (p-adj < 0.05) are color-coded to indicate those that are expressed at higher levels in ambient treatment (blue), moderate OA (orange), or severe OA (red). Points with darker shades indicate those that have |Log2FC| >0.5,

which were used in functional analyses. Heatmaps (right panels) show expression of differentially expressed genes only (rows=genes) at per-sample resolution (columns=samples), with the green-black gradient indicating the z-score of expression values standardized across samples for each gene, where green and black indicate higher and lower expression, respectively.

COMMENT: Lines 414-415: Are these GOterm names or user-defined categories? If user-defined, how were they defined?
The enriched biological processes are the GO term names. We have tweaked that sentence for clarity: *"Enrichment analysis revealed 26 and 104 biological processes GO terms that were enriched in genes … "*

COMMENT: Figure 6: Consider adding a pathway diagram that summarizes important information from these figures, as these pathways are a cornerstone of the discussion section.
Many pathways are involved in the variety of biological processes that were implicated in this study, therefore we are hesitant to include such a complex pathway figure. We have leveraged the hierarchical structure of GO terms to construct GO networks to aid the interpretation of the data; those are now included in the supplementary for reference (Supplemental figures 5-7).

COMMENT: Lines 448-449: What is meant by a "more active" biological process? Increased expression of these genes?
Yes, that is exactly what was meant. The text has been edited to make that clear.

COMMENT: Lines 451-466: Was this a separate enrichment test conducted in DAVID? What was the gene background used for the enrichment?
The Functional Analyses section in the Methods has been reformatted to more clearly outline the different gene sets examined for enriched processes. It also now specifies the background gene list used in all enrichment analyses: "*all analyzed genes that mapped to the Uniprot/Swissprot database (n=32,435).*"

COMMENT: Line 467: Was there a statistical test associated with examining differences in TE activity/composition between the different OA treatments?
We had not included a statistical test in the manuscript! We added the following information to the Methods and Results sections.

Methods: *"Beta-regression and likelihood ratio test assessed whether the TE proportions differed for gene sets that were up-regulated and down-regulated in OA treatments."*

Results: *"A likelihood ratio test examined the proportions of gene sets that were TEs, and found higher TE proportions in upregulated gene sets compared to downregulated gene sets (χ^2 (2, N = 20) = 10.7, p = 0.001, Figure 7)."*

COMMENT: Figure 7: Did the authors consider making a version of this figure where TE activity/composition is examined in relation to OA treatment?
We explored a variety of figure options, one of which included a line plot showing the average expression value of each TE by treatment as suggested! The large number of TE genes and widely ranging expression values made the figure too messy for publication. As shown, Figure 7 reports the proportion of each gene module that are TEs, which we prefer as it mirrors how information is discussed in the final section of the Results.

Discussion:

COMMENT: Lines 675-677: Is there another crustacean study that could be cited here instead of the Olympia oyster study?
Definitely. The Olympia oyster studies have been replaced with a more relevant study in Tanner crab (Long, Swiney, and Foy, 2016; https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv251).