Computational Geometry csci3250

Laura Toma

Bowdoin College

Approximate Path Planning

Geometric (combinatorial) path planning

- Approach
 - Compute free C-space geometrically
 - compute C-obstacles as Minkowski sums and their union
 - Compute a partition of C-free
 - trapezoidal partition
 - Compute a complete roadmap

- Comments
 - Complete
 - Works beautifully in 2D and for some simple cases in 3D
 - Worst-case bound for combinatorial complexity of C-objects in 3D is high
 - Unfeasible for high #DOF
 - A complete planner in 3D runs in O(2^{n^#DOF})

Geometric Roadmaps

- Via trapezoidal partition or triangulation.
- Shortest Euclidian paths:
 Visibility graphs
- Maximum clearance paths: Voronoi diagram of obstacles

Approximate path planning

- Roadmaps
- Sampling
- Cell decomposition

Approximate path planning

Roadmaps

Compute a roadmap that captures connectivity of C-free

Sampling

- When dimension of C-space is high it is hard to construct the C-obstacles
- However it is much easier to "sample" a certain placement and determine if it is in C-free or not.
 - this ends up being a collision test: would my robot, if placed at this position and in this configuration, intersect any obstacle?
- By sampling, we can determine what points are in C-free or not
- If the sampling is dense, it implicitly constructs a discretized version of C-free

Sampling

- You are not given the representation of C-free: Imagine being blindfolded in a maze
- Sampling: you walk around hitting your head on the walls
- Left long enough, after hitting many walls, you have a pretty good representation of the maze
- However the space is huge
 - e.g. DOF= 6: 1000 x 1000 x 1000 x 360 x 360 x 360
- So you need to be smart about it and chose the points you sample

Sampling and Roadmaps

- Instead of computing C-free explicitly, compute a roadmap that captures its connectivity
- Construct roadmap by sampling free space
- We can sample C-space
 - e.g. with a grid; or, randomly; or, ...
 - one shot, or incremental
 - ..
- If C-free is not sampled adequately, we may not detect paths that go through narrow passages
- Tradeoff: to capture all possible paths in C-free we want dense sampling, but this is infeasible because it's huge

Approximate path planning

Goal: Approximate in some way C-free

Approaches

- Approximate the robot and/or obstacles by simple objects: (hierarchies of) bounding boxes or spheres
- Cell decomposition method: Sample with uniform grid/quadtree/octree.
 This creates a huge roadmap which can be searched using AI techniques to direct the search towards a path
- Potential method
- Probabilistic roadmaps
- RRT
- any other approaches?
- Approaches are usually hybrid: combine ideas above

Use spheres and BB's

- Approximate the robot and/or obstacles by simple objects: (hierarchies of) bounding boxes or spheres
- Issues
 - cluttered scenes
 - complicated shapes

Grid roadmaps/cell decomposition

- Sample C-space with uniform grid/quadtree/octree.
- This essentially "pixelizes" the space
- May compute an occupancy grid
- Graph is implicit
 - given by grid topology: move +/-1 in each direction
- Can do it on one-shot (pre-compute it), or incrementally as needed
- This creates a huge roadmap which can be searched using AI techniques to direct the search towards a path

Potential field methods

- Idea [Latombe et al, 1992]
 - Define a potential field
 - Robot moves in the direction of steepest descent on potential function
- Ideally potential function has global minimum at the goal, has no local minima, and is very large around obstacles
- Algorithm outline:
 - place a regular grid over C-space
 - search over the grid with potential function as heuristic
- Pro: Nice framework that can be adapted to any specific scene
- Con: can get stuck in local minima
 - Potential functions that are minima-free are known, but expensive to compute
- RPP (Randomized path planner) is based on potential functions
 - escapes local minima by executing random walks
 - · succesfully used to
 - performs riveting ops on plane fuselages
 - plan disasembly ops for maintenance of aircraft engines

- Latombe, Overmaps et al , 1996
- Approach
 - Construction phase:
 - Construct roadmap representing C-free by repeatedly sampling and connecting
 - · Query phase:
 - Use roadmap to find path between any two points

Constructing the roadmap

- Start with uniform sampling of points in C-free and try to connect them
 - two points are connected by an edge if a simple quick planner can find a path between them
- This will create a set of trees
- Augment roadmap by selecting additional sample points in areas that are estimated to be "difficult"

Comments

- Roadmap adjusts to the density of free space and is more connected around the obstacles
- Size of roadmap can be adjusted as needed
- More time spent in the "learning" phase ==> better roadmap
- Roadmap stored as set of tree for space efficiency
 - trees encode connectivity, cycles don't change it. Additional edges are useful for shortest paths, but not for completeness

```
N \leftarrow \emptyset
(1)
       E \leftarrow \emptyset
(2)
(3)
        loop
            c \leftarrow a randomly chosen free
(4)
             configuration
            N_c \leftarrow a set of candidate neighbors
(5)
               of c chosen from N
            N \leftarrow N \cup \{c\}
(6)
            for all n \in N_c, in order of
(7)
              increasing D(c,n) do
               if \neg same\_connected\_component(c, n)
(8)
                \wedge \Delta(c,n) then
                          E \leftarrow E \cup \{(c,n)\}
(9)
                          update R's connected
(10)
                            components
```

Components

- sampling C-free
- the local planner
- selecting the neighbors
- the expansion step and the heuristical measure of difficulty of a node

Comments

- One of the leading motion planning technique
- Efficient, easy to implement, applicable to many types of scenes
- Embraced by many groups, many variants of PRM's, used in many type of scenes.
- Not clear which technique better in which circumstances