

Basic Principles of Solar Acoustic Holography

ASTR 500

Laurel Farris

11 March 2016

Outline

“Basic Principles of Solar Acoustic Holography”

C. Lindsey and D. C. Braun

2000

1. Introduction
2. Basic Principles of Computational Seismic Holography
3. The Computational Task
4. Subjacent Vantage Holography
5. An Example
6. Acoustic Modelling Based on Holographic Images
7. Phase-Sensitive Holography
8. Green's Functions
9. Summary

Overview

Drawing on principles in optics and optical holography: *Observe* the p -mode spectrum, and extract information without using (possible incorrect) models.

Comparing:

- ▶ simple acoustic-power
- ▶ phase-sensitive

Will eventually based solar models off of holographic signatures.

Propose “simple computational principles” to produce images from observations.

(Include some sort of eye diagram here?)

1.1

“Seismic holography” was applied to helioseismic data from SOHO. “New” (1998-1999) solar acoustic phenomena:

- ▶ ‘acoustic moats’ surrounding sunspots
- ▶ ‘acoustic condensations’ 10-20 Mm beneath active regions
- ▶ ‘acoustic glories’ surrounding complex active regions
- ▶ first helioseismic images of a flare

→ solar cycle dependence of global p -modes! (which is ... ?)

Magnetic regions reflect p modes above the acoustic cutoff frequency, where the surface of the *quiet* sun (~ 10 G) acts as a nearly perfect absorber of incident acoustic radiation coming from the sun’s interior.

1.2 The Basic Principle

The *phase-coherent* (what does this mean?) computational reconstruction of the *acoustic field* in the solar interior, so that *stigmatic images* (what are these?) of the sources of these disturbances can be produced.

Historical info here that might go in a pre-paper slide.

1.3

- ▶ Concept proposed in 1975 by Roddier
- ▶ Developed over the 1990s by Lindsey and Braun (current authors)
- ▶ Key to locating and examining fine structure as deep as possible.

1.4

Seismology and tomography are not the same thing!


Tomography is great for X-ray applications in the medical field, not so good for astronomical seismology; poor statistics and diffraction limited resolution. Holography is definitely not just another method of *modeling* stellar interiors, the images provide more of a basis for modeling techniques.

1.5

Helioseismic holography defined in terms of seismic imaging by phase-coherent reconstruction of the acoustic field into the solar interior. The terms ‘seismic imaging’ and ‘helioseismic imaging’ are applied in a broader context to include *partially* coherent acoustic signatures suggested to appear at the antipodes of far-side acoustic absorbers. ‘Holographic’ seismology applies to near side, far side, and everything in between.


Part 2: Basic Principles of Computational Seismic Holography

2.1; Figure 1



- ▶ Well-defined acoustic sources
- ▶ All we see is the pattern of ripples at the surface, propagating from points directly *above* the sources.
- ▶ The waves are absorbed upon reaching the surface (accurate for $\nu > \sim 5.5$ mHz (what's the significance of this??))


2.2; Figure 2



- ▶ Apply time-series of observations to model with no sources, sinks, or scattering (of what?)
- ▶ The observances are “seen” at the “pupil”.
- ▶ Place the “focal plane” at the location of the sources, and get a diffraction-limited signature (left side of figure).
- ▶ If focal plane is above or below the source, we get an unfocused, diffuse profile (right side of figure).

2.3; Figure 3

- ▶ Simulation: random acoustic noise in model that contains alphanumeric absorbers at six different locations, from just below the surface to a depth of 56 Mm ($\sim \frac{1}{10} R_{\odot}$).
- ▶ ‘acoustic stalactite’ of the absorber the de-focused plume.
- ▶ a diffuse ‘stalagmite’ appears closer to the absorber
- ▶ sharp, diffraction-limited silhouette at 56 Mm.
- ▶ depth diagnostics accomplished by focusing and de-focusing, rather than the appearance or disappearance that would be used in realistic physical models.



2.4

Seismic holography is most certainly not a representation of solar acoustics in terms of ray optics. These are mechanical waves, not electromagnetic ones, though they have similar behavior, such as interference and diffraction. Thus, it suffers from the same limitations as other helioseismological observations, and the same kind of optimization techniques used to extract information from coherent electromagnetic radiation can also be used here.

Part 3: The Computational Task

3.1

3.2

3.3

$$H_+(\mathbf{r}, z, t) = \int dt' \int d^2r' G_+(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|, z, t - t') \psi(\mathbf{r}', t')$$

3.4

$$G_{-}(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|, z, t-t')G_{+}(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|, z, t'-t)$$

3.5

3.6

From the convolution theorem:

$$\hat{H}_+(\mathbf{k}, z, \nu) = \hat{G}_+(|\mathbf{k}|, z, \nu), \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k}, \nu)$$

3.7

Start getting aberrations; some are easily corrected (e.g. spherical aberration, distortion, and curvature of field). Some however, are not (coma, primary astigmatism, and higher order aberrations) for large pupils that are needed to form deep focal planes (deep sources), or for imaging the far side of the sun. In this case, the aforementioned wavenumber perspective cannot be used.

3.8

$$\check{H}_+(\mathbf{r}, z, \nu) = \int d^2r' \check{G}_+(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|, z, \nu) \check{\psi}(\mathbf{r}', \nu)$$

Part 4: Subjacent Vantage Holography

4.1

4.2

4.3

Part 5: An Example

Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7




Figure 8




Figure 9




Figure 10




Figure 11

