## ASTR 535 Lecture Notes

### Jon Holtzman

### Spring 2016

course website: http://astronomy.nmsu.edu/holtz/a535

# Properties of light, magnitudes, errors, and error analysis Light

Wavelength regimes:

• gamma rays

• x-rays

• ultraviolent (UV)

near: 900–3500 Åfar: 100–900 Å

The 900 Å break is because of the Lyman limit at 912 Å. This is where neutral hydrogen is ionized, so the universe is largely opaque to wavelengths shorter than this.

- visual (V): 4000–7000 Å (note that 'V' is different from 'optical', which is slightly broader: 3500–10000 Å. The 3500 Å cutoff is due to the Earth's atmosphere being opaque to wavelengths shorter than this).
- IR

```
- near: 1–5 \mu (1–10 \mu in online notes)
```

- mid:  $(10-100 \mu)$ 

- far: 5–100  $\mu$  (100–1000  $\mu)$ 

- sub-mm 500–1000  $\mu$
- microwave
- radio

Quantities of light:

• Intensity (I) [erg  $s^{-1} \Omega^{-1} \nu^{-1}$ ]

- Surface Brightness (SB): amount of energy received in a unit surface element per unit time per unit frequency (or wavelength) from a unit solid angle in the direction  $(\theta, \phi)$ , where  $\theta$  is the angle away from the normal to the surface element, and  $\phi$  is the azimuthal angle.
- Flux (F): amount of energy passing through a unit surface element in all directions, defined by

$$F_{\nu} = \int I_{\nu} \cos(\theta) d\Omega \tag{1}$$

where  $d\Omega$  is the solid angle element, and the integration is over the entire solid angle.

• Luminosity (L): intrinsic energy emitted by the source per second ( $\sim$  power). For an isotropically emitting source,

$$L = 4\pi d^2 F \tag{2}$$

where d = distance to source.

What to measure for sources:

- Resolved: directly measure surface brightness (intensity) distribution on the sky, usually over some bandpass or wavelength interval.
- Unresolved: measure the flux.

Questions:

- What are the dimensions of the three quantities: luminosity, surface brightness (intensity), and flux?
- How do the three quantities depend on distance to the source?
- To what quantity is apparent magnitude of a star related?
- To what quantity is the absolute magnitude related?

Amount of light emitted is a function of wavelength, so we are often interested in e.g. flux per unit wavelength or frequency, also known as *specific* flux.

$$\int F_{\nu} d\nu = -\int F_{\lambda} d\lambda$$
$$F_{\nu} = -F_{\lambda} d\lambda$$
$$= F_{\lambda} \frac{c}{\nu^{2}}$$
$$= F_{\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{2}}{c}$$

Note that a constant  $F_{\lambda}$  implies a non-constant  $F_{\nu}$  and vice versa.

Units: often cgs, magnitudes, Jansky (a flux density unit corresponding to  $10^{-26}~\mathrm{W}~\mathrm{m}^{-2}~\mathrm{Hz}^{-1}$ )

There are often variations in terminology

Terminology of measurements:

- photometry (broad-band flux measurement)
- spectroscopy (relative measurement of fluxes at different wavelengths)
- Spectrophotomoetry (absolute measurement of fluxes at different wavelengths)
- astrometry (concerned with positions of observed flux)
- morphology (intensity as a function of position; often, absolute measurements are unimportant)

Generally, measure flux with photometry, and flux density with spectroscopy (down to the resolution of the spectrograph). In pracetice, with most detectors, measure photon flux (photon counting device), rather than every flux (bolometers). The photon flux is given by

$$photonflux = fluxphoton^{-1}or$$
$$= energyphoton^{-1}$$
$$= \int F_{\lambda} \frac{\lambda}{hc} d\lambda$$

### Magnitudes and photometric systems

Magnitudes are related to flux (and SB and L) by

$$m = -2.5 \log \frac{F}{F_0}$$
$$= -2.5 \log F + 2.5 \log F_0$$

where the coefficient of proportionality,  $F_0$ , depends on the definition of photometric system; the quantity  $-2.5 \log F_0$  may be referred to as the photometric system zeropoint. Inverting, one gets:

$$F = F_0 \times 10^{-0.4 \text{m}} \tag{3}$$

Just as fluxes can be represented in magnitude units, flux densities can be specified by monochromatic magnitudes:

$$F_{\lambda} = F_0(\lambda) \times 10^{-0.4 \text{m}(\lambda)} \tag{4}$$

although spectra are more often given in flux units than in magnitude units. Note that it is possible that  $F_0$  is a function of wavelength.

Since magnitudes are logarithmic, the difference between magnitudes corresponds to a ratio of fluxes; ratios of magnitudes are generally unphysical. If one is just doing relative measurements of brightness between objects, this can be done without knowledge of  $F_0$  (or, equivalently, the system zeropoint); objects that differ in brightness by  $\Delta M$  have the same ratio of brightness  $(10^{-0.4\Delta M})$  regardless of what photometric system they are in. The photometric system definitions and zeropoints are only needed when converting between calibrated magnitudes and fluxes. Note that this means that if one references the brightness of one object relative to that of another, a magnitude system can be set up relative the brightness of the reference source. However, the utility of a system when doing astrophysics generally requires an understanding of the actual fluxes.

#### Monday, January 25

There are three main types of magnitude systems in use in astronomy. We start by describing the two simpler ones: the STMAG and the ABNU mag system. In these simple systems, the reference flux is just a constant value in  $F_{\lambda}$  or  $F_{\nu}$ . However, these are not always the most widely used systems in astronomy, because no natural source exists with a flat spectrum.

In the STMAG system,  $F_{0,\lambda} = 3.60 \times 10^{-9} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1}$ , which is the flux of Vega at 5500 Å; hence a star of Vega's brightness at 5500 Å is defined to have m=0. Alternatively, we can write

$$m_{STMAG} = -2.5\log F_{\lambda} - 21.1\tag{5}$$

for  $F_{\lambda}$  in cgs units.

In the ABNU system, things are defined for  $F_{\nu}$  instead of  $F_{\lambda}$ , and we have

$$F_{0,\nu} = 3.63$$

### Observed fluxes and the count equation

Errors in photon rates

Noise equation: how do we predict expected errors?

Error propagation

Determining sample parameters: averaging measurements

Random errors vs systematic errors