

## Unit 3

# Energy Transport

Energy liberated in stellar interiors is transferred to the surface by radiation, convection, and conduction.

### 3.1 Radiation

We are not considering here radiation from a stellar photosphere, only the movement of photons in stellar interiors.

#### 3.1.1 Basics

- The basic idea is that photons emitted in hot regions of a star are absorbed in cooler regions of a star, thus “transferring” energy from hot to cool.
- The “efficiency” of this transfer will depend on the temperature gradient. A very rough approximation of the gradient for the Sun is  $T_c/R_\odot \approx 10^{-4} \text{ K cm}^{-1}$ .
- The efficiency will also depend on the ability of the photons to travel freely.
- Let’s look at that last point. The mean free path of photons can be approximated now as

$$\ell_{\text{ph}} = \frac{1}{\kappa \rho}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\kappa$  is some absorption coefficient (in units of cross section per unit mass) that will be given a physical meaning later

- Typical interior values are  $\kappa \approx 1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ . A rough mean density is  $\rho \approx 1.4 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  (like in the Sun).
- This gives a mean free path of  $\ell_{\text{ph}} \approx 1 \text{ cm}$ ! Stellar interiors are very opaque.
- Nonetheless, radiative transport occurs by the non-vanishing net flux outward, due to the hotter material below which sets up the gradient.
- Because of the small path, transport can be treated as a **diffusion process** in the interior. (Near the surface, however, this simplification starts to break down).

### 3.1.2 Diffusion

- Quick and dirty derivation of Fick's Law of diffusion, just to get the point across.
- Consider **particles** diffusing (randomly) in 3D space at some boundary  $r$ .
- Let  $n$  be the particle number density,  $\bar{v}$  be the mean velocity, and  $\ell$  the mean free path, such that  $\ell = 1/\sigma n$ , with  $\sigma$  the cross section.
- Consider isotropy. Then about 1/3 of the particles will be moving in the  $\hat{r}$  direction. About 1/2 of those will be moving in the  $-\hat{r}$  direction
- Flux is a quantity (like number of particles or energy) per unit area per unit time.
- From one direction, the particle flux is

$$F_+ = \frac{1}{6} n_{r-\ell} \bar{v}_{r-\ell} \quad (3.2)$$

- From the other direction

$$F_- = \frac{1}{6} n_{r+\ell} \bar{v}_{r+\ell} \quad (3.3)$$

- Net flux

$$F = F_+ - F_- = \frac{1}{6} \bar{v} (n_{r-\ell} - n_{r+\ell}), \quad (3.4)$$

assuming that  $v_{r-\ell} \approx v_{r+\ell} = \bar{v}$ .

- If the mean free path does not change on the scale of the density gradient, then

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{1}{6} \bar{v} [n_{r-\ell} - n_r - (n_{r+\ell} - n_r)] \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \bar{v} \left[ -\ell \frac{dn}{dr} - \ell \frac{dn}{dr} \right] \\ F &= -D \nabla_r n, \end{aligned}$$

where the diffusion coefficient  $D = 1/3 \bar{v} \ell$ . This is Fick's Law. Again, if  $\ell$  is large, this fails.

- This is generic. On the left you have a flux (in this case of number of particles) and on the right a gradient of density (in this case number density of particles). Note that the flux is carried from a high concentration to a low concentration of particles.
- But we want to compute the flux of diffusing radiative energy. So we need an energy density.
- For photons, we can just let  $\bar{v} = c$ ,  $\ell = \ell_{\text{ph}} = 1/\kappa\rho$ , and  $n = u$ . See Equation (2.58) and note that  $u = 3P$  for a relativistic system, as derived previously, which gives

$$u = aT^4. \quad (3.5)$$

- So then the radiative flux  $F_{\text{rad}}$  is

$$F_{\text{rad}} = -\frac{4ac}{3} \frac{T^3}{\kappa\rho} \frac{dT}{dr}. \quad (3.6)$$

- The local luminosity at any point passing through a sphere of radius  $r$  is  $L(r) = 4\pi r^2 F_{\text{rad}}$ , so then rearranging we have

$$\frac{dT}{dr} = -\frac{3}{16\pi ac} \frac{\kappa\rho}{r^2} \frac{L}{T^3}. \quad (3.7)$$

- This is a fundamental equation of stellar structure.

### 3.1.3 Frequency dependence of radiation

- What we just did was too simple, even in the diffusion approximation. Our answer is in fact integrated over all photon energies.
- In principle, there is a frequency dependence on the flux  $F_\nu$  since the energy density and the opacity are partitioned in frequency.
- Let us go back to Equation (3.5) and instead consider

$$u_\nu = \frac{4\pi}{c} B_\nu(T), \quad (3.8)$$

where  $B$  is the Planck function for a blackbody radiator

$$B_\nu(T) = \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2} \frac{1}{e^{h\nu/k_B T} - 1}. \quad (3.9)$$

This is just from our Bose-Einstein distribution function, Equation (2.56), written in terms of frequency instead of momentum.

- Also keep in mind that the integrated Planck function

$$B(T) = \int_0^\infty B_\nu(T) d\nu = \frac{ac}{4\pi} T^4. \quad (3.10)$$

- Fick's Law now becomes

$$F_\nu = -\frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{1}{\kappa_\nu \rho} \frac{dB_\nu}{dr} = -\frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{1}{\kappa_\nu \rho} \frac{dB_\nu}{dT} \frac{dT}{dr}. \quad (3.11)$$

- The total flux integrated over all frequencies is then

$$F_{\text{rad}} = \int F_\nu d\nu = -\frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dT}{dr} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa_\nu} \frac{dB_\nu}{dT} d\nu. \quad (3.12)$$

- Comparing Equation (3.12) with Equation (3.6), we see that the  $\kappa$  in the latter is

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{\pi}{ac} \frac{1}{T^3} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa_\nu} \frac{dB_\nu}{dT} d\nu. \quad (3.13)$$

- But since

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dB_\nu}{dT} d\nu = \frac{d}{dT} \int_0^\infty B_\nu d\nu = \frac{dB}{dT} = \frac{ac}{\pi} T^3, \quad (3.14)$$

where  $B = acT^4/4\pi$  (the integral over all frequencies), we can then define

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_R} \equiv \frac{1}{\kappa} = \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa_\nu} \frac{dB_\nu}{dT} d\nu \right) \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{dB_\nu}{dT} d\nu \right)^{-1}, \quad (3.15)$$

where  $\kappa_R$  is the *Rosseland mean opacity*.

- All this implies is that Equations (3.6) and (3.7) should replace the opacity by the Rosseland mean opacity:

$$F_{\text{rad}} = -\frac{4ac}{3} \frac{T^3}{\kappa_R \rho} \frac{dT}{dr}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\frac{dT}{dr} = -\frac{3}{16\pi ac} \frac{\kappa_R \rho}{r^2} \frac{L}{T^3}. \quad (3.17)$$

- Note that this weighted opacity gives high frequencies more weight than lower ones (as one could find by differentiating).
- Before we go onto using these expressions to understand stellar structure, let's look at a few of the major sources of  $\kappa_R$ .

### 3.1.4 Opacity sources

- Computing opacities is hard stuff, only a few groups in the world have succeeded (LANL, LLNL). These computations require full quantum-mechanical treatments.
- In general, opacities can be approximated as power laws of the form

$$\kappa = \kappa_0 \rho^n T^{-s} \text{ [cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}\text{]}, \quad (3.18)$$

similar to how we treated energy generation rate and its dependence on these parameters.

- The goal is to compute *total* Rosseland mean opacities from all possible sources, including the following four:

#### 1. Scattering of photons from electrons

- For relativistic free electrons, this is known as Compton scattering, but for conditions inside most stars, this is Thomson scattering.
- For temperatures below about 1 billion Kelvin (thermal energies below electron rest mass energy), the cross section for scattering off electrons is frequency independent.
- One finds for the opacity

$$\kappa_e = \frac{n_e \sigma_e}{\rho} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}, \quad (3.19)$$

where the cross section is given in the Thompson scattering prescription

$$\sigma_e = \frac{8\pi}{3} \left( \frac{e^2}{m_e c^2} \right)^2 = 0.6652 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2. \quad (3.20)$$

(Note the classical electron radius buried in there).

- Scattering off electrons will only occur in a highly ionized gas where a sufficient number of electrons are present. Looking back at Equation (2.26) and considering a gas with inconsequential metals gives

$$\kappa_e \simeq 0.2(1 + X). \quad (3.21)$$

- If there are many metals or ionization is incomplete then the electron densities have to be computed more carefully.
- Below 10,000 K hydrogen is not ionized at low pressure and so this opacity does not contribute to the Rosseland mean.

**PROBLEM 3.1:** [5 pts]: Derive Equation (3.21) in the full ionization case.

#### 2. Free-free absorption

- A single free electron cannot absorb a photon while still conserving energy and momentum.
- If an ion is nearby, this absorption is possible however.
- In this case, it can be shown that the opacity

$$\kappa_{\text{f-f}} \approx 10^{23} \frac{Z_c^2}{\mu_e \mu_I} \rho T^{-3.5}, \quad (3.22)$$

where  $Z_c$  is some average nuclear charge. Typically a quantum-mechanical gaunt factor needs to be included in the coefficient.

- Opacities of this form that scale as  $\kappa \sim \rho T^{-3.5}$ , or  $n = 1$  and  $s = 3.5$ , are known as Kramers opacities.
- The inverse of this process, when an ion changes the momentum of an electron which then emits a photon, is known as Bremsstrahlung emission
- Note that for reference, in the core of the Sun the product  $\rho T^{-3.5} \approx 5 \times 10^{-23}$ . So these opacities are of order 1 to 10 or so.