# Case 04: Chewse: Delivering a Culture of Love Teaching Note

## **Key Terms: Startup Funding Stages**

- Seed Round Initial capital to test product/market fit.
  - Often <\$1M from friends, angels, accelerators.
- Series A First major VC round (typically \$2–15M).
  - Goal: prove scalability of business model.
- Series B Later round (tens of millions).
  - Goal: expand markets, grow operations.
- Series C (and beyond) Larger, growth-focused rounds.
  - Goal: expand globally, acquire competitors, prep for IPO.

#### **Key Terms: Other Concepts**

- Accelerator (e.g., 500 Startups) Short-term program offering capital, mentorship, and exposure.
- Burn Rate How quickly a startup spends cash. Determines "runway" before new funding is needed.
- Runway Number of months before the company runs out of cash at current burn rate.
- **Product**—**Market Fit** When a product meets a strong market demand and growth accelerates naturally.
- Culture Fit Hiring/management emphasis on values and alignment with company mission.

# Framing the Case

#### Founder's Perspective

#### Role Play

- Imagine you are Tracy Lawrence, co-founder and CEO of Chewse.
- Your goals:
  - Scale the business beyond San Francisco.
  - Preserve the "Love Culture" that differentiates Chewse.

- Secure the right investors and leaders for growth.

#### **Key Question:**

How do you expand Chewse without losing its culture?

## Case Overview

#### Chewse at a Glance

- Founded by Tracy Lawrence (2011) after frustrations with catering logistics.
- Pivoted from event catering to **corporate lunch delivery**.
- Completed 500 Startups accelerator  $\rightarrow$  refined focus on office meals.
- Differentiation:
  - Simplified catering management.
  - Curated local restaurant partners.
  - Culture factor: mealtime hosts to build community.

Mission: "Make sure nobody eats alone."

#### Chewse's "Love Culture"

- Emphasis on relationships, transparency, and vulnerability.
- Practices include:
  - Open salaries.
  - Weekly gratitude circles.
  - Hiring based on values fit.
  - Transitioned delivery staff to employees.
- What advantages does this culture create?
- What risks does it carry for growth and fundraising?

# **Turning Point**

#### **Investor Pushback**

• Some investors dismissed Tracy for not being "out for blood."

- Lawrence reframed: Chewse isn't "out for blood" it's "out for love."
- Raised \$5.6M Series A with Foundry Group (B Corp) + others.

**Tension:** Culture as a liability vs. culture as a strategic advantage.

# **Growth Strategy**

#### Fast vs. Slow Growth

#### Fast Growth

- First-mover advantage.
- Access untapped markets quickly.
- Attracts more venture capital.
- Can recruit top talent.
- Higher liquidity (potential exit sooner).

#### Slow Growth

- More sustainable over time.
- Easier to maintain culture.
- Lower employee churn.
- Easier to pivot if needed.
- Builds trust in the brand.

Why might Tracy Lawrence have chosen a slower growth path for Chewse? How does this choice shape the Seattle vs. Los Angeles decision?

# Strategic Dilemma

#### **Next Market Expansion**

Chewse must decide where to expand after San Francisco:

#### • Seattle

- Similar to SF in size and customer profile.
- Safer bet for transferring culture.

#### • Los Angeles

- Larger, more complex market.
- Less tech-centric, more influential industries (banking, entertainment).

#### Founder's Challenge:

As Tracy, should you choose the safer option (Seattle) or the bold move (LA) — and how will you maintain Love Culture in a new city?

# **Compare Options**

#### **Expansion Criteria**

Market similarity – Is it easier to replicate SF's success?

Cultural transferability – Can Tracy embed Chewse's Love Culture in this new city?

Growth potential – Will this market support fundraising and visibility?

Team building - Will Tracy find (or promote) leaders who embody our values?

Operational feasibility – Is this market manageable in terms of geography and logistics?

**Strategic fit** – Does the expansion reinforce mission + business model?

Strategic visibility – Will this market choice strengthen Chewse's credibility for future fundraising?

Personal bandwidth – How much time will be needed to spend there to get it right?

# Apply the Criteria

## **Expansion Tradeoffs**

Founder's Criteria	Seattle	Los Angeles
Can Love Culture be transferred easily? Can I build the right team quickly?	[] Similar culture to SF [] Smaller, easier to manage	[] Different culture, riskier [] Larger, more talent
Are operations/logistics manageable? Do I have bandwidth to support it? Will this market boost our credibility?	[ ] Compact city, tech hub [ ] Less demanding travel [ ] Safer but less visible choice	diversity [] Sprawl + heavy traffic [] More personal time required [] Bold, influential industries

#### Instructions

- Check boxes as you evaluate each city through Tracy's eyes.
- Discuss: Which criteria should matter most to her at this stage?

## Make a Recommendation

#### Which Market?

- Seattle
  - Lower risk, culturally similar, manageable geography.
  - But may be seen as a "safe" or less ambitious choice.
- Los Angeles
  - Bigger market, more diverse industries, higher visibility.
  - But logistics + cultural differences pose challenges.

# Wrap-Up

## **Scorecard Totals**

- Seattle  $\rightarrow$  \_\_\_\_ / 5
- Los Angeles  $\rightarrow$  \_\_\_\_\_ / 5

## **Discussion Points**

- Which criteria did your group weigh most heavily?
- How does Chewse's love culture factor into your choice?
- Are you evaluating purely on strategy, or also on values?

## **Key Takeaways**

- Culture as strategy: Chewse's differentiation goes beyond food.
- Growth dilemma: Expansion involves both markets and values.
- No single answer: Seattle vs. LA highlights different growth philosophies.
- Entrepreneurial lesson: Mission-driven businesses must balance heart and scale.

Chewse ultimately re-entered **Los Angeles**, prioritizing influence and proving culture could work outside of tech.