

Optimal Control of Ordinary Differential Equations

Laurent Pfeiffer

Inria and CentraleSupélec, Université Paris-Saclay

Ensta-Paris
Paris-Saclay University



Lecture 2: Linear-quadratic optimal control problems

- *Goal:* investigating linear-quadratic optimal control problems and their associated linear optimality system.
- *Issues:* existence of a solution, optimality conditions, connexion with the Riccati equation (a non-linear ODE which yields a feedback law).

Bibliography

The following references are related to Lecture 2:

-  E. Trélat, Contrôle optimal : théorie et applications. Version électronique, 2013. (Chapitre 3).
-  E. Sontag, Mathematical Control Theory, Springer, 1998. (Chapter 8).
-  U. Boscain and Y. Chitour. Introduction à l'automatique (Chapitre 5) / Introduction to automatic control (Chapter 8). Available on U. Boscain's webpage.

1 Existence of a solution

2 Pontryagin's principle

3 Riccati equation

4 Shooting method

Linear quadratic optimal control

Consider the following LQ optimal control problem:

$$\inf_{\substack{y \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n) \\ u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left(\langle y(t), W y(t) \rangle + \|u(t)\|^2 \right) dt + \frac{1}{2} \langle y(T), K y(T) \rangle$$

subject to: $\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = A y(t) + B u(t) \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases}$ $(P(y_0))$

Data and assumptions:

- Time horizon: $T > 0$.
- Dynamics coefficients: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$
- Cost coefficients: $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, both assumed symmetric positive semi-definite.

The initial condition $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is seen as a *parameter* of the problem.

The generic constant M

Convention.

All constants M appearing in forthcoming lemmas will depend on A, B, W, K , and T only. They **will not depend** on y_0 .

We use **the same name** for (a priori) independent constants. This is acceptable in so far as all statements remain true if the value of M is **increased**.

The Sobolev space $H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$

The space $H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as follows:

$$H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ y \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n) \mid \dot{y} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n) \right\}$$

where \dot{y} denotes the weak derivative of y . It is a **Hilbert space**, equipped with the scalar product:

$$\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle = \int_0^T \langle y_1(t), y_2(t) \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle \dot{y}_1(t), \dot{y}_2(t) \rangle dt$$

and the norm $\|y\|_{H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\|y\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|\dot{y}\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right)^{1/2}$.

Lemma 1

The space $H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ is contained in the set of continuous functions from $[0, T]$ to \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, all usual calculus rules are valid (in particular, integration by parts).

State equation

Given $u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $y[u, y_0] \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the solution to the state equation

$$\dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + Bu(t), \quad y(0) = y_0.$$

Lemma 2

The map $(u, y_0) \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto y[u, y_0] \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is linear. There exists $M > 0$ such that for all $u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and for all $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|y[u, y_0]\|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq M(\|y_0\| + \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)}), \\ \|y[u, y_0]\|_{H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq M(\|y_0\| + \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. A direct application of Duhamel's formula and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Reduced problem

Let $J: L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\textcolor{blue}{J}(u) = J_1(u) + J_2(u) + J_3(u),$$

where

$$J_1(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle y[u, y_0](t), Wy[u, y_0](t) \rangle dt$$

$$J_2(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|u(t)\|^2 dt$$

$$J_3(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle y[u, y_0](T), Ky[u, y_0](T) \rangle.$$

Consider the **reduced problem**, equivalent to $(P(y_0))$,

$$\inf_{u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)} \textcolor{blue}{J}(u). \quad (P'(y_0))$$

Weak lower semi-continuity

Definition 3

A map $F: L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be **weakly lower semi-continuous** (resp. **weakly continuous**) if for any weakly convergent sequence $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with weak limit \bar{u} , it holds

$$F(\bar{u}) \leq \liminf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_k) \quad \left(\text{resp. } F(\bar{u}) = \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F(u_k) \right).$$

Lemma 4

The map J is strictly convex and weakly lower semi-continuous.

Proof.

- J_1 , J_2 , and J_3 are convex, J_2 is strictly convex
- J_1 and J_3 are weakly continuous, J_2 is weakly lower semi-continuous.

Regularity of J

Let $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$, let $\bar{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Assume that $u_k \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$. Let $y_k = y[u_k, y_0]$ and $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$. Then,

- $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$
- by Lemma 2, y_k is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

With the help of Duhamel's formula, we obtain that

$$y[u_k, y_0](t) \rightarrow y[\bar{u}, y_0](t), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Step 1: This directly implies that

$$J_3(u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \langle y_k(T), Ky_k(T) \rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{y}(T), K\bar{y}(T) \rangle = J_3(\bar{u}).$$

Thus J_3 is weakly continuous.

Regularity of J

Let $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$, let $\bar{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Assume that $u_k \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$. Let $y_k = y[u_k, y_0]$ and $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$. Then,

- $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$
- by Lemma 2, y_k is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

With the help of Duhamel's formula, we obtain that

$$y[u_k, y_0](t) \rightarrow y[\bar{u}, y_0](t), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Step 1: This directly implies that

$$J_3(u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \langle y_k(T), Ky_k(T) \rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{y}(T), K\bar{y}(T) \rangle = J_3(\bar{u}).$$

Thus J_3 is weakly continuous.

Regularity of J

Let $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$, let $\bar{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Assume that $u_k \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$. Let $y_k = y[u_k, y_0]$ and $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$. Then,

- $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$
- by Lemma 2, y_k is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

With the help of Duhamel's formula, we obtain that

$$y[u_k, y_0](t) \rightarrow y[\bar{u}, y_0](t), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Step 1: This directly implies that

$$J_3(u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \langle y_k(T), Ky_k(T) \rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{y}(T), K\bar{y}(T) \rangle = J_3(\bar{u}).$$

Thus J_3 is weakly continuous.

Regularity of J

Step 2: By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$J_1(u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle y_k(t), W y_k(t) \rangle dt \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle \bar{y}(t), W \bar{y}(t) \rangle dt = J_1(\bar{u}).$$

Step 3: Finally, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(u_k) - J_2(\bar{u}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|u_k(t)\|^2 - \|\bar{u}(t)\|^2 dt \\ &= \underbrace{\int_0^T \langle \bar{u}(t), u_k(t) - \bar{u}(t) \rangle dt}_{\rightarrow 0} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|u_k(t) - \bar{u}(t)\|^2 dt}_{\geq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\liminf J_2(u_k) - J_2(\bar{u}) \geq 0$ and J_2 is weakly lower semi-continuous.

Regularity of J

Step 2: By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$J_1(u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle y_k(t), W y_k(t) \rangle dt \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle \bar{y}(t), W \bar{y}(t) \rangle dt = J_1(\bar{u}).$$

Step 3: Finally, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(u_k) - J_2(\bar{u}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|u_k(t)\|^2 - \|\bar{u}(t)\|^2 dt \\ &= \underbrace{\int_0^T \langle \bar{u}(t), u_k(t) - \bar{u}(t) \rangle dt}_{\rightarrow 0} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|u_k(t) - \bar{u}(t)\|^2 dt}_{\geq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\liminf J_2(u_k) - J_2(\bar{u}) \geq 0$ and J_2 is weakly lower semi-continuous.

Existence result

Lemma 5

For all $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the problem $(P'(y_0))$ has a unique solution $\bar{u}[y_0]$. Moreover, there exists a constant M , independent of y_0 , such that

$$\|\bar{u}[y_0]\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M\|y_0\|.$$

Proof. Let $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a **minimizing sequence**. W.l.o.g.,

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u_k\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}^2 = J_2(u_k) \leq J(u_k) \leq J(0) \leq \frac{1}{2}(M\|y_0\|)^2.$$

Extracting a subsequence, we can assume that $u_k \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$, for some $\bar{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$. We have $\|\bar{u}\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq M\|y_0\|$, moreover

$$J(\bar{u}) \leq \liminf J(u_k) = \inf_{u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)} J(u).$$

Thus, \bar{u} is optimal. Strict convexity of $J \implies$ uniqueness.

1 Existence of a solution

2 Pontryagin's principle

3 Riccati equation

4 Shooting method

Fréchet differentiability

Definition 6

The map J is said to be **Fréchet differentiable** if for any $u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$, there exists a continuous linear form $DJ(u): L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{|J(u + v) - J(u) - DJ(u)v|}{\|v\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}} \xrightarrow{\|v\|_{L^2} \downarrow 0} 0.$$

Remark. A sufficient condition for Fréchet differentiability is to have

$$|J(u + v) - J(u) - DJ(u)v| \leq M \|v\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}^2,$$

for all v and for some M independent of v .

Fréchet differentiability

Lemma 7

The map J is Fréchet differentiable. Let \bar{u} and $v \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Let $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$ and let $z \in y[v, 0]$. Omitting the time variable,

$$DJ(\bar{u})v = \int_0^T \langle W\bar{y}, z \rangle + \langle \bar{u}, v \rangle dt + \langle K\bar{y}(T), z(T) \rangle.$$

Proof. First, $y[u + v, y_0] - y[u, y_0] = y[v, 0] = z$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(\bar{u} + v) - J_1(\bar{u}) &= \underbrace{\int_0^T \langle W\bar{y}, z \rangle dt}_{=DJ_1(\bar{u})v} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle z, Wz \rangle dt}_{=\mathcal{O}(\|z\|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)}^2)} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\|v\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}^2) \end{aligned}$$

Fréchet differentiability

Similarly, we have

$$J_2(\bar{u} + v) - J_2(\bar{u}) = \underbrace{\int_0^T \langle \bar{u}, v \rangle dt}_{=DJ_2(\bar{u})v} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)}^2.$$

and

$$J_3(\bar{u} + v) - J_3(\bar{u}) = \underbrace{\langle K\bar{y}(T), z(T) \rangle}_{=DJ_3(\bar{u})v} + \langle z(T), Kz(T) \rangle.$$

Riesz representative

Pre-hamiltonian: given $(u, y, p) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$H(u, y, p) = \frac{1}{2}(\langle y, Wy \rangle + \|u\|^2) + \langle p, Ay + Bu \rangle \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have

$$\nabla_y H(u, y, p) = Wy + A^\top p \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_u H(u, y, p) = u + B^\top p.$$

Lemma 8

Let $\bar{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Let $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$. Let $p \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the solution to

$$-\dot{p}(t) = \nabla_y H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), p(t)), \quad p(T) = K\bar{y}(T).$$

Then,

$$DJ(\bar{u})v = \int_0^T \langle \nabla_u H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), p(t)), v(t) \rangle dt.$$

Riesz representative

Pre-hamiltonian: given $(u, y, p) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$H(u, y, p) = \frac{1}{2}(\langle y, Wy \rangle + \|u\|^2) + \langle p, Ay + Bu \rangle \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have

$$\nabla_y H(u, y, p) = Wy + A^\top p \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_u H(u, y, p) = u + B^\top p.$$

Lemma 8

Let $\bar{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Let $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$. Let $p \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the solution to

$$-\dot{p}(t) = \nabla_y H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), p(t)), \quad p(T) = K\bar{y}(T).$$

Then,

$$DJ(\bar{u})v = \left\langle \nabla_u H(\bar{u}(\cdot), \bar{y}(\cdot), p(\cdot)), v \right\rangle_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)}.$$

Riesz representative

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle K\bar{y}(T), z(T) \rangle &= \langle p(T), z(T) \rangle - \langle p(0), z(0) \rangle \\&= \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} \langle p(t), z(t) \rangle dt \\&= \int_0^T \langle \dot{p}(t), z(t) \rangle + \langle p(t), \dot{z}(t) \rangle dt \\&= \int_0^T \langle -A^\top p - W\bar{y}, z \rangle + \langle p, Az + Bv \rangle dt \\&= \int_0^T -\langle W\bar{y}, z \rangle + \langle B^\top p, v \rangle dt.\end{aligned}$$

Combined with Lemma 7 and the expression of $\nabla_u H(u, y, p)$, we obtain the result.

Pontryagin's principle

Theorem 9

Let $\bar{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Let $\bar{y} = y[\bar{u}, y_0]$. Let \bar{p} be defined by the adjoint equation

$$\begin{aligned}-\dot{\bar{p}}(t) &= \nabla_y H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), \bar{p}(t)) = A^\top \bar{p}(t) + W \bar{y}(t), \\ \bar{p}(T) &= K \bar{y}(T).\end{aligned}$$

Then, \bar{u} is a solution to $(P'(y_0))$ if and only if

$$\bar{u}(t) + B^\top \bar{p}(t) = \nabla_u H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), \bar{p}(t)) = 0, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T).$$

Proof. Since J is convex, \bar{u} is optimal if and only if $DJ(\bar{u}) = 0$.

Remark. By convexity of $H(\cdot, \bar{y}(t), \bar{p}(t))$,

$$\nabla_u H(\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t), \bar{p}(t)) = 0 \iff \bar{u}(t) \in \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in \mathbb{R}^m} H(v, \bar{y}(t), \bar{p}(t)).$$

1 Existence of a solution

2 Pontryagin's principle

3 Riccati equation

4 Shooting method

Linear optimality system

The numerical resolution of $(P'(y_0))$ boils down to the numerical resolution of the following **linear optimality system**:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \dot{y}(t) - Ay(t) - Bu(t) = 0 & \text{State equation} \\ \dot{p}(t) + A^T p(t) + Wy(t) = 0 & \text{Adjoint equation} \\ u(t) + B^T p(t) = 0 & \text{Minimality condition} \\ p(T) - Ky(T) = 0 & \text{Initial condition} \\ y(0) = y_0. & \text{Terminal condition} \end{array} \right.$$

More precisely: Theorem 17 ensures that the optimality system has a unique solution, which is $(\bar{y}, \bar{u}, \bar{p})$.

Linear optimality system

After elimination of $u = -B^\top p$, we obtain the **coupled** system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) - Ay(t) + BB^\top p(t) = 0 \\ \dot{p}(t) + A^\top p(t) + Wy(t) = 0 \\ p(T) - Ky(T) = 0 \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \quad (OS(y_0))$$

Key idea

A key idea is to **decouple** the linear system, by constructing a map

$$E: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$$

independent of y_0 , such that for any solution (y, p) to $(OS(y_0))$, we have

$$p(t) = -E(t)y(t).$$

Roadmap. Once E has been constructed, we have:

$$\dot{y} = Ay + Bu = Ay - BB^T p = (A + BB^T E)y$$

together with the initial condition $y(0) = y_0$. Thus, y can be computed by solving a linear differential system. Then, p and u are obtained via

$$p = -Ey \quad \text{and} \quad u = -B^T p.$$

Key idea

A key idea is to **decouple** the linear system, by constructing a map

$$E: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$$

independent of y_0 , such that for any solution (y, p) to $(OS(y_0))$, we have

$$p(t) = -E(t)y(t).$$

Roadmap. Once E has been constructed, we have:

$$\dot{y} = Ay + Bu = Ay - BB^\top p = (A + BB^\top E)y$$

together with the initial condition $y(0) = y_0$. Thus, y can be computed by solving a linear differential system. Then, p and u are obtained via

$$p = -Ey \quad \text{and} \quad u = -B^\top p.$$

Derivation of the Riccati equation

Wanted: $p = -Ey$. The terminal condition $p(T) = Ky(T)$ yields

$$E(T) = -K.$$

Next, by differentiation, we have:

$$\dot{p} = -\dot{E}y - E\dot{y},$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{-E}y &= \dot{p} + E\dot{y} \\ &= [-A^T p - Wy] + [E(Ay - BB^T p)] \\ &= [A^T Ey - Wy] + [E(Ay + BB^T Ey)] \\ &= (A^T E + EA - W + EBB^T E)y.\end{aligned}$$

Riccati equation

Theorem 10

There exists a unique smooth solution to the following matrix differential equation, called Riccati equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{E}(t) = A^T E(t) + E(t)A - W + E(t)BB^T E(t) \\ E(T) = -K. \end{cases} \quad (RE)$$

Moreover, for all $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the optimal trajectory \bar{y} for $(P'(y_0))$ is the solution to the closed-loop system

$$\dot{y}(t) = (A + BB^T E(t))y(t), \quad y(0) = y_0.$$

It also holds:

$$\bar{p}(t) = -E(t)\bar{y}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{u}[y_0](t) = \underbrace{B^T E(t)\bar{y}(t)}_{\text{Feedback law!}}. \quad (1)$$

1 Existence of a solution

2 Pontryagin's principle

3 Riccati equation

4 Shooting method

Optimality system

Recall the **optimality system** to be solved:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = Ay - BB^T p, & y(0) = y_0, \\ \dot{p} = -A^T p - Wy, & p(T) = Ky(T). \end{cases}$$

Equivalently:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} A & -BB^T \\ -W & -A^T \end{pmatrix}}_{=: R} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \quad y(0) = y_0, \quad p(T) = Ky(T).$$

The optimality system is a **two-point boundary value problem**.

If $p(0)$ was known, then the differential system could be solved numerically.

Shooting method: find $p(0)$ such that $p(T) = Ky(T)$.

Setting $\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{pmatrix} = e^{TR}$, we have the equivalent formulation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y(T) \\ p(T) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y(0) \\ p(0) \end{pmatrix}, \quad y(0) = y_0, \quad p(T) = Ky(T).$$

The optimality system reduces to the **shooting equation**:

$$\begin{aligned} X_3 y_0 + X_4 p(0) &= K(X_1 y_0 + X_2 p(0)) \\ \iff p(0) &= (X_4 - KX_2)^{-1}(KX_1 - X_3)y_0. \end{aligned} \tag{SE}$$

Shooting algorithm

In the LQ case, the shooting algorithm consists then in the following steps:

- Compute e^{TR} , by solving the **matrix differential equation**

$$\dot{X}(t) = RX(t), \quad X(0) = I,$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$.

- Solve the **shooting equation (SE)** and find p_0 .
- Solve the **differential equation**

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix} y \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} y(0) \\ p(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ p_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- The optimal control is given by $u = -B^T p$.