

Optimal Control of Ordinary Differential Equations

Laurent Pfeiffer

Inria and CentraleSupélec, Université Paris-Saclay

Ensta-Paris
Paris-Saclay University



Lecture 3: Model Predictive Control

- An adaptive numerical method for solving long-horizon optimal control problems.
- Stability and quantitative analysis of the method for stabilization problems.

Bibliography

The following references are related to the lecture:

-  Nonlinear Model Predictive Control: Theory and Algorithms,
by Lars Grüne and Jürgen Pannek, 2011.
-  Slides by Lars Grüne on “Deterministic Stabilizing and
Economic Model Predictive Control”, from the 2022 MTNS
Conference.

Introduction
●○○○○

General setting
○○○○○○○○○○

Stability
○○○○○○

Dyn. prog.
○○○○○○

With terminal cond.
○○○○

Without
○○○

1 Introduction

2 General setting

3 Stability analysis of dynamical systems

4 Dynamic programming

5 MPC with terminal cost and constraint

6 MPC without terminal cost and constraint

Continuous-time setting

Model Predictive Control (MPC) primarily aims at solving **infinite-horizon** problem of the form:

$$\inf_{(u,y)} \int_0^\infty \ell(u(t), y(t)) dt, \quad \begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = f(u(t), y(t)) \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases}$$

These problems are difficult to handle numerically.

Basic idea: solve iteratively **a sequence of optimal control problems on small time horizons**.

Two parameters:

- a sampling time τ
- a prediction horizon T .

The method

At iteration $k = 0$, do:

- Find a solution (\bar{u}, \bar{y}) to

$$\inf_{(u,y)} \int_0^T \ell(u(t), y(t)) dt, \quad \begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = f(u(t), y(t)) \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases}$$

- Define $(u_{MPC}(t), y_{MPC}(t)) = (\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t))$, for all $t \in (0, \tau)$.

At iteration $k = 1$, do:

- Find a solution (\bar{u}, \bar{y}) to

$$\inf_{(u,y)} \int_\tau^{T+\tau} \ell(u(t), y(t)) dt, \quad \begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = f(u(t), y(t)) \\ y(\tau) = y_{MPC}(\tau). \end{cases}$$

- Define $(u_{MPC}(t), y_{MPC}(t)) = (\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t))$, for all $t \in (\tau, 2\tau)$.

And so on...

The method

At the beginning of iteration k , the pair (u_{MPC}, y_{MPC}) is defined on the interval $(0, k\tau)$.

At iteration k , do:

- Find a solution (\bar{u}, \bar{y}) to

$$\inf_{(u,y)} \int_{k\tau}^{T+k\tau} \ell(u(t), y(t)) dt, \quad \begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = f(u(t), y(t)) \\ y(k\tau) = y_{MPC}(k\tau). \end{cases}$$

- Define $(u_{MPC}(t), y_{MPC}(t)) = (\bar{u}(t), \bar{y}(t))$, for all $t \in (k\tau, (k+1)\tau)$.

Objectives

MPC is not just a numerical method for solving long-horizon problems...

- it is also a **real-time** algorithm (assuming the problems of horizon T can be solved instantaneously)
- it is also a feedback mechanism, useful in the context of **disturbances** or model **uncertainties**.

The lecture aims at developing tools (related to dynamic programming) for analysing the optimality of the mechanism.

Methodological comments:

- The continuous-time nature of the system does not play any role, so we will study MPC from the point of view of **discrete-time systems**.
- MPC also allows **constraints** on the control and the state.

Introduction
ooooo

General setting
●ooooooooo

Stability
ooooooo

Dyn. prog.
ooooooo

With terminal cond.
oooo

Without
ooo

1 Introduction

2 General setting

3 Stability analysis of dynamical systems

4 Dynamic programming

5 MPC with terminal cost and constraint

6 MPC without terminal cost and constraint

Data problem

We introduce now the general setting utilized in the rest of the lecture.

Data of the problem:

- a set Y : the state space
- a set U : the control space
- a subset $\mathbb{Y} \subseteq Y$: the set of feasible states
- a multivalued map \mathbb{U} : $y \in \mathbb{Y} \mapsto \mathbb{U}(y) \subseteq U$
- a dynamics f : $U \times Y \rightarrow Y$
- a running cost ℓ : $U \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

For the moment, we only require that $\ell(u, y) \geq 0$, for any $(u, y) \in U \times Y$.

Feasible controls

- Given $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, we call **control sequence** (of length n) any $u = (u(k))_{k=0,\dots,n-1} \in U^n$.
- Given an initial condition $x \in \mathbb{Y}$, we call **associated trajectory** $y[u, x] = (y[u, x](k))_{k=0,\dots,n} \in Y^{n+1}$ the solution to

$$\begin{aligned} y[u, x](k+1) &= f(y[u, x](k), u(k)), \quad \forall k = 0, \dots, n-1 \\ y[u, x](0) &= x. \end{aligned}$$

- Given $x \in \mathbb{Y}$, we define the set of **feasible infinite control sequence**

$$\mathbb{U}^\infty(x) = \left\{ u \in U^\infty \mid \begin{array}{l} y[u, x](k) \in \mathbb{Y}, \\ u(k) \in \mathbb{U}(y[u, x](k)), \end{array} \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Optimal control problem

- Given an initial condition x and a control sequence $(u(k))_{k=0,1,\dots} \in U^\infty$, we consider the **cost**

$$J_\infty(u, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \ell(u(k), y[u, x](k)) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Note that J_∞ can be infinite.

- The **optimal control problem** of interest is:

$$\inf_{u \in \mathbb{U}^\infty(x)} J_\infty(u, x). \quad (\mathcal{P}_\infty(x))$$

Stabilization problems

We restrict ourselves to **stabilization problems**: we assume the existence of a pair (y^*, u^*) such that: $y^* \in \mathbb{Y}$, $u^* \in \mathbb{U}(y^*)$, and

$$y^* = f(u^*, y^*)$$

$$\ell(u, y) = 0 \iff (u, y) = (u^*, y^*), \quad \forall (u, y) \in U \times Y.$$

In this framework, the cost function J_∞ steers the state to y^* .

If $y_0 = y^*$, then the solution to \mathcal{P} is the constant sequence \bar{u} equal to u^* , since \bar{u} is feasible and $J_\infty(\bar{u}, y^*) = 0$.

MPC method

The **MPC method** requires:

- a time horizon $N \in \mathbb{N}$
- a terminal set $\mathbb{Y}_0 \subseteq \mathbb{Y}$
- a terminal cost $F: \mathbb{Y}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.

Given $x \in \mathbb{Y}$, we denote

$$\mathbb{U}^N(x) = \left\{ u \in U^N \mid \begin{array}{l} y[u, x](k) \in \mathbb{Y}, \\ u(k) \in \mathbb{U}(y[u, x](k)), \\ y[u, x](N) \in \mathbb{Y}_0 \end{array} \forall k = 0, \dots, N-1 \right\}.$$

For any $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, we consider the set of **feasible initial conditions** (for $\mathcal{P}_N(x)$): $\mathbb{Y}_N = \{x \in \mathbb{Y} \mid \mathbb{U}^N(x) \neq \emptyset\}$.

MPC method

Moreover, given $u \in \mathbb{U}^N(x)$, we define

$$J_N(u, x) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \ell(u(k), y[u, x](k)) \right) + F(y[u, x](N)).$$

The finite-horizon problem utilized in the MPC method is:

$$\inf_{u \in \mathbb{U}^N(x)} J_N(u, x). \quad (\mathcal{P}_N(x))$$

Introduction

The MPC method essentially consists in computing a **feedback function** $\mu_N: \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ in the following fashion: for any $x \in \mathbb{Y}_N$,

- Find a solution \bar{u} to $\mathcal{P}_N(x)$.
- Set $\mu_N(x) = \bar{u}(0)$.

Then the pair $(u_{\text{MPC}}, y_{\text{MPC}})$ is recursively defined by:

$$\begin{cases} y_{\text{MPC}}(0) = y_0, \\ u_{\text{MPC}}(k) = \mu_N(y_{\text{MPC}}(k)), & \forall k = 0, 1, \dots \\ y_{\text{MPC}}(k+1) = f(u_{\text{MPC}}(k), y_{\text{MPC}}(k)), & \forall k = 0, 1, \dots \end{cases}$$

Equivalently, $y_{\text{MPC}}(k+1) = f_N(y_{\text{MPC}}(k))$, where f_N is defined by

$$f_N(x) = f(\mu_N(x), x).$$

Viability

Lemma 1

Assume that for any $x \in \mathbb{Y}_0$, there exists $u \in \mathbb{U}(x)$ such that $f(u, x) \in \mathbb{Y}_0$. Then

$$\mathbb{Y}_N \subseteq \mathbb{Y}_{N+1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathbb{Y}_\infty.$$

Moreover, for any $x \in \mathbb{Y}_N$, it holds that

$$f_N(x) = f(\mu_N(x), x) \in \mathbb{Y}_N.$$

Remark: under the assumption of the lemma, if $\mathcal{P}_N(x)$ is feasible in the first step of the method, then it is for all other steps.

Objectives

The issues related to the existence of a solution to all optimization problems is eluded here. It can be addressed with standard arguments.

We will investigate:

- the **qualitative** behavior of MPC
 - convergence of y_{MPC} to y^* ?
- the **quantitative** behavior of MPC
 - bound of $J_\infty(u_{\text{MPC}}, x)$?

Introduction
ooooo

General setting
oooooooooo

Stability
●ooooo

Dyn. prog.
oooooo

With terminal cond.
oooo

Without
ooo

1 Introduction

2 General setting

3 Stability analysis of dynamical systems

4 Dynamic programming

5 MPC with terminal cost and constraint

6 MPC without terminal cost and constraint

Stability analysis

- We forget about the MPC method introduced before and focus on **discrete-time dynamical systems** of the form

$$y(0) = x, \quad y(k+1) = g(y(k)), \quad \forall k = 0, 1, \dots$$

for a given initial condition $x \in Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Y$. We denote the solution by $(y[x](k))_{k=0,1,\dots}$.

- We fix an **equilibrium point** y^* of the dynamics g , that is to say, we assume that $y^* = g(y^*)$.
- We investigate here the **convergence** of $y[x](k)$ to y^* . To this purpose we assume that \mathbb{Y} is metric space and we denote the **distance** of an arbitrary point $y \in Y$ to y^* by $|y|$.

Comparison functions

Definition 2

We define here several classes of functions:

- $\mathcal{K} = \left\{ \alpha: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \mid \begin{array}{l} \alpha \text{ is continuous} \\ \alpha \text{ is strictly increasing} \\ \alpha(0) = 0 \end{array} \right\}$
- $\mathcal{K}_\infty = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathcal{K} \mid \alpha(r) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow \infty]{} \infty \right\}$
- $\mathcal{L} = \left\{ \delta: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty) \mid \begin{array}{l} \delta \text{ is strictly decreasing} \\ \delta(t) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \end{array} \right\}$
- $\mathcal{KL} = \left\{ \beta: [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \begin{array}{l} \beta(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{K}, \forall t \in \mathbb{N} \\ \beta(r, \cdot) \in \mathcal{L}, \forall r \in [0, \infty) \end{array} \right\}.$

Remark: the t variable in the definition of \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{KL} is usually supposed to lie in \mathbb{R} in the literature.

Asymptotic stability

Definition 3

We say that y^* is **asymptotically stable** if there exists $\beta \in \mathcal{KL}$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{Y}$,

$$|y[x](k)| \leq \beta(|x|, k), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Remark: this implies that $|y[x](k)| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (not an equivalence).

Lyapunov functions

Definition 4

We call $V: Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a **Lyapunov function** (associated with g) if

- there exists α_1 and $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that

$$\alpha_1(|y|) \leq V(y) \leq \alpha_2(|y|), \quad \forall y \in Y$$

- there exists $\alpha_3 \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$V(g(y)) \leq V(y) - \alpha_3(|y|), \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

Remark: if V is a Lyapunov function, then $V(y) \geq 0$, for any $y \in Y$. Moreover, $V(y) = 0 \iff y = y^*$ and y^* is the unique equilibrium.

Stability under Lyapunov

Theorem 5

Assume the existence of a Lyapunov function. Then y^ is asymptotically stable.*

Our **objective** for the rest of the lecture: constructing a Lyapunov function for the dynamic system

$$y(k+1) = f_N(y(k)) = f(\mu_N(y(k)), y(k))$$

corresponding to the MPC method.

Introduction
ooooo

General setting
oooooooooo

Stability
oooooo

Dyn. prog.
●ooooo

With terminal cond.
oooo

Without
ooo

1 Introduction

2 General setting

3 Stability analysis of dynamical systems

4 Dynamic programming

5 MPC with terminal cost and constraint

6 MPC without terminal cost and constraint

Value function

For $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, the **value function** $V_N: \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is defined by:

$$V_N(x) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{U}^N(x)} J_N(u, x).$$

We set $V_N(x) = +\infty$ if $x \notin \mathbb{Y}_N$ (i.e. $\mathbb{U}^N(x)$ is empty).

Theorem 6

For $N \geq 1$ (possibly $N = \infty$), we have

$$V_N(x) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{U}(x)} \ell(u, x) + V_{N-1}(f(u, x)), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Y}. \quad (\text{DP})$$

For $N = 0$, it holds that

$$V_0(x) = \begin{cases} F(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Y}_0 \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Dynamic programming

Corollary 7

Let $N \geq 1$ (possibly $N = \infty$). Let $x \in \mathbb{Y}_N$.

- Let \bar{u} be a solution to $\mathcal{P}_N(x)$. Then $\bar{u}(0)$ is a solution to (DP). Define $u' \in U^\infty$ by $u'(k) = \bar{u}(k+1)$. Then u' is a solution to $\mathcal{P}_{N-1}(f(u, x))$.

In particular, $\mu_N(x)$ is a solution to (DP).

- Let u be a solution to (DP). Let u' be a solution to $\mathcal{P}_{N-1}(f(u, x))$. Define $\bar{u} \in U^\infty$ by

$$\bar{u}(0) = u, \quad \bar{u}(k+1) = u'(k), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then \bar{u} is a solution to $\mathcal{P}_N(x)$.

From DP to Lyapunov

A key consequence of the dynamic programming principle is the following:

$$V_{N-1}(f_N(x)) = V_N(x) - \ell(\mu_N(x), x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Y}_N.$$

Observation: this relation is close to the decay condition satisfied by Lyapunov functions.

Can we find **structural assumptions** allowing to use V_N as a Lyapunov function?

An abstract result

Theorem 8

- 1 Assume that there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$V_N(f_N(x)) \leq V_N(x) - \alpha \ell(\mu_N(x), x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Y}_N. \quad (\text{ADP})$$

Then the control generated by the MPC method satisfies

$$J_\infty(u_{MPC}^N(x), x) \leq V_N(x)/\alpha.$$

- 2 Assume moreover that there exist α_2 and α_3 in \mathcal{K}_∞ such that

$$V_N(x) \leq \alpha_2(|x|) \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{u \in \mathbb{U}(x)} \ell(u, x) \geq \alpha_3(|x|), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Y}_N.$$

Then y^* is asymptotically stable, for the dynamical system
 $y(k+1) = f_N(y(k)).$

Methodology

The previous result relies on **non-explicit assumptions**, which remain to be established (under more explicit assumptions...).

We focus on the verification of the **ADP inequality** (for “approximate dynamic programming”).

We distinguish two cases:

- MPC **without** terminal cost and terminal constraints: $\mathbb{Y}_0 = \mathbb{Y}$ and $F = 0$.
- MPC **with** terminal cost and constraints.

Each of these two cases requires specific assumptions.

Introduction
ooooo

General setting
oooooooooo

Stability
oooooo

Dyn. prog.
oooooo

With terminal cond.
●oooo

Without
ooo

1 Introduction

2 General setting

3 Stability analysis of dynamical systems

4 Dynamic programming

5 MPC with terminal cost and constraint

6 MPC without terminal cost and constraint

Assumption

Assumption 1

There exists a map $\kappa: \mathbb{Y}_0 \rightarrow U$ satisfying, for any $x \in \mathbb{Y}_0$,

- $\kappa(x) \in \mathbb{U}(x)$ and $f(\kappa(x), x) \in \mathbb{Y}_0$
- $F(f(\kappa(x), x)) \leq F(x) - \ell(\kappa(x), x)$.

Remarks.

- This assumption is in particular satisfied for $\mathbb{Y}_0 = \{y^*\}$, with $\kappa(y^*) = u^*$ and $F = 0$. But the resolution of $\mathcal{P}_N(x)$ is difficult.
- In general, good candidates for F are approximations of V_∞ obtained through linearization techniques.

Result

Lemma 9

Under Assumption 1, we have

$$V_0(x) \geq \dots \geq V_{N-1}(x) \geq V_N(x) \geq \dots V_\infty(x).$$

In particular, $\mathbb{Y}_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathbb{Y}_{N-1} \subseteq \mathbb{Y}_N \subseteq \dots \mathbb{Y}_\infty$.

Corollary 10

Under Assumption 1, V_N satisfies (ADP) with $\alpha = 1$. Therefore

$$J_\infty(u_{MPC}^N(x), x) \leq V_N(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Y}_N.$$

Discussion

- The analysis is relatively easy and natural.
- Computation of suitable \mathbb{Y}_0 and F is more complex.
- Resolution of $\mathcal{P}_N(x)$ possibly difficult.
- Given a feasible initial condition $x \in \mathbb{Y}_\infty$, one possibly needs to have N quite large so that $x \in \mathbb{Y}_N$.
- No general bound on $V_N(x)$ (in comparison with $V_\infty(x)$), yet convergence (w.r.t. N can be achieved).

Introduction
ooooo

General setting
oooooooooo

Stability
ooooooo

Dyn. prog.
ooooooo

With terminal cond.
oooo

Without
●○○

1 Introduction

2 General setting

3 Stability analysis of dynamical systems

4 Dynamic programming

5 MPC with terminal cost and constraint

6 MPC without terminal cost and constraint

Result

For any $x \in \mathbb{Y}$, we denote $\ell^*(x) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{U}(x)} \ell(u, x)$.

Assumption 2

- There is no terminal condition: $\mathbb{Y}_0 = \mathbb{Y}$ and $F = 0$.
- There exists $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$V_N(x) \leq \gamma \ell^*(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Y}, \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- There exist α_3 and $\alpha_4 \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that

$$\alpha_3(|x|) \leq \ell^*(x) \leq \alpha_4(|x|).$$

Lemma 11

Under Assumption 2, the ADP ineq. is satisfied for any $N \geq 1$ with

$$\alpha_N = 1 - \gamma(\gamma - 1)/(N - 1).$$

Discussion

- Resolution of $\mathcal{P}_N(x)$ easier without terminal condition (used in practice).
- The method also requires N to be sufficiently large (so that $\alpha_N > 0$).
- Refinement are possible (i.e. sharper estimates of α_N on coefficients γ which depend on N).
- Proof of existence of γ doable on a case-by-case basis.