

Jaguar Lite Point Tracking

Lauren Lieu
Department of Engineering
Harvey Mudd College
Email: llieu@g.hmc.edu

Joshua Vasquez
Department of Engineering
Harvey Mudd College
Email: jvasquez@g.hmc.edu

March 5, 2013

Abstract—This paper describes the implementation of point tracking for the *Jaguar Lite Mobile Robot Platform*. Motion control of the autonomous vehicle is achieved using a Proportional-Integral-Derivative controller. In both simulation and hardware mode, the control law parameters and control gains have been tuned to demonstrate stable, precise motion towards desired goal states.

I. INTRODUCTION

Point Tracking refers to a robot's ability to transition from one pose to another on a known map of its environment. By modeling the robot's drive train with a motion model and by incorporating odometric sensor readings into this motion model, the robot can develop a trajectory between points. In the following paper, we incorporate both a differential drive-train motion model with a PID-controlled differential drive train to designate the Jaguar Lite robotic platform to track points on a two-dimensional environment.

A. Hardware Platform

The hardware platform of choice is a Jaguar Lite autonomous vehicle, sourced by Dr. Robot. This differential-drive platform is fairly rugged, and features a suite of sensory inputs: a 9 DOF Inertial measurement unit (IMU), two rotary encoders, a 240° field-of-view laser range finder, and an on-board webcam [2]. With a wireless wifi interface, the designer can implement navigation algorithms in C# within Microsoft Visual Studio to communicate with the Jaguar platform. Most importantly, the platform can be driven both indoors and outdoors.



Fig. 1. The Jaguar Lite Platform

II. BACKGROUND

Motion planning is a well-known problem for nearly every autonomous robot. For every new manner of locomotion created for an autonomous platform, a new motion model must be created such that the robot can autonomously traverse from one pose to another. However, several existing motion models already exist for well-known drivetrains. The Jaguar Lite features a differential drivetrain for which the motion model is well known. In the *Motion Model* section, the implementation of this model on the Jaguar Lite will be fully discussed.

A. Terminology

This paper refers to specific definitions and usage of the following terms:

- **Pose** represents the robot's position: $[x, y, \theta]$ relative to a coordinate frame fixed to the environment that the robot navigates.
- **Nonholonomic Constraints** refers to a restricted motion path constrained by the physical construction of the robot. On a two-dimensional coordinate frame, a robot that can freely rotate and translate is considered a holonomic robot.
- **Point Tracking** refers to the Jaguar Lite's ability to drive from one pose to another on a navigable coordinate frame.

III. PROBLEM DEFINITION

The following section discusses the application of the differential drivetrain motion model to the Jaguar Lite platform.

Developing a method of navigating from one pose to another simplifies to determining the appropriate motor speeds of the Jaguar Lite at any given pose to form a trajectory as the robot pursues a goal pose. To determine the robot's motor outputs, an effective motion model which reflects the robot's nonholonomic constraints must be used.

The Jaguar Lite moves with a differential drive train. For this reason, the motion model cannot incorporate direct translation of the robot in a direction normal to the sides of the robot. Furthermore, for the purposes of simplification and negligence of excessive mechanics, the Jaguar Lite's left and right treads have been replaced by wheels. The resulting approximation is still valid under the proposed motion model; however, the overall performance of the robot will have a larger error because of the dynamic friction of the ends of the slipping treads. This error can be accounted for by characterizing the




Fig. 2. Realizable Trajectories

error of the Jaguar Lite's odometry with respect to its angular rotation, ω . Figure 2 illustrates possible trajectories, each of which is governed by the speed of the right wheel ω_1 and the left wheel ω_2 .

IV. MOTION MODEL

Given a differential drive train, we can use the following coordinate transform to describe the robot's pose relative to the goal pose [4]:

$$\rho = \sqrt{\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2} \quad (1)$$

$$\alpha = -\theta + \text{atan}2(\Delta y, \Delta x) \quad (2)$$

$$\beta = -\theta - \alpha \quad (3)$$

Equations (1), (2), and (3) x and y define the horizontal and vertical displacements respectively, relative to a fixed global coordinate frame. θ defines the angular displacement of the robot normal vector relative to the x axis. ρ defines the magnitude of the displacement from the robot's pose to the goal pose. α defines the error of the robot normal vector relative to the desired angle relative to the goal. β defines the angle of the goal vector relative to the horizontal. The angles of the coordinate transformation are shown in Figure 3.

The above equations quantify the error, or difference between the robot's pose and the desired pose. By calculating the kinematics in this coordinate frame and applying the control law, equations (4) and (5) can be produced, which define the Jaguar Lite's linear velocity v and angular velocity ω as a function of the error values ρ , α , and β .

$$v = k_\rho \rho \quad (4)$$

$$\omega = k_\alpha \alpha + k_\beta \beta \quad (5)$$

where k_ρ , k_α , and k_β are tuned gain constants.

Given that equations (4) and (5) model the robot's desired change in displacement over time, this motion control must be achieved on the Jaguar Lite platform through control of the left and right wheel rotations. Equations (6) and (7) fulfill this requirement.

$$\omega(t) = \omega_1 + \omega_2 \quad (6)$$




Fig. 3. Linear and Angular Errors

$$v(t) = L(\omega_1 - \omega_2) \quad (7)$$

where L is the radius of the robot. Thus, the overall left and right angular velocities, ω_1 and ω_2 respectively, may be determined by combining the previous equations to produce:

$$\omega_1 = \frac{v}{2L} + \frac{\omega}{2} \quad (8)$$

$$\omega_2 = -\frac{v}{2L} + \frac{\omega}{2} \quad (9)$$

A. PID Motor Control

The above equations rely on accurate determination and control of the left and right wheel speeds. To determine the actual wheel speeds the Jaguar Lite receives sensory input from quadrature encoders. Overall, the point tracking trajectory becomes far more accurate in implementation if the left and right motor speeds are controlled with a tight control loop. Thus, the desired left and right motor speeds (derived from ω_1 and ω_2) can be far more closely approximated by the actual Jaguar hardware. To achieve wheel-speed control, a PID controller was implemented to stabilize the individual wheel speeds of both motors.

At the lower level the Jaguar Lite's left and right wheel motors can change speed through pulse-width modulation, and a specified duty cycle. By tuning the P , I , and D control gains, the controller changes the duty cycle, effectively maintaining wheel velocities far closer to the desired values.

V. RESULTS

Overall, after tuning the three constants K_ρ , K_α , and K_β that govern the error influence on the desired correction speed and after tuning the three (P , I , D) constants of the motor velocity controller, the robot does indeed traverse successfully from an initial point to an end point visually within range of the actual desired final point.

The presented model above, however, does not encompass all cases of possible robot locomotion. In particular, the motion model does not account for spinning the robot about its

center of mass. This occurrence arises when the robot begins at the $[x,y]$ location of its goal pose, but is oriented in a different angle than the desired angle. Given the Jaguar Lite's ability to spin in place, this possible method of movement is not encompassed by equations [1], [2], and [3]. However, by removing the α term in equation [2], corrected desired velocities can be written as follows:

$$v = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\omega = K_\beta \beta \quad (11)$$

Thus, redefining v and ω when ρ is sufficiently small, allows the Jaguar Lite to rotate in place.

VI. CONCLUSION

By combining a motion model with odometric sensor readings, the Jaguar Lite can effectively transition from one pose to another. Furthermore, by simplifying trajectories into a series of desired poses, Point Tracking presents a powerful solution to generating trajectories for the robot to follow. Thus, the initial development of a motion model can effectively lead to the implementation of obstacle avoidance and tracking of waypoints on a known map.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Professor Christopher Clark for establishing a software framework on which we can develop our algorithms, as well as fellow collaborators Taylor Peterson, Hannah Kastein, Samuel Yim, and Benjamin Chasnov for their mutual effort collecting additional data.

REFERENCES

- [1] [http://newwww.hmc.edu/lair/E190Q/
E190Q-Lab01-IntroToTheJaguar.pdf](http://newwww.hmc.edu/lair/E190Q/E190Q-Lab01-IntroToTheJaguar.pdf)
- [2] http://jaguar.drrobot.com/specification_lite.asp
- [3] [http://newwww.hmc.edu/lair/E190Q/
E190Q-Lecture04-PointTracking.pdf](http://newwww.hmc.edu/lair/E190Q/E190Q-Lecture04-PointTracking.pdf)
- [4] Siegwart Roland, et al. *Introduction to Autonomous Mobile Robots* 2nd ed. 2011 MIT Press
- [5] Thrun, Sebastian, et. all. *Probabilistic Robotics* 2005, The MIT Press.