A Thesis Title

Author Name

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

of

University College London.

Department of Something University College London I, Author Name, confirm that the work presented in this thesis is my own. Where information has been derived from other sources, I confirm that this has been indicated in the work.

Abstract

My research is about stuff.

It begins with a study of some stuff, and then some other stuff and things.

There is a 300-word limit on your abstract.

Acknowledgements

Acknowledge all the things!

Contents

1	Introductory Material			8	
2	The ATLAS Detector				
	2.1	The Large Hadron Collider			
		2.1.1	LHC running conditions in 2015 and 2016	9	
	2.2 ATLAS Detector Description			10	
		2.2.1	ATLAS Co-ordinate System	10	
		2.2.2	Inner Detector	13	
		2.2.3	Calorimeters	15	
		2.2.4	Muon Spectrometer	18	
		2.2.5	Magnets	18	
	2.3	Trigger	t	19	
3	Gen	eral Coi	nclusions	21	
Ap	pend	ices		22	
A	An Appendix About Stuff			22	
В	3 Another Appendix About Things			23	
C	C Colophon				
Bi	Bibliography				

List of Figures

2.1	Cumulative luminosity versus time delivered to (green) and recorded by				
	ATLAS (yellow) during stable beams for pp collisions at 13 TeV centre-of-				
	mass energy in (a) 2015 and (b) 2016	10			
2.2	A cut-away schematic of the ATLAS detector	11			
2.3	A visualisation of the ATLAS detector and the various subdetectors. The				
	view is taken as a slice in a plane perpindicular to the beam-pipe, showing				
	the radial range from the beam-pipe to the edge of the detector. Overlaid				
	are simplified illustrations of how various types of particles interact with the				
	ATLAS detector. The sub-detector components are not to scale	12			
2.4	A cut-away schematic of the ATLAS Inner Detector (ID)	14			
2.5	A cut-away schematic of the ATLAS calorimeter system	16			
2.6	The layout of the ATLAS magnets	19			

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Introductory Material

Some stuff about things.[?] Some more things.

Inline citation:

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Chapter 2

The ATLAS Detector

2.1 The Large Hadron Collider

High-energy particle colliders have been an essential tool in high-energy physics research for over 50 years, with a a rich history in discovering new particles as each generation of collider pushes the energy frontier; including the discovery of the Z and W bosons using the Super Proton Synchotron at CERN in 1983 [1, 2, 3, 4] and the discovery of the top-quark at the Tevatron in 1995 [5, 6].


The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is the highest-energy collider ever built, hosted by the *Conseil Européen pour la Recherche Nucléaire (CERN)*. Lying in a tunnel 100 m beneath the Swiss/French border near Geneva, the LHC is a 27 km circumference ring of superconducting magnets and accelerating structures, which accelerate beams of protons to a maximum energy of 6.5 TeV. These proton beams are collided in four different locations on the LHC ring and around each collision point a different detector is constructed to observe these collisions; one such of these detectors is ATLAS.

2.1.1 LHC running conditions in 2015 and 2016

Since May 2015 the LHC has been colliding bunches of protons at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV, the highest energy collisions ever obtained by a particle collider. In 2015 and 2016 the LHC produced pp collisions with a bunch spacing of 25 ns¹, an average number of collisions per bunch-crossing ($<\mu>$) of 23.7 and a maximum peak instantaneous luminosity of 13.8 × 10³³ cm²s⁻¹. Figure 2.1 shows the total luminosity delivered by the LHC and recorded by ATLAS against date in 2015 and 2016, showing that a luminosity of 39.5 fb⁻¹

¹A small amount of data in 2015 was collected with a bunch spacing of 50 ns

was recorded by ATLAS in 2015 and 2016 combined [7].






Figure 2.1: Cumulative luminosity versus time delivered to (green) and recorded by ATLAS (yellow) during stable beams for pp collisions at 13 TeV centre-of-mass energy in (a) 2015 and (b) 2016.

2.2 ATLAS Detector Description

The ATLAS (A Toroidal Large Hadron Collider ApparatuS) detector design, construction and performance has been described in detail previously [8, 9, 10], so what follows in this chapter is a general description of the detector with a focus on the needs of the analysis that is being presented. The ATLAS detector is effectively a large closed cylindrical detector, made up of four key components which sit in concentric rings around the interaction point, where the proton bunches collide. These components are the inner detector, calorimeters, muon spectrometer and the magnets; each of which are described in further detail below. This design is used as each sub-detector measures different quantities and reacts differently to the various range of particles that ATLAS is required to observe, meaning the ATLAS detector is able to identify and measure the key properties of particles that pass through its volume. Figure 2.2 shows a cut-away schematic of the detector and Figure 2.3 shows a slice of the detector in the plane perpindicular to the beam-pipe, overlaid are simplified illustrations how the detector can respond to a range of particles. ² [11].

2.2.1 ATLAS Co-ordinate System

Firstly, to describe the detail of the ATLAS detector there must be a description of the coordinate system that is used. ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system, in which the

²Specifically from left to right; an electron, a neutron, a photon, a muon and a proton




Figure 2.2: A cut-away schematic of the ATLAS detector.

origin lies at the interaction point. The *x*-axis points to the centre of the LHC ring parallel to the surface of the earth, the *y*-axis points towards the surface of the earth and the *z*-axis runs along the beam-pipe, pointing anti-clockwise along the LHC. The azimuthal angle, ϕ , is defined right-handedly around the *z*-axis starting at the *x*-axis.

The polar angle, θ , is defined as the angle measured from the z-axis, such that along the z-axis corresponds to $\theta = 0$ and anti-aligned with the z-axis corresponds to $\theta = \pi$. However, to define the angular direction with respect to the z-axis the ATLAS co-ordinate system uses pseudo-rapidity, η , instead of using θ , for reasons that will be outlined below. η is defined as a function of θ :

$$\eta = -\ln\left[\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right] \tag{2.1}$$

Thus, $\eta=0$ corresponds to a particle travelling perpendicular to the beam-pipe, where a positive value of η corresponds to a particle travelling with a tilt towards the z-axis. The quantity is called pseudo-rapidity as in the massless limit $(\lim_{E\to|\vec{p}|})$ it can be shown that η converges to rapidity, y, where rapidity is defined as,

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{E + p_z}{E - p_z} \right) \tag{2.2}$$




Figure 2.3: A visualisation of the ATLAS detector and the various subdetectors. The view is taken as a slice in a plane perpindicular to the beam-pipe, showing the radial range from the beam-pipe to the edge of the detector. Overlaid are simplified illustrations of how various types of particles interact with the ATLAS detector. The sub-detector components are not to scale.

A key property of rapidity is that the differences in rapidity, Δy , are invariant against Lorentz boosts along the z-axis. Thus, η is the final variable chosen in the ATLAS co-ordinate system due to the relation of η with both θ and y and the above mentioned property of Δy . One final quantity commonly used within ATLAS is the variable ΔR , which is defined as

$$\Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \phi^2} \tag{2.3}$$

 ΔR represents an angular separation between two vectors within the ATLAS co-ordinate system.

Now that we have discussed the ATLAS co-ordinate system, we can provide a description of the components of the ATLAS detector.

2.2.2 Inner Detector

The Inner Detector (ID), the innermost sub-detector on ATLAS, measures the trajectory, momentum and charge of charged particles passing through the detector. The ID is constructed from many concentric layers of detector, and as the particle passes through the detector each of the layers provides a position measurement, known as a hit. Then using the hits from the many layers the trajectory of each of the charged particles can be determined; the measured trajectory is known as a track. The ID is immersed in a 2 T magnetic field which bends the particle's trajectories; from the sign and magnitude of the track's curvature the charge and momentum of the particle can be inferred. The ID is made of three main component parts; the pixel detector, the Semi-Conductor Tracker (SCT) and the Transition Radiation Tracker (TRT), as visualised in Figure 2.4. The ID consists of the barrel, which lies perpendicular to the beam-pipe and covers low absolute values of η , and the end-caps, which lie perpendicular to beam-pipe and cover large values of absolute η : here the description focuses on the barrel as this covers the η range considered by the analysis.

The innermost component of the ID is the silicon pixel detector; in the barrel this detector consists of 4 high-granularity layers of silicon based pixel modules surrounding the beam pipe, covering a range of $-2.5 < \eta < 2.5$ and a radial distance of 33 mm to 122.5 mm [12, 13]. The high-granularity of the pixel layers, allows for high precision mea-




Figure 2.4: A cut-away schematic of the ATLAS Inner Detector (ID).

surements, with an intrinsic resolution of approximately resolution of $\sim 10 \, \mu m$ in $R - \phi$ plane and $\sim 115 \, \mu m$ in the z-direction.

Moving radial outwards the next component of the ID is the Semi-Conductor Tracker; which, in the barrel, comprises of 4 cylindrical layers of silicon microstrips covering a range of $-2.5 < \eta < 2.5$ and a radial distance of 299 mm to 514 mm. The SCT has an intrinsic resolution of \sim 17 μ m in $R - \phi$ plane and \sim 580 μ m in the z-direction.

The outermost component of the ID is the Transition Radiation Tracker (TRT) constructed of many 4 mm radius tubes filled with xenon. As a charged particle passes through the gas, it will cause ionisation allowing a measurement of its position using drift-time. In the barrel, each tube provides a measurement in the $R-\phi$ plane with an intrinsic resolution of 130 µm and the TRT will typically provide 36 hits per track. In addition to a position measurement, due to the choice of the material between the tubes, a particle passing through the detector will radiate photons at an intensity inversely correlated to the mass of that particle, providing additional information for particle identification.

The trajectory, momentum and charge measurements provided by the Inner Detector are essential for particle identification in ATLAS. In particular, the high precision measurements close to the beam-line allow for vertex reconstruction, which is essential for identification of tracks coming from B or C hadrons, and hence the identification of *b*-jets.

This process, known as *b*-tagging, is discussed further in Section **??**(*object selection*) and is important within the context of this analysis.

2.2.3 Calorimeters

The ATLAS calorimeter, located on the outside of the magnet solenoid surrounding the ID, is designed to provide an energy measurement of the traversing particles. Accurate energy measurements are essential for a good resolution of the mediator mass reconstructed from its decay products, which is important within the context of the analysis being presented in this thesis.

The calorimeter at ATLAS is made up of two different systems that are built in concentric rings; the inner-most is the Electromagnetic Calorimeter system (ECAL), which is used to measure electromagnetic objects such as photons and electrons. Outside of that is the Hadronic Calorimeter system (HCAL), designed to provide an energy measurement of hadronic material. The HCAL is built from the Tile and Hadronic Endcap calorimeters. Both the ECAL and HCAL have barrel and end-cap components to make energy measurements at a large range of η values. Figure 2.5 shows a cut-away of the ATLAS calorimeter.

Below I provide a more detailed description of the calorimeter components; however, the principle behind each detector is common so is described first. The calorimeters at AT-LAS are sampling calorimeters, which means they consist of alternating layers of absorber and active material. The role of the of the absorber layer is to force the particle, whose energy we want to measure, to emit secondary particles. These secondary particles will again emit further particles and so on meaning a "particle cascade" is formed. The role of the active material layer is to measure the energy of the many resulting particles from the cascade, known as the cascade particles. The ATLAS detector is built such that the initial particle will cascade within the volume of the calorimeter system and then, from a measurement of the energy of all the cascade particles, the energy of the initial particle can be inferred.




Figure 2.5: A cut-away schematic of the ATLAS calorimeter system.

2.2.3.1 Electromagnetic Calorimeter (ECAL)

For the electromagnetic interaction, at high-energy ($\sim \geq 1$ GeV) the particle cascade process is mainly caused by two processes; bremsstrahlung, $(e^{+/-} \rightarrow e^{+/-} + \gamma)$ and pair production $(\gamma \rightarrow e^+ + e^-)$. The electromagnetic calorimeter at ATLAS is known as the Liquid Argon (LAr) calorimeter. The absorber material used in the LAr calorimeter is lead, due to its large density of atoms which increases the rate of the cascade processes. The active material is liquid argon; when a cascade particle passes through the liquid argon it causes ionisation, and the released electrons are captured using an electric field. The number of released electrons is proportional to the energy of the cascade particle, meaning that the energy of the cascade particle can be measured.

As discussed above the LAr is split up into two sections; the barrel section covers a region of $|\eta| < 1.475$ and two end-cap components cover $1.375 < |\eta| < 3.2$. The depth of an electromagnetic calorimeter is often expressed in terms of the radiation length, X_0 , which is the distance that an electron's energy reduces by a factor of e^{-1} through bremsstrahlung, or 7/9 of the mean free path for a photon to pair produce electrons. The LAr calorimeter has a depth of $> 22 X_0$ in the barrel and $> 24 X_0$ in the end-caps, meaning that almost all

of the particle shower from a high-energy photon or an electron can be contained within electromagnetic calorimeter.

2.2.3.2 Hadronic Calorimeter (HCAL)

If a particle can also interact through strong interactions, such as the components of a hadronic jet, then the particle cascade is a more complicated process. In addition to the electromagnetic processes, there is a contribution to the cascade from strong interaction processes such as ionisation (**isn't this an EM process**), nuclear spallation and neutron generation [14]. During these strong interaction processes many π_0 mesons are made, which can decay to a pair of photons and thus form electromagnetic cascade as described above.

For hadronic interactions, the size of detector is measured by the interaction length, λ , defined as the distance required to reduce the number of relativistic hadrons by e^{-1} . Due to the nature of hadronic interactions, the interaction length is larger by an order of magnitude than the radiation length (**Do I need more justification of this sentence**). This means that by the end of the LAr calorimeter there is 2.3 λ of active material (**check logic with AK**) in the barrel, so the full hadronic shower cannot be captured by the LAr calorimeter alone. For a full measurement of the hadronic energy, the Hadronic Calorimeter system (HCAL) is required.

The Tile Calorimeter is constructed from absorber layers of steel and active material layers of scintillating tiles, and has a depth of 7.4 λ , meaning the majority of the hadronic shower can be captured by either the LAr calorimeter or the Tile calorimeter. The Tile Calorimeter is split up into the barrel and the extended barrel components; the barrel covers the region $|\eta| < 1.0$ and the extended barrel covers the region $0.8 < |\eta| < 1.7$.

To cover the more forward regions there are two more calorimeter detectors. The Hadronic Endcap Calorimeter (HEC) is housed in two large wheels at either end of the ATLAS detector and covers a region of $1.5 < \eta < 3.2$. The HEC is a sampling calorimeter built using copper as the absorber layers and liquid argon as the active material. In addition the Forward Calorimeter (FCAL) covers the region $3.1 < \eta < 4.9$, which is outside the

range used in this analysis.

2.2.4 Muon Spectrometer

The only standard model particle visible to ATLAS which can pass through the calorimeter is the muon; hence to identify and obtain the momentum of muons an additional detector, the Muon Spectrometer (MS), is used. The MS is a detector which surrounds the the hadronic calorimeter, measuring the momentum of muons by observing the curvature of the trajectories of muons in magnetic fields, analogous to what is done in the ID. In the barrel region ($|\eta| < 1.4$) the large barrel toroid provides the magnetic field, in the end-cap region $1.6 < |\eta| < 2.7$ the two smaller end-cap magnets provide the magnetic field and finally in the transition region, ($1.4 < |\eta| < 1.6$) both sets of magnets contribute to the magnetic field 3 . Muon chambers are the detectors tasked with measuring the muon trajectories. In the barrel region, muon chambers are arranged in three concentric cylindrical layers of chambers formed around the beam-pipe, whilst in the transition and end-cap regions there are three layers of chambers either side of the barrel lying in disks perpendicular to the beam-pipe. In the region $|\eta| < 2.0$, the muon chambers are made from Monitored Drift Tubes (MDTs), whilst at large pseudo-rapidities ($2.0 < |\eta| < 2.7$), Cathode Strip Chambers (CSCs) are used.

2.2.5 Magnets

In ATLAS magnetic fields are important for obtaining the momentum and charge of particles from their observed trajectories in the ID and Muon Spectrometer. ATLAS is made up of four large superconducting magnets; the inner solenoid which surrounds the inner detector and provides a 2 T magnetic field within the ID, the barrel toroid magnet which provides the a magnetic field of up to 2.5 T in the central regions of the muon spectrometer, and the two end-cap toroid magnets which produce a magnetic field of up to 3.5 T in the forward regions of the MS. Figure 2.6 shows the layout of the magnets in ATLAS [15].

³A further description of the magnets is found in the next section




Figure 2.6: The layout of the ATLAS magnets.

2.3 Trigger

In 2015 and 2016, the LHC has been colliding proton beams with a spacing of 25 ns, meaning that ATLAS experiment has been taking data at a rate of 40 MHz. However, due the large computing resources required to process each event and the large amount of data required to store this information, it is not possible to record all this data for use in an analysis. To resolve this problem, the ATLAS experiment uses a trigger system to reduce the event rate by selecting the events of interest that contain high- p_T physics objects, which indicate that a hard scatter has occurred in that event.

The ATLAS trigger-system has two levels; the first level (L1) is hardware based which reduces the rate from 40 MHz to 100 kHz within a time window of $2.5 \,\mu s$, triggering on coarse detector signals such as large energy deposits in the calorimeter or high- p_T muon tracks. The L1 trigger then seeds a software based trigger level, kown as the higher-level trigger (HLT), which then further reduces the event rate to 1 kHz [16]. Due to the lower input rate to the HLT trigger, this software trigger is able to perform more complex data-analysis to reduce the rate, for example the reconstruction b-jets.

A further description of triggers used in the analysis, with a particular focus on the *b*-jet trigger performance can be found in *??*(*b*-jet trigger chapter).

Chapter 3

General Conclusions

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Appendix A

An Appendix About Stuff

(stuff)

Appendix B

Another Appendix About Things

(things)

Appendix C

Colophon

This is a description of the tools you used to make your thesis. It helps people make future documents, reminds you, and looks good.

(example) This document was set in the Times Roman typeface using LATEX and BibTeX, composed with a text editor.

Bibliography

- [1] G. Arnison et al. Experimental Observation of Isolated Large Transverse Energy Electrons with Associated Missing Energy at $s^{1/2} = 540$ GeV. *Phys. Lett.*, 122B:103–116, 1983. [,611(1983)].
- [2] G. Arnison et al. Experimental Observation of Lepton Pairs of Invariant Mass Around 95-GeV/c² at the CERN SPS Collider. *Phys. Lett.*, 126B:398–410, 1983.
- [3] M. Banner et al. Observation of Single Isolated Electrons of High Transverse Momentum in Events with Missing Transverse Energy at the CERN anti-p p Collider. *Phys. Lett.*, 122B:476–485, 1983.
- [4] P. Bagnaia et al. Evidence for $Z_0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ at the CERN anti-p p Collider. *Phys. Lett.*, 129B:130–140, 1983.
- [5] F. Abe et al. Observation of top quark production in $\overline{p}p$ collisions with the collider detector at fermilab. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 74:2626–2631, Apr 1995.
- [6] S. Abachi et al. Observation of the top quark. Phys. Rev. Lett., 74:2632–2637, Apr 1995.
- [7] Atlas public lumi results run-2. https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/LuminosityPublicResultsRun2.
- [8] G. Aad et al. The ATLAS Experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider. *JINST*, 3:S08003, 2008.
- [9] A. Airapetian et al. *ATLAS detector and physics performance: Technical Design Report*, 1. Technical Design Report ATLAS. CERN, Geneva, 1999.
- [10] G. Aad et al. Performance of the atlas detector using first collision data. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2010(9):56, Sep 2010.

- [11] C Gutschow. *First observation of electroweak Z boson plus two jet production*. PhD thesis, University College London, October 2014.
- [12] M Capeans, G Darbo, K Einsweiller, M Elsing, T Flick, M Garcia-Sciveres, C Gemme, H Pernegger, O Rohne, and R Vuillermet. ATLAS Insertable B-Layer Technical Design Report. Technical Report CERN-LHCC-2010-013. ATLAS-TDR-19, Sep 2010.
- [13] C Gemme. The ATLAS Insertable B-Layer (IBL) Project. Jul 2011.
- [14] Claude Leroy and Pier-Giorgio Rancoita. *Principles of Radiation Interaction in Matter and Detection*. World Scientific, 2016.
- [15] J.J. Goodson. Search for Supersymmetry in States with Large Missing Transverse Momentum and Three Leptons including a Z-Boson. PhD thesis, Stony Brook University, May 2012. Presented 17 Apr 2012.
- [16] Georges Aad et al. Technical Design Report for the Phase-I Upgrade of the ATLAS TDAQ System. Technical Report CERN-LHCC-2013-018. ATLAS-TDR-023, Sep 2013. Final version presented to December 2013 LHCC.