

Appendix 1: Management Procedures

September 3, 2018

Contents

1	Management Procedures	2
1.1	Empirical	2
1.1.1	CCSBT	2
1.1.2	Proportional	4
1.1.3	Derivative	5
1.1.4	iRate	6
1.2	Model Based	8
1.2.1	MPB	8

1 Management Procedures

1.1 Empirical

1.1.1 CCSBT

CCSBT developed an MP where The TAC is an average of candidate TACs obtained from two HCRs (Hillary et al., 2013).

The first HCR used a single index for the adult stock and then increased or decreased the current catch if that index was increasing or decreasing respectively, while the second compared the current value of an index to a reference period.

In the first, the TAC is updated depending on the trend in an index (I)

$$TAC_{y+1}^1 = TAC_y \times \begin{cases} 1 - k_1 |\lambda|^\gamma & \text{for } \lambda < 0 \\ 1 + k_2 \lambda & \text{for } \lambda \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where λ is the slope in the regression of $\ln I_y$ against year for the most recent n years. k_1 and k_2 are *gain* parameters and γ actions asymmetry so that decreases in the index do not result in the same relative change as an increase.

The second HCR uses both an adult and juvenile indices i.e.

$$TAC_{y+1}^2 = 0.5 \times (TAC_y + C_y^{\text{targ}} \Delta_y^R) \quad (2)$$

where

$$C_y^{\text{targ}} = \begin{cases} \delta \left[\frac{I_y}{I^*} \right]^{1-\varepsilon_b} & \text{for } I_y \geq I^* \\ \delta \left[\frac{I_y}{I^*} \right]^{1+\varepsilon_b} & \text{for } I_y < I^* \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta_y^R = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\bar{R}}{\mathcal{R}} \right]^{1-\varepsilon_r} & \text{for } \bar{R} \geq \mathcal{R} \\ \left[\frac{\bar{R}}{\mathcal{R}} \right]^{1+\varepsilon_r} & \text{for } \bar{R} < \mathcal{R} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where δ is the *target catch*; I^* the *target* adult index (e.g. a mean observed CPUE corresponding to a period where the stock was at a desired fraction of B_0 or M_{MSY}) and \bar{R} is the average recent juvenile biomass i.e.

$$\bar{R} = \frac{1}{\tau_R} \sum_{i=y-\tau_R+1}^y R_i \quad (5)$$

\mathcal{R} is a “limit” level derived from the mean recruitment over a reference period; while $\varepsilon[0,1]$ actions asymmetry so that increases in TAC do not occur at the same level as decreases.

Table 1: Derivative MP tunable parameters

Parameter	Symbol	Description	Default
Gain term	b	Sets change based on adult index in HCR 1	0.25
Gain term	r	Sets sets change based on recruit index HCR 1	0.75
Gain term	k_1	Sets decrease level when stock declines in HCR 2	1.5
Gain term	k_2	Sets increase level when stock increases in HCR 2	3.0
Exponent	γ	Additional decrease control in HCR 2	1

1.1.2 Proportional

A proportional control rule (P) is so called as the action is determined in proportion to the error between a signal and a reference value

$$C_y^{\text{targ}} = \begin{cases} \delta \left[\frac{I_y}{I^*} \right]^{1-\varepsilon_{k1}} & \text{for } I_y \geq I^* \\ \delta \left[\frac{I_y}{I^*} \right]^{1+\varepsilon_{k2}} & \text{for } I_y < I^* \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where δ is the target catch and k_1 and k_2 are the gain terms

The TAC is then the average of the last TAC and the value output by the HCR.

$$TAC_{y+1} = 0.5 \times (TAC_y + C_y^{\text{targ}}) \quad (7)$$

Table 2: Proportion MP tunable parameters

Parameter	Symbol	Description	Default
Gain term	k_1	Sets decrease level when stock declines	0.25
Gain term	k_2	Sets increase level when stock increases	0.75

1.1.3 Derivative

A derivative control rule (D) is so called as the control signal is derived from the trend in the signal, i.e. to the derivative of the error.

$$TAC_{y+1}^1 = TAC_y \times \begin{cases} 1 - k_1|\lambda|^\gamma & \text{for } \lambda < 0 \\ 1 + k_2\lambda & \text{for } \lambda \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where λ is the slope in the regression of $\ln I_y$ against year for the most recent n years and k_1 and k_2 are *gain* parameters and γ actions asymmetry so that decreases in the index do not result in the same relative change as an increase.

The TAC is then the average of the last TAC and the value output by the HCR.

$$TAC_{y+1} = 0.5 \times (TAC_y + C_y^{\text{targ}}) \quad (9)$$

Table 3: Derivative MP tunable parameters

Parameter	Symbol	Description	Default
Gain term	k_1	Sets decrease level when stock declines	1.5
Gain term	k_2	Sets increase level when stock increases	3.0
Exponent	γ	Additional decrease control	1

1.1.4 iRate

The iRate Management Procedure uses CPUE as an index of biomass (I) and sets a total allowable catch or TAC (\bar{S}) that, over most of the range of CPUE, is proportional to that index.

In each year a smoothed index (\bar{I}) is calculated using an exponential moving average with the responsiveness control parameter, r :

$$\bar{I}_t = rI_t + (1 - r)\bar{I}_{t+1} \quad (10)$$

Higher values of r produce greater responsiveness because they put more weight on more recent values of CPUE and produce an index that is less smoothed. When $r = 1$ there is no smoothing and $\bar{I}_t = I_t$. Smoothing may be advantageous in that it reduces the influence of annual random variation in CPUE due to catchability or operational variations. However, smoothing also reduces the lag to the index.

Using \bar{I} the recommended catch scaler (\bar{S}) is calculated as follows .

$$\bar{S} = \begin{cases} 0 & \bar{I} < i_t \\ m\hat{S} & \bar{I} > i_t \\ \frac{m\hat{S}}{i_t - i_l}(\bar{I} - i_l) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

The recommended catch scaler is used to calculate the recommended TAC (\bar{C}) by multiplying the harvest rate by the biomass index,

$$\bar{C} = \min(\bar{S}\bar{I}, u) \quad (12)$$

which is applied to the fishery in the following year,

$$C_{t+1} = \bar{C}_\phi \quad (13)$$

where ϕ is a lognormally distributed multiplicative error with mean of 1 and standard deviation of ε ,

$$\phi \sim LN(1, \varepsilon) \quad (14)$$

Table 4: iRate tunable parameters

Parameter	Symbol	Description	Default
Reference years	r	Years used when computing reference values	0.5
Responsiveness	m	Target harvest rate relative to historic levels Target harvest i.e 0.9 = 90% of historic average	0.9
Threshold index	i_t	Index at which the harvest rate is reduced relative to historic levels i.e. 0.7 = reduce harvest rate when the biomass index is at 70% of historic levels	0.7
Limit index	i_l	Index at which harvest rate is zero relative to historic levels i.e. 0.2 = close the fishery when the biomass index is at 20% historic levels	0.2
Maximum change	f	Maximum allowable percentage change in effort	0.4
Maximum TAC	u	Maximum total allowable catch	1000

1.2 Model Based

1.2.1 MPB

In a model based MP a stock assessment model is used to derive stock status relative to limit and target reference points and based on this to set a TAC.

A limit requires something to be done before it is reached and a target is a reward for doing something good. The standard fisheries HCR is a hockey stick (**Figure 1.2.1**) where for any biomass a corresponding fishing mortality is given, which is then used to derive a TAC. The hockey stick is defined by two points, the target fishing mortality (F_{target}) and a threshold ($B_{threshold}$) that cause management action to be triggered if it is breached. Above $B_{threshold}$ F_{target} defines a target level of fishing mortality that management seeks to achieve, below $B_{threshold}$ F declines linearly to the limit biomass (B_{lim}).

Setting targets and limits requires deciding upon the values used to define these two points. For example using a stock assessment there are several potential reference points such as those based on maximum sustainable yield (MSY), i.e. the biomass at which this is achieved (B_{MSY}) and the fishing mortality (F_{MSY}) that will achieve it.

The biomass of a stock next year (B_{t+1}) is equal to the biomass this year B_t , less the catch (C_t) plus the surplus production (P_t) i.e.

$$B_{t+1} = B_t - C_t + P_t \quad (15)$$

P is given by the Pella-Tomlinson surplus production function (Pella and Tomlinson, 1969)

$$\frac{r}{p} \cdot B \left(1 - \left(\frac{B}{K} \right)^p \right) \quad (16)$$




Figure 1: Harvest Control Rule (brown) plotted on a phase plot of harvest rate relative to F_{MSY} and stock biomass relative to B_{MSY} ; the light line is the simulated stock and the black line is the replacement line.

References

- R. Hillary, A. Ann Preece, and C. Davies. MP estimation performance relative to current input cpue and aerial survey data. *CCSBT Extended Scientific Committee held in Canberra*, 1309(19), 2013.
- J. Pella and P. Tomlinson. *A generalized stock production model*. Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, 1969.