Workshop on the Development of Quantitative Assessment Methodologies based on Life-history traits for stocks in categories 3-6 (WKLIFE VIII)

Evaluation of Biomass Dynamic Stock Assessment

Laurence T. Kell
*, Alexander Tidd † Coilin Minto ‡
 September 4, 2018

Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Material and Methods	2
3.	Results	2
4.	Discussion and Conclusions	4
5.	Acknowledgements	4
6.	Figures	5

 $^{{\}rm *Imperial\ College\ London;\ laurie@seaplusplus.co.uk.}$

[†]GMIT;

[‡]GMIT;

1. Introduction

In this study we use Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE) to test advice rules based on a biomass dynamic production model for category 3 and 4 stocks that are inline with both the Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) and Precautionary Approach (PA) frameworks adopted by ICES for category 1 stocks.

The specific aims of the study are to

- Establish whether performance of the advice rules is correlated with life-history characteristics
- If such correlations exist, develop guidelines for use of the advice rules dependent on life-history characteristics

2. Material and Methods

•

•

In a model based MP a stock assessment model is used to derive stock status relative to limit and target reference points and based on this to set a TAC.

A limit requires something to be done before it is reached and a target is a reward for doing something good. The standard fisheries HCR is a hockey stick (**Figure 2**.) where for any biomass a corresponding fishing mortality is given, which is then used to derive a TAC. The hockey stick is defined by two points, the target fishing mortality (F_{target}) and a threshold ($B_{threshold}$) that cause management action to be triggered if it is breached. Above $B_{threshold}$ F_{target} defines a target level of fishing mortality that management seeks to achieve, below $B_{threshold}$ F declines linearly to the limit biomass (B_{lim}).

Setting targets and limits requires deciding upon the values used to define these two points. For example using a stock assessment there are severall potential reference points such as those based on maximum sustainable yield (MSY), i.e. the biomass at which this is achived (B_{MSY}) and the fishing mortlaity (F_{MSY}) that will achieve it.

The biomass of a stock next year (B_{t+1}) is equal to the biomass this year B_t , less the catch (C_t) plus the surplus production (P_t) i.e.

$$B_{t+1} = B_t - C_t + P_t (1)$$

P is given by the Pella-Tomlinson surplus production function (?)

$$\frac{r}{p} \cdot B(1 - (\frac{B}{K})^p) \tag{2}$$

3. Results

•

•




Figure 1: Harvest Control Rule (brown) plotted on a phase plot of harvest rate relative to F_{MSY} and stock biomass relative to B_{MSY} ; the light line is the simulated stock and the black line is the replacement line.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

•

•

5. Acknowledgements

This paper was written under the MyDas project funded by the Irish exchequer and EMFF 2014-2020. The overall aim of MyDas is to develop and test a range of assessment models and methods to establish Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) reference points (or proxy MSY reference points) across the spectrum of data-limited stocks.

References

6. Figures