Topics Community More open source at IBM

Learn > Java development

#### Performance pitfalls

Correctness pitfalls

Downloadable resources

Related topic

# Best practices for using the Java Native Interface

Techniques and tools for averting the 10 most common JNI programming mistakes

Michael Dawson, Graeme Johnson, and Andrew Low







The Java environment and language are safe and efficient for application development. However, some applications need to perform tasks that go beyond what can be done from within a pure-Java program, such as:

- Integrate with existing legacy code to avoid a rewrite.
- Implement functionality missing in available class libraries. For example, you might need Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP) functionality if you are implementing ping in the Java language, but the base class libraries don't provide it.
- Integrate with code that's best written in C/C++, to exploit performance or other environment-specific system characteristics.
- Address special circumstances that require non-Java code. For example, implementation of core class libraries might require cross-package calls or the need to bypass other Java security checks.

The JNI lets you accomplish these tasks. It provides a clean separation between the execution of Java code and native code (such as C/C++) by defining a clear API for communicating between the two. For the most part, it avoids direct memory reference by native code into the JVM, ensuring that natives can be written once and work across different JVM implementations or versions

With JNI, native code is free to interact with Java objects, get and set field values, and invoke methods without many of the constraints that apply to the same functions in Java code. This freedom is a double-edged sword: it trades the safety of the Java language for the ability to accomplish the tasks listed earlier. Using JNI within your application provides powerful low-level access to the machine resources (memory, I/O, and so on), so you're working without the safety net usually provided to Java developers. JNI's flexibility and power introduce the risk of programming practices that can lead to poor performance, bugs, and even program crashes. You must be careful about the code you include in your application and use good practices to safeguard the application's overall integrity.

This article covers the 10 most common coding and design errors that users of the  $\ensuremath{\mathtt{JNI}}$ make. The goal is to help you recognize and steer clear of them so that you can write safe, effective JNI code that performs well from the start. This article also introduces available tools and techniques for finding these issues in new or existing code and show how to apply them effectively.

JNI has been part of the Java platform since the JDK 1.1 release and was extended in JDK 1.2 release. The JDK 1.0 release included an earlie native-method interface that lacked clean separation between native and Java code reach directly into JVM structures and so could not be portable across JVM implementations, platforms, or Upgrading an application with a substantial number of natives using the JDK 1.0 model was expensive, as was developing multiple JVM implementations

The introduction of JNI in the JDK 1.1 release allow

- Version independence
  Platform independence
  VM independence
  Development of third-party class libraries

It is interesting to note that younger languages such as PHP are still struggling with these issues with respect to their support of native code

JNI programming pitfalls fall into two categories:

- Performance: The code performs the designed function but does so slowly or in a way that causes the overall program to slow down.
- Correctness: The code works some of the time but does not reliably provide the required function; in the worst case, it crashes or hangs.

# Performance pitfalls

The top five performance pitfalls for programmers using JNI are:

- Not caching method IDs, field IDs, and classes
- Triggering array copies
- Reaching back instead of passing parameters
- Choosing the wrong boundary between native and Java code
- Using many local references without informing the JVM

# Not caching method IDs, field IDs, and classes

To access Java objects' fields and invoke their methods, native code must make calls to FindClass(), GetFieldID(), GetMethodId(), and GetStaticMethodID(). In the case of GetFieldID(), GetMethodID(), and GetStaticMethodID(), the IDs returned for a given class don't change for the lifetime of the JVM process. But the call to get the field or method can require significant work in the JVM, because fields and methods might have been inherited from superclasses, making the JVM walk up the class hierarchy to find them. Because the IDs are the same for a given class, you should look them up once and then reuse them. Similarly, looking up class objects can be expensive, so they should be cached as well.

For example, Listing 1 shows the JNI code required to call a static method:

Listing 1. Calling a static method with JNI

```
int val=1;
jmethodID method;
jclass cls;
cls = (*env)->FindClass(env, "com/ibm/example/TestClass");
if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) {
   return ERR_FIND_CLASS_FAILED;
f
method = (*env)->GetStaticMethodID(env, cls, "setInfo", "(I)V");
if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) {
    return ERR_GET_STATIC_METHOD_FAILED;
}
(*env)->CallStaticVoidMethod(env, cls, method,val);
if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) {
   return ERR_CALL_STATIC_METHOD_FAILED;
```

Looking up the class and method ID every time we want to call the method results in six native calls instead of the two that would be required if we had cached the class and method ID the first time they were needed.

Caching makes a significant impact on your application's run time. Consider the following two versions of a method, which

end up doing the same thing. The version in Listing 2 uses cached field IDs:

### Listing 2. Using cached field IDs

```
int sumValues2(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj, jobject allValues){

jint avalue = (*env)->GetIntField(env, allValues, a);

jint bvalue = (*env)->GetIntField(env, allValues, b);

jint cvalue = (*env)->GetIntField(env, allValues, c);

jint dvalue = (*env)->GetIntField(env, allValues, c);

jint dvalue = (*env)->GetIntField(env, allValues, d);

jint dvalue = (*env)->GetIntField(env, allValues, e);

jint fvalue = (*env)->GetIntField(env, allValues, f);

return avalue + bvalue + cvalue + dvalue + fvalue;

return avalue + bvalue + cvalue + dvalue + fvalue;

liant fvalue = (*env)-SetIntField(env, allValues, f);
```

Listing 3 doesn't use cached field IDs:

#### Listing 3. Field IDs not cached

#### Performance Tip #1

Look up and globally cache commonly used classes, field IDs. and method IDs.

The version in Listing 2 takes 3,572 ms to run 10,000,000 times. Listing 3's version takes 86,217 ms - 24 times longer.

#### Triggering array copies

JNI provides a clean interface between Java code and native code. To maintain this separation, arrays are passed as opaque handles, and native code must call back to the JVM in order to manipulate array elements using set and get calls. The Java specification leaves it up to the JVM implementation whether these calls provide direct access to the arrays or return a copy of the array. For example, the JVM might return a copy when it has optimized arrays in a way that does not store them contiguously.

These calls, then, might cause copying of the elements being manipulated. For example, if you call GetLongArrayElements() on an array with 1,000 elements, you might cause the allocation and copy of at least 8,000 bytes (1,000 elements \* 8 bytes for each long). When you then update the array's contents with ReleaseLongArrayElements(), another copy of 8,000 bytes might be required to update the array. Even when you use the newer GetPrimitiveArrayCritical(), the specification still permits the JVM to make copies of the full array.

The GetTypeArrayRegion() and SetTypeArrayRegion() methods allow you to get and update a region of an array, as opposed to the full array. By using these methods to access larger arrays, you can ensure that you copy only the region of the array that the native will actually use.

#### of an array ti

Get and update only those parts of an array that the native needs Use the appropriate API calls to avoid copying the whole array when only part of it is needed.

For example, consider two versions of the same method, shown in Listing 4:

## Listing 4. Two versions of the same method

The first version might cause two full copies of the array, whereas the second causes no copying at all. Running the first method 10,000,000 times with an array of 1,000 bytes takes 12,055 ms; the second version takes only 1,421 ms. The first version takes 8.5 times longer!

On the other hand, using GetTypeArrayRegion() to get each of the array's elements one by one will also not perform well if you're going to end up getting all of the array's elements anyway. For best performance, ensure that you get and update array elements in the largest sensible blocks. If you're going to iterate through all of the elements in an array, neither of the two getElement() methods in Listing 4 is suitable. Instead, you'd want to get a reasonable-sized chunk of the array in one call and then iterate through all of those elements, repeating until you cover the full array.

## Performance Tip #3

Get or update as much of an array as possible in a single API call. Don't iterate through the array's elements one by one when you can get and update an array in larger blocks.

## Reaching back instead of passing parameters

When calling a method, you can often choose between passing a single object that has multiple fields and passing the fields individually. With object-oriented designs, passing the object often provides better encapsulation, in that changes in the object fields don't require changes to the method signature. However, in the case of JNI, a native must reach back into the JVM through one or more JNI calls to get the value for each individual field that it needs. These additional calls add extra overhead because the transition from native to Java code is more expensive than a normal method call. For JNI, therefore, causing the natives to reach for many individual fields from the objects passed to them leads to poorer performance.

Consider two methods in Listing 5, the second of which assumes we have cached the field IDs:

## Listing 5. Two method versions

```
int sumValues(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj, jint a, jint b, jint c, jint d, jint e, jint f){
    return a + b + c + d + e + f;
}

int sumValues2(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj, jobject allValues){

int sumValues2(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj, jobject allValues)}

jint avalue = (*env)->GetIntField(env, allValues, a);
    jint bvalue = (*env)->GetIntField(env, allValues, b);
    jint cvalue = (*env)->GetIntField(env, allValues, d);
    jint dvalue = (*env)->GetIntField(env, allValues, d);
    jint value = (*env)->GetIntField(env, allValues, d);
    jint fvalue = (*env)->GetIntField(env, allValues, d);
    jint fvalue + dvalue + dvalue + dvalue + fvalue;
}
```

The sumValues2() method requires six JNI callbacks and takes 3,572 ms to run 10,000,000 times. It is six times slower than sumValues(), which takes only 596 ms. By passing in the data required by the JNI method, sumValues() avoids a substantial amount of JNI overhead.

#### Performance Tip #4

When possible, pass individual parameters to JNI natives so that the native calls back to the JVM for the data it needs to do its work.

## Choosing the wrong boundary between native and Java code

It's up to the developer to define the boundary between native and Java code. The choice of the boundary can have a significant impact on the application's overall performance. The cost of calling from Java code to natives and from natives to Java code is significantly higher than a normal Java method call. Further, the transition can interfere with the JVM's ability to optimize code execution. For example, the Just-in-time compiler might be less effective as the number of transitions between Java code and native code increases. We have measured that calling from Java code to a native can take five times longer than a regular method. Similarly, calls from a native to Java code can take substantial time.

The split between Java code and natives should, therefore, be designed to minimize the transitions between Java and native code. Transitions should be made only when required, and you should do enough work in a native to amortize the cost of the transition. A key element of minimizing transitions is to ensure that data is maintained on the correct side of the Java/native boundary. If data resides on the wrong side, constant transitions will be triggered by the need of the other side to reach for that data.

#### 1 offormation rip #0

Define the split between Java and natives to minimize the transitions from Java to natives and callbacks from natives to Java.

For example, if we want to provide an interface to a serial port using JNI, we could come up with two different interfaces. One version is in Listing 6:

#### Listing 6. Interface to a serial port: Version 1

```
/**
* Initializes the serial port and returns a java SerialPortConfig objects
* that contains the hardware address for the serial port, and holds
* information needed by the serial port such as the next buffer
* to write data into
                    */
jobject initializeSerialPort(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj, jstring comPortName);
               /** \star Sets a single bit in an 8 bit byte to be sent by the serial port
                * @param env JNI env that can be used by the method

* @param serialPortConfig object returned by initializeSerialPort

* @param whichBit value from 1-8 indicating which bit to set

* @param bitValue 0th bit contains bit value to be set
               */
void setSerialPortBit(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj, jobject serialPortConfig,
    jint whichBit, jint bitValue);
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
               /** \star Gets a single bit in an 8 bit byte read from the serial port
                      *
$\param env JNI env that can be used by the method
$\param serialPortConfig object returned by initializeSerialPort
$\param envialPortConfig object returned by initializeSerialPort
$\param envialPortConfig object returned
$\param envialPortConfig object returned
$\param envialPortConfig
$\param environ e
               */
jint getSerialPortBit(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj, jobject serialPortConfig,
                        jint whichBit);
                .
• @param env JNI env that can be used by the method
                 */
void readNextByte(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj);
                         Send the next byte
45
                    * \mbox{\ensuremath{\upsigma}} param env JNI env that can be used by the method \mbox{\ensuremath{\upsigma}}
                 void sendNextByte(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj);
```

In Listing 6, all of the configuration data for the serial port is stored in the Java object returned by the initializeSerialPort() method, and Java code is in full control of setting each individual bit in the hardware. Several issues with the version in Listing 6 will lead to poorer performance than the version in Listing 7:

## Listing 7. Interface to a serial port: Version 2

```
1 /**

* Initializes the serial port and returns an opaque handle to a native

* structure that contains the hardware address for the serial port

* and holds information needed by the serial port such as

* the next buffer to write data into

* * @param env JNI env that can be used by the method

* @param comPortName the name of the serial port

* @returns opaque handle to be passed to setSerialPortByte and

* getSerialPortByte calls

* /

* jlong initializeSerialPort2(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj, jstring comPortName);

* * * sends a byte on the serial port

* * @param env JNI env that can be used by the method

* @param serialPortConfig opaque handle for the serial port

* @param byte the byte to be sent

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //

* //
```

The most obvious issue is that the interface in Listing 6 requires a JNI call for each bit set or retrieved, as well as a JNI call to read a byte from, or write a byte to, the serial port. This

leads to nine times as many JNI calls for each byte read or written. The second issue is that LListing 6 stores the configuration information for the serial port in a Java object that's on the wrong side of the Java/native boundary for where the data is used. We need this configuration data only on the native side; storing it on the Java side will cause numerous callbacks from the native to Java to set/get this configuration information. Listing 7 stores the configuration information in a native structure (for example, a C struct) and returns an opaque handle to Java code, which can be returned on subsequent calls. This means that when a native is running it can reach directly into the structure without needing to call

structure the application's data so that it exists on the right side of the boundary and can be accessed by the code that uses it without requiring many transitions across the Java/native boundary.

back to Java code for information such as the serial-port hardware address or the next buffer available. The performance of an implementation using Listing 7 will therefore be much better.

## Using many local references without informing the JVM

Local references are created for any object returned by a JNI function. For example, when you call GetObjectArrayElement(), a local reference to the object in the array is returned. Consider how many local references are used when the code in Listing 8 is run on a very large array:

#### Listing 8. Creating local references

```
void workOnArray(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj, jarray array){
    jint i;
    jint count = (*env)->GetArrayLength(env, array);

for (i=0; i < count; i++) {
    jobject element = (*env)->GetObjectArrayElement(env, array, i);
    if((*env)->ExceptionOccurred(env)) {
        break;
    }
}

/* do something with array element */

11 }
}
```

Each time GetObjectArrayElement() is called, a local reference is created for the element and isn't freed until the native completes. The larger the array, the more local references will be created.

These local references are freed automatically when the native method terminates. The JNI specification requires that each native be able to create at least 16 local references. Although this is adequate for many methods, some methods need to access more during their lifetime. In this case, you should either delete references that are no longer needed, by using the JNI DeleteLocalRef() call, or inform the JVM that you'll be using a larger number of local references.

#### Performance Tip #7

When a native causes the creation of a large number of local references, delete each reference when it is no longer required.

Listing 9 adds a call to DeleteLocalRef() to the example in Listing 8, informing the JVM that the local reference is no longer needed and limiting the number of local references that exist at one time to a reasonable number, regardless of the array's size:

#### Listing 9. Adding DeleteLocalRef()

```
void workOnArray(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj, jarray array){
jint i;
jint count = (*env)->GetArrayLength(env, array);

for (i=0; i < count; i++) {
    jobject element = (*env)->GetObjectArrayElement(env, array, i);
    if((*env)->ExceptionOccurred(env)) {
        break;
    }
}

/* do something with array element */

(*env)->DeleteLocalRef(env, element);
}

{
        (*env)->DeleteLocalRef(env, element);
}
}
```

You can call the JNI EnsureLocalCapacity() method to tell the JVM that you'll be using more than 16 local references. This allows the JVM to optimize the handling of local references for that native, Failure to inform the JVM can lead to a FatalExxox if the required local references cannot be created, or poor performance that's due to a mismatch between the local-reference management employed by the JVM and the number of local references used

## Performance Tip #8

If a native will have a large number of local references simutaneously, call the JMI EnsureLocalCapacity() method to inform the JVM and allow it to optimize its handling of local references for this case

# Correctness pitfalls

The top five JNI correctness pitfalls are:

- Using the wrong JNIEnv
- Not checking for exceptions
- Not checking return values
- Using array methods incorrectly
- Using global references incorrectly

## Using the wrong JNIEnv

A thread executing native code uses a JNIEnv to make JNI methods calls. But the JNIEnv is used for more than just dispatching the requested methods. The JNI specification states that each JNIEnv is local to a thread. A JVM can rely on this assumption, storing additional thread-local information within the JNIEnv. Use of the JNIEnv from one thread by another thread can lead to subtle bugs and crashes that are hard to debug.

A thread can get a JNIEnv by calling GetEnv() using the JNI invocation interface through a JavaVM object. The JavaVM object itself can be obtained by calling the JNI GetJavaVM() method using a JNIEnv object and can be cached and shared across threads. Caching a copy of the JavaVM object enables any thread with access to the cached object to get access to its own JNIEnv when necessary. For optimal performance, however, a thread should pass the JNIEnv that it received when it was invoked down through the methods it calls, because looking it up can require significant work.

## Correctness Tip #1

Only use the JNIEnv with the single thread to which it is associated.

## Not checking for exceptions

Many of the JNI methods that natives can call can raise exceptions on the executing thread. When Java code executes, these exceptions cause a change to the execution flow such that the exception-handling code path is automatically invoked. When a native makes a call to a JNI method, an exception can be raised, but it's up to the native to check for exceptions and take appropriate action. A common JNI programming pitfall is to call a JNI method and to proceed without checking for exceptions once the call is complete. This can lead to buggy code and crashes.

For example, consider code that calls GetFieldID(), which raises the NoSuchFieldExrox if the requested field can't be found. If the native code proceeds without checking for the exception and uses the field ID it thought was returned, a

crash can occur. The code in Listing 10, for example, might cause a crash — rather than throw a NoSuchFieldError— if the Java class is modified so that the charField field no longer exists:

#### Listing 10. Failing to check for exceptions

```
objectClass = (*env)->GetObjectClass(env, obj);
fieldID = (*env)->GetFieldID(env, objectClass, "charField", "C");
result = (*env)->GetCharField(env, obj, fieldID);
```

It's much easier to include the code to check for the exception than to try to debug a crash later on. Often you can simply check if an exception has occurred and if so return immediately to Java code so that the exception is thrown. It will then be either handled or displayed using the normal Java exception-handling process. For example, Listing 11 checks for exceptions:

#### Correctness Tip #2

Always check for exceptions after making JNI calls that can raise exceptions.

#### Listing 11. Checking for exceptions

```
jclass objectClass
jfieldID fieldID;
jchar result = 0;
objectClass = (*env)->GetObjectClass(env, obj);
fieldID = (*env)->GetFieldID(env, objectClass, "charField", "C");
if((*env)->ExceptionOccurred(env)) {
      return;
}
result = (*env)->GetCharField(env, obj, fieldID);
```

Not checking and clearing exceptions can lead to unexpected behavior. Can you spot what is wrong with this code?

```
fieldID = (*env)->GetFieldID(env, objectClass, "charField", "C");
if (fieldID == NULL){
    fieldID = (*env)->GetFieldID(env, objectClass, "charField", "D");
}
return (*env)->GetIntField(env, obj, fieldID);
```

The problem is that even though the code handles the case in which the initial GetFieldID() doesn't return the field ID, it does not clear the exception that this call would set. The return from the native will therefore cause an exception to be thrown immediately

#### Not checking return values

Many JNI methods have a return value that indicates whether the call succeeded or not. A common pitfall, similar to not checking for exceptions, is to fail to check the return value and for the code to proceed on the assumption that the call was successful. For most of the JNI methods, the return value and exception status will both be set so that checking either the exception status or the return value will let the application know if the method ran correctly or not.

Can you spot what is wrong with the following code?

Always check the return value from a JNI method and include code paths to handle errors

The problems are that if the HelloWorld class is not found or if the main() method doesn't exist, the native will cause a crash.

## Using array methods incorrectly

The GetXXXArrayElements() and ReleaseXXXArrayElements() methods allow you to request array elements. Similarly, GetPrimitiveArrayCritical(). ReleasePrimitiveArrayCritical(). GetStringCritical(). and ReleaseStringCritical() allow you to request array elements or string bytes to maximize the likelihood that they will get a direct pointer to the array or string. Two common pitfalls are associated with the use of these methods. The first is to forget to commit changes in the call to the ReleaseXXX() method. There's no guarantee that you will actually get a direct pointer to the array or string even when using the Critical versions. Some JVMs will always return a copy, and in these JVMs the changes made to the array will not be copied back if you specify JNI\_ABORT in the call to ReleaseXXX() or forget to call ReleaseXXX().

For example, consider this code:

Listing 12. Including a release call

```
void modifyArrayWithoutRelease(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj, jarray arr1) {
   jboolean isCopy;
jbyte* buffer = (*env)-> (*env)->GetByteArrayElements(env,arr1,&isCopy);
if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
   buffer[0] = 1;
```

On a JVM that provides a direct pointer to the array, the array will be updated; however, on a JVM that returns a copy it will not be. This can lead to cases where your code seems to work on some JVMs but fails to work on others. You should always include a release call, as shown in Listing 12:

Don't forget to call ReleaseXXX() with a mode of memory) for each GetXXX()

Correctness Tip #4

```
void modifyArrayWithRelease(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj, jarray arr1) {
  jboolean isCopy;
jbyte* buffer = (*env)-> (*env)->GetByteArrayElements(env,arr1,&isCopy);
if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
  buffer[0] = 1:
```

The second pitfall is not honoring the restrictions placed by the specification on code that executes between the  ${\tt GetXXXCritical()} \ and \ {\tt ReleaseXXXCritical()}. \ The \ native \ may \ not \ make \ any \ JNI \ calls \ between \ these \ methods \ and \ may \ not \ make \ any \ JNI \ calls \ between \ these \ methods \ and \ may \ not \ make \ any \ JNI \ calls \ between \ these \ methods \ and \ may \ not \ make \ not \$ not block for any reason. Failing to honor these restrictions can lead to intermittent deadlock within the application or the JVM as a whole

For example, the following code might look okay:

```
1 void workOnPrimitiveArray(JNIEnve env, jobject obj, jarray arr1) {
2    jboolean isCopy;
3    jbyte* buffer = (*env)->EceptionCheck(env)) return;
5    processBufferHelper(buffer);
7    (*env)->ReleasePrimitiveArrayCritical(env, arr1, buffer, 0);
1    if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
9    if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
10    if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
10    if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
11    if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
12    if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
13    if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
14    if (*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
15    if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
16    if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
17    if (*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
18    if (*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
19    if ((*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
10    if (*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
11    if (*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
12    if (*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
13    if (*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
14    if (*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
15    if (*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
16    if (*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
17    if (*env)->ExceptionCheck(env)) return;
18    if (*env)->ExceptionCheck(env) return;
18    if (*env)->ExceptionCheck(env) return retu
```

However, we need to validate that all of the code that can be run when processBufferHelper() is called does not violate any of the restrictions. These restrictions apply to all code that executes between the Get and Release calls, whether it is part of the native itself or not.

#### Correctness Tip #5

Ensure the code does not make any JNI calls or block for any reason between calls to GetXXXCritical() and ReleaseXXXCritical().

## Using global references incorrectly

Natives can create global references so that objects are not garbage collected until they are no longer needed. Common pitfalls are forgetting to delete global references that have been created or losing track of them completely. Consider a native that creates a global reference but does not delete or store it anywhere:

```
1 | lostGlobalRef(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj, jobject keepObj) {
2         jobject gref = (*env)->NewGlobalRef(env, keepObj);
3         l
```

When the global reference is created, the JVM adds it to a list that excludes that object from garbage collection. When the native returns, not only has it not freed the global reference, but the application also no longer has a way to get the reference in order to free it later — so the object will live forever. Not freeing global references causes issues not only because they keep the object itself alive but also because they keep alive all objects that can be reached through the object. In some cases this can add up to a significant memory look.

#### Correctness Tip #6

Always keep track of global references and ensure they are deleted when the object is no longer required.

# Avoiding the common pitfalls

Suppose you have just finished writing some new JNI code or inherited some JNI code from elsewhere. How can you ensure that you have avoided the common pitfalls or can find them in your inherited code? Table 1 identifies the techniques you can use to root out instances of the common pitfalls:

Table 1. Checklist for identifying JNI programming pitfalls

Validation     against     specification	Not caching	Triggering array copies	Wrong boundary	Reaching back too much	Using many local references	Using wrong JNIEnv
Method tracing	x	x	x	х		
• Dumps						
• -verbose:jni					x	
Code review	х	x	x	x	х	х

You can identify many of the common pitfalls early in the development cycle by:

- Validating new code against the specification
- Analyzing the method trace
- Using the -verbose: jni option
- Generating dumps
- Performing code reviews

## Validating new code against the JNI specification

It's a good practice to maintain a list of the constraints imposed by the specification and review natives for compliance with the list, either manually or through automatic code analysis. You'll likely expend much less effort ensuring compliance than you would debugging the subtle and intermittent failures that can occur when the constraints are not observed. Here's a starting list of specification-conformance checks to do for newly developed code (or code that is new to you):

- $^{\circ}$   $\,$  Validate that a <code>JNIEnv</code> is used only with the thread to which it is associated.
- $^{\circ} \ \ \text{Validate that JNI Methods are not called within $\tt GetXXXCritical()'s ReleaseXXXCritical() section.}$
- For a method that enters a critical section, validate that the method does not return before it is released.
- Validate that there is a check for an exception after all JNI calls that can raise an exception.
- Ensure that all Get/Release calls are matched within each JNI method.

IBM's JVM implementation includes an option that turns on automatic JNI checks, at the cost of slower execution. In conjunction with good unit tests for your code, this is a powerful tool. You can run the application or unit tests once to do a compliance check or when you encounter bugs for which you suspect natives to be the cause. In addition to doing the specification-compliance checks we listed above, it also ensures that:

- The parameters passed to JNI methods are of the correct types.
- JNI code does not read past the ends of arrays.
- Only valid pointers are passed to JNI methods.

Not all the findings the JNI check reports are necessarily errors in the code. They include suggestions as to code that should be reviewed closely to ensure that it does what was intended.

You enable the JNI check option with the following command line:

```
1 Usage: -Xcheck:jni:[option[,option[,...]]]
2 all check application and system classes
4 verbose trace certain JNI functions and activities
5 trace trace all JNI functions
6 nobounds do not perform bounds checking on strings and arrays
7 nonfatal do not exit when errors are detected
8 nowarn do not display advice
9 noadvice do not display advice
10 novalist do not check for va_list reuse
11 valist check for va_list reuse
12 pedantic perform more thorough, but slower checks
13 help print this screen
```

Using the IBM JVM's -Xcheck: jni option as part of the standard development process can help you find coding errors much more easily. In particular, it can help you root out the pitfalls of using the JNIEnv with the wrong thread and using critical regions incorrectly.

Recent Sun JVMs provide a similarly named -Xcheck: jni option. It operates differently from the IBM version and provides different information, but the purpose is the same. It issues warnings when it sees code that doesn't conform to the specification and can help you find instances of the common JNI pitfalls.

## Analyzing the method trace

Generating a trace of the natives that are invoked, along with the JNI callbacks that these natives make, can be useful for rooting out a number of the common pitfalls. Issues to look for include:

- An abundance of GetFieldID() and GetMethodID() calls in particular, if the calls are for the same fields and methods — indicates that the fields and method are not being cached.
- ${\tt Instances\ of\ calls\ to\ Get\it TypeArrayElements()\ instead\ of\ Get\it TypeArrayRegion()\ can\ indicate\ unnecessary\ copying. }$
- Switching quickly back and forth between Java code and natives (as indicated by timestamps) can indicate the wrong boundary between Java code and natives, leading to poor performance.
- The pattern in which each invocation of a native function being followed by a number of GetFieldID() calls can
  indicate that instead of passing the parameters required, you are forcing the natives to reach back for the data needed
  to complete their work.
- The lack of calls to ExceptionOccurred() or ExceptionCheck() after calls to JNI methods that can throw exceptions
  can indicate that the natives are not properly checking for exceptions.
- A mismatch between the number of GetXXX() and ReleaseXXX() method calls can indicate missing releases.
- Calls to JNI methods between GetXXXCritical() and ReleaseXXXCritical() calls indicate that the constraints imposed by the specification are not being observed.
- If the elapsed time between the calls to GetXXXCritical() and ReleaseXXXCritical() is long, this can indicate that
  the constraint imposed by the specification not to make blocking calls is not being observed.
- A large imbalance between calls to NewGlobalRef() and DeleteGlobalRef() can indicate a failure to free global references when they are no longer needed.

Some JVM implementations provide a mechanism through which a method trace can be generated. You can also generate a trace through external tools such as profilers and code-coverage tools.

The IBM JVM implementation provides a number of ways to generate trace information. The first is to use the - Xcheck: jni:trace option. This generates a trace of the native methods called as well as the JNI callbacks that they make. Listing 13 shows an excerpt of a trace (with some lines split for readability only):

Listing 13. Method trace generated by the IBM JVM implementation

```
1 Call JNI: java/lang/System.getPropertyList()[Ljava/lang/String; {
2 00177609 Arguments: void
3 00177609 FindClass('java/lang/String')
6 00177609 FindClass('java/lang/String')
6 00177609 FindClass('java/lang/String')
6 00177609 Call JNI: com/ibm/oti/util/Util')
6 00177609 Return: (jboolean)false
8 00177609 Return: (jboolean)false
8 00177609 Arguments: void
9 00177609 Call JNI: java/security/AccessController.initializeInternal()V {
8 00177609 Arguments: void
9 00177609 GetStaticMethodID(java/security/AccessController, "doPrivileged",
9 (Ljava/security/PrivilegedCtion).jjava/lang/Object;")
8 00177609 GetStaticMethodID(java/security/AccessController, "doPrivileged",
9 (Ljava/security/PrivilegedCtion).jjava/lang/Object;")
9 00177609 GetStaticMethodID(java/security/AccessController, "doPrivileged",
9 (Ljava/security/PrivilegedCtion,Ljava/Security/AccessController, "doPrivileged",
9 (Ljava/security/PrivilegedCtion,Ljava/Jang/Object;")
9 00177600 GetStaticMethodID(som/security/AccessController, "doPrivileged",
9 00177600
```

The trace excerpt in Listing 13 shows the native being called (for example, AccessController.initializeInternal()V) and then the JNI callbacks the native makes.

## Using the -verbose: jni option

Both the Sun and IBM JVMs also provide a -verbose: jni option. For the IBM JVM, turning this option on provides information about what JNI callbacks are being made. Listing 14 shows an example:

Listing 14. Listing JNI callbacks with the IBM JVM's -verbose: jni

```
| CMUX GetStringCritical: buffer=0x100BD010>
| CMUX GetStringCritical: buffer=0x100BD010>
| CMUX GetStringChars: buffer=0x03019C80>
| CMUX GetStringChars: buffer=0x03019C80>
| CMUX FindClass: java/lang/String>
| CMUX FindClass: java/lang/String>
| CMUX GetNethodID: java/lo/WinMTFileSystem>
| CMUX GetNethodID: java/lo/WinMTFileSystem>
| CMUX GetStaticMethodID: com/ibm/jo/GriDad/tests/HelloWorld.main ([Ljava/lang/String;)V>
| CMUX GetNethodID: java/lang/reflect/Method.getModifiers ()I>
| CMUX FindClass: java/lang/String>
| CMUX GetNethodID: java/Lang/Str
```

For the Sun JVM, turning the -verbose: jni option on doesn't provide information about the calls being made, but it does provide additional information about the natives used. Listing 15 shows an example:

```
[Dynamic-linking native method java.util.zip.ZipFile.getMethod ... JNI]
[Dynamic-linking native method java.util.zip.Inflater.initIDS ... JNI]
[Dynamic-linking native method java.util.zip.Inflater.init ... JNI]
[Dynamic-linking native method java.util.zip.Inflater.initletBytes ... JNI]
[Dynamic-linking native method java.util.zip.ZipFile.read ... JNI]
[Dynamic-linking native method java.util.zip.ZipFile.read ... JNI]
[Dynamic-linking native method java.util.zip.Inflater.reset ... JNI]
```

Turning on this option also causes the JVM to emit warnings when too many local references are used without informing the JVM. For example, the IBM JVM generates messages like this one:

```
JVMJNCK065W JNI warning in FindClass: Automatically grew local reference frame capacity from 16 to 48. 17 references are in use. Use EnsureLocalCapacity or PushLocalFrame to explicitly grow the frame.
```

Although the -verbose: jni and -Xcheck: jni:trace options make it easy to get the information you need, reviewing this information manually can take a fair amount of effort. It's a good idea to create scripts or utilities that can process the trace files being generated by your JVM and look for the warning signs.

#### Generating dumps

 $Dumps \ generated \ from \ a \ running \ Java \ process \ contain \ a \ wealth \ of information \ about \ a \ JVM's \ state. For \ many \ JVMs, \ they \ a \ for \ fo$ include information about global references. For example, the recent Sun JVMs include the following line in the dump information:

```
1 | JNI global references: 73
```

By generating before and after dumps, you can assess if you're creating any global references that are not being freed when they should be.

You can request a dump in UNIX® environments by issuing a kill -3 or kill -QUIT on the java process. On Windows®, use Ctrl+Break.

For the IBM JVM, use these steps to obtain information on global references:

- 1. Add -Xdump: system: events=user to the command line. This asks the JVM to generate a system dump when you invoke kill -3 on a UNIX variant or Ctrl+Break on Windows
- 2. When your program is running, generate subsequent dumps.
- 3. Run jextract -nozip core.XXX output.xml, which extracts dump information into a readable format in output.xml.
- 4. Look for JNIGlobalReference entries in output.xml, which give you information about the current global references, as shown in Listing 16:

Listing 16. JNIGlobalReference entries in output.xml

```
crootobject type="Thread" id="0x10080990" reachability="strong" />
crootobject type="Thread" id="0x100809160" reachability="strong" />
crootobject type="JNIGlobalReference" id="0x10011260" reachability="strong" />
crootobject type="JNIGlobalReference" id="0x10011260" reachability="strong" />
crootobject type="JNIGlobalReference" id="0x10011840" reachability="strong" />
crootobject type="JNIGlobalReference" id="0x10011880" reachability="strong" />
crootobject type="JNIGlobalReference" id="0x10011880" reachability="strong" />
crootobject type="JNIGlobalReference" id="0x10010360" reachability="strong" />
crootobject type="JNIGlobalReference" id="0x10010360" reachability="strong" />
crootobject type="StringTable" id="0x10010be0" reachability="strong" />
crootobject type="StringTable" id="0x10010000" reachability="weak" />
crootobject type="StringTable" id="0x100100000" reachability="weak" />
crootobject type="StringTable" id="0x1001000000" reachability="weak" />
crootobject type="StringTable" id="0x100100000" reachability="weak" />
cro
```

By looking at the numbers reported in subsequent Java dumps, you can assess if global references are being leaked.

## Performing code reviews

Code reviews can often be effective for spotting common pitfalls and can be done at a number of levels. When you inherit new code, a quick scan can reveal issues that would take much longer to debug later on. In some cases, a review is the only way to identify an instance of a pitfall such as the code not checking return values. For example, the problem with this code would likely be easy to identify through a code review but much harder to find through debugging:

```
int calledALot(JNIEnv* env, jobject obj, jobject allValues) {
    jclass cls = (*env).>GetObjectClass(env,allValues);
    jfieldID a = (*env).>GetFieldID(env, cls, "a", "I");
    jfieldID b = (*env).>GetFieldID(env, cls, "b", "I");
    jfieldID c = (*env).>GetFieldID(env, cls, "c", "I");
    jfieldID d = (*env).>GetFieldID(env, cls, "d", "I");
    jfieldID b = (*env).>GetFieldID(env, cls, "d", "I");
    jfieldID f = (*env).>GetFieldID(env, cls, "f", "I");
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
                   3
                   jclass getObjectClassHelper(jobject object){
   /* use globally cached JNEn* */
   return cls = (*globalEnvStatic)->GetObjectClass(globalEnvStatic,allValues);
```

A code review would likely identify that the first method is not properly caching field IDs even though the same IDs are used repeatedly, and that the second method is using a JNIEnv on threads other than the one the JNIEnv should be used

## Conclusion

You're now aware of the top 10 JNI programming pitfalls and have learned some good practices for identifying them in existing or new code. Apply these practices diligently to increase the likelihood that your JNI code is correct and that your application can achieve the performance levels it requires.

The ability to integrate existing code assets efficiently is essential to succeeding with two technologies that are gaining momentum: service-oriented architecture (SOA) and cloud-based computing. JNI is a key technology for integrating non-service and the service of the servicJava legacy code and components into a Java-based platform used as a building block for an SOA or cloud-based system. Proper use of JNI can speed the process of service-enabling these components and allow you to derive the maximum advantage from existing investments.

Downloadable resources



PDF of this content

Comments

### Sign in or register to add and subscribe to comments.

Newest Oldest Popular



16 Apr 2018

aardvark-aardwolf
In listing 12:
(\*env)->ReleaseByteArrayElements(env, arr1, buffer, JNI\_COMMIT);

Isn't JNI\_COMMIT leaking the buffer? From my reading Isn't of https://www.ibm.com/support/knowledgecenter/SSYKE2\_8.0.0/comode\_flag.html the correct invokation would use 0 for the mode flag.



03 Nov 2015



14 Mar 2012

AndrewBettison

This is a great article for a developer experienced in C and Java to get a rapid understanding of JNI without having to slog through a high-density reference or skim a low-density tutorial. Just the right level of detail.



fakeid

Micheal, Graeme, and Andrew,\n\nThank you for the interesting and informative article. \n\nDo you have any recommendations on tools to automate the creation of JNI code, either open source or commercial? What do you think of using SWIG? or JNA as an alternative to JNI?\n\nregards,\n-Frank







Contact Privacy Terms of use Accessibility Feedback Cookie Preferences

United States - English

