Mapping Match+Action Tables to Switches

Lavanya Jose*, Lisa Yan*, Pat Bosshart¹, Dan Daly[†], George Varghese[‡], Nick McKeown*

*Stanford University, ¹Barefoot Networks, [†]Intel, [‡]Microsoft Research

1 Background

In recent work, we proposed - with others - a new programming model for OpenFlow 2.0 [1]. We laid out three requirements: (1) Protocol independence, (2) Target independence - the programmer should write packet processing programs that can be compiled to a number of different target switches, (3) Reconfigurable in the field - it should be possible to change the way a switch processes packets. The forwarding model consists of a programmable parser followed by multiple match+action (arranged in series or parallel or a combination of both). The proposal in [1] is our starting point for the work presented here, and we assume the reader is familiar with the proposal.

To configure the switch, the programmer supplies a parse graph (to identify and type the header fields expected on the wire), a set of actions that are to be performed on packet headers, and a packet processing program, describing how packets are to be processed. We refer to these three pieces of information as the "configuration" and it is expressed using the P4 language defined in [1]. The P4 configuration determines which protocols are supported by the switch. Once the switch has been configured, the control plane can populate the configured match+action tables with forwarding rules as needed.

2 Problem Statement

In this work, we explore a mapping problem that must be solved in order to build a P4 compiler. P4 programs are written in terms of (logical) tables that hold forwarding rules, with associated actions. If the P4 program is to be target independent, then it must be written without reference to the number (and type) of forwarding tables in the physical switch. The logical tables defined by the programmer must be *mapped* to the physical tables on the switch.

The first task is to try and fit all the tables into the

switch (which may have ordering dependencies constraining where they can be placed). More interestingly, we want to map the tables while meeting some objective functions, such as minimizing the number of stages used (to minimize latency) or maximizing the size of the unused tables (to make it easier to add new tables), and so on.

Our goal is to design a *mapper* that works with a variety of different switch architectures. In this paper, we will present preliminary results mapping tables to the RMT pipeline [2] architecture. We are currently working to map the tables to Intel FlexPipe [4] as well.

The mapper obviously needs to know the number of physical tables in the switch and the type and size of different memory types available at each stage. Some switches (e.g., FlexPipe) may have parallel physical stages, thus the mapper needs to know which stages can execute simultaneously. Switches can also differ in how match words can be laid out across memory blocks (e.g., multiple words may be packed across one block in RMT but not in FlexPipe.) Additionally, depending on how the switches are implemented, there may be limits on how many match tables can be assigned to each stage or how many bits of the packet header can be matched in each stage. The mapper must take these important details into account as well.

The mapper must generate a mapping of logical tables to physical tables in different stages of the switch in a way that satisfies inter-table dependencies and additional switch specific constraints.

3 Approach

Our approach is to use integer linear programming (ILP) to express the mapping as an optimization problem, capturing the table sizes of the switch, the memory types, the dependencies between tables, and so on. We examine a number of different optimization objectives, such as minimizing the number of stages (to minimize latency).

3.1 Basic ILP

There are constraints on the total number of blocks used in each stage (capacity), on the order of tables (dependency) and on the number of match or action words that need to be assigned (assignment) to each stage. The compiler formulates these as an integer linear program. The capacity and assignment constraints are-

$$\sum_{log} Blocks_{mem,log,st} \leq TotalBlocks_{mem,st} \quad \forall mem,st$$
 $\sum_{mem,st} Words_{mem,log,st} \geq TotalEntries_{log} \quad \forall log$

The dependency constraint is just- $End_{log_b} < Start_{log_a} \forall log_a, log_b$ such that log_a depends on log_b .

Here $Blocks_{mem,log,st}$ is the number of blocks of memory type mem assigned to logical table log in stage st. $Words_{mem,log,st}$ is the number of match words of table log that can be fitted in the mem blocks assigned to log in stage st. $Start_{log}$ and End_{log} refer to starting and ending stages of logical table log.

3.2 Switch Specific details

The ILP formulation can be tailored to different switches - each with its own set of constraints. In this way, the same set of logical match+action tables can be mapped into different switch architectures relatively easily. We implement this using a switch specific preprocessor in our mapping program.

For example, note that the capacity and assignment constraint depend on how words can be laid out across memory blocks, which is a switch-specific feature. We let the switch specific preprocessor compute the sizes of different basic layouts (in memory blocks and number of match words) and input them to the compiler.

In the following pf refers to the index of a particular layout of words of log across blocks of type mem. $PfBlocks_{mem,log,pf}$ is the number of mem blocks required for a basic building block of the pfth layout.

For example, one way to layout DstMac words (48 bits wide) across SRAM blocks that are 80 bits wide and 1000 entries deep is to pack five words evenly into three blocks. In this case a basic building block is made of $PfBlocks_{sram,DstMac,pf} = 3$ SRAM blocks and can fit $PfWords_{sram,DstMac,pf} = 5 \times 1000 = 5000$ DstMac addresses. $BuildBlocks_{mem,log,st,pf}$ is the basic assignment variable which specifies how many building blocks of the pfth type (for mem,log) are assigned to log in stage st.

$$Blocks_{mem,log,st} = \sum_{pf} BuildBlocks_{\substack{mem,log, st \ pf}} PfBlocks_{\substack{mem,log, \ pf}\ pf} PfBlocks_{\substack{mem,log, st \ pf}} PfBlocks_{\substack{mem,log, \ pf}\ pf} PfWords_{\substack{mem,log, \ pf}\ pf}}$$
 $\forall mem,log,st$

Constraints that are specific to only certain switches can be added to the basic program using assignment and starting and ending stage variables.

3.3 Different Objectives

ILP also lets us easily optimize for different user objectives. For example, to minimize the maximum number of pipeline stages used, we formulate the ILP by adding one constraint: if $\sum_{mem,log} Blocks_{mem,log,st} > 0$ then maximumStage >= st. Then we minimize maximumStage. Alternative objectives include minimizing the number of memory blocks used or minimizing the pipeline latency.

3.4 How good is the ILP Program?

Our ILP formulation for the RMT pipeline scales *linearly* with the number of memory types, logical tables, dependencies and physical stages. For a realistic problem with, say, 10 logical tables, 20 pairwise dependencies among them and a switch with 32 stages of up to 106 SRAM blocks each, the ILP would need a few hundred variables and a few hundred constraints only. This is readily solved using a program such as CPLEX [3].

References

- [1] BOSSHART, P., DALY, D., IZZARD, M., MCKE-OWN, N., REXFORD, J., TALAYCO, D., VAHDAT, A., VARGHESE, G., AND WALKER, D. Programming protocol-independent packet processors. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1312.1719 (2013).
- [2] BOSSHART, P., GIBB, G., KIM, H.-S., VARGHESE, G., MCKEOWN, N., IZZARD, M., MUJICA, F., AND HOROWITZ, M. Forwarding metamorphosis: Fast programmable match-action processing in hardware for sdn. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGCOMM 2013 conference on SIGCOMM* (2013), ACM, pp. 99–110.
- [3] IBM. IBM ILOG CPLEX 12.4, 2013.
- [4] INTEL. Intel® ethernet switch FM5000/ FM6000 datasheet. http://www.intel.com/content/dam/www/public/us/en/documents/datasheets/ethernet-switch-fm5000-fm6000-datasheet.pdf.