

Attendees

Sean
David
Nick
Jannie

Minutes:

- The working group discussed the feedback from the lawyers on each of the following major topics:
 - Intellectual Property
 - Antitrust
 - Licenses
 - Contributor License Agreements
- In general, the feedback from the lawyers was positive and they supported many aspects of our proposed approach.
- In terms of the IP, we propose that the IP should vest in ASSA (as opposed to setting up a company for the project or having the IP vest in the contributors).
 - If this is the case, the project could benefit from ASSA's credibility, and it would avoid any confusion as to where the IP sits. ASSA also has existing relationships with its members and insurers in South Africa (who are expected to be the main contributors for now).
 - A possible downside to this approach is that ASSA may impose more onerous governance on the project and how it receives contributions – this could impact negatively on the project's growth.
- Regarding the CLAs and the project's licence, we are comfortable to implement these (including suggested changes from the lawyers) as soon as we have confirmation on whether the IP can vest in ASSA.
- On the antitrust topics, we feel that these are important issues for ASSA to consider at broader level. Our suggestion is that ASSA should implement some of the recommendations from the lawyers across all of its activities. OpenASSA would then be able to piggyback off this.

Actions:

- Sean to contact the LAC and get a slot for us to share our progress. (*In progress*)
- Nick to prepare a short summary of what we've done, the key feedback from the lawyers, and what we propose putting in place.
- Nick and Sean to present this to the LAC and see whether they're comfortable:
 - That the IP vests in ASSA;
 - With the proposed approach on CLAs; and
 - That we pass the antitrust recommendations on to ASSA.