

## **DATA PROTECTION ACT 1998**

### **SUPERVISORY POWERS OF THE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

#### **ENFORCEMENT NOTICE**

To: Daniel George Bentley, also known as Daniel George

Of: [REDACTED]

1. The Information Commissioner ("the Commissioner") has decided to issue Daniel George Bentley ("Mr Bentley"), also known as Daniel George, with an enforcement notice under section 40 of the Data Protection Act 1998 ("DPA"). The notice is being issued because of a serious contravention of regulations 22 and 23 of the Privacy and Electronic Communications (EC Directive) Regulations 2003 ("PECR").
2. This notice explains the Commissioner's decision.

#### **Legal framework**

3. Mr Bentley, whose address is given above, is the person stated in this notice to have transmitted unsolicited communications by means of electronic mail to individual subscribers for the purposes of direct marketing contrary to regulations 22 and 23 of PECR. Between 1 December 2021 and 30 November 2022, Mr Bentley was registered with the Commissioner as a sole trader under the name Daniel George.
4. Regulation 22 of PECR states:

- "(1) This regulation applies to the transmission of unsolicited communications by means of electronic mail to individual subscribers.*
- (2) Except in the circumstances referred to in paragraph (3), a person shall neither transmit, nor instigate the transmission of, unsolicited communications for the purposes of direct marketing by means of electronic mail unless the recipient of the electronic mail has previously notified the sender that he consents for the time being to such communications being sent by, or at the instigation of, the sender.*
- (3) A person may send or instigate the sending of electronic mail for the purposes of direct marketing where—*
- (a) that person has obtained the contact details of the recipient of that electronic mail in the course of the sale or negotiations for the sale of a product or service to that recipient;*
  - (b) the direct marketing is in respect of that person's similar products and services only; and*
  - (c) the recipient has been given a simple means of refusing (free of charge except for the costs of the transmission of the refusal) the use of his contact details for the purposes of such direct marketing, at the time that the details were initially collected, and, where he did not initially refuse the use of the details, at the time of each subsequent communication.*
- (4) A subscriber shall not permit his line to be used in contravention of paragraph (2)."*

5. Regulation 23 of PECR states that "*A person shall neither transmit, nor instigate the transmission of, a communication for the purposes of direct marketing by means of electronic mail –*
- (a) where the identity of the person on whose behalf the communication has been sent has been disguised or concealed;*
  - (b) where a valid address to which the recipient of the communication may send a request that such communications cease has not been provided;*
  - (c) where that electronic mail would contravene regulation 7 of the Electronic Commerce (EC Directive) Regulations 2002;*  
*or*
  - (d) where that electronic mail encourages recipients to visit websites which contravene that regulation."*
6. Section 122(5) of the Data Protection Act 2018 ("DPA18") defines direct marketing as "*the communication (by whatever means) of advertising or marketing material which is directed to particular individuals*". This definition also applies for the purposes of PECR (see regulation 2(2) PECR and paragraphs 430 & 432(6) to Schedule 19 of the DPA18).
7. From 1 January 2021, consent in PECR has been defined by reference to the concept of consent in the UK GDPR as defined in section 3(10) of the DPA 2018<sup>[1]</sup>: see regulation 2(1) of PECR, as amended by Part 3 of Schedule 3, paragraph 44 of The Data Protection, Privacy and

---

<sup>[1]</sup> The UK GDPR is therein defined as Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 ("GDPR") as it forms part of the law of England and Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland by virtue of section 3 of the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018.

Electronic Communications (Amendments etc) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019/419. Article 4(11) of the UK GDPR sets out the following definition: *"'consent' of the data subject means any freely given, specific, informed and unambiguous indication of the data subject's wishes by which he or she, by a statement or by a clear affirmative action, signifies agreement to the processing of personal data relating to him or her"*.

8. Recital 32 of the UK GDPR materially states that *"When the processing has multiple purposes, consent should be given for all of them"*. Recital 42 materially provides that *"For consent to be informed, the data subject should be aware at least of the identity of the controller"*. Recital 43 materially states that *"Consent is presumed not to be freely given if it does not allow separate consent to be given to different personal data processing operations despite it being appropriate in the individual case"*.
9. "Individual" is defined in regulation 2(1) of PECR as *"a living individual and includes an unincorporated body of such individuals"*.
10. A "subscriber" is defined in regulation 2(1) of PECR as *"a person who is a party to a contract with a provider of public electronic communications services for the supply of such services"*.
11. "Electronic mail" is defined in regulation 2(1) of PECR as *"any text, voice, sound or image message sent over a public electronic communications network which can be stored in the network or in the recipient's terminal equipment until it is collected by the recipient and includes messages sent using a short message service"*.

12. The DPA contains enforcement provisions at Part V which are exercisable by the Commissioner. Those provisions are modified and extended for the purposes of PECR by Schedule 1 PECR.
13. Section 40(1)(a) of the DPA (as extended and modified by PECR) provides that if the Commissioner is satisfied that a person has contravened or is contravening any of the requirements of the Regulations, he may serve him with an Enforcement Notice requiring him to take within such time as may be specified in the Notice, or to refrain from taking after such time as may be so specified, such steps as are so specified.
14. PECR were enacted to protect the individual's fundamental right to privacy in the electronic communications sector. PECR were subsequently amended and strengthened. The Commissioner will interpret PECR in a way which is consistent with the Regulations' overall aim of ensuring high levels of protection for individuals' privacy rights.
15. The provisions of the DPA remain in force for the purposes of PECR notwithstanding the introduction of the DPA18: see paragraph 58(1) of Schedule 20 to the DPA18.

### **The contravention**

16. The Commissioner finds that Mr Bentley contravened regulations 22 and 23 of PECR.
17. The Commissioner finds that the contravention was as follows:

18. The Commissioner finds that between 1 May 2022 and 31 October 2022 Mr Bentley sent 2,261,352 direct marketing SMS messages to subscribers, contrary to regulation 22 of PECR.
19. Mr Bentley, as the sender of the direct marketing, was required to ensure that he was acting in compliance with the requirements of regulation 22 of PECR, and to ensure that valid consent to send those messages had been acquired.
20. In correspondence seen by the Commissioner, Mr Bentley admitted that he could not obtain a sufficient quantity of data of good enough quality legally to be able to satisfy the needs of his business therefore this led to him sending SMS messages “indiscriminately”, often using data obtained indirectly through third parties.
21. The Commissioner’s direct marketing guidance says *“organisations need to be aware that indirect consent will not be enough for texts, emails or automated calls. This is because the rules on electronic marketing are stricter, to reflect the more intrusive nature of electronic messages.”*
22. However, it does go on to say that indirect consent may be valid, but only if it is clear and specific enough. If categories of organisations are referred to then those categories must be tightly defined and the organisation wanting to use the consent must clearly fall within the description. Consent is not likely to be valid where an individual is presented with a long, seemingly exhaustive list of categories of organisations.
23. For consent to be valid it is required to be “freely given”, by which it follows that if consent to marketing is a condition of subscribing to a

service, the organisation will have to demonstrate how the consent can be said to have been given freely.

24. Consent is also required to be “specific” as to the type of marketing communication to be received, and the organisation, or specific type of organisation, that will be sending it.
25. Consent will not be “informed” if individuals do not understand what they are consenting to. Organisations should therefore always ensure that the language used is clear, easy to understand, and not hidden away in a privacy policy or small print. Consent will not be valid if individuals are asked to agree to receive marketing from “similar organisations”, “partners”, “selected third parties” or other similar generic description.
26. The Commissioner is satisfied from the evidence he has seen that Mr Bentley did not have the necessary valid consent for the 2,261,352 direct marketing messages sent between 1 May 2022 and 31 October 2022.
27. The Commissioner is further satisfied that the actions of Mr Bentley have contravened regulation 23 of PECR. This finding is made on the basis that Mr Bentley attempted to conceal his identity by using hundreds of unregistered pre-pay SIM cards and websites that did not correctly identify the sender or instigator of the messages.
28. The Commissioner has considered, as he is required to do under section 40(2) of the DPA (as extended and modified by PECR) when deciding whether to serve an Enforcement Notice, whether any contravention has caused or is likely to cause any person damage.

29. The Commissioner considers that Mr Bentley's actions had the potential to cause damage to the individuals who received the unlawful marketing messages. Some of the messages included content relating to loans or debt solutions which could have led to financially vulnerable individuals being exposed to further financial harm.
30. **In view of the matters referred to above the Commissioner hereby gives notice that, in exercise of his powers under section 40 of the DPA, he requires Mr Bentley to take the steps specified in Annex 1 of this Notice.**

**Right of Appeal**

31. There is a right of appeal against this Notice to the First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights), part of the General Regulatory Chamber. Information about appeals is set out in the attached Annex 2.

Dated the 5th day of December 2023

Signed

A black rectangular box redacting the signature of Andy Curry.

Andy Curry  
Head of Investigations  
Information Commissioner's Office  
Wycliffe House  
Water Lane  
Wilmslow  
Cheshire  
SK9 5AF



## ANNEX 1

### TERMS OF THE ENFORCEMENT NOTICE

Daniel George Bentley ("Mr Bentley") shall within 30 days of the date of this notice:

- Except in the circumstances referred to in paragraph (3) of regulation 22 of PECR, neither transmit, nor instigate the transmission of, unsolicited communications for the purposes of direct marketing by means of electronic mail unless the recipient of the electronic mail has previously notified Mr Bentley that he clearly and specifically consents for the time being to such communications being sent by, or at the instigation of, Mr Bentley.
- Furthermore, Mr Bentley shall neither transmit, nor instigate the transmission of, a communication for the purposes of direct marketing by means of electronic mail:
  - (a) where the identity of the person on whose behalf the communication has been sent has been disguised or concealed;
  - (b) where a valid address to which the recipient of the communication may send a request that such communications cease has not been provided;
  - (c) where that electronic mail would contravene regulation 7 of the Electronic Commerce (EC Directive) Regulations 2002;  
or
  - (d) where that electronic mail encourages recipients to visit websites which contravene that regulation.

## ANNEX 2

### RIGHTS OF APPEAL AGAINST DECISIONS OF THE COMMISSIONER

1. Section 48 of the Data Protection Act 1998 gives any person upon whom an enforcement notice has been served a right of appeal to the First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights) (the "Tribunal") against the notice.
2. If you decide to appeal and if the Tribunal considers:-
  - a) that the notice against which the appeal is brought is not in accordance with the law; or
  - b) to the extent that the notice involved an exercise of discretion by the Commissioner, that he ought to have exercised his discretion differently,

the Tribunal will allow the appeal or substitute such other decision as could have been made by the Commissioner. In any other case the Tribunal will dismiss the appeal.

3. You may bring an appeal by serving a notice of appeal on the Tribunal at the following address:

General Regulatory Chamber  
HM Courts & Tribunals Service  
PO Box 9300  
Leicester  
LE1 8DJ

Telephone: 0203 936 8963

Email: [grc@justice.gov.uk](mailto:grc@justice.gov.uk)

- The notice of appeal should be served on the Tribunal within 28 days of the date on which the enforcement notice was sent
4. The statutory provisions concerning appeals to the First-tier Tribunal (General Regulatory Chamber) are contained in sections 48 and 49 of, and Schedule 6 to, the Data Protection Act 1998, and Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (General Regulatory Chamber) Rules 2009 (Statutory Instrument 2009 No. 1976 (L.20)).