	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 19-23649-rdd
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	PURDUE PHARMA L.P.,
8	
9	Debtor.
10	x
11	United States Bankruptcy Court
12	300 Quarropas Street, Room 248
13	White Plains, NY 10601
14	
15	
16	September 30, 2021
17	2:04 PM
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON ROBERT D. DRAIN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: A. VARGAS

1	HEARING re Statement / Notice of Status and Scheduling
2	Conference (related document(s)3779) (ECF #3788).
3	
4	HEARING re Motion to Shorten Time United States Trustees Ex
5	Parte Motion For An Order Shortening Notice And Scheduling
6	Hearing With Respect To The United States Trustees Expedited
7	Motion For A Stay Of Confirmation Order And Related Orders
8	Pending Appeal Pursuant To Federal Rule Of Bankruptcy
9	Procedure 8007 (related document(s)3778) filed by Linda
10	Riffkin on behalf of United States Trustee. (ECF #3779)
11	
12	HEARING re Motion for Stay Pending Appeal /Memorandum of Law
13	In Support Of United States Trustees Expedited Motion For A
14	Stay Of Confirmation Order And Related Orders Pending Appeal
15	Pursuant To Federal Rule Of Bankruptcy Procedure 8007
16	(related document(s)3777, 3776) filed by Linda Riffkin on
17	behalf of United States Trustee. (ECF #3778)
18	
19	HEARING re Notice of Appeal - United States Trustee's Notice
20	of Appeal of Advance Funding Order (related document(s)3773)
21	filed by Linda Riffkin on behalf of United States Trustee.
22	(ECF #3777)
23	
24	
25	

1	HEARING re Notice of Appeal - United States Trustee's Notice
2	of Appeal of Confirmation Order and Order Approving
3	Disclosure Statement, filed by Linda Riffkin on behalf of
4	United States Trustee. (ECF #3776)
5	
6	HEARING re Order signed on 9/15/2021 Granting Motion (I)
7	Authorizing the Debtors to Fund Establishment of the
8	Creditor Trusts, the Master Disbursement Trust and Topco,
9	(II) Directing Prime Clerk LLC to Release Certain Protected
10	Information, and (III) Granting Other Related Relief
11	(Related Doc # 3484) (ECF #3773).
12	
13	HEARING re Statement / Notice of Listen-Only Dial-in for
14	Status and Scheduling Conference (related document(s)3779)
15	(ECF #3838)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	DAVIS POLK WARDWELL LLP
4	Attorneys for Debtor
5	450 Lexington Avenue
6	New York, NY 10017
7	
8	BY: MARSHALL HUEBNER (TELEPHONICALLY)
9	
10	KLEINBERG KAPLAN WOLFF COHEN, P.C.
11	Attorneys for The State of Washington
12	500 Fifth Avenue
13	New York, NY 10110
14	
15	BY: MATTHEW GOLD (TELEPHONICALLY)
16	
17	PULLMAN COMLEY
18	Attorneys for The State of Connecticut
19	850 Main Street
20	Bridgeport, CT 06604
21	
22	BY: IRVE GOLDMAN (TELEPHONICALLY)
23	
24	
25	

		Page 7
1	UNITED STATES DEPARTENT OF JUSTICE	
2	Attorneys for The United States	Trustee
3	201 Varick Street	
4	New York, NY 10014	
5		
6	BY: PAUL SCHWARTZBERG	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
2 0		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
2 5		

1 PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone. We are here in In re Purdue Pharma L.P., et al on a status conference that I scheduled pertaining to the issue of a motion or motions to come for a stay pending appeal of my order confirming the Chapter 11 plan in this case from September 17th.

The last day I believe to file an appeal is tomorrow. So I guess it's conceivable there would be someone who would not be participating today. But the purpose of this conference is to put some structure around the process of considering motions for a stay pending appeal of the confirmation order.

There were some appeals filed before the order was even entered. And, somewhat surprisingly, at least one motion for a stay pending appeal before the order was entered. I have been assured by the Debtors, and I believe this is borne out by the facts of the case, that the plan could not go effective for a considerable time. It's somewhat unclear to me whether that time runs out in November or some period in December. But it seemed to me that rather than rushing ahead and dealing with piecemeal motions for a stay pending appeal, we should have this conference and set a reasonable date for the parties to put something before the Court on a basis that is not rushed.

So I guess the first question is one for the Debtors, which is to ask them to go through the timing on when at the earliest the Chapter 11 plan could go effective. MR. HUEBNER: Sure, Your Honor. Can I be heard clearly in the court? THE COURT: Yes.

MR. HUEBNER: Okay. Your Honor, for the record, Marshall Huebner of Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP on behalf of the Debtors.

Your Honor, the way that the DOJ settlement works is that there is to be a sentencing hearing scheduled -there is a lot of feedback. I'm not sure where it's coming from.

THE COURT: I can hear you clearly, but it may be coming separately from someone.

MR. HUEBNER: Okay. There is a hearing on the sentencing to be held not earlier than 75 days from the day the Court confirms the plan. It doesn't say entry of the confirmation order or doesn't say anything like it. It just says the day the Court confirms the plan. We're talking (indiscernible) whether we have a shared view about that. Obviously one possible date is September 1st when Your Honor said I am confirming the plan and then gave us a six-and-ahalf-hour oral ruling. Another possibility is obviously September 17th, when the amended bench order and the

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

findings of facts and conclusions of law were entered. in any event, it's many weeks away and so I think it's not really relevant most likely for today's purposes because first, there is a sentencing and then there is another brief period before the Debtors can emerge. That structure was put into the DOJ settlement by the Debtors for the Debtors because we need time to get ready to emerge and obviously didn't want to be sentenced until essentially the eve of emergence.

So it's there really for our purposes, and I'm sure we'll figure out where the other -- whether it's November 22nd or I think December 8th is the alternative date. But in any event, just to get ahead to help everybody out here, the parties have had hours of calls and emails and things like that about the right schedule for this. think that there is universal agreement that we would like a stay hearing if it is possible before this Court which all parties are willing to agree to, most likely in the middle of October. And depending on some things that relate to evidence that came up on a call earlier today which I am happy to explain, that might need to slip a little bit. But in any event, several to many weeks before the earliest possible emergence date, which I think is far more than enough time to let people have a hearing on a stay and potentially further.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

If it would be useful to the Court, I'm happy to explain why there might be presently unknowable arbitrage in the actual hearing date based on what essentially (indiscernible) to a very large two-hour meet and confer we had earlier today if that would be useful.

> THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HUEBNER: So, simply stated, Your Honor, but for one issue, I think the core parties, and many of them were on the call -- I think there were 60 people on the email -- are by and large agreeable to the reply papers of appellees and parties supporting the appellees being filed on October 7th and then the hearing either being held October 14th if that's the date that the Court can make it, or, because Mr. Eckstein is very important and without, unfortunately, giving too much of a shoutout, he is just not available that day, some other day very soon thereafter. are not in any way, shape, or form trying to slow things We are happy to move very quickly.

The reason that date might not work is because the movants -- and I think we got the latest stay motion only very late last night from Maryland. And so they're still kind of coming in piecemeal on a rolling basis. One of the stay motions I believe had evidence attached to them. They're just legal briefs. But of course the stay inquiry is a balancing of the harms, which in fact I think two of

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the four prongs in fact relate to facts about where the harms are both from granting a stay and from not granting a stay. And suffice it to say that many parties other than the tiny number of objectors and appellants take extraordinarily strong issue with the lawyers' claims about where harm is and is not.

And so at a minimum, the Debtors, the AHC, the UCC, and the MSGE all plan to have declarations attached to their reply briefs providing facts for the Court to have in front of it with respect to our view of harms from a stay, facts that were not adduced at trial which have nothing to do with harm from the timing or a delay in effectiveness, but rather more about the propriety and legality of the plan. So this is actually a different issue. It's a different motion, it's a different legal standard, it's a different inquiry.

And as Your Honor has heard me say many times, at the end of the day, maybe it's simplistic, but I believe that our job is to present facts that support law and lead to a conclusion. And I think we're supposed to have both when we make motions, which is why the debtors invariably do.

And so the (indiscernible) arbitrage comes in the fact that if the movants for the stay decide they don't want to depose our witnesses and they are happy to cross-examine

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

them sort of live at the hearing and they're not putting in any witnesses of their own on rebuttal, which at this point (indiscernible) fairly allowed because everybody filed their motions and they chose not to put in evidence, that was their choice. At this point that other than I think an improper ambush, they should be limited to rebuttal. maybe we can still proceed on the 14th.

But if they say oh, wait a minute, there are now going to be four or five witnesses, we want to depose them all and then we want to reserve the right to put in rebuttal witnesses of our own, then this turns into a factually contested matter, and obviously we will need time -- you know, the same seven days I assume that they got at a minimum with our stuff to see what facts they have at least decided to put in sometime in late October and probably depose their witnesses and then have a hearing sometime later.

So the short answer is if they want to move very quickly, we're totally game for that. And we're ready to proceed as early on or after the 14th and as makes sense. But obviously if they would like time to depose our witnesses, because it's certainly our due process and constitutional right to supply facts along with our legal pleadings, then obviously at their election, they are welcome to slow things down. And we have no objection to

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that, either. We are in essence happy to proceed in whatever way seems sensible based on what the movants want to do in response to what we intend to do in our objections.

So I hope and trust, fingers crossed, that I fairly summarized a pretty intense, freewheeling conversation among many parties that lasted a pretty long time earlier today. I see Mr. Schwartzberg, who was the first of the movants, is on screen. And so hopefully he will add to what I say as opposed to correcting it. If I got something wrong and he corrects it, that's fine, too. I'm just trying to relay what I believe was discussed among the great many parties.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HUEBNER: Paul, you're on mute.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I'm sorry, Your Honor. afternoon. Paul Schwartzberg from the U.S. Trustee's Office. I also just wanted to bring the Court's attention to my colleague, Beth Levine, who is also on the line with me and probably filling the holes that I miss.

Your Honor, the U.S. Trustee believes that a stay is necessary to ensure an appropriate review of important constitutional rights of victims by an Article 3 court. as Mr. Huebner said, we do believe a hearing should go forward on October 14th. We are prepared to go forward with the stay hearing at that time.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We do disagree with Mr. Huebner that there needs to be an evidentiary hearing. We believe that all the facts are on the record from the multi-day confirmation hearing, Your Honor. We believe that perhaps the seeking of adding more evidence or trying to stretch out the hearing is perhaps a delaying tactic to try to get us beyond the effective date.

And in fact, Your Honor, although Mr. Huebner indicated that effective date could be as early as November 22nd, I do note I believe November 14th, which is only six weeks from now, would be the date of a possible sentencing hearing. So a lot of eggs could be scrambled on the November 14th date, Your Honor.

But back to the hearing, Your Honor. I do also note that just last night we did also receive a notice of deposition from one of the appellees seeking a deposition of the U.S. Trustee, which we believe is also once again trying to delay the matter and put us beyond the effective date. But we are trying to move as expeditiously as possible.

In fact, we've received a notice of approval from the Solicitor General to try to seek a direct appeal to the Second Circuit in this case. But while we're doing that, we also think we can go on a dual track and try to deal with the appeal on the merits in the district court on an expedited basis. And we spoke to the Debtor about a

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

proposed briefing schedule there where we think we could possibly get oral arguments before the -- hopefully before the November 14th date. They hopefully are amenable to that.

But, Your Honor, between now and the 14th, the 14day automatic stay of the confirmation order is going to expire. I believe the 14 day ends tomorrow and hearing on the stay motion is not until the 14th. And so we had a conversation on what's actually going on between now and then. People were concerned the eggs may be scrambled, that the horse may get out of the barn between now and the 14th. And we know pursuant to the structuring steps memoranda, a lot of things are going to be happening. The shareholder settlement agreement will be entered into, Newco and Topco will be formed, the various trusts under the plan will be formed. The directors' and employees' escrow account may be established, the \$6.8 million from the advanced funding order potentially will be distributed. And it's not just going to sit in an account. It's actually going to be distributed out. Prime Clerk may release personallyidentifiable information to the PI trustee and his associates. And then we believe a lot of licensing and regulatory office work will be done to be able to turn the switch upon the effective date such that -- I keep using the metaphor, Your Honor, the eggs will be scrambled.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	So I think between now and the 14th, as we put in
2	our motion, we would like a bridge order extending that 14-
3	day order to whatever date Your Honor sets for a hearing on
4	the stay motion.
5	MR. HUEBNER: Okay, Your Honor, just to help
6	clarify a couple of things. Number one, when we've already
7	told all parties that we agree both to their expedited
8	schedule for the 14th and we also have the contours of an
9	agreement for potential expedited briefing on the merits and
LO	an appeal before whatever appeal court we go to, to hear Mr.
L1	Schwartzberg even use the phrase delaying tactic I actually
L2	find simply extraordinary, especially in the same
L3	THE COURT: All right, let's cut this short. Have
L 4	the other appellants agreed to seek an expedited appeal,
L5	whether it's to the district court or directly to the
L 6	circuit?
L 7	MR. HUEBNER: Go ahead.
L8	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I was going to say, Your Honor,
L 9	you'd have to ask I can't
20	THE COURT: No, I'm not asking you, Mr.
21	Schwartzberg. I'm asking the other appellants.
22	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: I apologize.
23	MR. GOLD: Excuse me, Your Honor, Matthew Gold
24	from Kleinberg Kaplan. Can you hear me?
25	THE COURT: Yes. Afternoon.

1	MR. GOLD: Thank you, Your Honor. We represent
2	the State of Washington and I believe now as appellants the
3	State of Oregon and District of Columbia. In any event, we
4	agree both with the idea that there should be a direct
5	appeal to the Second Circuit which will most expedite the
6	appellate review of this process, and also to the idea of an
7	expedited briefing schedule on the merits. I'm not sure
8	that we've completely worked out the exact dates, but we
9	strongly support the concept.
10	THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
11	MR. GOLDMAN: Your Honor, good afternoon. Irve
12	Goldman, Pullman & Comley, State of Connecticut. We do as
13	well support a direct appeal to the Second Circuit and the
14	expedited briefing schedule that's been discussed.
15	THE COURT: Okay, thanks.
16	MR. UNDERWOOD: This is Allen Underwood on behalf
17	of certain Canadian municipal creditors and first nations'
18	appellants each, and we also support an expedited appeal to
19	the Second Circuit. Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Underwood.
21	Is there anyone else?
22	MR. ESKANDARI: Bernard Eskandari on behalf of the
23	State of California. We concur with direct appeal to the
24	Second Circuit as well as expedited briefing.
25	THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

MR. EDMUNDS: Your Honor, Brian Edmunds from Maryland. I'm sorry, something has come up here where I can't be on camera. But we are in agreement as to direct review by the Second Circuit.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, just so the point is not lost, I do want to be clear for the avoidance of any ambiguity. The position I talked about before is about the expedition of the appeal, not about its venue. And there are a fair number of parties obviously on the other side from these appellants. And that's actually not an issue neither up for today nor, rankly, up for this Court.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. HUEBNER: Okay. I just want to make sure there's no confusion.

THE COURT: What I'm leading up to -- and I think it probably isn't that big an issue given what I've just heard -- is that it would seem to me that the appellants' willingness, as well as the appellees' willingness to have an expedited appeal, whether it's to the district court or a direct appeal to the circuit, but in any event seeking expedition, is an important factor that I'll take into account on the request for a stay. And that's just to give people a heads up.

> Understood, Your Honor. MR. HUEBNER:

THE COURT: As far as the timing is concerned,

October 14th is the Purdue omnibus day for October. It's

not that far off. I think it's probably achievable if the

parties don't engage in any material discovery before that

hearing. But you're not really able to tell me that today I

think. I also have free either November 9 or November 10.

We can move things that are on the calendar for each of

those days to the other day. So it may make sense just to

fix that day which would give parties another week for

discovery of November 9th. That's well before I believe the

plan would even, under what I think is an unlikely scenario,

be ready to go effective.

To me, and I think this applies to the time to appeal, frankly, it's when the order is entered, not when the Judge says that he is going to enter an order. And that clearly is in any event, whether that more likely scenario applies or the less likely one, gives parties plenty of time, having gotten their whole record in the lower court, if they disagree with my ruling to go to the district court. Which by that point will have had pleadings and gotten some grounding in the matter. So you're not going to be hitting someone cold with the arguments that you will have made and will again make on appeal of request for a stay.

So I guess I would urge people to focus on the 9th unless you can get clear agreement on an acceptable

discovery schedule for the 14th.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Your Honor, the U.S. Trustee

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would prefer to at least initially put in the 14th and -
THE COURT: Well, the 14th is an omnibus day. So
that could be done. But I'm not prepared to do that yet
unless it actually works given the timing here. It's not an
issue that warrants any concern. If I ruled on the 9th,
there is still plenty of time to address it at a higher
level.

MR. GOLD: Your Honor, may I be heard?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. GOLD: Matthew Gold. I recognize that Your Honor's calendar is one that I have not looked at extensively, but I just wish to ask whether it's possible from the Court's perspective that there could be a hearing date the week following the 14th just because --

THE COURT: Well, you can check with Ms. Li on that.

MR. GOLD: Okay, thank you.

THE COURT: I'm giving you the dates that I thought were free. And given again the timing here -- and it's certainly not unheard of for a bankruptcy judge, even if it denies a stay or if it grants a stay, one way or the other, but it would really only apply if I denied the request for a stay, nevertheless to grant a sufficient stay

so that the district court could decide that issue. a feeling that that would be unnecessary here, whether it's the 14th or the 9th. And it's certainly the case that if I denied a stay, I would nevertheless require the Debtors for example to provide notice to all the appellants several days, perhaps as much as two weeks in advance of their going effective so that they could go to the district court if my assessment of when they would go effective is just inaccurate. So I think the parties are protected here under either date.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

212-267-6868

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Your Honor, Paul Schwartzberg. I just wanted to point out that the sentencing date, at least under the Debtor's calculation, would be just a few days after the 9th. So --

THE COURT: What did I just say, Mr. Schwartzberg? I said that if I denied a stay, I would probably nevertheless stay it so that a district court could rule. would expect that at that point you would have engaged with the district court, you would have gotten a timeline for when that judge is free.

> Thank you, Your Honor. MR. SCHWARTZBERG:

THE COURT: So I'm not going to have people jump through hoops for the least likely event here under the scenarios that apply. I'm also not going to enter some sort of bridge order between now and then. It's clear to me -- I

said this when I granted the motion. It's in the order for the pre-effective date implementation work that that just isn't a basis for mootness. It just isn't. All the things you listed, Mr. Schwartzberg, can be undone. Every single one of them. It just can't be a basis for mootness, and it isn't. And the Debtors have said so. And that's why I granted the motion, so they would be judicially estopped. I'm not going to go through that again. It makes no sense to enter a stay now on the order that I granted given the basis for that order and the record.

MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, let me give all parties Because the thing that they're concerned about and that Your Honor is talking about actually can't happen. me explain.

Our sentencing hearing is going to be a very public hearing before the district court in New Jersey, of which everyone in this case and many other people will get clear notice. There is no question that people will know exactly when our sentencing hearing is scheduled.

Under the DOJ settlement agreement, we cannot emerge prior to seven days after the sentencing hearing. So there is going to be plenty of notice and plenty of time about the earliest possible confirmation date. And, frankly, I actually don't think that a temporary stay might even be needed then. Because if you rule on date X and our

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

sentencing hearing is scheduled for day Y and then it's T plus seven, that could easily be a sufficient period.

In any event, we've explained to people again and again -- and it's all in the public documents that were actually approved after an extensive hearing -- the earliest possible emergence dates are utterly, completely knowable and known by all parties because they are keyed off of another hearing in another federal court whose date will be very open and public.

So I think there really is neither a concern that the ministerial things happening before sentencing could ever constitute mootness, as we've also told the parties about a hundred times. But it is also case that there can't be some prized emergency. It's just not possible. We have a court-approved agreement with the United States of America that sets forth the timing that keys it off of seven days after a public sentencing hearing before the district court in New Jersey.

So hopefully that will give people comfort now that we've said it yet again on the record yet again about how it works. But there can be no surprise press release that we emerged yesterday. It just can't happen because of the DOJ agreement.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, that probably is the case, Mr. Huebner. But in any event, if there is some issue

on the timing, in all likelihood I would require the Debtors to provide advanced notice as I've described it of an effective date.

> MR. HUEBNER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So, look, I think I can't set the 14th today because you don't have that agreement with the appellants and appellees about discovery. In fact, it's conceivable there would be some other appellant tomorrow.

On the other hand, that day is already set for So I don't think you need to reserve it. If you reach that agreement, we can use that day.

But I think you should be thinking of the 9th or 10th of November as your fallback day. And we won't be scheduling anything for those days. I have matters -- I have omnibus days on other cases on both of those days, but the omnibus matter on each day can be moved to the other day just to accommodate a hearing on the stay motions for either the 9th or the 10th.

So I think that probably is enough for today. Although I do want to say to me, given the timing here and everyone's desire to have an expeditious determination on appeal, that should be the parties' focus, and I'm glad it is the parties' focus. I have little doubt that there will be appellate review here. I can't imagine why there wouldn't be given the facts and the parties' desire to move

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

expeditiously. The judges in this building really do understand the need for speed in bankruptcy matters when that need exists. And I think certainly in this case they will be told that exists by the parties and they'll see that it is important. And I think that's in all likelihood the same for the Second Circuit Court of Appeals. So I am encouraged that the parties are in agreement on moving expeditiously on this because there is no reason why it shouldn't be reviewed at the appellate level.

MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, assuming for a minute that the evidentiary issues as requested by the appellants don't delay the hearing, it sounds to me like we should still be shooting for filing our briefs and declarations by October 7th. So if people decide we'll just cross-examine them at the hearing, we choose speed over a slower discovery period. In other words, we're giving them the choice. And I want to be clear about that.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. HUEBNER: Obviously we need to have our briefs so we can go forward with the hearing to have that be fair. So we're still willing I think to be held until October 7th.

THE COURT: That's fine. A week in advance of the hearing with three days before the hearing for reply briefs, that's fine.

Right. But I should just ask, because 25 THE COURT:

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	I'm very nervous, as I'm normally not a litigator, I'm
2	certainly not an appellant litigator. And if I could ask
3	the UCC, the AHC, the MSGE, or the PIs if I have sort of
4	gotten something wrong or if there is some other deadline.
5	Because, for example, the fact that we're still getting new
6	stay motions right now obviously we got one very late
7	last night. Are there any other deadlines that as part of
8	this, if this calendar holds, that we want to make sure that
9	we sort of lay out right now so we're not surprised by
10	filings. So I would ask someone from one of the other
11	parties on sort of our side of the V as it were, to please
12	jump in if I've missed something that's important that we
13	all agree and lock down publicly and firmly on the record.
14	Mr. Shore and Mr. Preis, Mr. Maclay, Mr. Eckstein, please
15	supplement if I've gotten something not complete.
16	MR. PREIS: Your Honor, this is Arik Preis with
17	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld. Can you hear me?
18	THE COURT: Yes. Fine, thanks.
19	MR. PREIS: Good afternoon. I did want to ask one
20	question. Because you correctly pointed out at the
21	beginning that there are motion or motions to come seeking a
22	stay. Given that and given that you're either going to have
23	this hearing on October 14th or November 9th, how does the
24	Court (indiscernible) deal with the late (indiscernible) who
25	are appealing today, but then they make final their motion

for stay next week? How do we deal with that?

THE COURT: Right. Well, I think they have to fit into the same schedule. It's a good question, but I think they have to fit into the same schedule. I mean, look, they waited -- they will have waited obviously until the last day to file an appeal and the 14-day stay will have passed then. Because of that choice, I think they really need to be prepared, and they've had time to be prepared to make a stay motion. And they'll just have to fit into the schedule that is adopted. And that includes if there is an agreement by everyone else that they don't need discovery on your That would apply to someone who files a stay witnesses. motion say on October 3rd or whenever, you know, before the hearing.

MR. PREIS: Well, that's understood. appreciate that. But Mr. Huebner just said our replies would come in October 7th. We can't very well reply to something that comes in October 7th if it comes in (indiscernible).

THE COURT: Well, I guess that's fair. other hand, there are plenty of motions for stays pending appeal where it's just heard orally by the judge. And I think, look, I think the issues on appeal are going to be pretty standard. So I'm not bothered by that. If there's something that really comes in from left field and it's not

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	some that you can dispose of easily at oral argument, then
2	maybe I'll hear that a couple days later. But I don't want
3	to suggest that that would happen because I don't think it
4	will.
5	MR. PREIS: Is there a way I take your point.
6	But is there any way to set a deadline for motions for stay
7	pending appeal (indiscernible) on October 15th?
8	THE COURT: I think the deadline is just the fact
9	that they have to be ready for the hearing then. And I
10	appreciate that puts some pressure on you, but I think the
11	allies of the appellee debtor are up to him on that.
12	MR. PREIS: Okay.
13	THE COURT: Again, with the caveat if something
14	truly comes out of left field that isn't bizarrely out of
15	left field and I think it would be unfair to give you
16	just a day or so to respond, then I may hear that particular
17	issue later.
18	MR. PREIS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, good afternoon. It's
21	Kenneth Eckstein of Kramer Levin, if I can briefly be heard.
22	THE COURT: Sure.
23	MR. ECKSTEIN: First, as Mr. Huebner indicated,
24	from a personal standpoint, I would prefer the 9th. But if
25	the hearing needs to go forward on October 14th, my

colleague is certainly capable of handling it in my place.

I actually do believe that November 9th is a more organized

date to do this because (indiscernible) pleadings on the

7th. We do intend to put in declarations (indiscernible)

that the harm is (indiscernible) and needs to be addressed

in connection with the consideration of even a suggestion of

staying beyond the effective date.

I happen agree with Your Honor, between now and the effective date, we don't see the urgency because (indiscernible) a couple of weeks.

THE COURT: Well, the urgency is getting it in front of the appellate court. That's the urgency.

MR. ECKSTEIN: Right. And I recognize that. And I think that we're all willing to work to make sure that that gets accomplished.

But in any event, it's a bit I think disjointed if we're going to find out two days in advance of the court date that there's either going to need to be depositions or there's going to be rebuttal witnesses or if there's even going to be cross-examination and the like. So that to me compels in favor of a more organized date. But as I said, we will work with either and we'll be prepared (indiscernible) to put in a pleading and a declaration in opposition to a post effective date (indiscernible).

THE COURT: Okay. I mean, coming into this

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

conference, it seemed to me that November 9th might be also a more organized date for all of this. But if the parties are able to do it by the 14th, I guess we can do it by the One benefit of doing it on the 9th is that you do have another week that the appellate court will be focusing on this. And just based on the nature of this chapter 11 case, someone on some side will be unhappy with my ruling about a stay. And having the appellate court be more up to speed on the underlying issues might be helpful when they hear a request for a stay, or more likely, an appeal from my ruling on the stay. So I'd like the parties to keep that in mind too as far as picking a date

MR. ECKSTEIN: I think that is (indiscernible), Your Honor. And I think that they're going to overlap quite significantly, there's no question.

THE COURT: Right. I mean, look, I think one of the reasons that the law on equitable mootness is so diverse throughout the country and even within circuits is because there are times when an appellate court just wants to rule. You know? They want to address something that they see that needs to be addressed in the lower court's order, and they're going to find a way to do it. And other times, they really don't want to rule. And sometimes, therefore, instead of affirming or reversing, simply say it's moot. The more that the appellate court is informed, the better as

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	far as the consideration of these types of issues at that
2	level.
3	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Your Honor, Paul Schwartzberg
4	again. I apologize. When the parties coalesce on a date,
5	whether it be the 14th or the 9th, should we just contact
6	chambers?
7	THE COURT: Yes. Again, yes, definitely. And in
8	the meantime, we won't add anything to either the 9th or the
9	10th. So you can coalesce on that date. And in the
10	meantime of course we have the 14th because it's a Purdue
11	omnibus day.
12	MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: But in each case, any objections to
14	the stay motions would be due a week in advance unless
15	someone files a stay motion. You know, but you can't do
16	that you know, someone else other than the people here.
17	And any replies three days before the hearing. And I won't
18	reiterate what I told Mr. Preis, but that's also applicable.
19	Mr. Fogelman
20	MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, one I'm sorry, Your
21	Honor. I apologize.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Fogelman, I think you've been
23	trying to say something. Or maybe not.
24	MR. FOGELMAN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. I
25	just wanted to address the point that Mr. Huckner raised

before when he said the issue of whether the Debtor

(indiscernible) or not, it's not before the Court today.

While that may literally true on the calendar, it's clear today that all appellants have expressed a willingness to brief the merits on an expedited schedule and

(indiscernible) direct certification to the Second Circuit.

Frankly, Your Honor, it makes sense for the Second Circuit to have the opportunity to review these important issues before the plan goes effective. And in order to facilitate the process, it would be helpful to hear from the Debtors as quickly as possible regarding whether they consent to (indiscernible) certification as they are the only appellee here, that they agree to certification (indiscernible) have the right to go to the Second Circuit on petition without further action of the bankruptcy court. And I think it makes sense first to determine (indiscernible) briefing schedule as soon as possible and well in advance (indiscernible).

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's a fair point. I'm sure the parties are focusing on that, and that's important.

MR. HUEBNER: So, Your Honor, two quick points.

One thing I realize may not have been what the Court intended, which is I don't think it would be remotely fair - and I hope it's not what anybody intended -- that we file

our briefs on October 7th, then the appellant announce we're good with November 9th and we're not filing anything for a month until November 6th, including witness declarations --

THE COURT: No, no. You should work it -- well before the briefs, well before the objections are filed, the parties need to work out whether it's the 14th or the 9th.

MR. HUEBNER: Exactly. And I assume that we'll have a different schedule if there are going to be evidentiary submissions by the appellants, which would be well before three days before the hearing in November.

THE COURT: The best way to describe it is it should be -- any replies are three days before the hearing and any briefs are seven days before the hearing, whichever hearing it's going to be, the 14th or the 9th.

MR. HUEBNER: Okay. So we'll figure that out in a way that's fair.

With respect to Mr. Fogelman's point, I just want to note it's a little bit bizarre that Department of Justice itself filed the first (indiscernible) of appeal, it listed both sides of the Sackler families as appellees. Of course it's a little bit strategic to say the least because they did not list all the other plan supporters who actually in in fact I think are the actual appellees. The MSGE, the AHC, the UCC, the PIs. It's ultimately for the appellate court to decide who the appellees are. And, frankly, this

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	sort of jockeying before the trial court, including who the
2	appellees are, is (indiscernible). But again, to have Mr.
3	Fogelman on behalf of the DOJ saying the only appellees are
4	the debtor while another part of the DOJ listed only the
5	debtors and the Sacklers, truly could create a terrible
6	misimpression up above that this is the Debtors and the
7	Sacklers versus the U.S. Government, when as everybody
8	knows, the Sacklers did not vote on the plan, did not draft
9	the plan, did not see the plan until it was public. Rather,
10	this is the creditors' and the stakeholders' plan. And,
11	Your Honor, I think that many of our core constituencies
12	absolutely positively and passionately believe that they are
13	appellees, and I think they will be seeking to be made
14	appellees. And those are the kinds of things that lots of
15	conversations are going on about right now.
16	So I just Mr. Fogelman knows how deeply I
17	respect him. But to have him stand up and contradict the

respect him. But to have him stand up and contradict the written position of the Department of Justice on this issue is a little bit too much to bear.

THE COURT: Well, Department of Justice hasn't appealed. It's the United States Trustee that has appealed.

MR. HUEBNER: Well, they are a division of the Department of Justice. I don't imagine we'll be seeing conflicting positions from different parts of one government. But if they are allowed to do that, we'll deal

1 with it as it comes.

2 THE COURT: All right.

3 MR. HUEBNER: Nothing more to be said about that. 4 It's not today's issue. We're working as quickly as we can

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: But I think that -- okay, fine. Although that's really more of an issue for the appellate court, including identifying who they need to check into and make sure that they are aware of their interests in the case.

But as far as the decision on whether they agree to a direct appeal or not, that really should be made promptly. Because obviously this is going to be a substantial amount of work for one of the judges in this building. And there's no reason to have him or her engage in that work if everyone promptly agrees that it should be a direct appeal.

MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, we agree completely. And we are working hours a day on all of these topics. I don't want to leave any misimpression.

Ironically, we actually think we can get to a much faster hearing on the merits before the district court because it saves probably two rounds of briefing before the merits. But again, people make their own decision. direct certification rules essentially call for a polling of

1	both appellants and appellees, and not even all appellants
2	are even on this hearing, so I'm not you know, there are
3	some that aren't even here at all right now. So I'm not
4	sure how anybody could speak for all appellants.
5	But lots of conferences going on, lots of emails.
6	Everyone is working at warp speed. We know we're going
7	upstairs. We know we're having stay hearings. We're all
8	working as fast as we can. And that's I think the best we
9	can do.
10	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very well. Very
11	well. All right.
12	So I think in all likelihood then sometime
13	probably early next week, probably I would say no later than
14	Wednesday or Thursday next week, you should let Ms. Li in
15	chambers know whether you're going to be moving ahead on the
16	omnibus day, October 14, or instead on the 9th of November.
17	MR. HUEBNER: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. GOLD: Your Honor?
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	MR. GOLD: Matthew Gold again. May I briefly
22	address the Court?
23	THE COURT: Sure.
24	MR. GOLD: Just to raise the related topic that

we've all been discussing partially. While we are in

agreement, as I said before, that we should be moving promptly to try to get a certification, but I would request to the Court that perhaps we can also -- if Your Honor is agreeable, file a formal motion to be heard on the 14th so that if the parties are still having a discussion, it provides the vehicle for the Court to make a decision one way or another. We did discuss certification during the call earlier today, and several of the parties said to us you haven't even filed a motion yet and need to file a motion for us to consider it. So we are trying to be responsive to that concern unless Your Honor doesn't think it's necessary. We just want to be able to move that process forward as quickly and properly as possible.

THE COURT: I haven't really looked at that issue.

I know there is a scheduling order in place in the case
which might require you to file the motion by tomorrow. I
think if you file it within a reasonable time, I would be
willing to modify that order so that it could be heard on
the 14th. On the other hand, my distinct impression,
although it's based on looking at these issues several years
ago, is that ultimately it's up to the Second Circuit
whether they take it or not.

MR. GOLD: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So how I rule on that issue is not necessarily that important.

1 MR. GOLD: (indiscernible)

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HUEBNER: So, Your Honor, we're happy to look at that just so that we're all clear (indiscernible). I do not believe I was on any call where anyone said you haven't even filed a motion --

THE COURT: Well, it doesn't matter. I mean, Mr. Gold has a legitimate concern that under the scheduling order in the case generally if he doesn't file it by tomorrow, someone -- not necessarily you -- may raise their hand and say, well, it's too late for the judge to hear it on the 14th. If you file it within a reasonable time before the 14th, Mr. Gold, I will probably hear it.

MR. HUEBNER: Yeah. And, Your Honor, that's where I was going to go next, which is, like everything else we keep saying, we actually believe in due process. And if people need to make a motion to protect their rights, we will move as swiftly as reasonable to meet them on whatever schedule the Court requires. And if this ends up being contested at the trial court level, which is one possibility, absent consent from the majority of appellants and appellees, we have no problem at all moving swiftly. So I was actually going to agree with the predicate, just not with the factual statement that preceded it.

> THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, just before you conclude, it's Mr. Eckstein. Just if I could suggest one minor amendment to the way Your Honor was I think concluding. Given the fact that right now if the hearing goes forward on the 14th, brief will be due on the 7th, which is I think next Thursday, I would suggest that both parties try to reach consensus by Tuesday as to whether or not we're going to use the --

THE COURT: That's fair. I don't see why people need to be flat-out rushing to get briefs in if they can reach consensus, you know, by end of the day Tuesday.

That's fair.

MR. HUEBNER: Yeah. And then if it's the 9th,
we'll just try to agree on an appropriate briefing schedule
if they're going to be putting in witnesses and declaration
and discovery, it then becomes a contested evidentiary
matter, and presumably we should be able to be on a schedule
cruising towards the 9th.

THE COURT: So can I just leave you with one thought? And I'm just going to leave it with you because it's just to help perhaps guide all the parties' thinking on the stay pending appeal issue.

It seems to me that having a sense for the schedule as to when the appellate court or courts can rule - and of course you don't know that for sure, but at least

you know what your briefing schedule would be, you're assuming that there would be requests for expedited ruling. And we've seen in the past that they rule promptly in important cases like this.

It would be my wish if that schedule is a reasonable one that does not run the risk of causing enormous harm to the creditors, to have a stay through that period or at least to have a stay through the period that everyone things is necessary with a potential second look at the appellate court as to whether any further stay is necessary. I think that might obviate the need for a lot of litigation with the stay issue.

I don't know if -- I hope I am being clear. The idea is I would like to accommodate those who believe -- and I think it's a very legitimate belief -- that my ruling should be subject to review, meaningful review. On the other hand, I am sensitive to the concerns of the creditors that getting this money out into the health system and to individuals is important. If you can hit the sweet spot or close to the sweet spot where at least you can reasonably predict that there will be appellate review by date X, I think you might well consider coming together on an order that just has a stay until day X with an opportunity to, if the Court hasn't ruled by then, if the appellate court hasn't ruled by then, go to the appellate court and say all

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 right, you know, I want to extend that stay. And then 2 everyone who says that that's too long can oppose it. 3 I have a feeling that the appellate court will have ruled by then and you won't need to do that. 4

So that's the question I will be asking you primarily at this hearing, whether it's on October 14 or November 9th. And so I think that's what you all should be focusing on. That involves getting some crystal balling from your litigators about your briefing schedule and when a court might rule on this. But, you know, they moved really fast in GM and Chrysler. And this doesn't affect the entire auto industry, but it affects a lot of people. And I think they probably would rule very fast here one way or the other, at least within the period that you are contemplating here, which is months from now.

> Okay. Thank you.

MR. GOLDMAN: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GOLDMAN: One last comment here. I'm sorry to digress. But in terms of the opposition papers, I think we would be at somewhat of a disability to determine whether we are going to make it an evidentiary hearing unless we actually see what the opposition papers are. So I don't know --

Well, I'm assuming they will tell you THE COURT:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what they intend to have their witnesses, you know, submit declarations on. And I'm assuming they're declarations about the timing of getting the money out to individual victims and to the states and localities for abatement. And I find it hard to believe that there wouldn't be any other irreparable harm that they're focusing on. And, again, that ties into just what I said. If you hit the sweet spot and let the appellate process play out, albeit on an expedited basis without jeopardizing that timetable in a meaningful way, then the parties should be able to agree on at least a You can't decide -- you can't force the appellate courts to make a ruling by that date, but at least you could extend it to that date with the right to ask for a further stay of that court. They know what they're up to at that They know how long it will take to rule, and they can evaluate the balance of harms themselves at that point. So I'm just leaving that with you all.

But I think they don't have to -- I mean, I think it's too much to say we need to see their briefs and then decide whether we're going together on going forward on the 14th. But they'll tell you what it is they intend to put And if they surprise you and submit something else, then we'll have to move it. But I don't think that's going to happen, but they should tell you generally. But I'm assuming that's what it's going to be about, that type of

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 44
1	harm, that type of calculus.
2	MR. GOLDMAN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks, everyone.
4	MR. HUEBNER: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at
6	2:59 PM)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
/ n	

Date: October 4, 2021

0	2:04 1:17	0/15/2021 2.4	offinning 21.24
&	2:04 1:17 2:59 44:6	9/15/2021 3:6 90013 5:12	affirming 31:24 afternoon 8:2
& 9:8 18:12 27:17			14:16 17:25 18:11
0	3	9th 20:10,24 21:7 22:3,14 25:12,18	27:19 29:20
06604 4:20	3 14:22	27:23 29:24 30:2	
07102 5:5	30 1:16		ago 38:21
	300 1:12 5:11	31:1,4 32:5,8 34:2	agree 10:18 17:7
1	45:22	34:6,14 37:16	18:4 27:13 30:8
10 20:6	330 45:21	40:13,18 42:7	33:13 36:11,18
10007 6:19	3484 3:11	a	39:23 40:14 43:10
10014 7:4	3773 2:20 3:11	abatement 43:4	agreeable 11:10
10017 4:6	3776 2:16 3:4	able 16:23 20:5	38:4
10036 6:5,12	3777 2:16,22	31:3 38:12 40:17	agreed 17:14
10110 4:13	3778 2:9,17	43:10	agreement 10:16
10601 1:13	3779 2:2,10 3:14	absent 39:21	16:14 17:9 19:3
10th 25:13,18	3788 2:2	absolutely 35:12	20:25 23:20 24:15
32:9	3838 3:15	acceptable 20:25	24:23 25:6,11
11 8:6 9:3 31:6	3rd 28:13	accommodate	26:7 28:10 38:1
11501 45:23	4	25:17 41:14	agrees 36:16
1177 6:11		accomplished	ahc 12:7 27:3
14 16:5,7 17:2	4 45:25	30:15	34:24
28:6 37:16 42:6	450 4:5	account 16:16,19	ahead 8:22 10:13
14th 11:13 13:7	5	19:23	17:17 37:15
13:20 14:24 15:10	500 4:12	accurate 45:4	akin 6:1 27:17
15:13 16:3,5,8,11	570 5:4	achievable 20:3	al 8:3
17:1,8 20:2 21:1,3	6	action 33:15	albeit 43:8
21:4,16 22:3 25:5		actual 11:3 34:23	allen 5:7 18:16
27:23 29:25 31:3	6.8 16:17	ad 6:10	allies 29:11
31:4 32:5,10 34:6	60 11:9	add 14:9 32:8	allowed 13:3
34:14 38:4,19	6th 34:3	adding 15:4	35:25
39:12,13 40:5	7	address 21:8	alternative 10:12
43:21	75 9:17	31:20 32:25 37:22	ambiguity 19:8
15th 29:7	7th 11:12 26:14	addressed 30:5	ambush 13:6
17th 8:7 9:25	26:21 28:17,18	31:21	amenable 16:3
19-23649 1:3	30:4 34:1 40:5	adduced 12:11	amended 9:25
1st 9:22	8	adopted 28:10	amendment 40:3
2	_	advance 2:20 22:6	america 6:17
	8007 2:9,15	26:22 30:17 32:14	24:15
200 5:18	850 4:19	33:18	americas 6:11
201 7:3	86 6:18	advanced 16:17	amount 36:14
2021 1:16 45:25	8th 10:12	25:2	angeles 5:12
20852 5:19	9	afanador 5:1	announce 34:1
22nd 10:12 15:10	9 20:6	affect 42:11	answer 13:18
248 1:12		alicu 42.11	
	** *	gal Solutions	

Veritext Legal Solutions

anybody 33:25	appreciate 28:16	b	bizarre 34:18
37:4	29:10	b 1:21	bizarrely 29:14
apologize 17:22	appropriate	back 15:14	borne 8:18
32:4,21	14:21 40:14	balance 43:16	bothered 28:24
appeal 2:8,12,14	approval 15:20	balancing 11:25	brian 5:21 19:1
2:19,20 3:1,2 8:5	approved 24:5,15	balling 42:8	bridge 17:2 22:25
8:8,12,16,23	approving 3:2	baltimore 5:19	bridgeport 4:20
15:21,24 17:10,10	arbitrage 11:2	bankruptcy 1:1	brief 10:4 33:5
17:14 18:5,13,18	12:23	1:11,23 2:8,15	40:5
18:23 19:9,20,21	ardavan 5:14	21:22 26:2 33:15	briefing 16:1 17:9
20:14,23 25:22	aren't 37:3	barn 16:11	18:7,14,24 33:17
28:6,22,23 29:7	argument 29:1	based 11:3 14:2	36:23 40:14 41:1
31:10 34:19 36:12	arguments 16:2	31:6 38:20	42:9
36:17 40:22	20:22	basis 8:25 11:22	briefly 29:21
appealed 35:21,21	arik 6:7 27:16	15:25 23:3,5,10	37:21
appealing 27:25	article 14:22	43:9	briefs 11:24 12:9
appeals 8:14 26:6	asking 17:20,21	bear 35:19	26:13,19,23 34:1
appellant 25:8	42:5	beginning 27:21	34:5,13 40:10
27:2 34:1	assessment 22:8	behalf 2:10,17,21	43:19
appellants 12:4	associates 16:22	3:3 9:8 18:16,22	bring 14:17
17:14,21 18:2,18	assume 13:13	35:3	broad 5:4
19:11 22:5 25:7	34:7	belief 41:15	bryant 6:4
26:11 33:4 34:9	assuming 26:10	believe 8:8,17	building 26:1
37:1,1,4 39:21	41:2 42:25 43:2	11:23 12:18 14:11	36:15
appellants' 19:18	43:25	14:23 15:2,4,10	c
appellate 18:6	assured 8:17	15:17 16:7,22	c 4:1 8:1 45:1,1
25:24 26:9 30:12	attached 11:23	18:2 20:10 30:2	ca 5:12
31:5,8,19,25	12:8	35:12 39:5,16	calculation 22:13
34:24 36:7 40:24	attention 14:17	41:14 43:5	calculation 22.13
41:10,21,24,25	attorney 5:16	believes 14:20	calculus 44.1 calendar 20:7
42:3 43:8,11	attorneys 4:4,11	bench 9:25	21:13 27:8 33:3
appellee 29:11	4:18 5:2,10,17 6:2	benefit 31:4	california 5:9,10
33:13	6:10,17 7:2	bernard 5:14	18:23
appellees 11:11	attorney's 6:16	18:22	call 10:20 11:9
11:11 15:16 25:7	authorizing 3:7	best 34:11 37:8	36:25 38:8 39:5
34:20,23,25 35:2	auto 42:12	beth 14:18	calls 10:14
35:3,13,14 37:1	automatic 16:6	better 31:25	camera 19:3
39:22	available 11:16	beyond 15:6,18	canadian 5:2
appellees' 19:19	avenue 4:5,12	30:7	18:17
applicable 32:18	6:11	big 19:17	can't 17:19 19:3
applies 20:13,17	avoidance 19:7	bit 10:21 30:16	23:5,13 24:13,22
apply 21:24 22:24	aware 36:9	34:18,21 35:19	25:5,24 28:17
28:12		J 1.10,21 JJ.17	32:15 43:11,11
		1012	32.13 73.11,11

[capable - cross] Page 3

capable 30:1	coalesce 32:4,9	15:3 16:6 23:23	course 11:24
case 1:3 8:6,18	cohen 4:10	confirming 8:6	32:10 34:20 40:25
15:22 22:3 23:17	cold 20:22	9:23	court 1:1,11 8:2
24:13,25 26:3	colleague 14:18	confirms 9:18,20	8:25 9:5,6,14,18
31:7 32:13 36:10	30:1	conflicting 35:24	9:20 10:17 11:1,6
38:15 39:9	columbia 18:3	confusion 19:15	11:13 12:9 14:13
cases 25:15 41:4	come 8:5 19:2	connecticut 4:18	14:22 15:24 17:10
causing 41:6	27:21 28:17	18:12	17:13,15,20,25
caveat 29:13	comes 12:23	connection 30:6	18:10,15,20,25
certain 3:9 18:17	28:18,18,25 29:14	consensus 40:7,11	19:5,12,13,16,20
certainly 13:22	36:1	consent 33:12	20:1,18,19 21:4
21:22 22:3 26:3	comfort 23:12	39:21	21:11,17,20 22:1
27:2 30:1	24:19	consider 38:10	22:7,15,17,19,22
certification 33:6	coming 9:12,15	41:22	23:16 24:8,15,17
33:12,13 36:25	11:22 30:25 41:22	considerable 8:19	24:24 25:5 26:6
38:2,7	comley 4:17 18:12	consideration	26:18,22,25 27:18
certified 45:3	comment 42:19	30:6 32:1	27:24 28:2,20
chambers 6:18	committee 6:2,10	considering 8:12	29:8,13,19,22
32:6 37:15	compels 30:21	constituencies	30:11,12,17,25
chapter 8:6 9:3	complete 27:15	35:11	31:5,8,16,19,25
31:6	completely 18:8	constitute 24:12	32:7,13,22 33:2
check 21:17 36:8	24:6 36:18	constitutional	33:15,20,23 34:4
choice 13:5 26:16	conceivable 8:9	13:23 14:22	34:11,25 35:1,20
28:7	25:8	contact 32:5	36:2,6,8,22 37:10
choose 26:15	concept 18:9	contemplating	37:18,20,22,23
chose 13:4	concern 21:7	42:14	38:3,6,14,24 39:2
chrysler 42:11	24:10 38:11 39:8	contested 13:12	39:7,19,20,25
circuit 15:22	concerned 16:10	39:20 40:16	40:9,19,24 41:10
17:16 18:5,13,19	20:1 23:12	contours 17:8	41:24,24,25 42:3
18:24 19:4,21	concerns 41:17	contradict 35:17	42:10,18,25 43:14
26:6 33:6,8,14	conclude 40:2	conversation 14:6	44:3
38:21	concluded 44:5	16:9	courts 40:24
circuits 31:18	concluding 40:4	conversations	43:12
claims 12:5	conclusion 12:20	35:15	court's 14:17
clarify 17:6	conclusions 10:1	core 11:8 35:11	21:15 31:21
clear 19:7 20:25	concur 18:23	correcting 14:9	create 35:5
22:25 23:18 26:17	confer 11:4	correctly 27:20	creditor 3:8
33:3 39:4 41:13	conference 2:2	corrects 14:10	creditors 5:3 6:3
clearly 9:5,14	3:14 8:3,11,24	country 31:18	18:17 41:7,17
20:16	31:1	45:21	creditors' 35:10
clerk 3:9 16:20	conferences 37:5	couple 17:6 29:2	cross 12:25 26:14
close 41:20	confirmation 2:7	30:10	30:20
	2:14 3:2 8:13 9:19		
		1014	

[crossed - effective] Page 4

[crossed - cricetive]			1 age 4
crossed 14:4	35:4	determine 33:16	documents 24:4
cruising 40:18	debtors 3:7 8:17	42:21	doesn't 9:18,19
crystal 42:8	9:2,9 10:5,6,6	dial 3:13	38:11 39:7,9
ct 4:20	12:7,21 22:4 23:6	didn't 10:8	42:11
cut 17:13	25:1 33:11 35:5,6	different 12:14,15	doing 15:22 31:4
d	debtor's 22:13	12:15,16 34:8	doj 9:10 10:6
d 1:22 8:1	december 8:21	35:24	23:20 24:23 35:3
date 8:24 9:22	10:12	digress 42:20	35:4
10:13,23 11:3,13	decide 12:24 22:1	direct 15:21 18:4	don't 12:24 20:4
11:19 15:7,9,11	26:14 34:25 43:11	18:13,23 19:3,21	23:24 25:6,10
15:13,18 16:3,24	43:20	33:6 36:12,17,25	26:12 28:11 29:2
17:3 21:16 22:10	decided 13:15	directing 3:9	29:3 30:9 31:23
22:12 23:2,23,25	decision 36:11,24	directly 17:15	33:24 35:23 36:20
24:8 25:3 30:3,7,9	38:6	directors' 16:16	40:9,25 41:13
30:18,21,24 31:2	declaration 30:23	disability 42:21	42:23 43:18,23
31:12 32:4,9	40:15	disagree 15:1	doubt 25:23
41:21 43:11,12,13	declarations 12:8	20:19	draft 35:8
45:25	26:13 30:4 34:3	disbursement 3:8	drain 1:22
dates 18:8 21:20	43:2,2	disclosure 3:3	dual 15:23
24:6	deeply 35:16	discovery 20:4,10	due 13:22 32:14
davis 4:3 9:8	definitely 32:7	21:1 25:7 26:15	39:16 40:5
day 8:8 9:17,20	delay 12:12 15:18	28:11 40:16	e
11:16,16 12:18	26:12	discuss 38:7	e 1:21,21 4:1,1 8:1
15:3 16:6,7 17:3	delaying 15:6	discussed 14:11	8:1 45:1
20:2,8,9 21:4 24:1	17:11	18:14	earlier 9:17 10:20
25:9,11,13,16,16	denied 21:24 22:4	discussing 37:25	11:5 14:7 38:8
28:5,6 29:16	22:16	discussion 38:5	earliest 9:3 10:22
32:11 36:19 37:16	denies 21:23	disjointed 30:16	23:23 24:5
40:11 41:23	depalma 5:1	dispose 29:1	early 13:20 15:9
days 9:17 13:13	departent 7:1	distinct 38:19	37:13
20:8 22:6,14	department 5:9	distributed 16:18	easily 24:2 29:1
23:21 24:16 25:14	34:18 35:18,20,23	16:20	ecf 2:2,10,17,22
25:15,15 26:23	depending 10:19	district 1:2 15:24	3:4,11,15
29:2 30:17 32:17	depose 12:25 13:9	17:15 18:3 19:20	eckstein 6:14
34:10,12,13	13:16,21	20:19 22:1,7,17	11:14 27:14 29:20
deadline 27:4	deposition 15:16	22:19 23:16 24:17	29:21,23 30:13
29:6,8	15:16	36:22	31:13 40:1,2
deadlines 27:7	depositions 30:18	diverse 31:17	ecro 1:25
deal 15:23 27:24	describe 34:11	division 35:22	edmunds 5:21
28:1 35:25	described 25:2	doc 3:11	19:1,1
dealing 8:22	desire 25:21,25	document 2:2,9	effective 8:19 9:3
debtor 1:9 4:4	determination	2:16,20 3:14	15:7,9,18 16:24
15:25 29:11 33:1	25:21		20:12 22:7,8 23:2
10.20 27.11 00.1			

			\mathcal{L}
25:3 30:7,9,24	estopped 23:7	expressed 33:4	file 8:8 28:6 33:25
33:9	et 8:3	extend 42:1 43:13	38:4,9,16,17 39:9
effectiveness	evaluate 43:16	extending 17:2	39:12
12:12	eve 10:8	extensive 24:5	filed 2:9,16,21 3:3
eggs 15:12 16:10	event 10:2,13,22	extensively 21:14	8:14 11:11 13:3
16:25	18:3 19:21 20:16	extraordinarily	34:5,19 38:9 39:6
either 11:12 14:1	22:23 24:3,25	12:5	files 28:12 32:15
20:6 22:10 25:17	30:16	extraordinary	filing 26:13 34:2
27:22 30:18,22	everybody 10:13	17:12	filings 27:10
32:8	13:3 35:7	f	filling 14:19
election 13:24	everyone's 25:21	f 1:21 45:1	final 27:25
email 11:10	evidence 10:20	facilitate 33:10	find 17:12 30:17
emails 10:14 37:5	11:23 13:4 15:5	fact 11:25 12:1,24	31:22 43:5
emerge 10:5,7	evidentiary 15:2	15:8,20 25:7 27:5	findings 10:1
23:21	26:11 34:9 40:16	29:8 34:23 40:4	fine 14:10 26:22
emerged 24:22	42:22	factor 19:22	26:24 27:18 36:6
emergence 10:9	ex 2:4	facts 8:18 10:1	fingers 14:4
10:23 24:6	exact 18:8	12:1,9,11,19	firmly 27:13
emergency 24:14	exactly 23:19 34:7	13:14,23 15:2	first 5:2 9:1 10:4
employees' 16:16	examination	25:25	14:8 18:17 29:23
encouraged 26:7	30:20	factual 39:24	33:16 34:19
ends 16:7 39:19	examine 12:25	factually 13:11	fit 28:2,4,9
engage 20:4 36:15	26:14	fair 19:10 26:20	five 13:9
engaged 22:18	example 22:5 27:5	28:20 33:20,24	fix 20:9
enormous 41:7	excuse 17:23	34:16 40:9,12	flat 40:10
ensure 14:21	exists 26:3,4	fairly 13:3 14:5	focus 20:24 25:22
enter 20:15 22:24	expect 22:18	fallback 25:13	25:23
23:9	expedite 18:5	families 34:20	focusing 31:5
entered 8:15,17	expedited 2:6,13	far 10:23 20:1,3	33:21 42:8 43:6
10:1 16:14 20:14	15:25 17:7,9,14	31:12 32:1 36:11	fogelman 6:21
entire 42:11	18:7,14,18,24	fast 37:8 42:11,13	32:19,22,24 35:3
entry 9:18	19:20 33:5 41:2	faster 36:22	35:16
equitable 31:17 escrow 16:16	43:8	favor 30:21	fogelman's 34:17
eskandari 5:14	expedition 19:9 19:22	federal 2:8,15	following 21:16 force 43:11
18:22,22	expeditious 25:21	24:8	foregoing 45:3
especially 17:12	expeditiously	feedback 9:12	form 11:17
especially 17.12 essence 14:1	15:19 26:1,8	feeling 22:2 42:3	formal 38:4
essentially 10:8	expire 16:7	feld 6:1 27:17	formed 16:15,16
11:3 36:25	explain 10:21	field 28:25 29:14	forth 24:16
established 16:17	11:2 23:14	29:15	forward 14:24,24
establishment 3:7	explained 24:3	fifth 4:12	26:20 29:25 38:13
Source S./	onpiumou 27.3	figure 10:11 34:15	40:5 43:20
			-

[four - huebner] Page 6

four 12:1 13:9	22:24 23:8,15,22	43:24	help 10:13 17:5
frankel 6:9	27:22 28:23 30:17	happening 16:13	40:21
frankly 20:14	30:18,19,20 31:14	24:11	helpful 31:9 33:10
23:24 33:7 34:25	31:22 34:8,14	happy 10:21 11:1	higher 21:8
free 20:6 21:21	35:15 36:13 37:5	11:18 12:25 14:1	hit 41:19 43:7
22:20	37:6,15 39:15,23	39:3	hitting 20:21
freewheeling 14:5	40:8,15,20 42:22	hard 43:5	hoc 6:10
front 12:10 30:12	43:20,20,23,25	harm 12:6,12 30:5	holds 27:8
fund 3:7	gold 4:15 17:23	41:7 43:6 44:1	holes 14:19
funding 2:20	17:23 18:1 21:10	harms 11:25 12:2	hon 1:22
16:17	21:12,12,19 37:19	12:10 43:16	honor 9:4,7,10,22
further 10:25	37:21,21,24 38:23	hasn't 35:20	11:7 12:17 14:15
33:15 41:10 43:13	39:1,8,13	41:24,25	14:20 15:4,8,13
g	goldman 4:22	hauer 6:1 27:17	15:14 16:5,25
g 8:1	18:11,12 42:17,19	haven't 38:9,14	17:3,5,18,23 18:1
game 13:19	44:2	39:5	18:11 19:1,6,25
general 5:16	good 8:2 14:15	heads 19:24	21:2,10 22:11,21
15:21	18:11 27:19 28:3	health 41:18	23:11,13 25:4
generally 39:9	29:20 34:2	hear 9:14 17:10	26:10 27:16 29:18
43:24	gotten 20:18,20	17:24 27:17 29:2	29:20 30:8 31:14
getting 27:5 30:11	22:19 27:4,15	29:16 31:10 33:10	32:3,12,20,21,24
41:18 42:8 43:3	government 35:7	39:11,13	33:7,19,22 35:11
give 19:23 20:9	35:25	heard 9:4 12:17	36:18 37:17,19
23:11 24:19 29:15	grant 21:25	19:18 21:10 28:22	38:3,11,23 39:3
given 19:17 21:6	granted 23:1,7,9	29:21 38:4,18	39:14 40:1,3
21:21 23:9 25:20	granting 3:6,10	hearing 2:1,4,6,12	42:17 44:2,4
	12:2,2	2:19 3:1,6,13 9:11	honor's 21:13
25:25 27:22,22 40:4	grants 21:23	9:16 10:17,24	hoops 22:23
	great 14:12	11:3,12 13:1,16	hope 14:4 33:25
gives 20:17	greenberg 5:1	14:23,25 15:2,3,5	41:13
giving 11:15	grounding 20:21	15:12,14 16:7	hopefully 14:8
21:20 26:16	guess 8:9 9:1	17:3 20:5 21:15	16:2,3 24:19
glad 25:22	20:24 28:20 31:3	23:15,16,19,21	horse 16:11
gm 42:11	guide 40:21	24:1,5,8,17 25:17	hour 9:24 11:4
go 8:19 9:2,3	gumb 6:1	26:12,15,20,23,23	hours 10:14 36:19
14:23,24 15:23 17:10,17 20:12,19	gump 27:17	27:23 28:14 29:9	huebner 4:8 9:4,7
, ,	h	29:25 32:17 34:10	9:8,16 11:7 14:14
22:7,8 23:8 26:20		34:12,13,14 36:22	14:23 15:1,8 17:5
29:25 33:14 39:15	half 9:24	37:2 40:4 42:6,22	17:17 19:6,14,25
41:25	hand 25:9 28:21	hearings 37:7	23:11 24:25 25:4
goes 33:9 40:5	38:19 39:11 41:17	held 9:17 11:12	26:10,19 28:16
going 13:9 16:6,9	handling 30:1	26:21	29:23 32:20,25
16:13,19,19 17:18	happen 23:13		33:22 34:7,15
20:15,21 22:6,22	24:22 29:3 30:8		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Varitant I ad	pal Solutions	

Veritext Legal Solutions

516-608-2400

212-267-6868 www.veritext.com Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/krhj0269

[huebner - linda] Page 7

25.22.25.25.2	• • • • •	17.00.01.10.7	1 11 04 6
35:22 36:3,18	inquiry 11:24	17:20,21 18:7	knowable 24:6
37:17 39:3,14	12:16	19:2,16 21:5,20	known 24:7
40:13 44:4	intend 14:3 30:4	22:22,24 23:8	knows 35:8,16
hundred 24:13	43:1,21	25:22 27:1,1,1	kramer 6:9 29:21
hyde 3:25 45:3,8	intended 33:24,25	28:24 32:20 33:20	l
i	intense 14:5	37:2,3 40:20	l.p. 1:7 8:3
idea 18:4,6 41:14	interests 36:9	42:19,25 43:2,17	large 11:4,10
identifiable 16:21	invariably 12:21	43:24	lasted 14:6
identifying 36:8	involves 42:8	i've 19:17 25:2	late 11:21 13:15
ii 3:9	ironically 36:21	27:12,15	27:6,24 39:11
iii 3:10	irreparable 43:6	\mathbf{j}	latest 11:20
imagine 25:24	irve 4:22 18:11	j 5:7	law 2:12 10:1
35:23	isn't 19:17 23:3,3	jeopardizing 43:9	
implementation	23:6 29:14	jersey 23:16 24:18	lawrence 6:21
23:2	issue 8:4 11:8	job 12:19	lawyers' 12:5
important 11:14	12:5,14 19:11,17	jockeying 35:1	lay 27:9
14:21 19:22 26:5	21:7 22:1 24:25	judge 1:23 20:15	lead 12:19
27:12 33:8,21	29:17 33:1 35:18	21:22 22:20 28:22	leading 19:16
38:25 41:4,19	36:4,7 38:14,24	39:11	leave 36:20 40:19
impression 38:19	40:22 41:12	judges 26:1 36:14	40:20
improper 13:6	issues 26:11 28:23	judicially 23:7	leaving 43:17
inaccurate 22:9	31:9 32:1 33:9	jump 22:22 27:12	ledanski 3:25 45:3
includes 28:10	38:20	justice 5:9 7:1	45:8
including 34:3	it's 8:9,19 9:12	34:18 35:18,20,23	left 28:25 29:14
35:1 36:8	10:2,2,10,11	k	29:15
indicated 15:9	12:14,15,15,18		legal 11:24 12:15
29:23	13:22 16:18,19	kaplan 4:10 17:24	13:23 45:20
indiscernible 9:21	17:15 19:20 20:2	keep 16:24 31:11	legality 12:13
11:4 12:23 13:3	20:3,14 21:6,14	39:16	legitimate 39:8
27:24,24 28:19	21:22 22:2,3,25	kenneth 6:14	41:15
29:7 30:3,4,5,10	23:1 24:1,4,14	29:21	let's 17:13
30:23,24 31:13	25:7 28:3,22,25	keyed 24:7	level 21:9 26:9
33:2,6,12,14,17	29:20 30:16 31:24	keys 24:16	32:2 39:20
33:18 34:19 35:2	32:10 33:2,3,25	kind 11:22	levin 6:9 29:21
39:1,4	34:6,14,18,21,24	kinds 35:14	levine 14:18
individual 43:3	35:21 36:4 38:12	kleinberg 4:10	lexington 4:5
individuals 41:19	38:20,21 39:11	17:24	li 21:17 37:14
industry 42:12	40:2,13,21 41:15	know 13:13 16:12	licensing 16:22
information 3:10	42:6 43:19,25	23:18 28:13 31:20	likelihood 25:1
16:21	i'd 31:11	32:15,16 37:2,6,7	26:5 37:12
informed 31:25	i'll 19:22 29:2	37:15 38:15 40:11	limited 13:6
initially 21:3	i'm 9:12 10:10	40:25 41:1,13	linda 2:9,16,21
	11:1 14:11,15	42:1,10,24 43:1	3:3
		43:14,15	3.3
	T7 T	ral Solutions	

Veritext Legal Solutions

[line - october] Page 8

14.10		20.16.20.6.17	
line 14:18	matters 25:14	38:16 39:6,17	nevertheless
list 34:22	26:2	motions 8:5,12,23	21:25 22:4,17
listed 23:4 34:19	matthew 4:15 17:23 21:12 37:21	11:23 12:21 13:4	new 1:2 4:6,13 6:5
35:4		,	6:12,19 7:4 23:16
listen 3:13	md 5:19	28:21 29:6 32:14	24:18 27:5
lite 5:1	mean 28:4 30:25	movants 11:20	newark 5:5
literally 33:3	31:16 39:7 43:18	12:24 14:2,8	newco 16:14
litigation 41:12	meaningful 41:16	move 11:18 13:18	night 11:21 15:15
litigator 27:1,2	43:9	15:19 20:7 25:25	27:7
litigators 42:9	meet 11:4 39:18	38:12 39:18 43:23	nj 5:5
little 10:21 25:23	memoranda	moved 25:16	normally 27:1
34:18,21 35:19	16:12	42:10	note 15:10,15
live 13:1	memorandum	moving 26:7	34:18
llc 3:9 5:1	2:12	37:15 38:1 39:22	notice 2:1,5,19,19
llp 4:3 6:1,9 9:8	merits 15:24 17:9	msge 12:8 27:3	3:1,1,13 15:15,20
localities 43:4	18:7 33:5 36:22	34:23	22:5 23:18,22
lock 27:13	36:24	multi 15:3	25:2
long 14:6 42:2	metaphor 16:25	municipal 18:17	november 8:21
43:15	middle 10:18	municipalities 5:2	10:12 15:9,10,13
look 25:5 28:4,23	million 16:17	mute 14:14	16:3 20:6,6,10
31:16 39:3 41:9	mind 31:12	n	25:13 27:23 30:2
looked 21:13	mineola 45:23	n 4:1 8:1 45:1	31:1 34:2,3,10
38:14	minimum 12:7	naftalis 6:9	37:16 42:7
looking 38:20	13:14	nation 5:2	number 12:4 17:6
los 5:12	ministerial 24:11	nations' 18:17	19:10
lost 19:7	minor 40:3	nature 31:6	ny 1:13 4:6,13 6:5
lot 9:12 15:12	minute 13:8 26:10	necessarily 38:25	6:12,19 7:4 45:23
16:13,22 41:11	misimpression	39:10	0
42:12	35:6 36:20	necessary 14:21	o 1:21 8:1 45:1
lots 35:14 37:5,5	missed 27:12	38:12 41:9,11	objection 13:25
lower 20:18 31:21	modify 38:18	need 10:7,21	objections 14:3
m	money 41:18 43:3	13:12 25:10 26:2	32:13 34:5
maclay 27:14	month 34:3	26:3,19 28:7,11	objectors 12:4
main 4:19	months 42:15	30:18 34:6 36:8	obviate 41:11
majority 39:21	moot 31:24	38:9 39:17 40:10	obviously 9:22,24
marshall 4:8 9:8	mootness 23:3,5	41:11 42:4 43:19	10:7 13:12,21,24
maryland 5:16,17	24:12 31:17	needed 23:25	19:10 26:19 27:6
11:21 19:2	motion 2:4,5,7,12	needs 15:1 29:25	28:5 36:13
master 3:8	2:13 3:6 8:4,16	30:5 31:21	october 10:19
material 20:4	11:20 12:15 16:8	neither 19:12	11:12,13 13:15
matter 1:5 13:12	17:2,4 23:1,7	24:10	14:24 20:2,2
15:18 20:21 25:16	27:21,25 28:9,13	nervous 27:1	26:14,21 27:23
39:7 40:17	32:15 38:4,9,10		28:13,17,18 29:7
	77 ' T		, , , , , , , ,

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

516-608-2400

			T
29:25 34:1 37:16	overlap 31:14	personal 29:24	possible 9:22
42:6 45:25	p	personally 16:20	10:17,23 15:11,19
office 5:16 6:16	p 4:1,1 8:1	perspective 21:15	21:14 23:23 24:6
14:17 16:23	p.c. 4:10	pertaining 8:4	24:14 33:11,17
official 6:2	papers 11:10	petition 33:15	38:13
oh 13:8	42:20,23	pharma 1:7 8:3	possibly 16:2
okay 9:7,16 11:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	phrase 17:11	post 30:24
14:13 17:5 18:10	park 6:4 part 27:7 35:4	pi 16:21	potential 17:9
18:15,20,25 19:5		picking 31:12	41:9
19:14 21:19 24:24	parte 2:5	piecemeal 8:22	potentially 10:25
29:12,18,19 30:25	partially 37:25	11:22	16:18
34:15 36:6 37:10	participating 8:10	pis 27:3 34:24	pre 23:2
37:18 38:23 39:2	particular 29:16	place 5:18 30:1	preceded 39:24
39:25 42:16 44:2	parties 8:24 10:14	38:15	predicate 39:23
44:3	10:18 11:8,11	plains 1:13	predict 41:21
old 45:21	12:3 14:6,12 17:7	plan 8:6,18 9:3,18	prefer 21:3 29:24
omnibus 20:2	19:10 20:4,9,17	9:20,23 12:8,14	preis 6:7 27:14,16
21:4 25:15,16	22:9 23:11 24:7	16:15 20:11 33:9	27:16,19 28:15
32:11 37:16	24:12 26:4,7	34:22 35:8,9,9,10	29:5,12,18 32:18
once 15:17	27:11 31:2,11	play 43:8	prepared 14:24
open 24:9	32:4 33:21 34:6	pleading 30:23	21:5 28:8,8 30:22
opportunity 33:8	38:5,8 40:7 43:10	pleadings 13:24	present 12:19
41:23	parties' 25:22,23	20:20 30:3	presently 11:2
oppose 42:2	25:25 40:21	please 27:11,14	press 24:21
opposed 14:9	parts 35:24	please 27.11,14 plenty 20:17 21:8	pressure 29:10
opposition 30:24	passed 28:6	23:22,22 28:21	presumably 40:17
42:20,23	passionately	plus 24:2	presumably 40.17 pretty 14:5,6
oral 9:24 16:2	35:12	prus 24.2 pm 1:17 44:6	28:24
29:1	paul 5:18 7:6	point 13:2,5 19:6	
orally 28:22	14:14,16 22:11	20:20 22:12,18	primarily 42:6 prime 3:9 16:20
•	32:3	29:5 32:25 33:20	-
order 2:5,7,14,20	pending 2:8,12,14		prior 23:21
3:2,2,6 8:5,13,14	8:5,12,16,23	34:17 43:15,16	prized 24:14
8:16 9:19,25 16:6	28:21 29:7 40:22	pointed 27:20	probably 13:15
16:18 17:2,3	people 10:24 11:9	points 33:22	14:19 19:17 20:3
20:14,15 22:25	16:10 19:24 20:24	polk 4:3 9:8	22:16 24:24 25:19
23:1,9,10 31:21	22:22 23:17,18	polling 36:25	36:23 37:13,13
33:9 38:15,18	24:3,19 26:14	position 19:8	39:13 42:13
39:9 41:22	32:16 36:24 39:17	35:18	problem 39:22
orders 2:7,14	40:9 42:12	positions 35:24	procedure 2:9,15
oregon 18:3	period 8:21 10:5	positively 35:12	proceed 13:7,20
organized 30:2,21	24:2 26:16 41:8,8	possibility 9:24	14:1
31:2	42:14	39:21	proceedings 44:5
			45:4
		ral Solutions	

process 8:12	r	relevant 10:3	rolling 11:22
13:22 18:6 33:10	r 1:21 4:1 8:1 45:1	relief 3:10	room 1:12
38:13 39:16 43:8	raise 37:24 39:10	remotely 33:24	rounds 36:23
promptly 36:13	raised 32:25	replies 28:16	rule 2:8,15 22:17
36:16 38:2 41:3	rankly 19:12	32:17 34:12	23:25 31:19,23
prongs 12:1	rdd 1:3	reply 11:10 12:9	38:24 40:24 41:3
properly 38:13	reach 25:11 40:7	26:23 28:17	42:10,13 43:15
proposed 16:1		represent 18:1	ruled 21:7 41:24
propriety 12:13	40:11	request 19:23	41:25 42:3
protect 39:17	ready 10:7 13:19	20:23 21:25 31:10	rules 36:25
protected 3:9 22:9	20:12 29:9	38:2	ruling 9:24 20:19
provide 22:5 25:2	realize 33:23	requested 26:11	31:7,11 41:2,15
provides 38:6	really 10:3,10	requests 41:2	43:12
providing 12:9	20:5 21:24 24:10	require 22:4 25:1	run 41:6
_	26:1 28:7,25	38:16	runs 8:20
public 23:16 24:4	31:23 36:7,12		
24:9,17 35:9	38:14 42:10	requires 39:19	rushed 8:25
publicly 27:13	reason 11:19 26:8	reserve 13:10	rushing 8:22
pullman 4:17	36:15	25:10	40:10
18:12	reasonable 8:24	respect 2:6 12:10	S
purdue 1:7 8:3	38:17 39:12,18	34:17 35:17	s 2:2,9,16,20 3:14
20:2 25:10 32:10	41:6	respond 29:16	4:1 8:1
purpose 8:11	reasonably 41:20	response 14:3	sackler 34:20
purposes 10:3,10	reasons 31:17	responsive 38:11	sacklers 35:5,7,8
pursuant 2:8,15	rebuttal 13:2,6,10	reversing 31:24	saint 5:18
16:12	30:19	review 14:21 18:6	samt 3.16 saves 36:23
put 8:11,24 10:6	receive 15:15	19:4 25:24 33:8	
13:4,10,15 15:18	received 15:20	41:16,16,21	saying 35:3 39:16
17:1 21:3 30:4,23		reviewed 26:9	says 9:20 20:15
43:21	recognize 21:12	riffkin 2:10,16,21	42:2
puts 29:10	30:13	3:3	scenario 20:11,16
putting 13:1	record 9:7 15:3	right 10:15 13:10	scenarios 22:24
40:15	20:18 23:10 24:20	13:23 17:13 26:18	schedule 10:15
	27:13 45:4	26:25 27:6,9 28:2	16:1 17:8 18:7,14
q	regarding 33:11	30:13 31:16 33:14	21:1 28:3,4,9 33:5
quarropas 1:12	regulatory 16:23	35:15 36:2 37:3	33:17 34:8 39:19
question 9:1	reiterate 32:18	37:10,11 39:25	40:14,17,24 41:1
23:18 27:20 28:3	relate 10:19 12:1	·	41:5 42:9
31:15 42:5	related 2:2,7,9,14	40:4 42:1 43:13	scheduled 8:4
quick 33:22	2:16,20 3:10,11	44:3	9:11 23:19 24:1
quickly 11:18	3:14 37:24	rights 14:22 39:17	scheduling 2:1,5
13:19 33:11 36:4	relay 14:11	risk 41:6	3:14 25:14 38:15
38:13	release 3:9 16:20	road 45:21	39:8
quite 31:14	24:21	robert 1:22	schwartzberg 7:6
1			14:7,15,16 17:11
			1, 12,10 1,.11
	Varitant I ac	val Solutions	

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

17 10 01 00 01 0	J	-41 25 17	16.10
17:18,21,22 21:2	shooting 26:13	stand 35:17	structuring 16:12
22:11,11,15,21	shore 27:14	standard 12:15	stuff 13:14
23:4 32:3,3,12	short 13:18 17:13	28:24	subject 41:16
scrambled 15:12	shorten 2:4	standpoint 29:24	submissions 34:9
16:10,25	shortening 2:5	state 4:11,18 5:10	submit 43:1,22
screen 14:8	shouldn't 26:9	5:16,17 18:2,3,12	substantial 36:14
second 15:22 18:5	shoutout 11:15	18:23	suffice 12:3
18:13,19,24 19:4	side 19:10 27:11	stated 11:7	sufficient 21:25
26:6 33:6,7,14	31:7	statement 2:1 3:3	24:2
38:21 41:9	sides 34:20	3:13 39:24	suggest 29:3 40:2
see 13:14 14:7	signed 3:6	states 1:1,11 2:4,6	40:6
26:4 30:9 31:20	significantly	2:10,13,17,19,21	suggestion 30:6
35:9 40:9 42:23	31:15	3:1,4 6:16,17 7:1	suite 45:22
43:19	simplistic 12:18	7:2 24:15 35:21	summarized 14:5
seeing 35:23	simply 11:7 17:12	43:4	supplement 27:15
seek 15:21 17:14	31:24	status 2:1 3:14 8:3	supply 13:23
seeking 15:4,16	single 23:4	stay 2:7,12,14 8:5	support 2:13
19:21 27:21 35:13	sit 16:19	8:12,16,23 10:17	12:19 18:9,13,18
seen 41:3	six 9:23 15:10	10:24 11:20,23,24	supporters 34:22
sense 13:20 20:8	slip 10:21	12:2,3,10,24	supporting 11:11
23:8 33:7,16	slow 11:17 13:25	14:20,25 16:6,8	supposed 12:20
40:23	slower 26:15	17:4 19:23 20:23	sure 9:4,12 10:11
sensible 14:2	solicitor 15:21	21:23,23,25,25	18:7 19:14 21:11
sensitive 41:17	solutions 45:20	22:4,16,17 23:9	27:8 29:22 30:14
sentenced 10:8	somewhat 8:15,20	23:24 25:17 27:6	33:20 36:9 37:4
sentencing 9:11	42:21	27:22 28:1,6,8,12	37:23 40:25
9:17 10:4 15:11	sonya 3:25 45:3,8	29:6 31:8,10,11	surprise 24:21
22:12 23:15,19,21	soon 11:16 33:17	32:14,15 37:7	43:22
24:1,11,17	sorry 14:15 19:2	40:22 41:7,8,10	surprised 27:9
separately 9:15	32:20 42:19	41:12,23 42:1	surprisingly 8:15
september 1:16	sort 13:1 22:24	43:14	sweet 41:19,20
8:6 9:22,25	27:3,9,11 35:1	staying 30:7	43:7
set 8:24 25:5,9	sounds 26:12	stays 28:21	swiftly 39:18,22
29:6	south 5:11	steps 16:12	switch 16:24
sets 17:3 24:16	southern 1:2	strategic 34:21	system 41:18
settlement 9:10	speak 37:4	strauss 6:1 27:17	t
10:6 16:14 23:20	speed 26:2,15	street 1:12 4:19	t 24:1 45:1,1
seven 13:13 23:21	31:9 37:6	5:4,11 6:18 7:3	tactic 15:6 17:11
24:2,16 34:13	spoke 15:25	stretch 15:5	take 12:4 19:22
shape 11:17	spot 41:19,20 43:7	strong 12:5	29:5 38:22 43:15
shared 9:21	spring 5:11	strongly 18:9	talked 19:8
shareholder	stakeholders'	structure 8:11	talking 9:20 23:13
16:13	35:10	10:5	taiking 9.20 23:13

	I	I	I
telephonically 4:8	15:23 16:1 17:1	today's 10:3 36:4	ultimately 34:24
4:15,22 5:7,14,21	19:16 20:3,6,11	told 17:7 24:12	38:21
6:7,14,21	20:13 22:9 23:24	26:4 32:18	unclear 8:20
tell 20:5 42:25	24:10 25:5,10,12	tomorrow 8:9	underlying 31:9
43:21,24	25:19 26:3,5,21	16:7 25:8 38:16	understand 19:13
temporary 23:24	28:2,3,7,23,23	39:10	26:2
terms 42:20	29:3,8,10,15	topco 3:8 16:14	understood 19:25
terrible 35:5	30:14,16 31:13,14	topic 37:24	28:15
thank 18:1,10,19	31:16 32:22 33:16	topics 36:19	underwood 5:7
18:20,25 21:19	33:24 34:23 35:11	totally 13:19	18:16,16,20
22:21 25:4 29:18	35:13 36:6,21	track 15:23	undone 23:4
32:12,24 33:19	37:8,12 38:11,17	transcribed 3:25	unfair 29:15
37:17 38:23 42:16	40:3,6 41:11,15	transcript 45:4	unfortunately
44:2,4	41:22 42:7,12,20	trial 12:11 35:1	11:15
thanks 18:15	43:18,18,23	39:20	unhappy 31:7
27:18 44:3	thinking 25:12	true 33:3 45:4	unheard 21:22
that's 11:13 14:10	40:21	truly 29:14 35:5	united 1:1,11 2:4
18:14 19:11,23	thought 21:21	trust 3:8 14:4	2:6,10,13,17,19
20:10 23:6 26:5	40:20	trustee 2:10,17,21	2:21 3:1,4 6:16,17
26:22,24 27:12	three 26:23 32:17	3:4 7:2 14:20	7:1,2 24:15 35:21
28:15,20 30:12	34:10,12	15:17 16:21 21:2	universal 10:16
32:18 33:20,21	thursday 37:14	35:21	unknowable 11:2
34:16 36:7 37:8	40:6	trustee's 2:19 3:1	unnecessary 22:2
39:14 40:9,12	ties 43:7	trustees 2:4,6,13	unsecured 6:2
42:2,5,7 43:23,25	time 2:4 8:19,20	trustee's 14:16	upstairs 37:7
there's 19:15	10:7,24 13:12,21	trusts 3:8 16:15	urge 20:24
28:24 30:18,19,19	14:7,25 20:13,18	try 15:6,21,23	urgency 30:9,11
31:15 36:15	21:8 23:22 28:8	38:2 40:7,14	30:12
they'll 26:4 28:9	38:17 39:12	trying 11:17	use 17:11 25:11
43:21	timeline 22:19	14:11 15:5,17,19	40:8
they're 11:21,24	times 12:17 24:13	32:23 38:10	useful 11:1,5
13:1 23:12 31:14	31:19,22	tuesday 40:7,11	utterly 24:6
31:22 40:15 43:2	timetable 43:9	turn 16:23	v
43:6,14	timing 9:2 12:12	turns 13:11	
they've 28:8	20:1 21:6,21	two 11:4,25 22:6	v 27:11
thing 23:12 33:23	24:16 25:1,20	30:17 33:22 36:23	vargas 1:25 varick 7:3
things 10:15,19	43:3	type 43:25 44:1	
11:17 13:25 16:13	tiny 12:4	types 32:1	various 16:15
17:6 20:7 23:3	today 8:10 10:20	u	vehicle 38:6
24:11 35:14 41:9	11:5 14:7 19:12		venue 19:9
think 10:2,12,16	20:5 25:6,19	u.s. 1:23 14:16,20	veritext 45:20
10:23 11:8,9,20	27:25 33:2,4 38:8	15:17 21:2 35:7	versus 35:7
11:25 12:20 13:5	,	ucc 12:8 27:3	victims 14:22 43:4
11.23 12.20 13.3	I .		
11.23 12.20 13.3		34:24	

Veritext Legal Solutions

[view - you've] Page 13

0.21 12.10		
view 9:21 12:10	we've 15:20 17:6	you'd 17:19
vote 35:8	18:8 24:3,12,20	you're 14:14 20:5
W	37:25 41:3	20:21 27:22 37:15
wait 13:8	what's 16:9	41:1
waited 28:5,5	whichever 34:13	you've 32:22
want 10:8 12:24	white 1:13	
13:9,10,18 14:2	willing 10:18	
19:7,14 25:20	26:21 30:14 38:18	
26:17 27:8,19	willingness 19:19	
29:2 31:20,23	19:19 33:4	
34:17 36:20 38:12	wish 21:14 41:5	
42:1	witness 34:3	
wanted 14:17	witnesses 12:25	
22:12 32:25	13:2,9,11,16,22	
wants 31:19	28:12 30:19 40:15	
wardwell 4:3 9:8	43:1	
warp 37:6	wolff 4:10	
warrants 21:7	won't 25:13 32:8	
washington 4:11	32:17 42:4	
18:2	words 26:16	
way 9:10 11:17	work 11:19 16:23	
14:2 21:23 29:5,6	23:2 30:14,22	
31:22 34:11,16	34:4,6 36:14,16	
38:7 40:3 42:13	worked 18:8	
43:10	working 36:4,19	
wednesday 37:14	37:6,8	
week 20:9 21:16	works 9:10 21:6	
26:22 28:1 31:5	24:21	
32:14 37:13,14	wouldn't 25:25	
weeks 10:2,22	43:5	
15:11 22:6 30:10	written 35:18	
welcome 13:25	wrong 14:10 27:4	
we'll 10:11 26:14	X	
30:22 34:7,15	x 1:4,10 23:25	
35:23,25 40:14	41:21,23	
43:23	y	
we're 9:20 12:20	_	
13:19,19 15:22	y 24:1	
26:16,21 27:5,9	yeah 39:14 40:13	
30:14,17 34:1,2	years 38:20	
36:4 37:6,7,7 39:3	yesterday 24:22	
39:4 40:8 43:20	york 1:2 4:6,13	
	6:5,12,19 7:4	