SEPARABILITY IN MORSE LOCAL-TO-GLOBAL GROUPS

LAWK MINEH AND DAVIDE SPRIANO

ABSTRACT. We show that in a Morse local-to-global group where stable subgroups are separable, the product of any stable subgroups is separable. As an application, we show that the product of stable subgroups in virtually special groups is separable.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a group G, we can equip it with the *profinite topology*, whose basic open subsets are cosets of finite index subgroups of G. A subset of G is said to be *separable* if it is closed in the profinite topology on G. The group G is called *residually finite* if the trivial subgroup is separable in G.

Knowing that particular subsets of groups are separable often gives useful information about the group. For example, in a finitely presented group, separability of a finitely generated subgroup gives a solution to the membership problem in that subgroup. In a geometric setting, separability properties of the fundamental group of a space correspond to desirable lifting properties of that space: immersed subcomplexes of a complex X may be promoted to embedded ones in a finite sheeted cover of X, provided that their corresponding subgroups are separable in $\pi_1 X$. For an example involving subsets rather than subgroups, it has been proven that if X is a nonpositively curved cube complex in which every double coset of hyperplane stabilisers is separable in $\pi_1 X$, then X has a finite sheeted special cover [HW08].

It is a difficult problem to show that a given subset of a group is separable, especially when one is only given some geometric data about the group. For instance, even the question of whether hyperbolic groups are residually finite is a long-standing open problem. It is known that all hyperbolic groups are residually finite if and only if every quasiconvex subgroup is separable in every hyperbolic group [AGM09]. Minasyan showed that if G is a hyperbolic group in which every quasiconvex subgroup is separable, the setwise product of any finite number of quasiconvex subgroups is also separable in G [Min06], extending a result of Ribes and Zalesskii, who proved the same result in the case G is free [RZ93]. Recently, the first author and Minasyan provided generalisation of this result in the setting of relatively hyperbolic groups [MM22]. In this paper, we will provide another natural generalisation of this product separability result to the class of groups with the *Morse local-to-global* (MLTG) property.

Introduced in [RST22], the MLTG property roughly speaking requires that quasigeodesics with hyperbolic-like properties behave similarly to quasigeodesics in hyperbolic spaces. Consider the following two perspectives on hyperbolic spaces. The first involves Morse geodesics: we say that a quasigeodesic is *Morse* if any other

quasigeodesic with the same endpoints stays uniformly finite Hausdorff-distance from it (see Definition 2.3). It is a well-known fact that every quasigeodesic in a hyperbolic space satisfies the Morse property, and moreover that a space is hyperbolic if and only if all of its geodesics are Morse [Bon96]. This motivates the study of Morse quasigeodesics in spaces that are not hyperbolic, an approach that has been successful in understanding the properties of spaces up to quasi-isometry [CH17, IM21, GKLS21, CRSZ22, QR22]. On the other hand, Gromov showed that a space is hyperbolic if and only if all of it local-quasigeodesics, i.e. paths that are quasigeodesics on every subpath of a certain length (see Definition 2.2) are globally quasigeodesics. The Morse local-to-global property puts these two perspectives together and prescribes that all paths that are locally Morse quasigeodesics are globally Morse quasigeodesics.

In groups with the MLTG property, elements acting on Morse geodesics behave "as they should". For instance, it is appealing to think that given two independent infinite order elements with Morse axes, then it is possible to use a ping-pong argument to generate a free subgroup using these elements. In general finitely generated groups this is not true, and we require the MLTG property in order for it to be satisfied. As the above suggests, the failure of the MLTG property seems to imply some pathological behaviour; the only known examples of groups without the MLTG property are not finitely presentable. On the other hand, many well-behaved classes of groups, such as 3-manifold groups, CAT(0) groups, and mapping class groups are known to satisfy the MLTG property.

Our main theorem is concerned with separability of products of *stable subgroups*. Stable subgroups were introduced in [DT15] where they showed that a the convex cocompact subgroups of the mapping class groups are precisely the stable ones. For infinite cyclic subgroups the notion of stability and fixing a Morse quasigeodesic agree, and in general stable subgroups present many properties akin to quasiconvex subgroups of hyperbolic groups.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a finitely generated group with the Morse local-to-global property, and suppose that any stable subgroup of G is separable. Then the product of any stable subgroups of G is separable.*

Recall that a group is *LERF* (locally extended residually finite) if every finitely generated subgroup is separable. The following statement may be of more general interest, for instance as a criterion for showing that a given group is not LERF. As stable subgroups are always finitely generated (see Lemma 2.9), the hypotheses are stronger than the above theorem.

Corollary 1.2. *Let G be a finitely generated LERF group with the Morse local-to-global property. Then the product of any stable subgroups of G is separable.*

A group is *virtually special* if it is has a finite index subgroup that is the fundamental group of a special cube complex. Triple cosets of convex subgroups in virtually special groups are known to be separable [She23]. We extend this result to arbitrary products of stable subgroups, which are quasiconvex.

Corollary 1.3. *Let G be a virtually special group. Then the product of any stable subgroups of G is separable.*

Strongly quasiconvex subgroups, also known as *Morse* subgroups, were introduced independently by Genevois and Tran [Tra19, Gen19], and Tran showed that

a subgroup of a finitely generated group is stable if and only if it is strongly quasiconvex and hyperbolic [Tra19, Proposition 4.3]. In the case of right-angled Artin groups, which contain many stable subgroups [KMT17], we can use [RST23, Corollary 7.4] to deduce the following.

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose that* Γ *is a finite connected graph, and let* A_Γ *be the associated right-angled Artin group. Then the product of any strongly quasiconvex subgroups of* A_Γ *is separable.*

1.1. **Acknowledgments.** The authors are grateful to the support of the CRM in Montréal where part of this work was completed. We would like to thank Sam Shepherd for interesting conversations on the topic.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we will restrict our attention to Cayley graphs of groups.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a group and S a generating set for G. The *Cayley graph* of G with respect to S is the graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ with vertex set G and elements g, h connected by an edge if either $gh^{-1} \in S$ or $hg^{-1} \in S$.

We equip the set of vertices of a graph with the metric induced by declaring all of its edges to have length one. Given a path γ of a graph, we will denote its length (i.e. number of edges) by $\ell(\gamma)$. Given metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y), a (λ, c)–*quasi-isometric embedding* is a map $f \colon X \to Y$ such that the following holds for any pair $x, y \in X$.

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} d_Y(f(x), f(y)) - c \le d_X(x, y) \le \lambda d_Y(f(x), f(y)) + c.$$

A (λ, c)–*quasigeodesic* is a (λ, c)–quasi isometric embedding of an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

The main geometric definition of the paper is the Morse local-to-global property. To define it, we need to define the Morse property and what is means for a given property of a path to be local.

Definition 2.2 (Local property). A path $\gamma \colon I \to X$ is said to L–*locally* satisfy a property P if each subpath of the form $\gamma|_{[t_1, t_2]}$ with $t_2 - t_1 \le L$ satisfies P. When a path γ is L–locally a (λ, c)–quasigeodesic, we say that γ is a $(L; \lambda, c)$–local quasigeodesic.

Definition 2.3 (Morse quasigeodesic). Let $M \colon \mathbb{R}_{\ge 1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$ be a non-decreasing function. A quasigeodesic $\gamma \colon I \to X$ is M–*Morse* if for any (λ, c)–quasigeodesic segment $\eta \colon [a, b] \to X$ such that $\eta(a) = \gamma(t_1), \eta(b) = \gamma(t_2)$ we have

$$d_H(\gamma|_{[t_1, t_2]}, \eta) \le M(\lambda, c),$$

where d_H denotes the Hausdorff-distance. We say that γ is a $(M; \lambda, c)$–Morse quasigeodesic.

Morse geodesics satisfy a thin-triangles condition, similar to geodesics is in a hyperbolic metric space.

Lemma 2.4 ([Liu21, Lemma 3.6]). *Let* X *be a geodesic metric space and suppose that* p *and* q *are* M–*Morse geodesics with* $p_- = q_-$. *There is a constant* $\delta = \delta(M) \ge 0$ *such that for any geodesic* r *with endpoints* $r_- = p_+$ *and* $r_+ = q_+$, *the geodesic triangle* $p \cup q \cup r$ *is* δ-*thin.*

Definition 2.5 (Local Morse quasigeodesic)**.** We say that a path is an $(L; M; \lambda, c)$–*local Morse quasigeodesic* if it is L–locally an M–Morse (λ, c)–quasigeodesic.

Definition 2.6 (MLTG property)**.** We say that a metric space X satisfies the *Morse local-to-global property*, for short MLTG property, if for any choice of Morse gauge M and constants $\lambda \geq 1, c \geq 0$ there exist a scale $L > 0$, a Morse gauge M' and constants $\lambda' \geq 1, c' \geq 0$ such that every $(L; M; \lambda, c)$–local Morse quasigeodesic is a $(M'; \lambda', c')$–Morse quasigeodesic.

The strength of the MLTG property is that it allows us to draw global conclusions from local conditions, as the next lemma shows.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $p = p_1, \ldots, p_n$ be a concatenation of M–Morse geodesics in a MLTG space X and let a_i and a_{i+1} be the ordered endpoints of p_i. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there are constants $B \geq 0, \lambda \geq 1, c \geq 0$, and a gauge N (all depending only on M and ε) such that if we have $\ell(p_i) > B$ for all $i = 2, \ldots, n-1$ and $\langle (a_{i-1}), (a_{i+1}) \rangle_{a_i} \leq \varepsilon$ for all $i = 2, \ldots, n$, then p is a $(N; \lambda, c)$–Morse quasigeodesic.*

Proof. We will show that there exists M' depending only on M and ε such that p is locally a $(M'; 1, 2\epsilon)$–Morse quasigeodesic, and then we will choose an appropriate B to use the MLTG property. Given the existence of such M', the MLTG property gives us a Morse gauge N and constants $\lambda \geq 1, c \geq 0, L \geq 0$ such that any $(L; M'; 1, 2\varepsilon)$–local Morse quasigeodesic is also a $(N; \lambda, c)$–Morse quasigeodesic.

We start with the quasigeodesic claim. Take $B \geq L + \varepsilon$ and observe that if we consider two points x, y at parameterised distance at most L, they either lie on the same segment p_i (which is geodesic), or on two consecutive segments p_{i-1} and p_i. In the latter case, we have

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{a_i} \leq \langle a_{i-1}, a_{i+1} \rangle_{a_i} \leq \varepsilon$$

which means

$$d(x, y) + 2\epsilon \geq d(a_i, x) + d(a_i, y) = \ell(p_{i-1}|_{[x,a_i]} * p_i|_{[a_i,y]}).$$

Thus, p is an $(L; 1, 2\epsilon)$–local quasigeodesic. A similar computation to that above (with $x = a_{i-1}, y = a_{i+1}$) shows that

$$d(a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}) \geq 2B - 2\varepsilon > L$$

where the last inequality comes from the choice of B. Now, applying [RST22, Lemma 2.15] to each concatenation $p_i * p_{i+1}$, we obtain some M' (depending only on M and ε) such that p is an $(L; M'; 1, 2\epsilon)$–local Morse quasigeodesic. Now applying the MLTG property shows that p is $(N; \lambda, c)$–Morse quasigeodesic. $\square$

The notion of stability – in some sense – generalises the notion of having the Morse property from quasigeodesics to arbitrary subgroups.

Definition 2.8 (Stable subgroup)**.** Let G be a group with finite generating set S. A subgroup $H \leqslant G$ is called *stable* if there is a Morse gauge M and constant $\mu \geq 0$ such that any geodesic in $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ with endpoints in H is M–Morse and lies in the μ-neighbourhood of H.

An immediate consequence of the definition is that a stable subgroup of a finitely generated group is undistorted. We note that while the gauge M and constant μ in the above depend on the choice of generating set S, the property of being stable does not (see, for example, [DT15, Lemma 3.4]). We recall some basic properties of stability, which follow from [DT15].

Lemma 2.9. *Let G be a finitely generated group and suppose $H \leqslant G$ is stable. Then the following are true:*

(1) *if $K \leqslant H$ has finite index in H, then K is stable;*
(2) *if $g \in G$, then gHg^{-1} is stable;*
(3) *H is finitely generated and undistorted in G;*
(4) *H is hyperbolic.*

Remark 2.10. In Item 1 we require K has finite index in H. However, note that for *any* subgroup $K \leqslant H$ the following weak form of stability holds, namely that any geodesic with endpoints on K is M–Morse. That is to say, a subgroup of an (M, μ)–stable group if (M, μ')–stable, if we allow $\mu' = \infty$. The finite index assumption guarantees $\mu' < \infty$.

The following lemma tells us that stable subgroups cannot be "too parallel" away from their intersection. More precisely, that there is a uniform upper bound on the Gromov product of elements from one subgroup when taken with minimal length coset representatives of the other.

Lemma 2.11. *Let G be a group with finite generating set S, and suppose that H and K are (M, μ)-stable subgroups of G. There is a constant $\rho = \rho(M, \mu, S) \geq 0$ such that if $h \in H$ is a shortest (with respect to S) representative of its right coset $(H \cap K)h$, then for any $k \in K$, we have $\langle h, k \rangle_1 \leq \rho$.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that we can find elements $h \in H, k \in K$ such that h is a shortest coset representative of $h(H \cap K)$ and $\langle h, k \rangle_1$ is arbitrarily large. Since H and K are stable, any choice of geodesics $p = [1, h]$ and $q = [1, k]$ are M–Morse and lie in a μ-neighbourhood of H and K respectively.

Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be the vertices of p with $d_S(1, a_i) \leq \langle h, k \rangle_1$. The assumption that $\langle h, k \rangle_1$ can be taken to be arbitrarily large means that n can be taken to be arbitrarily large. Corresponding to each vertex a_i, there is $v_i \in H$ such that $d_S(a_i, v_i) \leq \mu$ by stability of H. Moreover, by Lemma 2.4 there is $\delta = \delta(M) \geq 0$ such that $d_S(a_i, q) \leq \delta$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$. By stability of K and the triangle inequality, therefore, we obtain that $d_S(v_i, K) \leq 2\mu + \delta$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Note that since p is geodesic

$$(1) \qquad d_S(1, v_i) \leq i - \mu \qquad \text{and} \qquad d_S(v_i, h) \leq d_S(1, h) - i - \mu$$

for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, let g_i be the shortest element of G with respect to S such that $v_i g_i \in K$, so $|g_i|_S \leq 2\mu + \delta$. Let N be the number of elements in the ball of radius $2\mu + \delta$ about the identity in $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$. Taking n to be sufficiently large with respect to N and μ, there must be some pair (i, j) with $g_i = g_j$ satisfying $j - i > 2\mu$. Then equation (1) gives

$$(2) \qquad\qquad\qquad d_S(1, v_i) + d_S(v_j, h) < d_S(1, h).$$

But then $v_j g_j (v_i g_i)^{-1} = v_j v_i^{-1} \in H \cap K$, as $v_i, v_j \in H$ and $v_i g_i, v_j g_j \in K$. Moreover

$$d_S(v_j v_i^{-1}, h) \leq d_S(v_j v_i^{-1}, v_j) + d_S(v_j, h)$$
$$= d_S(1, v_i) + d_S(v_j, h) < d_S(1, h)$$

where the last inequality is an application of (2). It follows that $v_i v_j^{-1} h \in (H \cap K)h$ and

$$\left| v_i v_j^{-1} h \right|_S = d_S(v_j v_i^{-1}, h) < d_S(h, 1) = |h|_S$$

which contradicts the fact that h is a minimal length representative of its $(H \cap K)$-coset. Thus, there must be an upper bound on the Gromov product. $\square$

We finish this section by recalling the key property that we need for our proof, namely that the MLTG property allows a ping-pong type argument for stable subgroups.

Proposition 2.12 ([RST22, Theorem 3.1]). *Let G be a group with finite generating set S and suppose G has the Morse local-to-global property. Let $Q, R \leqslant G$ be stable subgroups of G with corresponding Morse gauge M and constant $\mu \geq 0$. There is a constant $C = C(M, \mu, S) \geq 0$ such that the following is true.*

Let $Q' \leqslant Q$ and $R' \leqslant R$ be subgroups such that $Q' \cap R' = Q \cap R$ and $|g|_S \geq C$ for each $g \in (Q' \cup R') \setminus (Q' \cap R')$. Then $\langle Q', R' \rangle \cong Q' *_{Q' \cap R'} R'$. Moreover, if Q' and R' are finitely generated and undistorted in G, then $\langle Q', R' \rangle$ is stable.

3. Separability of products

In this section we will prove the main theorem. We start by recalling some basic properties of separable subgroups.

Remark 3.1. If $U \subseteq G$ is a separable subset of G, then U^{-1}, gU, and Ug are separable for any $g \in G$.

Remark 3.2. Let $H_1, \ldots, H_n \leqslant G$ be subgroups of G and let $a_0, \ldots, a_n \in G$. Observe that

$$a_0 H_1 a_1 \ldots a_{n-1} H_n a_n = H_1^{a_0} H_2^{a_0 a_1} \ldots H_n^{a_0 \ldots a_{n-1}} a_0 \ldots a_n,$$

which is a translate of a product of conjugates of the subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_n$. The set $a_0 H_1 a_1 \ldots a_{n-1} H_n a_n$ is thus separable if and only if the product of subgroups $H_1^{a_0} \ldots H_n^{a_0 \ldots a_{n-1}}$ is separable.

In particular, suppose there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that any product of n stable subgroups are separable in G, and suppose $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ are stable subgroups of G. Lemma 2.9(2) gives that $H_i^{a_0 \ldots a_{i-1}}$ is stable for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, so that the set $H_1^{a_0} \ldots H_n^{a_0 \ldots a_{n-1}}$ is a product of n stable subgroups. By the observation above we may conclude that the set $a_0 H_1 a_1 \ldots a_{n-1} H_n a_n$ is separable in this situation.

In order to exploit the geometric edge given by the MLTG property, it is useful to choose coset representative that are geometrically meaningful, which we can do by the following remark.

Remark 3.3. Suppose that S is a generating set for group G and let $H_1, \ldots, H_n \leqslant G$ be subgroups of G. Given elements $x_1 \in H_1, \ldots, x_n \in H_n$, there are elements $y_1 \in H_1, \ldots, y_n \in H_n$ such that $x_1 \ldots x_n = y_1 \ldots y_n$ and $|y_i|_S$ is minimal among elements of the coset $(H_{i-1} \cap H_i) y_i$ for each $1 < i \leq n$.

Indeed, there is $y_n \in H_n$ and $z_n \in H_n \cap H_{n-1}$ such that $x_n = z_n y_n$ and $|y_n|_S$ is minimal among elements of $(H_{n-1} \cap H_n) x_n = (H_{n-1} \cap H_n) y_n$. Similarly there is $y_{n-1} \in H_{n_1}$ and $z_{n-1} \in H_{n-1} \cap H_{n-2}$ such that $x_{n-1} z_n = z_{n-1} y_{n-1}$ and y_{n-1} is a shortest representative of $(H_{n-2} \cap H_{n-1}) x_{n-1} z_n = (H_{n-2} \cap H_{n-1}) y_{n-1}$. We can proceed by finite induction to find elements $y_2 \in H_2, \ldots, y_{n2} \in H_n$ and $z_2 \in H_2 \cap H_3, \ldots, z_n \in H_{n-1} \cap H_n$ with the properties described above. Setting $y_1 = x_1 z_2 \in H_1$ completes the observation.

We conclude the section by proving the main theorem of the paper and the related corollaries.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We proceed by induction on the number n of stable subgroups. The case $n = 1$ is exactly the hypothesis that stable subgroups of G are separable, so let $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ be stable subgroups of G with $n > 1$ and suppose that the product of any $n - 1$ stable subgroups of G is separable.

Fix a finite generating set S of G. By taking maxima of gauges and constants, we may assume without loss of generality that $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ are (M, μ)-stable. Let $\rho = \rho(M, \mu, S)$ be the constant obtained from Lemma 2.11, and let $C = C(M, \mu, S)$ be the constant of Proposition 2.12. Let $B, \lambda, c \geq 0$ be the constants and N the Morse gauge obtained from applying Lemma 2.7 with gauge M and constant ρ.

Suppose for a contradiction that the product $H_1 \ldots H_n$ is not separable, so that there is some $g \notin H_1 \ldots H_n$ belonging to the profinite closure of $H_1 \ldots H_n$. This means that g is contained in every separable subset containing $H_1 \ldots H_n$. For ease of reading, we will write $Q = H_1, R = H_2$, and $T_i = H_{i+2}$ whenever $1 \leq i \leq s = n-2$. By hypothesis Q and R are separable, and thus their intersection $I = Q \cap R$ is also. Let $\{N_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an enumeration of the finite index subgroups of G containing I, and note that $I = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i$ as I is separable. For each i, we write

$$N_i' = \bigcap_{j=1}^{i} N_j$$

so that $\{N_i'\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of nested finite index subgroups of G containing I whose intersection is equal to I.

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the set

$$K_i = Q \langle Q_i', R_i' \rangle R T_1 \ldots T_s$$

where $Q_i' = N_i' \cap Q \leqslant_f Q$ and $R_i' = N_i' \cap R \leqslant_f R$. Note that $I \subseteq N_i'$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $Q_i' \cap R_i' = I$. It is immediate from the definition that $K_i \supseteq QRT_1 \ldots T_s$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Our aim is to show that for sufficiently large i, the set K_i is separable and excludes the element g.

Let us first show that K_i is separable when i is large. Indeed, for a given i, let $x_1, \ldots, x_a$ be left coset representatives for Q_i' in Q and $y_1, \ldots, y_b$ be right coset representatives for R_i' in R. Then we have

$$K_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{a} \bigcup_{k=1}^{b} x_j \langle Q_i', R_i' \rangle y_k T_1 \ldots T_s.$$

Since I is the intersection of all N_i', there is an index $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $i \geq i_0$, any element n in N_i' with $|n|_S \leq C$ belongs to I. By (1) and (3) of Lemma 2.9, Q_i' and R_i' are finitely generated and undistorted, so we may apply Proposition 2.12 to obtain that $\langle Q_i', R_i' \rangle$ is stable. Thus, by Remark 3.2 and the induction hypothesis, K_i can be written as a finite union of separable subsets, and so K_i is separable whenever $i \geq i_0$.

We now show that there is $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g \notin K_i$. As g belongs to the profinite closure of $QRT_1 \ldots T_s$ and for each $i \geq i_0$ the set K_i is a profinitely closed subset of G containing $QRT_1 \ldots T_s$, g belongs to K_i for each $i \geq i_0$. That is, for each $i \geq i_0$ we may write

$$(3) \qquad g = q^{(i)} x_1^{(i)} \ldots x_{m_i}^{(i)} r^{(i)} t_1^{(i)} \ldots t_s^{(i)}$$

for some $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_j^{(i)} \in Q'_i \cup R'_i$ for each $1 \leq j \leq m_i$, and where $q^{(i)} \in Q, r^{(i)} \in R, t_1^{(i)} \in T_1, \ldots, t_s^{(i)} \in T_s$.

There are two cases to consider.

<u>*Case 1:*</u> $\liminf_{i \to \infty} |r^{(i)}|_S < \infty$ or $\liminf_{i \to \infty} |t_j^{(i)}|_S < \infty$ for some $1 \leq j < s$.

We consider only the possibility that $\liminf_{i \to \infty} |r^{(i)}|_S < \infty$, for the other cases can be dealt with identically. By definition, there is a subsequence of $(r^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ whose terms have length bounded by some fixed constant. Since there are only finitely many elements of G with any given length with respect to S, we may pass to a further subsequence whose terms are all equal to a single element $r \in R$. Hence we have

(4) $$g \in Q\langle Q'_i, R'_i \rangle r T_1 \ldots T_s \text{ for infinitely many } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now by the induction hypothesis and Remark 3.2, the set $Q r T_1 \ldots T_s$ is separable in G. Since $g \notin Q R T_1 \ldots T_s$, we have $g \notin Q r T_1 \ldots T_s$, and so there is $N \lhd_f G$ such that $g \notin N Q r T_1 \ldots T_s = Q N r T_1 \ldots T_s$. The subgroup $IN \leqslant_f G$ is a finite index subgroup of G containing I, so $N'_{i_1} \subseteq IN$ for some $i_1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the sequence of subgroups $\{N'_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nested, we have thus shown that

$$Q\langle Q'_i, R'_i \rangle r T_1 \ldots T_s \subseteq Q N'_i r T_1 \ldots T_s \subseteq Q I N r T_1 \ldots T_s = Q N r T_1 \ldots T_s$$

for any $i \geq i_1$, where the last equality uses the fact that $QI = Q$. However, the fact that $g \notin Q N r T_1 \ldots T_s$ now contradicts the inclusions of (4), so this case is impossible.

<u>*Case 2:*</u> $\liminf_{i \to \infty} |r^{(i)}|_S = \infty$ and $\liminf_{i \to \infty} |t_j^{(i)}|_S = \infty$ for all $1 \leq j < s$.

Define $z_0 = 1, z_1 = q^{(i)}, z_2 = z_1 x_1^{(i)}, \ldots, z_{m_i+1} = z_{m_i} x_{m_i}^{(i)}, z_{m_i+2} = z_{m_i+1} r^{(i)}, z_{m_i+3} = z_{m_i+2} t_1^{(i)}, \ldots, z_{m_i+2+s} = z_{m_i+s} t_s^{(i)}$. For each $0 \leq j \leq m_i + 1 + s$, we let p_j be a geodesic with $(p_j)_- = z_j$ and $(p_j)_+ = z_{j+1}$. Let p be the concatenation $p_0 \ldots p_{m_i+1+s}$ of these paths.

We will use Lemma 2.7 to conclude that the path p is a uniform quasigeodesic. Assuming this, the fact that $\liminf_{i \to \infty} |r^{(i)}|_S = \infty$ and $\liminf_{i \to \infty} |t_j^{(i)}|_S = \infty$ means that for sufficiently large i, the distance between the endpoints of p is greater than $|g|_S$, contradicting the fact that p represents g.

Without loss of generality, we may assume $x_1^{(i)} \in R'_i \setminus Q$ and $x_{m_i}^{(i)} \in Q'_i \setminus R$, for otherwise we may replace $q^{(i)}$ with $q_1^{(i)} = q^{(i)} x_1^{(i)} \in Q$ and eliminate $x_1^{(i)}$ from the product (and likewise with $r^{(i)}$ and $x_{m_i}^{(i)}$). Further, we may assume by Remark 3.3 that $x_1^{(i)}, \ldots, x_{m_i}^{(i)}$, and $r^{(i)}$ are shortest representatives of their right I-cosets, and in particular $x_1^{(i)}, \ldots, x_{m_i}^{(i)}, r^{(i)} \notin I$. Similarly we take $t_1^{(i)}$ to be a shortest representative of $(R \cap T_1) t_1^{(i)}$ and, for $1 < i \leq s$, the element $t_j^{(i)}$ to be a shortest representative of $(T_{j-1} \cap T_j) t_j^{(i)}$.

The above paragraph together with Lemma 2.11 shows that

(5) $$\langle z_{j-1}, z_{j+1} \rangle_{z_j} \leq \rho \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, m_i + s.$$

We now verify the hypotheses of Lemma 2.7. Each of the geodesic segments p_i represents an element of (a subgroup) of one of $Q, R, T_1, \ldots, T_{s-1}$, or T_s, Therefore by Remark 2.10, we obtain that the geodesic segments p_i are M–Morse. For any given $B' \geq B$ (recall that B is the constant of Lemma 2.7 applied with M and ρ)

we deduce the following. Since $\liminf_{i\to\infty}\left|r^{(i)}\right|_S = \infty$ and $\liminf_{i\to\infty}\left|t_j^{(i)}\right|_S = \infty$ we have that $\left|r^{(i)}\right|_S > B'$ and $\left|t_j^{(i)}\right|_S > B'$ for each $j = 1,\ldots,s$ and sufficiently large i. Moreover, since $x_j^{(i)} \in (Q_i' \cup R_i') \setminus I \subseteq N_i' \setminus I$ and since $\bigcap N_i' = I$, for i large enough we have $\left|x_j^{(i)}\right|_S > B'$. Thus Lemma 2.7 implies that p is $(N;\lambda,c)$–Morse quasigeodesic. Finally, choosing B' sufficiently large with respect to λ, c, and $|g|_S$, gives us that the endpoints of p are a greater distance than $|g|_S$ apart, the desired contradiction.

From the above, there is some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that K_i is separable, contains the product $QRT_1 \ldots T_s$, and excludes g. Therefore the product $QRT_1 \ldots T_s = H_1 \ldots H_n$ is separable. □

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Stable subgroups are quasiconvex, and quasiconvex subgroups of virtually special groups are separable by [HW08, Corollary 7.9]. Moreover, CAT(0) groups have the MLTG property by [RST22, Theorem D]. Therefore Theorem 1.1 applies to give the result. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Let $H_1,\ldots,H_n$ be strongly quasiconvex subgroups of A_Γ. If there is some $1 \le i \le n$ such that H_i has finite index, then the product $H_1 \ldots H_n$ is a union of finitely many cosets of H_i. Since H_i has finite index, it is separable in A_Γ, whence $H_1 \ldots H_n$ is separable.

Now suppose that each of the subgroups $H_1,\ldots,H_n$ has infinite index in A_Γ. By [RST23, Corollary 7.4], they must be stable subgroups. Noting that A_Γ is CAT(0) and hence has the MLTG property [RST22, Theorem D], the conclusion follows by applying Theorem 1.1. □

References

[AGM09]   Ian Agol, Daniel Groves, and Jason Fox Manning. Residual finiteness, QCERF and fillings of hyperbolic groups. *Geom. Topol.*, 13(2):1043–1073, 2009.

[Bon96]   Mario Bonk. Quasi-geodesic segments and Gromov hyperbolic spaces. *Geom. Dedicata*, 62(3):281–298, 1996.

[CH17]   Matthew Cordes and David Hume. Stability and the Morse boundary. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 95(3):963–988, 2017.

[CRSZ22]   Matthew Cordes, Jacob Russell, Davide Spriano, and Abdul Zalloum. Regularity of Morse geodesics and growth of stable subgroups. *J. Topol.*, 15(3):1217–1247, 2022.

[DT15]   Matthew Gentry Durham and Samuel J. Taylor. Convex cocompactness and stability in mapping class groups. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 15(5):2839–2859, 2015.

[Gen19]   Anthony Genevois. Hyperbolicities in CAT(0) cube complexes. *Enseign. Math.*, 65(1-2):33–100, 2019.

[GKLS21]   Marius Graeber, Annette Karrer, Nir Lazarovich, and Emily Stark. Surprising circles in Morse boundaries of right-angled Coxeter groups. *Topology Appl.*, 294:Paper No. 107645, 3, 2021.

[HW08]   F. Haglund and D.T. Wise. Special cube complexes. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 17(5):1551–1620, 2008.

[IM21]   Merlin Incerti-Medici. Comparing topologies on the Morse boundary and quasi-isometry invariance. *Geom. Dedicata*, 212:153–176, 2021.

[KMT17]   Thomas Koberda, Johanna Mangahas, and Samuel J. Taylor. The geometry of purely loxodromic subgroups of right-angled Artin groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 369(11):8179–8208, 2017.

[Liu21]   Qing Liu. Dynamics on the Morse boundary. *Geom. Dedicata*, 214:1–20, 2021.

[Min06]   A. Minasyan. Separable subsets of GFERF negatively curved groups. *J. Algebra*, 304(2):1090–1100, 2006.

[MM22]   A. Minasyan and L. Mineh. Quasiconvexity of virtual joins and separability of products in relatively hyperbolic groups. *arXiv preprint*, 2022.

[QR22]   Yulan Qing and Kasra Rafi. Sublinearly Morse boundary I: CAT(0) spaces. *Adv. Math.*, 404(part B):Paper No. 108442, 51, 2022.

[RST22] Jacob Russell, Davide Spriano, and Hung Cong Tran. The local-to-global property for Morse quasi-geodesics. *Math. Z.*, 300(2):1557–1602, 2022.

[RST23] Jacob Russell, Davide Spriano, and Hung Cong Tran. Convexity in hierarchically hyperbolic spaces. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 23(3):1167–1248, 2023.

[RZ93]   L. Ribes and P.A. Zalesskii. On the profinite topology on a free group. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 25(1):37–43, 1993.

[She23] Sam Shepherd. Imitator homomorphisms for special cube complexes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 376(1):599–641, 2023.

[Tra19] Hung Cong Tran. On strongly quasiconvex subgroups. *Geom. Topol.*, 23(3):1173–1235, 2019.