# **Math Review and Algorithm Analysis**

Use empirical analysis methods and code analysis methods to determine running time complexity in Big O notation.

#### **Review of Common Math Functions.**

1) Use Excel or some other graphing tool to graph the following equations.

```
y = x
y = 2x
y = x^{2}
y = 2^{x}
y = 2^{x}
y = x^{3}
y = \log_{2} x
```

- 2) Rank the graphs of the above equations by rate of growth, fastest (non-initial) growth first.
- 3) Match the shape of each graph with the closest common Big(O) curve and label them so.

## **Empirical Analysis.**

4) Complete the table for each of the following functions. For each foo, write a small program with a loop where n is a counter from 0 to at least 64. Call the foo within the loop, passing it each value of n, and getting the return value from foo. Fill out a table with each n and its corresponding return value. You can skip some values of n when n starts to get biggish. Capture your output and generate the tables.

```
int foo1(int n)
{
    int counter = 0;
    for(int i = 0; i < n; i++)
        counter++;

    return counter;
}
int foo2(int n)
{
    int counter = 0;
    for(int i = 0; i < n; i++)
        for(int j = 0; j < n; j++)
            counter++;

    return counter;
}
int foo3(int n)
{
    int counter = 0;</pre>
```

| n  | return value |
|----|--------------|
| 0  |              |
| 1  |              |
| 2  |              |
|    |              |
| 4  |              |
| 5  |              |
| 6  |              |
| 7  |              |
| 8  |              |
| 9  |              |
| 10 |              |
| 11 |              |
| 12 |              |
| 13 |              |
| 14 |              |
| 15 |              |
| 16 |              |
| 32 |              |
| 64 |              |

- 5) Use Excel to GRAPH the data tables from the previous functions. Use the return value as a function of n. That means, put n on the horizontal axis (x) and put the return value on the vertical axis (y). Use Excel or some other graphics tewl.
- 6) Rank the graphs above by rate of growth, fastest first.

## **Code Analysis**

7) Implement and test each of the following series for several different values of n and A. Present your output in a nice table of values. Use iterative solutions, do not use the equivalent (condensed) algebraic formula.

### I. Arithmetic Series

Test for values of n from 1 to 10.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} i = 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + N$$

Iterative code solution:

```
int sum = 0;
for(int i = 1; i <= n; i++)
    sum = sum + i;</pre>
```

#### II. Geometric Series

Test for values of n from 1 to 5 and A from 1 to 5 (25 rows total).

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} A^{i} = A^{1} + A^{2} + A^{3} + ... + A^{N}$$

Iterative code solution:

```
int term, sum = 0;
for(int i = 1; i <= n; i++)
{
    term = A;</pre>
```

## **III. A More Efficient Geometric Series**

Redo the previous solution using only a single loop instead of the nested loop.

#### IV. Another Series

Implement the following series and test for n = 1 to 5 and A = 1 to 5 (25 rows total). Produce a nice table of values.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} iA^{i} = 1A^{1} + 2A^{2} + 3A^{3} + \dots + NA^{N}$$

8) For the previous series implementations, determine the BigO of each series by analysis of the source code. A code analysis using our Big O "rules of thumb" is sufficient; you do not need to perform an exact mathematical analysis. List the Big O answer and explain why it is so in English.

Page 3 of 3