## **Upper Murray R Calibration Summary**

For a fuller explanation of the calibration of the Upper Murray River URBS models, refer to *Upper Murray River URBS Model Enhancement*, August 2016.

Calibration Performance Upper Murray River Model at Jingellic

| Flow   |       |       | Volume |           |           | Peak Height |          |          |       |                   |  |
|--------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------|----------|-------|-------------------|--|
| Event  | Cal   | Rated | PR     | Cal       | Rated     | VR          | Cal      | Rec      | δН    | Nash<br>Sutcliffe |  |
|        | m³/s  | m³/s  |        | ML        | ML        |             | m<br>AHD | m<br>AHD | m     |                   |  |
| 197401 | 717   | 523   | 1.37   | 429,890   | 368,935   | 1.17        | 5.24     | 4.46     | -0.78 | 0.50              |  |
| 197410 | 1,155 | 1,440 | 0.80   | 1,131,796 | 1,306,677 | 0.87        | 6.73     | 7.51     | 0.78  | 0.82              |  |
| 197510 | 1,338 | 1,352 | 0.99   | 982,161   | 984,031   | 1.00        | 7.29     | 7.32     | 0.03  | 0.89              |  |
| 198107 | 1,025 | 853   | 1.20   | 624,108   | 619,218   | 1.01        | 6.33     | 5.75     | -0.58 | 0.68              |  |
| 198308 | 1,050 | 814   | 1.29   | 298,893   | 269,823   | 1.11        | 6.41     | 5.61     | -0.80 | 0.51              |  |
| 199210 | 902   | 1,149 | 0.79   | 377,780   | 444,406   | 0.85        | 5.92     | 6.71     | 0.79  | 0.73              |  |
| 199310 | 732   | 1,060 | 0.69   | 367,201   | 489,400   | 0.75        | 5.30     | 6.44     | 1.14  | 0.68              |  |
| 199607 | 605   | 673   | 0.90   | 1,076,965 | 1,058,817 | 1.02        | 4.81     | 5.07     | 0.26  | 0.94              |  |
| 199610 | 656   | 763   | 0.86   | 1,196,363 | 1,302,431 | 0.92        | 5.01     | 5.42     | 0.41  | 0.76              |  |
| 199809 | 942   | 869   | 1.08   | 341,024   | 296,449   | 1.15        | 6.06     | 5.81     | -0.25 | 0.87              |  |
| 201009 | 1,416 | 1,273 | 1.11   | 947,160   | 797,694   | 1.19        | 7.46     | 7.10     | -0.36 | 0.86              |  |
| 201010 | 1,549 | 1,495 | 1.04   | 627,284   | 685,908   | 0.91        | 7.75     | 7.63     | -0.12 | 0.90              |  |
| 201012 | 626   | 847   | 0.74   | 347,005   | 386,685   | 0.90        | 4.89     | 5.73     | 0.84  | 0.81              |  |
| 201102 | 489   | 479   | 1.02   | 526,574   | 646,066   | 0.82        | 4.31     | 4.27     | -0.04 | 0.49              |  |
| 201109 | 529   | 554   | 0.96   | 178,115   | 208,271   | 0.86        | 4.49     | 4.59     | 0.10  | 0.77              |  |
| 201203 | 1,006 | 1,624 | 0.62   | 440,848   | 554,022   | 0.80        | 6.27     | 7.91     | 1.64  | 0.74              |  |

Calibration Performance Upper Murray River Model at Hume Dam Inflow

|        |       | Flow  |          | er Murray Kiv |           |      |                   |
|--------|-------|-------|----------|---------------|-----------|------|-------------------|
| Event  | Cal   | Rated | Rated PR | Cal           | Cal Rated |      | Nash<br>Sutcliffe |
|        | m³/s  | m³/s  |          | ML            | ML        |      |                   |
| 197401 | 1,113 | 1,060 | 1.05     | 851,320       | 774,736   | 1.10 | 0.35              |
| 197410 | 2,205 | 1,866 | 1.18     | 2,139,248     | 2,216,463 | 0.97 | 0.84              |
| 197510 | 2,030 | 1,994 | 1.02     | 1,775,667     | 1,735,933 | 1.02 | 0.91              |
| 198107 | 1,354 | 1,111 | 1.22     | 895,149       | 884,110   | 1.01 | 0.80              |
| 198308 | 1,331 | 1,121 | 1.19     | 447,664       | 465,349   | 0.96 | 0.38              |
| 199210 | 1,335 | 1,199 | 1.11     | 723,775       | 679,524   | 1.07 | 0.86              |
| 199310 | 1,158 | 1,091 | 1.06     | 788,152       | 799,314   | 0.99 | 0.82              |
| 199607 | 879   | 760   | 1.16     | 1,426,793     | 1,389,772 | 1.03 | 0.72              |
| 199610 | 936   | 964   | 0.97     | 2,029,825     | 1,912,541 | 1.06 | 0.76              |
| 199809 | 1,261 | 806   | 1.56     | 393,484       | 392,787   | 1.00 | 0.59              |
| 201009 | 1,458 | 1,149 | 1.27     | 977,370       | 928,445   | 1.05 | 0.80              |
| 201010 | 1,770 | 1,259 | 1.41     | 793,876       | 778,398   | 1.02 | 0.87              |
| 201012 | 713   | 664   | 1.07     | 424,344       | 411,928   | 1.03 | 0.86              |
| 201102 | 735   | 561   | 1.31     | 814,809       | 798,085   | 1.02 | 0.67              |
| 201109 | 561   | 492   | 1.14     | 202,403       | 223,524   | 0.91 | 0.79              |
| 201203 | 1,392 | 1,489 | 0.93     | 653,872       | 726,026   | 0.90 | 0.74              |

Recommended Model Parameters Upper Murray River

| Percentile  | IL<br>(mm)                    | CL<br>(mm/hr) | Alpha | Beta | m   |
|-------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------|------|-----|
| 5th         | 0                             | 1.3           | 0.25  | 3.0  | 0.8 |
| 95th        | 41                            | 8.1           | 0.35  | 5.0  | 8.0 |
| Recommended | To suit antecedent conditions | 3.8           | 0.30  | 4.0  | 0.8 |

## **Antecedent Conditions**

Catchment state in the lead up to the onset of flood producing rainfall can give an indication of the initial that might be adopted.

Rainfall deciles for South East Australia in the month preceding each flood event investigated was determined from the Bureau of Meteorology Climate website with results shown in the table below.

Antecedent Rainfall

| rincocaci | Initial Loss (mm) |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Event     | Initial L         | _oss (mm)    | Rainfall in Preceding Month                  |  |  |  |  |
| Zvonc     | Mitta Mitta       | Upper Murray |  |  |  |  |  |
| 197401    |                   | 35           | Above Average to Very Much Above Average     |  |  |  |  |
| 197410    |                   | 10           | Above Average                                |  |  |  |  |
| 197510    |                   | 15           | Above Average to Very Much Above Average     |  |  |  |  |
| 198107    | 15                | 10           | Above Average to Highest on Record           |  |  |  |  |
| 198308    | 10                | 10           | Average to Above Average                     |  |  |  |  |
| 199210    | 0                 | 5            | Very Much Above Average to Highest on Record |  |  |  |  |
| 199310    | 35                | 0            | Above Average to Very Much Above Average     |  |  |  |  |
| 199607    | 0                 | 10           | Average                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 199610    | 0                 | 10           | Above Average to Very Much Above Average     |  |  |  |  |
| 199809    | 10                | 0            | Average                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 201009    | 25                | 10           | Above Average to Very Much Above Average     |  |  |  |  |
| 201010    | 40                | 20           | Average to Above Average                     |  |  |  |  |
| 201012    | 35                | 30           | Above Average to Very Much Above Average     |  |  |  |  |
| 201102    | 90                | 60           | Average to Above Average                     |  |  |  |  |
| 201109    | 5                 | 15           | Below Average to Average                     |  |  |  |  |
| 201203    | 10                | 25           | Above Average to Very Much Above Average     |  |  |  |  |

## **Initial Baseflow**

In the Upper Murray River model, the initial baseflow into Hume Dam can be assumed to be 120% of the flow at Jingellic (Murray R) at the event start/date time to the nearest 50 m<sup>3</sup>/s.

