

Normality and Inner Product Spaces

Problem 1: Let $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and V be an inner product space over F .

Show that the function $\alpha : V \rightarrow V^\vee$ defined by $\alpha(y) = \langle -, y \rangle$ is injective, and that it is linear when $F = \mathbb{R}$.

Let V then be finite dimensional and $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of V . Let $f \in V^\vee$. Show that $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f(v_i) \langle -, v_i \rangle$

Proof. Let $y, z \in V$ where $\alpha(y) = \alpha(z)$. If $y = z$ then α is injective.

Indeed, since $\langle -, y \rangle = \langle -, z \rangle$ then $\langle -, y - z \rangle = 0$ by additivity in the second component. Consider $\langle y - z, y - z \rangle = 0$. That must mean $y - z = 0$. Thus $y = z$.

We can see α is linear over \mathbb{R} since:

$$\alpha(y + z) = \langle -, y + z \rangle = \langle -, y \rangle + \langle -, z \rangle = \alpha(y) + \alpha(z)$$

$$\alpha(cy) = \langle -, cy \rangle = c \langle -, y \rangle = c\alpha(y)$$

for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since f is linear, it can be fully described by where it takes the basis of its domain (for any vector in the domain can be written as a linear combination of basis vectors). So, it suffices to show $f(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(v_i) \langle v_j, v_i \rangle$ for any v_j in the orthonormal basis. Indeed, since $\langle v_j, v_i \rangle = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\langle v_j, v_j \rangle = 1$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(v_i) \langle v_j, v_i \rangle = f(v_j)$$

□

Problem 2: Show that the differentiation map is not normal with respect to any inner product.

Proof. Suppose for sake of contradiction that T is normal.

We know that differentiation is nilpotent. Thus, the characteristic polynomial of T is t^k . In particular, this splits. And thus, by Schur's Theorem, there exist an orthonormal basis β such that $[T]_\beta$ is upper triangular.

This combined with the fact that $[T]_\beta$ is normal implies that it is also diagonal based on Theorem 6.16 in *Linear Algebra* by Spence.

But the only eigenvalues of T is 0 because it is nilpotent. Thus $[T]_\beta = 0$ which means $T = 0$ regardless of basis. And so, $0 = T(x) = 1$, which is a contradiction. \square

Problem 3: Let T be a normal operator on V Show the following:

- (a) $\|T(x)\| = \|T^*(x)\|$ for all $x \in V$
- (b) If x is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ , then x is an eigenvector of T^* with eigenvalue $\bar{\lambda}$.
- (c) If λ and λ' are distinct eigenvalues of T , $x \in E_\lambda$ and $x' \in E_{\lambda'}$, then $\langle x, x' \rangle = 0$

Proof.

- (a) Since T is normal,

$$\|T(x)\|^2 = \langle T(x), T(x) \rangle = \langle T^*T(x), x \rangle = \langle TT^*(x), x \rangle = \langle T^*(x), T^*(x) \rangle = \|T^*(x)\|^2$$

which implies $\|T(x)\| = \|T^*(x)\|$.

- (b) First we prove $T - \lambda I$ is normal if T is normal:

$$\begin{aligned} (T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I) &= (T^* - \bar{\lambda}I)(T - \lambda I) \\ &= T^*T - T^*\lambda I - \bar{\lambda}T + |\lambda|^2 I \\ &= TT^* - T\bar{\lambda}I - \lambda T^* + |\lambda|^2 I \\ &= (T - \lambda I)(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I) \\ &= (T - \lambda I)(T - \lambda I)^* \end{aligned}$$

Now, by part (a), if $T(x) = \lambda(x)$ and $x \neq 0$,

$$\|T^*(x) - \bar{\lambda}x\| = \|(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I)x\| \stackrel{(a)}{=} \|(T - \lambda)x\| = \|T(x) - \lambda x\| = 0$$

which implies $T^*(x) = \bar{\lambda}x$.

- (c) By part (b), if we let x and x' be non-zero,

$$\lambda \langle x, x' \rangle = \langle T(x), x' \rangle = \langle x, T^*(x') \rangle \stackrel{(b)}{=} \langle x, \bar{\lambda}'x' \rangle = \bar{\lambda}' \langle x, x' \rangle$$

And since λ and λ' are distinct, $\langle x, x' \rangle = 0$. □

Problem 4: Let F be \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , and V a finite-dimensional inner product space over F . Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear operator. Show that T is normal if and only if there exists a polynomial $f(t) \in F[t]$ such that $T^* = f(T)$.

Proof. If $T^* = f(T)$ then $T^*T = f(T)T = Tf(T) = TT^*$, proving the backward implication.

Now we prove the forward implication. Suppose T is normal.

We know the characteristic polynomial of T splits over \mathbb{C} with complex roots.

And since V is finite dimensional, we know there exist a orthonormal basis β such that $[T]_\beta$ is upper triangular.

Since T is normal, this means $[T]_\beta$ is diagonal. Furthermore, $[T^*]_\beta = [T]_\beta^*$.

$$\text{So if } [T]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ then } [T^*]_\beta = [T]_\beta^* = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\lambda_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \overline{\lambda_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

We just need to show that $\exists f \in F[t]$ such that $f(T) = T^* \Rightarrow f([T]_\beta) = [T^*]_\beta$.

Since $[T]_\beta$ is diagonal, we need to find a polynomial f where $f(\lambda_j) = \overline{\lambda_j}$ for all $j \in [1, n]$.

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the distinct eigenvalues of T .

Define $f_j(t) = \prod_{i \neq j}^n \frac{t - \lambda_i}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}$. Notice that $f_j(\lambda_j) = 1$ and $f_j(\lambda_i) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$.

Now define $f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\lambda_i} f_i(t)$. Observe that $f(\lambda_j) = \overline{\lambda_j}$ for any eigenvector of T .

If $F = \mathbb{C}$ we are done since $f \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. But we need to show that if $F = \mathbb{R}$ then $f \in \mathbb{R}[t]$.

By fundamental theorem of algebra, the roots of $P_T(t)$ are real, or come in conjugate pairs. Let λ_r be a real root of $P_T(t)$ and consider f_r . By definition, the complex factors of f_r come in conjugate pairs. Let μ and $\overline{\mu}$ be any arbitrary pair of complex roots.

Well,

$$\frac{(t - \mu)(t - \overline{\mu})}{(\lambda_r - \mu)(\lambda_r - \overline{\mu})} = \frac{t^2 - t\mu - t\overline{\mu} - |\mu|^2}{\lambda_r^2 - \lambda_r\mu - \lambda_r\overline{\mu} - |\mu|^2} = \frac{t^2 - 2t\operatorname{Re}(\mu) - |\mu|^2}{\lambda_r^2 - 2\lambda_r\operatorname{Re}(\mu) - |\mu|^2}$$

which is an entirely real polynomial. So f_r only has real coefficients.

For f_c where λ_c is complex, only the factor $\frac{t - \overline{\lambda_c}}{\lambda_c - \overline{\lambda_c}}$ does not appear with a conjugate

counterpart. Let $\lambda_d = \overline{\lambda_c}$. Well, f is defined to have pairs $\overline{\lambda_c}f_c + \overline{\lambda_d}f_d$ as summands.

We can factor out the real polynomial $g_c = \prod_{c \neq i \neq d}^k \frac{t - \lambda_i}{\lambda_c - \lambda_i}$ from f_c . Notice that this is the same real factor of f_d since $|\lambda_d| = |\lambda_c|$ and $Re(\lambda_d) = Re(\lambda_c)$. And therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\lambda_c}f_c + \overline{\lambda_d}f_d &= \lambda_d f_c + \lambda_c f_d \\
&= g_c \left(\frac{\lambda_d(t - \lambda_d)}{(\lambda_c - \lambda_d)} - \frac{\lambda_c(t - \lambda_c)}{(\lambda_d - \lambda_c)} \right) \\
&= g_c \left(\frac{\lambda_d t - \lambda_d^2 + \lambda_c t - \lambda_c^2}{\lambda_c - \lambda_d} \right) \\
&= g_c \left(\frac{2Re(\lambda_c)t - 2Re(\lambda_c^2)}{2Re(\lambda_c)} \right) \quad (\text{since } \lambda_d^2 = (\overline{\lambda_c})^2 = \overline{\lambda_c^2})
\end{aligned}$$

which is also an entirely real polynomial. Thus, $f \in \mathbb{R}[t]$, completing the proof. \square