

## Orthogonality and Inner Product Spaces

### Question 1:

Let  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $V$  be an inner product space over  $F$ .

Show that the function  $\alpha : V \rightarrow V^\vee$  defined by  $\alpha(y) = \langle -, y \rangle$  is injective, and that it is linear when  $F = \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $V$  then be finite dimensional and  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $V$ . Let

$f \in V^\vee$ . Show that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f(v_i) \langle -, v_i \rangle$

### Proof:

Let  $y, z \in V$  where  $\alpha(y) = \alpha(z)$ . If  $y = z$  then  $\alpha$  is injective.

Indeed, since  $\langle -, y \rangle = \langle -, z \rangle$  then  $\langle -, y - z \rangle = 0$  by additivity in the second component.

Consider  $\langle y - z, y - z \rangle = 0$ . That must mean  $y - z = 0$ . Thus  $y = z$ .

We can see  $\alpha$  is linear over  $\mathbb{R}$  since:

$$\alpha(y + z) = \langle -, y + z \rangle = \langle -, y \rangle + \langle -, z \rangle = \alpha(y) + \alpha(z)$$

$$\alpha(cy) = \langle -, cy \rangle = c \langle -, y \rangle = c\alpha(y)$$

for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Since  $f$  is linear, it can be fully described by where it takes the basis of its domain (for any vector in the domain can be written as a linear combination of basis vectors). So,

it suffices to show  $f(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(v_i) \langle v_j, v_i \rangle$  for any  $v_j$  in the orthonormal basis. Indeed, since  $\langle v_j, v_i \rangle = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\langle v_j, v_j \rangle = 1$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(v_i) \langle v_j, v_i \rangle = f(v_j)$$

**Question 2:**

Show that the differentiation map is not normal with respect to any inner product.

**Proof:**

Suppose for sake of contradiction that  $T$  is normal.

We know that differentiation is nilpotent. Thus, the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  is  $t^k$ . In particular, this splits. And thus, by Schur's Theorem, there exist an orthonormal basis  $\beta$  such that  $[T]_\beta$  is upper triangular.

This combined with the fact that  $[T]_\beta$  is normal implies that it is also diagonal based on Theorem 6.16 in *Linear Algebra* by Spence.

But the only eigenvalues of  $T$  is 0 because it is nilpotent. Thus  $[T]_\beta = 0$  which means  $T = 0$  regardless of basis. And so,  $0 = T(x) = 1$ , which is a contradiction.

**Question 3:**

Let  $T$  be a normal operator on  $V$ . Show the following:

- (a)  $\|T(x)\| = \|T^*(x)\|$  for all  $x \in V$
- (b) If  $x$  is an eigenvector of  $T$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then  $x$  is an eigenvector of  $T^*$  with eigenvalue  $\bar{\lambda}$ .
- (c) If  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  are distinct eigenvalues of  $T$ ,  $x \in E_\lambda$  and  $x' \in E_{\lambda'}$ , then  $\langle x, x' \rangle = 0$

**Proof:**

- (a) Since  $T$  is normal,

$$\|T(x)\|^2 = \langle T(x), T(x) \rangle = \langle T^*T(x), x \rangle = \langle TT^*(x), x \rangle = \langle T^*(x), T^*(x) \rangle = \|T^*(x)\|^2$$

which implies  $\|T(x)\| = \|T^*(x)\|$

- (b) First we prove  $T - \lambda I$  is normal if  $T$  is normal:

$$\begin{aligned} (T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I) &= (T^* - \bar{\lambda}I)(T - \lambda I) \\ &= T^*T - T^*\lambda I - \bar{\lambda}T + |\lambda|^2 I \\ &= TT^* - T\bar{\lambda}I - \lambda T^* + |\lambda|^2 I \\ &= (T - \lambda I)(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I) \\ &= (T - \lambda I)(T - \lambda I)^* \end{aligned}$$

Now, by part (a), if  $T(x) = \lambda x$  and  $x \neq 0$ ,

$$\|T^*(x) - \bar{\lambda}x\| = \|(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I)x\| \stackrel{(a)}{=} \|(T - \lambda)x\| = \|T(x) - \lambda x\| = 0$$

which implies  $T^*(x) = \bar{\lambda}x$ .

- (c) By part (b), if we let  $x$  and  $x'$  be non-zero,

$$\lambda \langle x, x' \rangle = \langle T(x), x' \rangle = \langle x, T^*(x') \rangle \stackrel{(b)}{=} \langle x, \bar{\lambda}'x' \rangle = \bar{\lambda}' \langle x, x' \rangle$$

And since  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  are distinct,  $\langle x, x' \rangle = 0$

**Question 4:**

Let  $F$  be  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $V$  a finite-dimensional inner product space over  $F$ . Let  $T : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator. Show that  $T$  is normal if and only if there exists a polynomial  $f(t) \in F[t]$  such that  $T^* = f(T)$ .

**Proof:**

If  $T^* = f(T)$  then  $T^*T = f(T)T = Tf(T) = TT^*$ , proving the backward implication.

Now we prove the forward implication. Suppose  $T$  is normal.

We know the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  splits over  $\mathbb{C}$  with complex roots.

And since  $V$  is finite dimensional, we know there exist a orthonormal basis  $\beta$  such that  $[T]_\beta$  is upper triangular.

Since  $T$  is normal, this means  $[T]_\beta$  is diagonal. Furthermore,  $[T^*]_\beta = [T]_\beta^*$ .

$$\text{So if } [T]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ then } [T^*]_\beta = [T]_\beta^* = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\lambda_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \overline{\lambda_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

We just need to show that  $\exists f \in F[t]$  such that  $f(T) = T^* \Rightarrow f([T]_\beta) = [T^*]_\beta$ .

Since  $[T]_\beta$  is diagonal, we need to find a polynomial  $f$  where  $f(\lambda_j) = \overline{\lambda_j}$  for all  $j \in [1, n]$ .

Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  be the distinct eigenvalues of  $T$ .

Define  $f_j(t) = \prod_{i \neq j}^n \frac{t - \lambda_i}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}$ . Notice that  $f_j(\lambda_j) = 1$  and  $f_j(\lambda_i) = 0$  for all  $j \neq i$ .

Now define  $f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\lambda_i} f_i(t)$ . Observe that  $f(\lambda_j) = \overline{\lambda_j}$  for any eigenvector of  $T$ .

If  $F = \mathbb{C}$  we are done since  $f \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ . But we need to show that if  $F = \mathbb{R}$  then  $f \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ .

By fundamental theorem of algebra, the roots of  $P_T(t)$  are real, or come in conjugate pairs. Let  $\lambda_r$  be a real root of  $P_T(t)$  and consider  $f_r$ . By definition, the complex factors of  $f_r$  come in conjugate pairs. Let  $\mu$  and  $\bar{\mu}$  be any arbitrary pair of complex roots.

Well,

$$\frac{(t - \mu)(t - \bar{\mu})}{(\lambda_r - \mu)(\lambda_r - \bar{\mu})} = \frac{t^2 - t\mu - t\bar{\mu} - |\mu|^2}{\lambda_r^2 - \lambda_r\mu - \lambda_r\bar{\mu} - |\mu|^2} = \frac{t^2 - 2t\operatorname{Re}(\mu) - |\mu|^2}{\lambda_r^2 - 2\lambda_r\operatorname{Re}(\mu) - |\mu|^2}$$

which is an entirely real polynomial. So  $f_r$  only has real coefficients.

For  $f_c$  where  $\lambda_c$  is complex, only the factor  $\frac{t - \overline{\lambda_c}}{\lambda_c - \overline{\lambda_c}}$  does not appear with a conjugate counterpart. Let  $\lambda_d = \overline{\lambda_c}$ . Well,  $f$  is defined to have pairs  $\overline{\lambda_c}f_c + \overline{\lambda_d}f_d$  as summands. We can factor out the real polynomial  $g_c = \prod_{c \neq i \neq d}^k \frac{t - \lambda_i}{\lambda_c - \lambda_i}$  from  $f_c$ . Notice that this is the same real factor of  $f_d$  since  $|\lambda_d| = |\lambda_c|$  and  $Re(\lambda_d) = Re(\lambda_c)$ . And therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\lambda_c}f_c + \overline{\lambda_d}f_d &= \lambda_d f_c + \lambda_c f_d \\
&= g_c \left( \frac{\lambda_d(t - \lambda_d)}{(\lambda_c - \lambda_d)} - \frac{\lambda_c(t - \lambda_c)}{(\lambda_d - \lambda_c)} \right) \\
&= g_c \left( \frac{\lambda_d t - \lambda_d^2 + \lambda_c t - \lambda_c^2}{\lambda_c - \lambda_d} \right) \\
&= g_c \left( \frac{2Re(\lambda_c)t - 2Re(\lambda_c^2)}{2Re(\lambda_c)} \right) \quad (\text{since } \lambda_d^2 = (\overline{\lambda_c})^2 = \overline{\lambda_c^2})
\end{aligned}$$

which is also an entirely real polynomial. Thus,  $f \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ , completing the proof.