For readability, we will denote  $total\_recs$  as L and integer division as  $\frac{a}{b}$  instead of  $\left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor$  I will prove that my code does indeed divide the array into n roughly-equal parts. I will prove the correctness of the following:

- 1.  $Length = \frac{L+i}{n}$  calculates the amount the  $i^{th}$  child should sort
- 2. Start + = Length calculates the starting index the  $i^{th}$  child should sort.

Proof of 1: What does it mean to have n roughly equal parts? This is two conditions:

- A series of n numbers that all add up to L
- The difference of these numbers is at most 1.

We will show that *Length* as defined above generates such a series.

Let's prove the second bullet point: Let  $S_i$  be the value of length at the  $i^{th}$  iteration of the loop. At the start of the loop when i=0,  $Length=S_0=\frac{L}{n}$ . In the last iteration, i=n-1. Since i does not exceed n, then  $S_0 \leq S_{n-1}+1$ .

Clearly,  $S_i$  is an increasing sequence, and thus,  $S_b - S_a \le 1$  for any  $b > a \in [0, n-1]$ . I.e. the greatest difference is between the first and last value of  $S_i$ . Second bullet point done.

Now we will prove the first bullet point, that is:  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S_i = L$ .

If n|L, this is clear. i is never greater than n in the formula, and so  $S_i = \frac{L}{n}$  for all i,

and so 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S_i = (n-1+1)\frac{L}{n} = L$$
.

Now suppose  $n \nmid L$ . Within the loop, there is a point where the length is incremented by 1 (this is true by intermediate value theorem since if  $d = (L \mod n) > 0$ , then  $i + d \geq n$  which is clear at the last iteration of the loop). This value d is essentially "lost" is during the floor division, but we get it back when i reaches a sufficient value.

To be clear, lets do an example. Let L = 107 and n = 10. We know there exist a value j such that  $S_j = S_{j-1} + 1$ . We want to prove that this j = 3 (because 107/10 has a remainder of 7, so we want the LAST 7 elements to be 1 greater than  $S_0$ ). In general, we want that j = n - (L%n). Indeed,

$$S_{n-(L\%n)} = \frac{L+n-L\%n}{n} = \frac{(L-L\%n-1)+n}{n} + 1 = S_{n-(L\%n)-1} + 1$$

by the properties of modulo. Bullet point 1, done.

Proof of 2: This follows immediately from (1), since we sum up all the values of *Length*, we always get the next immediate index not already partitioned.