Corpora Evaluation and System Bias Detection in Multi-document Summarization

A critical approach

Yannick Lang Study Program: B.Sc. Applied Computer Science

University of Bamberg, Bamberg, Germany yannick-stephan.lang@stud.uni-bamberg.de

Abstract. This paper focuses on metrics for quantifying bias in multi-document summarization corpora and systems, compares different proposals and assesses their usage.

Keywords: Bias Summarization Corpora Language Models

1 Introduction

With the recent rise in popularity of large language models such as GPT3 or DALL-E, and companies beginning to bridge the gap between research and commercial use, it is important to keep in mind that such models may not be free of biases.

This paper will focus on bias in both actual systems and underlying corpora of multi-document summarization (MDS) models. MDS has use cases such as review or news aggregation, and as such biases can have noticeable impact.[1] Starting from a 2020 paper[1] dealing with this topic, the metrics for quantifying biases proposed in this paper as well as its impact and possible alternative criteria will be discussed.

2 Original Paper

The starting point and primary source for this paper will be "Corpora Evaluation and System Bias Detection in Multi-document Summarization" [1], a paper published in late 2020. In it, the authors propose several metrics for quantifying biases in corpora for multi-document summarization models.

They then apply their metrics to several high profile corpora and analyze the results.

2.1 Metrics

The paper proposes a list of metrics which can be used to gauge the quality of a corpus. These metrics are:

- Inter Document Similarity shows the similarity between each documents
- **Pyramid Score** "defined as the ratio of a reference summary score and an optimal summary score" [1], i.e. how good the reference summaries are
- Inverse Pyramid Score measures the influence of documents on a given summary
- Redundancy describes the density of information in the documents

In addition, they also suggest a list of metrics that can be used to evaluate the performance of a given MDS systems:

- ROUGE one of the most basic metrics in text summarization, used to measure similarity between generated summaries and the references included in the dataset via recall
- F1 Score similar to ROUGE but considers both recall and precision
- Inter Document Distribution similar to Inverse Pyramid Score, this
 measures the influence of each document on the generated summary
- Redundancy describes the summaries coverage of information from the documents

There are also metrics that can be applied to both corpus and system, where the reference summary is used for corpus evaluation and the generated summary for system evaluation.

- Abstractness quantifies the similarity between the generated or reference summary and the associated documents, where less similarity means higher abstractness
- Layout Bias quantifies the distribution of information within a document for corpora and the distribution of sections in the documents that provide the information in the generated summary for systems

2.2 Results

2.3 Key Takeaways

The authors state that a lack of clear definition for MDS tasks leads to a lack of a single standardized dataset. Instead, most scientists provide their own custom dataset with newly proposed MDS systems. This leads to a lack of comparability for the performance of systems, as this heavily depends on the actual dataset.[1]

2.4 Impact

- 3 Alternative criteria
- 4 Current status
- 5 Summary

References

1. Dey, A., Chowdhury, T., Kumar, Y., Chakraborty, T.: Corpora evaluation and system bias detection in multi-document summarization. In:

Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020. pp. 2830–2840. Association for Computational Linguistics, Online (Nov 2020). https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.findings-emnlp.254, https://aclanthology.org/2020.findings-emnlp.254