# An Introduction to Synthetic Differential Geometry

Lázaro Alberto Larrauri Borroto

June 23, 2019

Introduction

Basic structure of the geometric line. The Kock-Lawvere axiom.

Vector fields

# What is Synthetic Differential Geometry?

Given two different points there exists only one line incident to both of them.

Through a point not in a line, only one parallel line to the given one can be drawn.

Euklid.jpg

But what are points and lines?

# What is Synthetic Differential Geometry?

It is an axiomatic theory that deals with space forms in terms of their structure.

It allows for rigorous reasoning with nilpotent infinitesimals.

Lie.jpg

# Where does it take place?

We work in an ambient category  ${\mathcal E}$  composed of "smooth spaces and morphisms".

Synthetic differential geometry has no models in the category of sets. It has to be interpreted over a **topos**.

Cartesian closed category with sub-object classifier.

$$A \times B$$
,  $A^B$ ,  $A \cup B$ ,  $A \cap B$ ,  $P(A) \dots$ 

# Basic structure of the geometric line.

The geometric line R satisfies:

Axiom

R is a non-trivial  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra.

Compass.png

#### The Kock-Lawvere axiom.

$$f(x) \simeq f(0) + f'(0)x$$

Is there any x such that we can substitute  $\simeq$  with = for all f's?

No, we would need x "so small" that  $x^2 = 0$ .

### The Kock-Lawvere axiom.

Let

$$D := \{ d \in R \, | \, d^2 = 0 \}$$

Axiom (Kock-Lawvere axiom)

For any  $f: D \rightarrow R$  there exists a unique  $b \in R$  such that

$$\forall d \in D: f(d) = f(0) + db.$$

### Wait, are we safe?

Intuitionistically speaking, yes.

Under classical assumptions, not so much.

### Wait, are we safe?

The Kock-Lawvere axiom is not consistent with the Principle of the Excluded Middle:

$$P \vee \neg P$$

We must use "intuitionistic" logic.

Derivatives are defined in a natural way.

#### Definition

Let  $f \in \mathbb{R}^R$ . The derivative of f at the point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the unique constant  $f'(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\forall d \in D: f(x+d) = f(x) + f'(x)d.$$

And functions "locally" coincide with their linear approximations.

D is not an ideal.

What can we say about

$$D_2 := \{ d \in R \mid d^3 = 0 \}$$
?

Not much. We need an additional axiom:

#### Axiom

For any  $f \in R^{D_2}$  there exist unique  $c_1, c_2 \in R$  such that

$$\forall d \in D_2: f(d) = f(0) + c_1 d + c_2 d^2$$

This way we have:

#### Theorem

For any  $f \in R^R$ 

$$\forall d \in D_2, \forall x \in R: \quad f(x+d) = f(0) + f'(x)d + \frac{f''(x)}{2}d^2.$$

Similarly, we would need an additional axiom for any of

$$D_k := \{ d \in R | d^{k+1} = 0 \} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

We can state them all together:

#### Axiom

Let  $f \in R^{D_k}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists a unique k-tuple of constants  $c_1, \ldots, c_k \in R$  such that

$$\forall d \in D_k: f(d) = f(0) + \sum_{i=1}^k c_k d^k$$

If we define  $D_{\infty} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} D_i$  it follows

#### Theorem (Taylor series)

For all  $f \in R^R$  and  $x \in R$  there exists a unique formal power series  $\Phi(X)$  such that

$$\forall d \in D_{\infty}: f(x+d) = \Phi(d).$$

Namely,

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}}{k!} X^k.$$

### Don't let the axiom-party stop.

There are still families of infinitesimals we cannot deal with.

$$D(2) := \{ (d_1, d_2) \in D^2 \mid d_1 d_2 = 0 \}$$

Our current axioms state that

$$W_k := R[X]/\langle X^{k+1} \simeq R^{D_k}, \text{ where "} D_k = Spec_R(W_k)$$
"

### Don't let the axiom-party stop.

In general, if  $W=R[X_1,\ldots,X_n]$  satisfies some technical condition (it is a **Weil algebra**) we can state:

Axiom

$$W \simeq R^{Spec_R(W)}$$

# Tangent Vectors

#### Definition

A **tangent vector** to M at the point  $p \in M$  is a map  $t \in M^D$  such that t(0) = p.

Thus,  $M^D$  is the tangent bundle of M.

To give  $(M^D)_p$  a tangent space structure we need M to be "infinitesimally linear".

# Tangent Vectors

#### Definition

An object M is said to be **infinitesimally linear** if for any  $p \in M$  and any n-tuple of maps  $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in (M^D)_p$  there is a unique map  $I \in M^{D(n)}$  satisfying  $I \circ incl_i = t_i$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

#### Differentials

#### **Theorem**

Let M and N be infinitesimally linear, and  $f \in N^M$ . Then, for any  $p \in M$  the map  $f^D(t) = f \circ t$  restricts to a linear map from  $(M^D)_p$  to  $(N^D)_{f(p)}$ .

#### Vector Fields

#### Definition

A vector field X over M is any of the following:

A "section of the tangent bundle",  $\hat{X}: M \to M^D$ .

An "infinitesimal flow of the aditive group R"  $X: M \times D \rightarrow M$ 

An "infinitesimal deformation of the identity map"  $\check{X}:D\to M^M$ .

#### **Directional Derivatives**

#### Definition

The directional derivative of f in the direction of X is the unique map  $X(f) \in M^R$  such that, for any  $p \in M$ 

$$\forall d \in D: f(X(p,d)) = f(p) + dX(f)(p).$$

Under some additional hypotheses on M we can define:

#### Definition

Let  $X, Y \in Vect(M)$ . The **Lie bracket** [X, Y] is the unique vector field such that

$$\forall d_1, d_2 \in D: \quad [X, Y]^{\vee}(d_1d_2) = \check{Y}(-d_2) \circ \check{X}(-d_1) \circ \check{Y}(d_2) \circ \check{X}(d_1)$$

And it is satisfied

$$[X, Y](f) = X(f) - Y(f)$$

# Questions?

Let them be easy please