PDS.2023 - Lab 2: Code revision
lazioshelton@gmail.com Mudar de conta ☑ Rascunho guardado Rascunho guardado
* Indica uma pergunta obrigatória
Select you group *
401
Select the group you are reviewing (you should repeat this form twice, one per * each group) 202
Q1 - Consider the code organization (all files). (Mark all that apply)
The folder is well organised (e.g., it does not contain .class files, useless folders, etc,)
The program is well constructed and it is easy to follow
There is no identification of the authors
Naming conventions (classes, variables,) are well applied
The code is well documented
Good object-oriented principles are used

Q2 - Regarding the program WSSolver, the following input requirements were followed (Mark all that apply)						
The puzzle will always be a square.						
The maximum size puzzle is 40x40.						
The puzzle letters will be uppercase.						
The word list may be lower or mixed case.						
The words will be comprised of alphabetic characters.						
It checks there is no blank lines in the input file						
All the words in the list must be in the puzzle, just once.						
The word list may contain partially duplicated words,(e.g. it may contains both BAG and RUTABAGA).						
Q3 - Regarding the program WSSolver						
Q3 - Regarding the program WSSolver The program runs as expected, i.e. there is no need to change the code to be executed						
The program runs as expected, i.e. there is no need to change the code to be						
The program runs as expected, i.e. there is no need to change the code to be executed						
The program runs as expected, i.e. there is no need to change the code to be executed The program can be executed through command line (i.e. accepts args[]) Regarding the output,, the list is correctly printed, showing the words in the right						
The program runs as expected, i.e. there is no need to change the code to be executed The program can be executed through command line (i.e. accepts args[]) Regarding the output,, the list is correctly printed, showing the words in the right positions						

Q4 - Analyse the code of the WSGenerator and how it was implemented. Check the following						
It runs as expected, and fulfils the requirements						
Is is robust (e.g., I checked a long list with a small grid and it "gives up" after some time/iterations, showing a message)						
The pairs of files (input, output) are included						
I have tested with at least two other input files						
It allows overlapping of characters from different words						
The distribution of words in the grid is completely random						
Q5 - Analyze the solutions in terms of Clean Code (Check the following): *						
✓ Testable and tested						
Elegant						
☐ Efficient						
Expressive						
Expected						
Readable						
Minimal Dependencies						
Maintainable						
Self Documenting						
Simple						

Q6 - Analyze the solutions in terms of Bad Code (Check the following): *									
Obsolete Comments									
Poorly Written Comments									
Commented	Commented out code								
✓ Too many arguments									
Output arguments									
Dead functions									
Duplication									
✓ Incorrect Behavior and Boundaries									
Code at the wrong level of abstraction									
Base classes depending on their derivatives									
Not using meaningful variable names									
Q7 - How to you globally rank this work? *									
	1	2	3	4	5				
Very bad	0		0	\circ	0	Excellent			
Q8 - I (we) found this work *									
Not as good as mine/ours									
At the same level									
O Better than r	nine/ours								

Q9 - Overall review of this Group's work *

(Please detail the positive and negative aspects of this implementation)

Avaliacao gp202

- => Os programas compilam sem erros
- => Acho que poderia se criar um projecto para cada problema
- => Não foi feito a POO

- => Da erro "Erro: Puzzle nao quadrado" para um puzzle quarado (alguns)
- => Não tratou um erro de substring
- => Não detecta letra minuscula na sopa
- => Não verifica palavras com todas maiúsculas
- => Executa com palavras não existinte
- => Executa com palavra repetida
- => Função de print desempenha várias actividades
- => Embora o codigo nao desempenhe todas as funcoes, está comentado e fácil de ler
- => Varias estruturas "if" e "for" dentro de outras

======== WSGenerator =============

- => O generator, muitas vezes, não consegue introduzir palavras
- => Gera matrizes de tamanho maiores que 40
- => Da prints com espaco, que também vao ao ficheiro de saida
- => Varias estruturas "if" e "for" dentro de outras
- => Não é feito nenhum teste para verificar se o puzzle é valido
- => "if" com varias condicoes
- => Podia se criar uma funcao para inserir palavras

Enviar Limpar formulário

Nunca envie palavras-passe através dos Google Forms.

Este conteúdo não foi criado nem aprovado pela Google. <u>Denunciar abuso</u> - <u>Termos de Utilização</u> - <u>Política de privacidade</u>

Google Formulários