

CSL302: Compiler Design

Top Down Parsing - LL(1)

Vishwesh Jatala

Assistant Professor

Department of CSE

Indian Institute of Technology Bhilai

vishwesh@iitbhilai.ac.in



Acknowledgement

- Today's slides are modified from that of
 - *Stanford University:*
 - <https://web.stanford.edu/class/archive/cs/cs143/cs143.1128/>

LL(1) Tables with ϵ

Num → **Sign Digits**
Sign Digits → **+ | - | ϵ**
Digits More → **Digit More**
More Digit → **Digits | ϵ**
Digits → **0 | 1 | ... | 9**

	Num	Sign	Digit	Digits	More
	+	-	0	5	0 5
	0	5	ϵ	1 6	1 6
	1	6		2 7	2 7
	2	7		3 8	3 8
	3	8		4 9	4 9
	4	9			ϵ

	+	-	#	\$
Num	Sign Digits	Sign Digits	Sign Digits	
Sign	+	-	ϵ	
Digits			Digits More	
More			Digits	ϵ
Digit			#	

The Final LL(1) Table Algorithm

- Compute FIRST(A) and FOLLOW(A) for all nonterminals A .
- For each rule $A \rightarrow \omega$, for each terminal $t \in \text{FIRST}(\omega)$, set $T[A, t] = \omega$.
 - Note that ϵ is not a terminal.
- For each rule $A \rightarrow \omega$, if $\epsilon \in \text{FIRST}(\omega)$, set $T[A, t] = \omega$ for each $t \in \text{FOLLOW}(A)$.

A Formal Characterization of LL(1)

- A grammar is LL(1) if there are no conflicts in the table.
 - Every entry in the LL(1) table is unique

Exercise

- Construct the LL(1) parser table

$S \rightarrow SA | b | \in$

$A \rightarrow (A) | a$

Exercise

- Construct the LL(1) parser table

$S \rightarrow SA | b | \in$

$A \rightarrow (A) | a$

	FIRST	FOLLOW
S	{b, \in , a, ()}	{(, a, \$)}
A	{(, a)}	{), (, a, \$)}

Exercise

- Construct the LL(1) parser table

$$S \rightarrow SA \mid b \mid \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow (A) \mid a$$

	a	b	()	\$
S	$S \rightarrow SA$ $S \rightarrow \epsilon$	$S \rightarrow b$	$S \rightarrow SA$ $S \rightarrow \epsilon$		$S \rightarrow \epsilon$
A	$A \rightarrow a$		$A \rightarrow (A)$		

Exercise

- Construct the LL(1) parser table

$A \rightarrow Ab \mid c$

A Grammar that is Not LL(1)

- Consider the following (left-recursive) grammar:

$$A \rightarrow Ab \mid c$$

- $\text{FIRST}(A) = \{c\}$

	b	c
A		$A \rightarrow Ab$ $A \rightarrow c$

A Grammar that is Not LL(1)

- Consider the following (left-recursive) grammar:

$$A \rightarrow Ab \mid c$$

- $\text{FIRST}(A) = \{c\}$

	b	c
A		$A \rightarrow Ab$ $A \rightarrow c$

- Cannot uniquely predict production!
- This is called a **FIRST/FIRST conflict**.

Eliminating Left Recursion

- In general, left recursion can be converted into **right recursion** by a mechanical transformation.

Consider the grammar

- $A \rightarrow A\omega \mid a$

This will produce a followed by some number of

- ω 's.

- Can rewrite the grammar as

$$A \rightarrow aB$$

$$B \rightarrow \epsilon \mid \omega B$$

The Strengths of LL(1)

- LL(1) is straightforward
 - Can be implemented quickly with a table- driven design.
- LL(1) is Fast
 - Can parse in $O(n |G|)$ time, where n is the length of the string and $|G|$ is the size of the grammar.

Exercise

- Construct the LL(1) parser table

$E \rightarrow TE'$

$E' \rightarrow +TE' \mid \epsilon$

$T \rightarrow FT'$

$T' \rightarrow *FT' \mid \epsilon$

$F \rightarrow (E) \mid id$

Exercise

NON - TERMINAL	INPUT SYMBOL					
	id	+	*	()	\$
E	$E \rightarrow TE'$			$E \rightarrow TE'$		
E'		$E' \rightarrow +TE'$			$E' \rightarrow \epsilon$	$E' \rightarrow \epsilon$
T	$T \rightarrow FT'$			$T \rightarrow FT'$		
T'		$T' \rightarrow \epsilon$	$T' \rightarrow *FT'$		$T' \rightarrow \epsilon$	$T' \rightarrow \epsilon$
F	$F \rightarrow \mathbf{id}$			$F \rightarrow (E)$		

Exercises

- Text book:
 - Example 4.27
 - Example 4.29
 - Example 4.33

Summary

- **Top-down parsing** tries to derive the user's program from the start symbol.
- **Leftmost BFS** is one approach to top-down parsing; it is mostly of theoretical interest.
- **Leftmost DFS** is another approach to top-down parsing that is uncommon in practice.
- **LL(1)** parsing scans from left-to-right, using one token of lookahead to find a leftmost derivation.

FIRST sets contain terminals that may be the first symbol of a production.

FOLLOW sets contain terminals that may follow a nonterminal in a production.

Left recursion cause LL(1) to fail and can be mechanically eliminated in some cases.

Questions?