# Perspectives on Psychological Science

Harnessing the Undiscovered Resource of Student Research Projects

Jon E. Grahe, Alan Reifman, Anthony D. Hermann, Marie Walker, Kathryn C. Oleson, Michelle Nario-Redmond and Richard P. Wiebe

Perspectives on Psychological Science 2012 7: 605 DOI: 10.1177/1745691612459057

The online version of this article can be found at: http://pps.sagepub.com/content/7/6/605

Published by: SAGE

http://www.sagepublications.com

On behalf of:



Association For Psychological Science

Additional services and information for Perspectives on Psychological Science can be found at:

Email Alerts: http://pps.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts

Subscriptions: http://pps.sagepub.com/subscriptions

Reprints: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav

Permissions: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav



# Harnessing the Undiscovered Resource of Student Research Projects

Perspectives on Psychological Science 7(6) 605–607
© The Author(s) 2012
Reprints and permission:
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/1745691612459057
http://pps.sagepub.com

\$SAGE

Jon E. Grahe<sup>1</sup>, Alan Reifman<sup>2</sup>, Anthony D. Hermann<sup>3</sup>, Marie Walker<sup>4</sup>, Kathryn C. Oleson<sup>5</sup>, Michelle Nario-Redmond<sup>6</sup>, and Richard P. Wiebe<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychology, Pacific Lutheran University; <sup>2</sup>Department of Human Development and Family Studies, Texas Tech University; <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychology, Bradley University; <sup>4</sup>Department of Psychology, Gustavus Adolphus College; <sup>5</sup>Department of Psychology, Reed College; <sup>6</sup>Department of Psychology, Hiram College; and <sup>7</sup>Department of Psychology, Fitchburg State University

### **Abstract**

This article suggests that undergraduate research can help advance the science of psychology. We introduce a hypothetical "question-list paradigm" as a mechanism to do this. Each year, thousands of undergraduate projects are completed as part of the educational experience. Although many of these studies may not contain sufficient contributions for publication, they provide a good test of the replicability of established findings across populations at different institutions and geographic locations. Thus, these projects could meet the needs of recent calls for increased replications of psychological studies while simultaneously benefiting the student researchers, their instructors, and the field in general.

## **Keywords**

collective research, experimental replication, data collection, pedagogy, undergraduate research, "question-list paradigm"

Imagine if the Association for Psychological Science published a list of 10 research questions each spring. Across the nation, faculty and students at undergraduate institutions could choose to study one or more of these questions through replication; data collection might also include inviting participants to complete additional measures of personality, attitudes, or background information, providing a richer data source. Once each project was completed, the collected data, along with a brief APA-style report, could be submitted to a Web portal. The most impressive projects might receive awards and stipends.

Students in capstone and research-methods courses are an underutilized resource for the field of psychology. Presently, a large portion of undergraduate psychology majors complete a senior-level course with the goal of integrating material from across the discipline (Grahe & Hauhart, 2012; Hauhart & Grahe, 2010, 2012; Perlman & McCann, 1999); about half of these courses require some sort of empirical data collection (Hauhart & Grahe, 2010, 2012). Given that more than 80,000 students in the United States graduate with a bachelor's degree in psychology each year (National Center for Educational Statistics, 2008), the potential for contributing to large databases is substantial, and even more so if international collaboration is sought. Perlman and McCann (2005) described six different content courses that included active research activities in their national study of undergraduate research. With more than 70%

of schools requiring at least one course involving research experience (i.e., in which the students complete canned experiments; Cooney & Griffith, 1994; Perlman & McCann, 2005) and almost all providing at least one opportunity to conduct research, the number of undergraduate research studies conducted each year is staggering.

The primary purpose of most undergraduate research projects is to further class and departmental learning objectives (Brakke, Crowe, & Karukstis, 2009; Taraban & Blanton, 2008). Not surprisingly, only a small fraction of student research advances scientific progress. For instance, Perlman and McCann (2005) reported that only 10% of student projects were presented beyond the classroom, at conferences or through submission for publication. Student projects often attempt replication or a small advance on some established question using a single sample; coupled with the fact that these students are still developing writing skills, this leads to few published student projects. Consequently, most of these data are "lost" to the discipline. However, if students are encouraged to conduct replications as part of an effort to document

# Corresponding Author:

Jon E. Grahe, Department of Psychology, Pacific Lutheran University, Tacoma, WA 98447
E-mail: graheje@plu.edu

606 Grahe et al.

and archive replications, collected classroom projects could contribute significantly to the field.

For years, methodologists have called for more replications (e.g., Cohen, 1994; Lubin, 1957; Rosenthal, 1969). This special issue reflects a growing trend in modern psychology to coordinate experimental replications of published research. The Psych File Drawer project (Spellman, 2012) and the Reproducibility Project (http://openscienceframework.org/project/EZcUj/wiki/ home) are both major efforts to collate replication studies across institutions.1 Yet graduate students and faculty may remain reluctant to replicate published studies because such work provides few opportunities for tenure and promotion. In contrast, undergraduate students in research-methods and capstone courses can find more motivation to generate this kind of meaningful data. Faculty at teaching institutions who spend their time guiding these projects could report the number of projects they submitted and the forums the projects were submitted to (e.g., Psych File Drawer), providing evidence of teaching-related research activity for their own evaluations. Recognition of the value of replication projects within the field could raise the profile of such work and thus its value in meeting tenure requirements.

Already, the scores of undergraduate presentations at APA regional conferences each year demonstrate that honors and capstone students regularly replicate studies that are "in vogue." Although many of these replication studies fail to demonstrate a novel effect, they often provide valuable replication information that goes unnoticed. Further, past efforts to generate collective undergraduate research projects (Grahe et al., 2012; School Spirit Study Group, 2004) have demonstrated that undergraduates generate reliable and valid findings in research-methods classes with associated pedagogical benefits. Though there are challenges in managing teaching-related research projects across multiple institutions, a question-list paradigm addresses many of the challenges associated with undergraduate research contributions.

One major impediment to the success of any collective undergraduate research project is the need to obtain local institutional review board (IRB) approval. Given that an estimated 90% of class projects are withheld from public forums (Perlman & McCann, 2005), many instructors likely do not need or want to obtain IRB approval for their class's research. In places where local IRBs have complicated, time-consuming review processes or at institutions with shorter academic terms, there might not be enough time to gain approval and conduct the project within the academic term. However, if there is a more standard research protocol and if the data are making a meaningful contribution, the students and faculty might be in the position to submit proposals earlier and find the IRB process worthwhile. Further, restricting questions to topics and projects that likely warrant an expedited IRB status would make the process less cumbersome.

A potential problem in student projects is poor data resulting from experimental error. Students who are just learning research skills may be more likely to make errors in the development of research materials or in specific data-collection activities. However, although effect sizes might decrease because of increased error terms, these objections are outweighed by the fact that student research could represent another opportunity to establish generalizability. Demonstrating an effect across multiple student projects would indicate a robust finding, particularly if it was established across conceptual replications.

A question-list paradigm and the online collection of data through web portals further facilitate the onerous coordination of projects, because replications are matched to studies. If such a system is endorsed by the field in general and by specific organizations in particular, instructors and students will be motivated to join the community. Thus, even in the face of the aforementioned challenges, undergraduate researchers can contribute to a massive replication initiative because they are already engaging in replications as part of their current learning process.

In sum, the time has come for psychology to combine the benefits of national organization and undergraduate research requirements to strengthen both student training and the quality of our science. Whether a question list is developed using a "top-down" approach as posited in the opening paragraph, or using a "bottom –up" approach as reflected by the Psych File Drawer project and the Reproducibility Project, whereby popular sentiment determines the questions of interest, we are ready, as a discipline, to collaborate effectively and raise our research to another level.

# **Acknowledgments**

We thank Robert Hauhart for thoughtful comments on an earlier draft of this article.

## **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The authors declared that they had no conflicts of interest with respect to their authorship or the publication of this article.

### Note

1. Another question-list paradigm, geared toward coordinating undergraduates' efforts in original research rather than replication research, is the Collective Undergraduate Research Project (Grahe, 2010). This project calls upon students to conduct individual replications of novel research questions using experimental designs and measuring behaviors, such as evaluating the generalizability of motion energy analysis (Ramseyer & Tschacher, 2011) as a measure of behavioral synchrony in experiments or examining the cultural or psychological impact of situations (Sherman, Nave, & Funder, 2010).

### References

Brakke, D. F., Crowe, M. L., & Karukstis, K. (2009). Perspective: Reasons deans and provosts (and presidents) should value, support, and encourage undergraduate research. *CUR Quarterly*, 30, 10–14. Student Research Projects 607

Cohen, J. (1994). The earth is round, *p* < .05. *American Psychologist*, 49, 997–1003. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.50.12.1103

- Cooney, B. R., & Griffith, D. M. (1994). 1992–93 undergraduate department survey. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Grahe, J. E. (2010, November). Revolutionizing capstone courses: Using undergraduate research to advance theoretical research. Poster presented at the American Association of Colleges & Universities Conference "Creativity, Inquiry, and Discovery: Undergraduate Research in and Across the Disciplines." Durham, NC. Abstract retrieved from http://www.aacu.org/meetings/ undergraduate research/2010/resources.cfm
- Grahe, J. E., & Hauhart, R. (2012). Defining the typical capstone course from a national random sample. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Grahe, J. E., Reifman, A., Herman, A., Walker, M., Oleson, K. C., Nario-Redmond, M., & Wiebe, R. P. (2012). Harnessing the potential of undergraduate research: An example examining emerging adulthood and political decisions. Manuscript in preparation.
- Hauhart, R. C., & Grahe, J. E. (2010). The undergraduate capstone course in the social sciences: Results from a regional survey. *Teaching Sociology*, 38, 4–17. doi:10.1177/0092055X09353884
- Hauhart, R. C., & Grahe, J. E. (2012). A national survey of American higher education capstone practices in sociology and psychology. *Teaching Sociology*, 40, 227–241. doi:10.1177/00920 55X12441715
- Lubin, A. (1957). Replicability as a publication criterion. *American Psychologist*, 12, 519–520. doi:10.1037/h0039746
- National Center for Educational Statistics. (2008). *Table 314*. *Degrees in psychology conferred by degree-granting institutions*,

- by level of degree and sex of student: Selected years, 1949–50 through 2006–07. Retrieved from http://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d08/tables/dt08\_314.asp
- Perlman, B., & McCann, L. I. (1999). The most frequently listed courses in the undergraduate psychology curriculum. *Teaching of Psychology*, 26, 177–182. doi:10.1207/S15328023TOP260302
- Perlman, B., & McCann, L. I. (2005). Undergraduate research experiences in psychology: A national study of courses and curricula. *Teaching of Psychology*, 32, 5–14. doi:10.1207/s15328023 top3201 2
- Ramseyer, F., & Tschacher, W. (2011). Nonverbal synchrony in psychotherapy: Coordinated body movement reflects relationship quality and outcome. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 79, 284–295. doi:10.1037/a0023419
- Rosenthal, R. (1969). On not so replicated experiments and not so null results. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *33*, 7–10. doi:10.1037/h0027231
- School Spirit Study Group. (2004). Measuring school spirit: A national teaching exercise. *Teaching of Psychology*, *31*, 18–21. doi:10.1207/s15328023top3101 5
- Sherman, R. A., Nave, C. N., & Funder, D. C. (2010). Situational similarity and personality predict behavioral consistency. *Journal* of Personality and Social Psychology, 99, 330–343. doi:10.1037/ a0019796
- Spellman, B. A. (2012). Introduction to the special section: Data, data, everywhere...especially in my file drawer. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 7, 58–59. doi:10.1177/1745691611432124
- Taraban, R., & Blanton, R. (2008). Creating effective undergraduate research programs in science. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.