

## **3D-DRAM based ANN System** Documentation

generated from <https://github.ncsu.edu/lbbaker/ece-cortical-MainResearch>, 3D-DRAM ANN system  
github wiki: 19.10.2017

# Contents

Preface	4
Welcome to the 3D DRAM based ANN System	5
Implementing Deep Neural Networks	6
Real world applications will require multiple NNs to be solved simultaneously	7
We need the capacity provided by Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM)	7
Need to consider the system impact	7
What are we proposing	8
3D integrated circuit technology	8
A 3DIC System	8
3D-DRAM	9
Layer Interconnect	9
Inter-Manager Communication	9
Summary	10
Status	12
What value does our system add	13
What are we trying to do?	13
How is it done today?	13
GPUs	13
ASIP/ASICs	13
What is new in our approach	14
Who cares?	14
What are the risks	15
3DIC	15
Through-Silicon-Vias (TSV)	15
Resources	15
How long will it take?	15
What are our mid-term and final "exams" to check for success	15
System Operations	16
PE	17
Streaming Operations	17
SIMD	17
Configuration	17
Manager	18
Accessing of feeder AN states and connection weights	18
Writing AN state results to memory	19
Instruction Format	21
System Overview	23
Manager	24
Operation Decode	24
Argument Decode	24
Result Data processing	24
Memory Write Controller	25
PE	26
Configuration	26
Streaming Operations	26
SIMD Operation	26
Return Data	26
Column Sub-System Flow	27

Main Buses	28
Manager/PE Buses	28
Manager to PE	28
Downstream OOB Bus	29
Downstream Data Bus	29
Upstream Bus	29
Manager/Memory Interface	30
DRAM Changes	31
DRAM Waveform	31

## Preface


## Welcome to the 3D DRAM based ANN System

Machine Learning in the form of Deep Neural Networks (DNN) have gained traction over the last few years.

They get good press in applications such as image recognition and speech recognition.

DNNs are constructed from a basic building block, the artificial neuron(AN).

The basic processing of an artificial neuron is typically multiplying its inputs using a weight and then processing the combination of the inputs using an "activation function".



To summarize, the weighted input from all the feeder ANs are accumulated and passed through an activation function to form the ANs output.

An Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is formed using many ANs. Each AN has inputs connected to many feeder ANs and its output feeds many other ANs. For the most popular ANNs, the network is constructed using layers of ANs with the input on the left feeding forward through the network to the output on the right.



The activation function is usually a Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) or a sigmoid


But what about [implementing](#) a Deep Neural Network?

## Implementing Deep Neural Networks

Useful DNNs often require hundreds of thousands of ANs.

Within the network, each AN can have hundreds of feeder ANs.

With popular DNNs, there are often tens of layers.



So in these NNs, the memory requirements are significant. The storage is required for the input, the AN state and most significantly the weights for each of the ANs. This storage requirement often results in gigabytes of memory.

When these NNs are required to be solved in fractions of a second, the processing and memory bandwidth becomes prohibitive.

In most cases, Graphics processing Units (GPU) are used to implement large NNs. In many NN architectures, such as Convolutional NNs (CNN), they are quite effective. However, we should not forget they are not optimized purely for NN processing and are restricted by available SRAM and they are power hungry. These limitations will limit the effectiveness of GPUs regardless of what we might hear from the GPU community ("declare an interest").

Much of the NN application specific (ASIC/ASIP) research has focused on taking advantage of the performance and ease of use of Static Random Access Memory or SRAM. These implementations can be shown to be effective with specific NN architectures (CNN) or the "toy examples" but in reality, these implementations do not provide the flexibility, storage capacity and deterministic performance required to implement useful sized NNs.

If we recognize that DRAM is required to store the NN parameters, why use SRAM as an intermediate store?

Well, in practice there are benefits if you can operate solely out of SRAM.

Certainly good performance and potentially low power.

But use of SRAM makes assumptions on the NNs that can be supported.

The primary requirement of the NN to allow effective use of SRAM is "reuse". Once parameters are stored in SRAM, can they be reused such that the SRAM isn't simply an intermediate memory but something akin to a cache.

In most cases there are reuse opportunities. With CNNs, the weights are reused. A convolutional filter is passed across an input to form the next layer. These filter "kernels" can be held in memory and the input is read from DRAM thus reducing the DRAM bandwidth.

Even with DNNs where weights may not be reused, when implementing multiple DNNs, there is opportunity to hold the input in memory.

If the system is being employed in training, again there is opportunity to reuse inputs whilst performing batch processing.

But SRAM comes at a price, its big. Often when we see physical layouts of NN processors, they are dominated by the silicon area of the SRAM. The area required for SRAM has been understood for quite some time and companies attempt to create custom SRAMs to minimize the area impact.

So, can we employ DRAM with minimal SRAM and still provide a high performance system within acceptable area constraints?

We believe a system can be designed with DRAM as the primary processing store.

This will require careful use of data structures to describe storage within DRAM to ensure we make good use of the potential bandwidth. But there are other benefits we will take advantage of, but more about that later.

[So lets review our research mission](#)

## **Real world applications will require multiple NNs to be solved simultaneously**

We believe that real-world applications will employ multiple instances of these useful sized ANNs.

These applications may be

- a automobile with multiple cameras for navigation, driver face recognition, engine performance etc.
- a server performing face or text recognition for multiple cloud requests.

It is also our belief that useful NNs will be large, 100s of thousands of ANs.

Now, there are examples where simplified ANNs have demonstrated efficacy, but are these ANNs sized to fit the capabilities of the employed system ("declare an interest").

## **We need the capacity provided by Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM)**

SRAM is easy to use, but in reality they use a lot of silicon.

DRAMs are difficult to use but they provide the capacity we need.

Much of the research has employed SRAM. Perhaps because it provides high bandwidth but could it also be because its easy to use

Some research has employed DRAM, but its often used as a feeder to an SRAM based implementation.

## **Need to consider the system impact**

Research often focuses on point problems. This isn't unreasonable, research institutions have limited resources.

But the size of NNs require that performance be evaluated in the context of the system. The memory capacity of these NN systems require that data is constantly moved from memory to processing elements and back. The performance impact in a system context cannot be trivialized.

[So lets discuss our research.](#)

## What are we proposing

### 3D integrated circuit technology

If we keep the system constrained within a 3DIC footprint, we can leverage the benefits of 3DIC:

- reduced energy and area
- increased connectivity and bandwidth

### A 3DIC System

- 3D-DRAM
- Management
- Processing

3D-DRAM has recently become available in standards such as High Bandwidth Memory (HBM) and Hybrid Memory Cube (HMC) and proprietary devices such as the DiRAM4 available from Tezzaron. These technologies provide high capacity within a small footprint.

In the case of HBM and DiRAM4, the technology can be combined with additional custom layers to provide a system solution.


The question becomes, can a useful system coexist within the same 3D footprint?

Our research involves determining whether a realistic system can be implemented within a 3DIC footprint.

From a system perspective, we have a main memory (3D-DRAM), a manager and processing engines.

From a 3DIC perspective, our work employs a management layer, processing layers and layers for the customized 3D-DRAM.

Below is a high level diagram of the system.



Our baseline system will:

- target single precision floating point for computations
- use the Tezzaron DiRAM4 DRAM for area estimates and memory controller design

The work includes customizing a 3D-DRAM, researching data structures to describe storage of NN parameters, designing a memory manager with micro-coded instructions and a processing engine (PE) layer.




The work will answer the question,

"can a useful system be provided within the 3D-DRAM footprint"

### 3D-DRAM

The Tezzaron DiRAM4 is a 3D-DRAM employs multiple memory array layers in conjunction with a control and IO layer.



The memory is formed from 64 disjoint sub-memories each providing upwards of 1Gigabit with a total capacity of at least 64 gigabit.

31	30	29	28	60	61	62	63
27	26	25	24	56	57	58	59
23	22	21	20	52	53	54	55
19	18	17	16	48	49	50	51
15	14	13	12	44	45	46	47
11	10	9	8	40	41	42	43
7	6	5	4	36	37	38	39
3	2	1	0	32	33	34	35

Tezzaron Semiconductor

Our system needs to provide a management layer and a processing layer sub-system within the physical footprint of each DiRAM sub-memory.

### Layer Interconnect


The layers are connected using through-silicon-vias (TSVs) which provide high connection density, high bandwidth and low energy.

By ensuring the system stays within the 3D footprint ensures we can take advantage of the huge benefits provided by TSVs.

### Inter-Manager Communication

During configuration and/or computations, data must be transported between managers. This inter-manager communication is provided by an NoC.


When computing a NN, often data must be shared between sub-systems. An NoC within each management sub-system communicates with each adjacent manager using a mesh network. This NoC has a forwarding table that can be reconfigured to provide more efficient routing for a given processing step.




## Summary

We will go into the details a little later, but here is an overview of the system.



The physical system includes the DRAM stack, a management layer, a PE layer and a TSV interconnect.



In the above diagram, the sections highlighted in dark green constitute a sub-system column. The sub-system column block diagram can be seen below.



Taking a closer look at the manager and processing engine layers.




## Status

The baseline design effort has entered the final phase. The system is being verified using a system verilog environment.

The research effort has included C++ and python programming with tight integration to the verilog environment to provide data structure and verilog memory files.

Preliminary layouts have been performed to ensure we are meeting the goal of physically staying within the 3D column footprint. A manager and processing engine layout can be seen below.



Once this baseline design is complete, the target NN algorithms will be ported to the system and analyzed.

We are targeting Deep Neural networks (DNN) and Brain-state-in-a-box (BsB).

We expect good performance for DNNs but BsB is TBD.

Either way, some design changes, albeit small-ish are expected for each targeted NN algorithm.

[So this is all well and good, but what value does our system provide?](#)

## What value does our system add

The Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) has a set of questions, known as [the Heilmeier Catechism](#) it asks when evaluating a proposal.

- What are you trying to do? Articulate your objectives using absolutely no jargon.
- How is it done today, and what are the limits of current practice?
- What is new in your approach and why do you think it will be successful?
- Who cares? If you are successful, what difference will it make?
- What are the risks?
- How much will it cost?
- How long will it take?
- What are the mid-term and final "exams" to check for success?

These questions were formulated by the late Dr. George H. Heilmeier, who was director of DARPA from 1975 to 1977.

So how would we answer these questions?

## What are we trying to do?

Our system will provide deterministic at or near real-time performance within what we consider tolerable power and space constraints for systems employing inference on multiple "useful" sized neural networks.

Now most implementations are optimized for particular DNNs, in particular CNNs.

We paraphrase a quote from a Google paper [Jou17] on their Tensor Processing Unit ASIC:

the architecture research community is paying attention to NNs, but of all the papers at ISCA 2016 on hardware accelerators for NNs, alas, all nine papers looked at CNNs, and only two mentioned other NNs. Unfortunately CNNs represent only about 5% of our datacenter NN workload

These current implementations can suffer from severe degradation in performance when targeting generic types of ANN, such as locally and fully connected DNNs.

Our system will provide deterministic performance for these and other DNNs.

## How is it done today?

Implementations employ either Graphics Processing Units (GPU), Application-specific instruction set processors (ASIP) or Application-specific integrated circuit (ASICs).

These implementations can be shown to be effective with specific NN architectures (CNN) or the "toy examples" but in reality, these implementations do not provide the flexibility, storage capacity and deterministic performance required to implement useful sized NNs.

## GPUs

GPUs take a large area because of the large GPU device and support memory.

GPUs are somewhat general devices and although it's hard to quantify, this comes with an additional power and area burden compared to more application specific devices.

GPUs have been shown to not perform well in the bulk of applications that perform inference with ANNs [Jou17].

However, GPUs consume what we consider to be a lot of power, ~100W per instance.

## ASIP/ASICs

ASIP and ASICs do provide reasonable solutions in the form of performance and power when compared to GPUs.

Most, if not all, focus on implementations that employ SRAM as the main processing memory.

A reliance on SRAM means that for these solutions to support all forms of useful sized ANNs, they will require a huge area for the SRAM alone such that the solution is unrealistic and this voids any area benefits.

There is a subset of ANNs known as CNNs which do benefit from memory reuse and thus are good candidates for SRAM-based solutions. But these solutions are memory bound when applied to other types of ANNs.

Google developed a device known as Tensor Processing Unit (TPU) [Jou17] to handle their datacenter ANN processing requirements. They state that their application specific solution comfortably outperforms GPUs/CPU's by the order of 30X.

They do acknowledge that when processing MultiLayer perceptron (MLP) and Long short-term memory (LSTM) type ANNs their system is memory bandwidth bound and not processor bound.

Unfortunately MLPs and LSTMs represent 95% of their datacenter processing requirements[Jou17].

### **What is new in our approach**

Most researchers acknowledge that realistically, DRAM is required to meet the main storage requirements of useful sized ANNs.

This acceptance is fundamental to our mission statement.

We further believe that to support all types of ANNs, we need to be able to operate directly from the DRAM memory.

This is because SRAM-based solutions assume memory locality when processing a neural network. However, when ANNs do not provide sufficient locality these solutions become DRAM bandwidth bound. If we then ensure the DRAM can feed the SRAM at the necessary bandwidth, why use an SRAM and waste the significant silicon area they require.

Our system operates directly from DRAM, but not just DRAM, 3D-DRAM.

In addition, we have designed a system that can stay within the physical footprint of the 3D-DRAM.

By ensuring we stay physically within the 3D stack, we take advantage of high density connectivity provided by TSVs. Therefore, we are able to propose a custom 3D-DRAM that exposes more of the DRAMs internal page and thus generates interface bandwidth that is of the order of 64 times that of the standard 3D-DRAM.

So the novelty of our solution includes:

- A custom 3D-DRAM providing a ~64X bandwidth benefit compared to standard 3D-DRAM
- A system that benefits from the power and performance benefits of 3DIC technology by remaining within a 3DIC stack
- New data structures that allow use to operate directly out of DRAM whilst ensuring effective use of DRAM bandwidth

We believe our system will provide at or near real-time performance required for systems employing multiple ANNs whilst staying within acceptable area and power limits.

We believe our system will provide greater than an order of magnitude benefit over comparable solutions.

### **Who cares?**

Our system will target customers who have systems that are processing multiple ANNs with space, power and perhaps weight limitations.

This might include aerial systems such as drones that cannot tolerate the 100's of watts of power that a comparable GPU-based solution would require.

Our system will provide considerable payload or time over-target improvements, whether that be a drone is delivering your family pets latest toy from amazon or analyzing threats around a embassy in a foreign hot-spot.

We will provide a ANN inference system that will not force the ANN designer to compromise on the types of ANN they employ, such as fully or locally connected DNNs.

We will provide a significant performance boost for the type of locally or fully connected DNNs employed by companies such as Google in the server farms. Remember, locally or fully connected DNNs represent 95% of Googles datacenter processing requirements [Jou17].

### **What are the risks**

#### **3DIC**

It must be said that there has not been a widespread adoption of 3DIC technology.

Our system does rely on the benefits of 3DIC and but there are currently reliability concerns with this technology.

#### **Through-Silicon-Vias (TSV)**

We utilize high density TSVs to get a significant bandwidth boost in our system. TSVs provide high levels of connectivity with relatively low power.

We believe this is relatively low risk as we could use lower density TSVs. This would affect our bandwidth although we would still provide a significant performance improvement over alternative solutions.

### **Resources**

We currently have one researcher working on our system.

A system of this size typically take many man-years to complete. We typically run the synthesis and P&R using a typical timing library.

So performing full timing closure may expose the need for significant area increases but in our opinion the system has been designed from the start to meet system requirements.

### **How long will it take?**

Our baseline system is currently being simulated.

It is restricted to single-precision floating point processing but the baseline system does demonstrate effective use of 3D-DRAM bandwidth and that a complex system can operate within the 3D footprint of a 3D-DRAM.

The baseline system has taken approximately 18 man-months to design and test.

The system testing is ongoing but the current status is sufficient to believe our system is truly representative.

### **What are our mid-term and final "exams" to check for success**

We are testing our system within a system verilog environment to ensure we are exercising the various corner cases that could cause performance degradation or expose significant limitations.

We are also synthesizing our design and taking the design through place and route (P&R) to minimize the risk when the design goes through full timing closure.

So our current milestones are:

- Simulate with example critical sections of DNNs whilst monitoring bandwidth utilization
- Taking the system through synthesis and place-and-route

Also, we have been performing incremental synthesis and P&R.

Both simulation, synthesis and P&R are a WIP.

[So lets discuss some detailed research and implementation.](#)

## System Operations

Lets first describe the operations typically performed in our system when processing a neural network

Remember we previously showed an artificial neuron being fed by a bunch of feeder or "pre-synaptic" neurons.

The basic processing was to perform a multiply accumulate followed by an activation function.

Now many variations of ANNs employ this flow but may choose to perform their processing using integer math or perhaps even boolean math where neuron state is represented as on or off, but for our baseline system, we will focus on algorithms employing single precision floating point.

In the context of our system and AN state calculation, the basic operations to determine the state of a neuron is to:

1. Inform the Manager and PE which operation is to be performed
2. Tell the manager to access the states of the feeder neurons
3. Tell the manager to access the weights of the connections from the feeder ANs
4. Provide the feeder neuron weights and states to the processing engine
5. Tell the manager (or PE) where to store the resulting AN state back to memory

Now although the manager is the main controller in the system, it has to be provided with instructions from a host device describing the various operations performed by the system to implement a particular NN.

We have researched an instruction architecture to describe these operations so they can be interpreted by the manager.

We are loathe to describe this as an ISA, but we do want to emphasize the instruction format implementation allows for future expansion.

In our baseline system, the manager is not responsible for performing specific algorithm operations but is responsible for coordinating the various data flow, configuration and coordination of the modules that make up our system.

The managers primary responsibility is:

- Instruction decode
- Internal Configuration messages
- Operand read
- Result write

In our baseline system, the PE is responsible for the main algorithm operations.

The PE has three major blocks:

- streaming operation function
- SIMD
- DMA/local memory controller

Lets look at what we need to do to describe each of the operations above.



## **PE**

### **Streaming Operations**

The operations performed by the stOp are primarily multiple-accumulate with a transfer to the SIMD or to local memory.

Even though we will focus on the AN multiply-accumulate followed by a ReLu activation function, we have built flexibility into the stOp function to allow other functions to be added

In most cases, the stOp module will operate on the AN state and weights provided by the manager and provide the result to the SIMD.

### **SIMD**

The SIMD is a 32-lane processor with some builtin special functions, such as the ReLu operation.

The SIMD will take the result provided by the stOp and perform a ReLu. The result will, in most cases, then be transmitted back to the manager.

### **Configuration**

To configure these operations, we send two pointers to the PE. These pointers index into a small local memory which provides a program counter (PC) to the function to be performed by the SIMD and a configuration entry for the operation to be performed by the stOp.

Our PE is able to perform its operation concurrently on 32-lanes. However, there are cases when less than 32-lanes will be employed. This may occur if the number of ANs being processed is not modulo-32. In this case, we also need to provide the number of lanes being processed for any given operation.

In addition, we also send the length of the vector of operands being sent from the manager to the PE.

Lets review what needs to be communicated by the instruction to the PE:

- stOp operation
- SIMD operation
- Number of active lanes
- Operand Vector length

## Manager

### Accessing of feeder AN states and connection weights

As we discussed previously, the NN input and configuration is stored in main DRAM memory.

A part of our research is determining how to store the NN input and parameters in such a way to effectively make use of main DRAM bandwidth.

To provide parameters for the up to 32 execution lanes within the PE, we store the AN parameters in consecutive address locations. With one read to the DRAM, we access 128 words. This provides four weights for each of the 32 ANs being processed. These weights are sent to each lane of the PE over four cycles. We will discuss memory efficiency later, but with careful use of DRAM banks and pages and along with pre-fetching and buffering, we are able to maintain data to the PE at the target 1GTps.

Although we are able to store the AN parameters (weights) in contiguous memory locations, providing the input state to a particular AN presents us with an interesting problem.


Now earlier we explained that DNNs are represented by layers of ANs whose pre-synaptic neurons are from the previous layer.

These previous layers represent the input to a given layer. The first layers input is the actual input to the NN.

Now the input can be represented in the form of a 2-D array of AN states. For the sake of generality, We will even consider the input array elements as AN states.

Now any given AN operates on a region of interest (ROI) within the input array.

In the figure below, we see an input to a NN layer in the form of a 2-D array along with the ROI of two ANs.



Now we mentioned the various connection weights are stored in multiple contiguous sections.

However, its not possible to arrange the input in such a way that each ANs ROI can be stored in contiguous memory locations.

The figure above shows a typical ROI arrangement. If we consider the input array stored in row-major order, we can see an ROI is drawn from disjoint sections of memory.

These disjoint sections contain a number of AN states and the sections are separated by a gap of a number of memory addresses.

When the parameters are accessed when performing a particular operation, the memory controller within the manager must be informed of the start address and the lengths of the sections and gaps.

Now this looks problematic, and it is, but in practice groups of ANs share a common ROI. So once we solve the problem of efficiently reading an ROI from the DRAM, that ROI can be shared across a group of ANs

We solve this read efficiency problem by again taking advantage of the DRAMs banks and pages.


But how do you describe these ROI storage locations?

Now although disparate groups of ANs may have a different start addresses for their ROI, we see commonality in the ROI section lengths and gaps.

So for each AN group, we store that groups ROI starting address, but we point to a common set of section length/gaps. We term this structure storage descriptors.

This storage descriptor contains, amongst other things the start address of the ROI and a pointer to a section/gap descriptor. Many storage descriptors point to a common section/gap descriptor. This avoids having to have a unique section/gap descriptors for each AN group.

The figure below shows the structure of our storage descriptor. The SOD, MOD and EOD are used to delineate each descriptor in memory and stand for start-of-descriptor, middle-of-descriptor and end-of-descriptor.



Now although weights for a group of ANs will mostly be stored in contiguous memory, we still employ this structure to describe the storage but in this case the length/gap descriptor will only have a length field.

The above figure shows "miscellaneous" fields. We won't go too much into these other than to say we use additional fields to describe:

- whether the read data is broadcast or sent on a per lane basis to the PE
  - e.g. ROI is broadcast and weights are vectored
- how the DRAM is accessed
  - we control the order in which we increment the channel, bank and page addresses

### Writing AN state results to memory

When the PE has processed the group of ANs, the new AN states are sent back to the manager. The manager will store these back to DRAM most likely in the array format we described earlier.

A significant difference we take advantage of is that for any given operation, we are writing far less than we are reading.

For example, the ROI and parameters are usually vectors that will typically exceed 100 elements and in many cases much higher.

When we finish the operation, we are writing, in almost all cases one word per lane.

Now that sounds like writing back has a very small impact on performance but that's not entirely true.

When we write, we are writing a small portion of a DRAM page and the nature of the DRAM protocol

means this is a very inefficient use of DRAM bandwidth.

So although the amount of data we write is small the performance impact cannot be ignored.

In addition, in many cases the results from a particular PE has to be provided not only to the PEs local manager but also to other managers. We handle this with a network-on-chip (NoC) which we will discuss later.

So how do we communicate result storage.

Well, we use the same storage descriptor mechanism mentioned previously to describe where result data needs to be stored.

However, the added complication is because the result may have to be written to other managers, we need to provide the storage descriptors for all destination managers.

Lets review what needs to be communicated by the instruction to the Manager:

- ROI Storage descriptor
- Parameter/Weight Storage Descriptor
  - Broadcast or Vectored
- Result write storage descriptor
  - include descriptors for all destination managers

[So lets look at our Instruction format.](#)

## Instruction Format

Lets first review what our instruction communicates:

- To the Manager
  - ROI Storage descriptor
  - Parameter/Weight Storage Descriptor
    - Broadcast or Vectored
  - Result write storage descriptor
    - include descriptors for all destination managers
- To the PE
  - stOp operation
  - SIMD operation
  - Number of active lanes
  - Operand Vector length

Our instructions have to include information to control the above operations.

We partition the instruction into sub-instruction we call descriptors. These descriptors contain the information to control the various operations associated with the processing of a group of ANs.

For want of a better word, we might consider this a variable length instruction word or VLIW.

Remember, the group size is related to the number of execution lanes which for our baseline system is 32. So a group can be anywhere from 1-32. It should be said that unless we consistently have group sizes approaching 32 the system performance will be poor.

So lets look at the format of our instruction.


We typically have four descriptors:

1. Operation
2. Memory read for operand stream 0
3. Memory read for operand stream 1
4. Result write

Note: We will refer to an operand stream as an argument.

Now the instruction is actually an n-tuple where the tuple elements are descriptors and the number of elements can vary based on the operation being performed.


Below we see the format of a 4-tuple instruction which we use to perform an activation calculation for a group of neurons.



Now within a descriptor, we need to describe the various options such as storage descriptor pointer, number of operands etc..

Again, we employ a n-tuple format where the first tuple element describes the descriptors operation followed by an m-tuple whose elements contain the options required for the operation.

These option elements are a two-tuple with option and associated value.




The options currently supported with their associated codes are:

Option Type	Code	Comment
NOP	0	Used to pad physical implementations
Source	1	
Target	2	Which stream for memory read operations
Broadcast/Vector	3	How read data is sent to target
Number of Lanes	4	
Streaming Operation	5	
SIMD Operation	6	
Memory Access (storage descriptor pointer)	7	Storage descriptor associated with read/write operation
Argument 0 number of operands	8	
Argument 1 number of operands	9	
Configuration	10	Used to carry programming or I/O data
Status	11	General status messages

We have the concept of a "normal" option and an "extended" option. The extended option is used for large option values. For example, the extended option is used for storage descriptors and number of operand option types.

Below is a snapshot of an instruction transaction being transferred between the instruction memory (WUM) and the instruction decoder (WUD) modules. In our implementation, the physical interface between the WUM and WUD carries three option tuples per cycle. A descriptor may not have a modulo-3 number of option tuples, in this case we employ the NOP option tuple to pad the bus.

You can see examples of normal and extended tuples.



In the waveform you can see how our implementation accommodates our variable length instruction and descriptor tuples. To delineate both the instruction and individual descriptors, we chose to implement side-band signals. These delineation signals are referred to as *icntl* and *dcntl* for the instruction and descriptor tuples respectively.

Note: The NOP option type can be used to delineate tuples


The delineation signals are two bits wide and are coded as follows:

CNTL	Code
Start	1
Middle	0
End	2

OK, so we have been discussing , PEs, operand streams, operations, instruction formats, but how does this various information [move around our system](#).

## System Overview



Lets take a high level look at our 3D system



The diagram above shows:

- How control is passed from the manager to configure the PE operations
- PE input data in the form of AN states being read from main memory and passed to the PE
- Result data input in the form of AN state updates being passed from the PE to Manager
- Result data being replicated to other Managers (if required)
- Result data being written back to main memory

Lets take a closer look at one of our sub-system processing columns



## **Manager**

### **Operation Decode**

In the diagram, instructions are read from instruction memory and passed to the instruction decoder.

The operation tuple is decoded and a streaming operation (stOp) pointer and a SIMD operation pointer are sent to the PE inside an OOB control packet.

The stOp pointer specifies what streaming operation is to take place on the data directly streamed to the PE.

In our baseline system, typically this would be a floating-point multiply accumulate on two arguments, the pre-synaptic neuron states and the pre-synaptic weights.

The SIMD pointer is essentially a program counter that will be invoked when the stOp result is passed to the SIMD.

Note that other types of stOp includes a NOP with a destination of local memory. This allows us to transfer block of instruction or data from the manager to the PE.

### **Argument Decode**

The instruction also includes argument descriptors. These descriptors include a storage descriptor pointers that point to a storage descriptor stored in local memory that encodes where data should be read from for the one or two arguments that will be streamed from DRAM to the stOp within the PE. In the case of a AN activation calculation, there are two arguments, the pre-synaptic neuron states and the pre-synaptic weights.

The read storage descriptor pointers are passed to the Memory Read Controllers (MRC). The MRCs read the actual storage descriptor from their local memory and immediately start sending read commands to the memory via a Main Memory Controller (MMC). The MMC is not shown in the diagram but essentially takes the memory read requests and converts them into the DRAM read protocol.

As soon as read data is sent back to the MRC via the MMC, that data is aligned with the downstream bus and sent to the 32 Streaming Operations inside the PE.

### **Result Data processing**

Typically, the instruction also includes a result data write descriptor pointer. In fact, if the result data is to be sent to the local Managers main memory and also replicated to other Managers main memory, there will be as many write storage descriptor pointers as there are destination managers.

These write storage descriptor pointers are sent to the return data processor (RDP). The RDP waits for a result packet to be return upstream from the PE. The information sent to the RDP also includes a "tag" to allow result data to be returned out-of-order. In practice, most data will be returned from the PE in the order the operations were sent to the PE.

There may also be status messages sent from the PE to the manager but these will not be discussed.

The return data is sent from the PE to the Manager in the form of a packet. The packet includes the tag along with the result data.

The packet is received by the Stack Upstream Controller (STU) and passed to the RDP. The STU is not shown in the above diagram.

The RDP matches the tag and examines the storage descriptor pointers previously provided by the decoder. If one of the storage descriptor pointers points to local main memory, the RDP passes the data along with the storage descriptor pointer to the memory Write Controller (MWC). If one or more of the storage descriptor pointers points to other Managers main memory, the RDP forms a NoC packet and sends the data along with the storage descriptor pointer to the NoC controller.

Simultaneously, the Manager may receive packets from other Managers who had a storage descriptor pointer pointing to this Managers main memory. These are received by the NoC and passed to the Main Controller (MCNTL). The MCNTL passes the data along with the storage descriptor pointer to the Memory Write Controller (MWC).



## **Memory Write Controller**

The Memory Write Controller (MWC) receives data from two sources, the NoC via the MCNTL and the RDP.

In both cases, the MWC reads the actual storage descriptor from their local memory and immediately starts forming data that will be written back to main memory.

When the data is formed, a write command is sent to the memory via the MMC. Again, the MMC is not shown in the diagram but takes the memory write requests along with the data and converts them into the DRAM write protocol.

The MWC can only operate on one of the two sources at any one time. However, there are four 4096-bit holding registers where data is formed prior to the write request.

The holding registers have the potential in future to allow aggregation of data from one or more operations to allow a coalesced write back to main memory.

## PE

### Configuration

A configuration controller within the PE (PE\_CNTL) takes the OOB packet from the Manager and extracts the stOp and SIMD operation pointers.

The stOp pointer is used to point to a local stOp configuration memory. The memory contains the various configuration data required by the streaming operation controller (stOP\_CNTL). The stOP\_CNTL is not shown.

The stOP\_CNTL configures the:

- Operation type
- Number of active execution lanes
- Source of the argument data, which can be downstream data from the manager or from the small local SRAM
- Destination of the result data, which can be the SIMD or the small local SRAM

The SIMD operation pointer is sent to the SIMD.

### Streaming Operations

The streaming Operations are designed to operate on data passed from the Manager at or near line-rate. If line-rate cannot be maintained, a flow-control mechanism is employed to slow the data from the Manager.

Once the stOp has processed the data, it passes the result to the SIMD. Note in some cases the result can be placed in local SRAM or sent to both SIMD and SRAM.

It should also be stated that while the stOp is processing the current data, the SIMD may be operating on the result of the previous operation. It is expected the SIMD will have completed the previous operation before the stOp completes the current operation, but again, if necessary a flow control mechanism between SIMD and stOp will be engaged if the SIMD is not ready.

### SIMD Operation

The SIMD takes the result data and performs the operation starting at the program counter (PC) indicated by the SIMD operation pointer provided by the PE\_CNTL.

The stOp provides the result to the SIMD via a local register. The result is also written, in most cases to the small local SRAM.

The SIMD performs the specified operation on the data provided by the stOp.

In most cases this will be the AN activation function and in our baseline system is the Rectified Linear function.


When the SIMD has completed its operation, it passes the result to the SIMD Upstream controller to be returned to the Manager.

### Return Data

The SIMD Upstream Controller (SUI) take the data and encapsulates it in an Upstream packet. Included in the packet is the tag required by the Return Data processor within the Manager

### Column Sub-System Flow

Lets put the above flow description in the context of the detailed sub-system column block diagram shown previously.



It's important to understand that the system control and data flows are asynchronous. The system is able to spawn control of all the sub-blocks and initiate operations without concern to any specific ordering.

All the interfaces between modules include a standard interface that includes a flow control mechanism that allows a source module to start sending before the destination module is ready. This flow control is initiated by the destination indicating to the source it is ready.

For this reason, almost all of the interfaces between modules employ this "standard" interface along with FIFOs. This allows for some finite latency between the destination sending the ready signal and the source receiving the ready signal.

Lets take a look at those major control and data buses.

## Main Buses


Clearly with a system of this size we have many buses between the various modules, but the buses which are most important are our 3D inter-layer buses. These 3D buses are constructed from through-silicon-vias (TSVs) and are the main communication interfaces between the Manager and DRAM and between the Manager and PE.

These 3D buses are used to transport configuration and data between the Manager and PE and data between DRAM and Manager and are instrumental in providing the high performance of our system.

Remember, we are trying to research whether a meaningful NN accelerator system can exist within the 3D footprint of a 3D DRAM. If such a system can exist within a 3D footprint, we can take advantage of the low energy and very wide, and thus high bandwidth of these TSV based buses.

Just to remind us, in our case a "meaningful" system is one that provides the necessary performance, flexibility and scale to support a system employing multiple meaningful sized neural networks.

Lets take another look at our 3D system



## Manager/PE Buses

In our system, we employ a very wide bus that is split between communication from manager to PE and communication between PE and Manager. The idea is that this bus can be partitioned based on the needs of the algorithm. In our baseline system, one of the primary computations is the AN state calculation, so we partition the bus mainly to accommodate the transport of the AN pre-synaptic states and connection weights to the PE layer.

### Manager to PE

We refer to this interface as the downstream bus. It is actually separated into a downstream configuration bus and the downstream data bus.

The configuration, or as we refer to it the downstream out-of-band bus (OOB) carries all option tuples from the instruction to configure both the stOp module and the SIMD module.

Typically, the downstream data bus carries the operand streams that contain the pre-synaptic states and connection weights for a AN state calculation.


However, this downstream data bus can also be used to carry data to the local memory or the SIMD memory. These transfers can include SIMD functions or data used when the streaming operation is configured to use local PE memory for one of its arguments.

## Downstream OOB Bus

The downstream OOB bus is primarily designed to carry control information. These control packets are variable length and carry option tuple data from the originating instruction. The variable length is again delineated using side-band signals.


The downstream OOB bus also contains a tag to allow possible out-of-order execution although this is not currently employed.

It should be mentioned that all internal interfaces include flow control signals that operate in conjunction with input FIFOs. This allows the system to pipeline operations and data to maximize DRAM and system bandwidth.



## Downstream Data Bus

The downstream data bus is used to transfer bulk data. This data is most often operand data for the AN activation operation but can also be used to transfer data to the SIMD memory for SIMD function operations or to the local memory for later operations involving the stOp modules or the SIMD.




Our system provides a per lane flow control and a per lane valid. This allows the two lanes to become out of sync where at any clock the two pieces of data on the two lanes may not be the two arguments that take part in the same operation in the stOp. This flexibility allows us to smoothly accommodate the out-of-alignment memory access and not wait for the data from the memory to synchronize. It should be noted that the two arguments get realigned in the stOp receive FIFO.

## Upstream Bus

The upstream bus is primarily designed to carry result data from the SIMD or stOp back to the manager. The upstream packet also contains the tag so the result can be matched with the associated operation.

The tag is matched with the operation and storage descriptors. If the storage descriptors are associated with other managers, the result data is replicated and to the local manager memory and sent over the NoC.



## Manager/Memory Interface


The memory bus is essentially a customized version of a typical DRAM interface.

We assume you have a rudimentary knowledge of DRAMs but as a reminder, accessing these "typical" DRAMs involve opening a page in a bank, reading or writing a portion of the contents of the page then closing the page.

Typically a bank may contain of the order of a few thousand pages and a page may contain of the order of a few thousand bits.

Once the page is open, the user accesses a portion of the requested page over a bus. With PCB based DRAMs the bus might vary from four to 16 bits wide, but with 3D DRAMs, such as HBM the bus might be up to 128 bits wide.

Below is a block diagram of a typical DRAM.



Unlike SRAM, DRAM storage involves storing a charge on the gate of a MOSFET.

This method of storage imposes significant delays from when a page is opened to when it can be accessed. If we were accessing a single bank, this means if the user needs to access a different page, the bank cannot provide data during this period. This would result in a significant impact to usable bandwidth. This situation is avoided because the DRAM has multiple banks, and while one bank's page is being changed the user accesses a different bank.

Additionally, the IO data interface to the DRAM is DDR and a read or write involves multiple cycles of data.

Now there are some other features of DRAM access protocol, such as refresh and mode configuration, which we won't go into but all in all, the DRAM interface protocol requirements means **accessing a DRAM is non-trivial**.

Now we mention earlier that often researchers employ either only SRAM or use SRAM as an intermediate store or cache. This often means the SRAM utilizes a significant portion of the design's silicon.


In addition, we believe this intermediate SRAM cache is only effective with certain forms of ANN. This means a more general system cannot rely on the effectiveness of the SRAM cache and needs to make optimal use of the raw DRAM interface.

We believe our system is able to maintain acceptable levels of DRAM bandwidth utilization.

Now we previously mentioned a "customized" DRAM. We believe if a system can remain within the 3D footprint of the DRAM, we can generate more raw bandwidth than traditional DRAM technology.

We achieve this by proposing that the DRAM expose more of its currently open page.

Without the limitations of having to transfer data beyond the chip stack, we believe we can expose a larger portion or perhaps all of the page and by staying within the 3D footprint we can transfer data over a very wide through-silicon-via interface.



Now we still have to deal with the DRAM protocol, but this research demonstrates a design that can manage the DRAM and provide data to the PE such that the system makes effective use of the available raw DRAM bandwidth.

For our baseline system, we are using a modified version of the Tezzaron DiRAM4.

## DRAM Changes

The original DiRAM4 is a 64-port 3D DRAM with 32 banks per port. Each bank has 4096 pages with 4096 bits per page. An individual read or write to the DiRAM4 is to a 64 bit portion of the page. This 64 bit cacheline is accessed over a 32-bit interface using a burst of two DDR transaction.

This research proposes exposing the entire 4096-bit page over a 2048-bit bus again using a burst of two DDR transaction.

A preliminary discussion with the Tezzaron technical lead suggested this is feasible, but to be clear, this work does not research the impact of this change to the actual DRAM.

This work answers the question:

"if such a device is available, can we employ it within a useful ANN system"

## DRAM Waveform

An example DRAM waveform is shown below.



The commands used when accessing the DRAM are as follows:

Acronym	Command	Description
PO	Page Open	Open page 'addr' in bank
PC	Page Close	Close the currently open page
PR	Page Refresh	Refresh page in bank
CR	CacheLine Read	Read section 'addr' of currently open page in bank
CW	CacheLine Write	Write section 'addr' of currently open page in bank